### Jordan Decomposition via the *Z*-transform

# Asia Age<sup>1</sup> Thomas Credeur <sup>2</sup> Travis Dirle <sup>3</sup> Lafanique Reed <sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Xavier University of Louisiana

<sup>2</sup>University of Louisiana at Lafayette

<sup>3</sup>University of Mississippi

<sup>4</sup>Mississippi State University

SMILE@LSU, July, 2013

▼∃ > ¬
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P<

Prerequisites Jordan Decomposition Summary and Closing Motivation and Background Projections and Nilpotents Examples and Basic Result Jordan Decomposition History



- Motivation and Background
- Projections and Nilpotents
- Examples and Basic Result
- Jordan Decomposition
- History

### Prerequisites

- The Z-transform
- The Falling Factorial
- The  $\varphi$  function
- 3 Jordan Decomposition
  - Main Theorem
  - Sketch of the Proof of the Main Theorem
  - Example of the Method of Proof
  - Summary and Closing

▲ Ξ ▶ ▲ Ξ ▶ Ξ Ξ = 𝒴 𝒫 𝔅 𝔅

Motivation and Background Projections and Nilpotents Examples and Basic Result Jordan Decomposition History



In mathematics, one often tries to take a complicated object, and break it down into simpler pieces.

In our paper, we look at complex-valued matrices, and try to write them as a sum of matrices that are simple to work with, and have nice properties.

<ロ> <同> <同> < 回> < 回> < 回> < 回</p>

Prerequisites Jordan Decomposition Summary and Closing Motivation and Background Projections and Nilpotents Examples and Basic Result Jordan Decomposition History

### Example

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ -1 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\boldsymbol{A} = (-1) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Age, Credeur, Dirle, Reed Jordan Decomposition via the Z-transform

Prerequisites Jordan Decomposition Summary and Closing Motivation and Background Projections and Nilpotents Examples and Basic Result Jordan Decomposition History

### Example

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ -1 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$A = (-1) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Age, Credeur, Dirle, Reed Jordan Decomposition via the  $\mathcal{Z}$ -transform

Prerequisites Jordan Decomposition Summary and Closing Motivation and Background Projections and Nilpotents Examples and Basic Result Jordan Decomposition History

# Definition

#### Definition

A projection is any matrix *P* such that  $P^2 = P$ .

#### Definition

A nilpotent matrix is a nonzero square matrix N such that  $N^k = 0$  for some positive integer k.

Age, Credeur, Dirle, Reed Jordan Decomposition via the Z-transform

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆ □ → ◆ □ → ◆ □ → ◆ ○ ◆

Projections and Nilpotents

Prerequisites Jordan Decomposition Summary and Closing

Definition

### Definition

A projection is any matrix *P* such that  $P^2 = P$ .

#### Definition

A nilpotent matrix is a nonzero square matrix N such that  $N^k = 0$  for some positive integer k.

Age, Credeur, Dirle, Reed Jordan Decomposition via the Z-transform

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆ □ → ◆ □ → ◆ □ → ◆ ○ ◆

Motivation and Background Projections and Nilpotents Examples and Basic Result Jordan Decomposition History

### Example: Projections and Nilpotent

#### Definition

A projection is any matrix *P* such that  $P^2 = P$ .

#### Example

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$P^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$P^{2} = P$$

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆ □ → ◆ □ → ◆ □ → ◆ ○ ◆

Motivation and Background Projections and Nilpotents Examples and Basic Result Jordan Decomposition History

### Example: Projections and Nilpotent

#### Definition

A projection is any matrix *P* such that  $P^2 = P$ .

#### Example

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$P^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$P^{2} = P$$

Motivation and Background Projections and Nilpotents Examples and Basic Result Jordan Decomposition History

### Example: Projections and Nilpotent

#### Definition

A projection is any matrix *P* such that  $P^2 = P$ .

#### Example

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$P^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$P^{2} = P$$

Motivation and Background Projections and Nilpotents Examples and Basic Result Jordan Decomposition History

### Example: Projections and Nilpotent

#### Definition

A projection is any matrix *P* such that  $P^2 = P$ .

#### Example

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$P^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$P^{2} = P$$

Motivation and Background Projections and Nilpotents Examples and Basic Result Jordan Decomposition History

### Example: Projections and Nilpotent

#### Definition

A nilpotent matrix is a nonzero square matrix N such that  $N^k = 0$  for some positive integer k.

#### Example

$$N = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$N^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$N^{2} = 0$$

Motivation and Background Projections and Nilpotents Examples and Basic Result Jordan Decomposition History

### Example: Projections and Nilpotent

### Definition

A nilpotent matrix is a nonzero square matrix N such that  $N^k = 0$  for some positive integer k.

#### Example

$$N = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$l^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Motivation and Background Projections and Nilpotents Examples and Basic Result Jordan Decomposition History

### Example: Projections and Nilpotent

### Definition

A nilpotent matrix is a nonzero square matrix N such that  $N^k = 0$  for some positive integer k.

#### Example

$$N = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$N^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$N^{2} = 0$$

Motivation and Background Projections and Nilpotents Examples and Basic Result Jordan Decomposition History

### Example: Projections and Nilpotent

### Definition

A nilpotent matrix is a nonzero square matrix N such that  $N^k = 0$  for some positive integer k.

#### Example

$$N = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$N^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$N^{2} = 0$$

Motivation and Background Projections and Nilpotents Examples and Basic Result Jordan Decomposition History

In general:

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_i \boldsymbol{P}_i + \boldsymbol{N}_i$$

- $\lambda_i$  eigenvalues
- P<sub>i</sub> projections
- N<sub>i</sub> nilpotents

Motivation and Background Projections and Nilpotents Examples and Basic Result Jordan Decomposition History

In general:

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_i \boldsymbol{P}_i + \boldsymbol{N}_i$$

- $\lambda_i$  eigenvalues
- P<sub>i</sub> projections
- N<sub>i</sub> nilpotents

Motivation and Background Projections and Nilpotents Examples and Basic Result Jordan Decomposition History

In general:

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_i \boldsymbol{P}_i + \boldsymbol{N}_i$$

- $\lambda_i$  eigenvalues
- P<sub>i</sub> projections
- N<sub>i</sub> nilpotents

Motivation and Background Projections and Nilpotents Examples and Basic Result Jordan Decomposition History

In general:

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i \boldsymbol{P}_i + \boldsymbol{N}_i$$

- $\lambda_i$  eigenvalues
- P<sub>i</sub> projections
- *N<sub>i</sub>* nilpotents

Prerequisites Jordan Decomposition Summary and Closing Motivation and Background Projections and Nilpotents Examples and Basic Result Jordan Decomposition History



### • 1986 - E. J. P. Georg Schmidt

• Proved Jordan Decomposition using *L*-transform

Age, Credeur, Dirle, Reed Jordan Decomposition via the Z-transform

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶

Prerequisites Jordan Decomposition Summary and Closing Motivation and Background Projections and Nilpotents Examples and Basic Result Jordan Decomposition History



- 1986 E. J. P. Georg Schmidt
- Proved Jordan Decomposition using *L*-transform

The Z-transform

### $\mathcal{Z}$ -transform

### Definition

Let y(k) be a sequence of complex numbers. We define the  $\mathcal{Z}$ -transform of y to be the function  $\mathcal{Z}{y}(z)$ , where z is a complex variable, by the following formula:  $\mathcal{Z}{y}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{y(k)}{z^k}$ 

The  $\mathcal{Z}$ -transform The Falling Factorial The  $\varphi$  function

.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ●□□ のへで

### Example of the $\mathcal{Z}$ – transform

Suppose *a* is a non-zero complex number, and  $y(k) = a^k$ . We will calculate  $\mathcal{Z}{y}(z)$ 

$$\mathcal{Z}{y}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^k}{z^k}$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{a}{z}\right)^k$$

This is a geometric series, so the sum is

$$\frac{1}{1-\frac{a}{z}}=\frac{z}{z-a}$$

The  $\mathcal{Z}$ -transform The Falling Factorial The  $\varphi$  function

## An Important Class of Functions

#### Definition

Let  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then we define

$$k^{\underline{n}} = k(k-1)(k-2)\cdots(k-n+1).$$

### This is called the falling factorial function.

Let us take a look at an example to illustrate how falling factorials work.

#### Example

We will compute  $6^{4}$ . Using the definition above, we see that

$$6^4 = 6(6-1)(6-2)(6-3) = 6(5)(4)(3) = 360.$$

The  $\mathcal{Z}$ -transform The Falling Factorial The  $\varphi$  function

## An Important Class of Functions

#### Definition

Let  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then we define

$$k^{\underline{n}} = k(k-1)(k-2)\cdots(k-n+1).$$

This is called the falling factorial function.

Let us take a look at an example to illustrate how falling factorials work.

#### Example

We will compute  $6^{4}$ . Using the definition above, we see that

$$6^{4} = 6(6-1)(6-2)(6-3) = 6(5)(4)(3) = 360.$$

The  $\mathcal{Z}$ -transform The Falling Factorial The  $\varphi$  function

## An Important Class of Functions

#### Definition

Let  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then we define

$$k^{\underline{n}} = k(k-1)(k-2)\cdots(k-n+1).$$

This is called the falling factorial function.

Let us take a look at an example to illustrate how falling factorials work.

#### Example

We will compute  $6^{4}$ . Using the definition above, we see that

$$6^{\underline{4}} = 6(6-1)(6-2)(6-3) = 6(5)(4)(3) = 360.$$

The  $\mathcal{Z}$ -transform The Falling Factorial The  $\varphi$  function

### An Important Class of Functions

#### Definition

Let  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  and let  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then we define

$$arphi_{n,a}(k) = egin{cases} rac{a^{k-n}k^n}{n!} & a 
eq 0 \ \delta_n(k) & a = 0, \end{cases}$$

# where $\delta_n(k)$ is the sequence which is 0 for all $k \neq n$ and $\delta_n(n) = 1$ .

With this definition, we get that  $\mathcal{Z}\{\varphi_{n,a}(k)\}(z) = \frac{z}{(z-a)^{n+1}}$ . These functions are very important for the Jordan Decomposition. Note that the set  $\{\varphi_{n,a}(k)\}$  is a linearly independent set of functions.

The  $\mathcal{Z}$ -transform The Falling Factorial The  $\varphi$  function

## An Important Class of Functions

### Definition

Let  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  and let  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then we define

$$arphi_{n,a}(k) = egin{cases} rac{a^{k-n}k^n}{n!} & a 
eq 0 \ \delta_n(k) & a = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $\delta_n(k)$  is the sequence which is 0 for all  $k \neq n$  and  $\delta_n(n) = 1$ .

With this definition, we get that  $\mathcal{Z}\{\varphi_{n,a}(k)\}(z) = \frac{z}{(z-a)^{n+1}}$ . These functions are very important for the Jordan Decomposition. Note that the set  $\{\varphi_{n,a}(k)\}$  is a linearly independent set of functions.

The  $\mathcal{Z}$ -transform The Falling Factorial The  $\varphi$  function

### An Important Class of Functions

Since these  $\varphi_{n,a}(k)$  functions are so important to everything that follows, we will compute a few examples of them below.

#### Example

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{0,2}(k) &= 2^{k} = (1, 2, 4, 8, 16, \dots) \\ \varphi_{1,2}(k) &= 2^{k-1}k = (0, 1, 4, 12, 32, \dots) \\ \varphi_{2,0}(k) &= \delta_{2}(k) = (0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, \dots) \end{aligned}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへの

Main Theorem Sketch of the Proof of the Main Theorem Example of the Method of Proof

### Matrix Decomposition

Let *A* be an  $n \times n$  matrix over the complex numbers with characteristic polynomial  $c_A(z) = (z - \lambda_1)^{m_1} \cdots (z - \lambda_r)^{m_r}$ . Then, there exists a decomposition

$$A^{k} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{i}^{k} P_{i} + \sum_{q=1}^{m_{i}-1} N_{i}^{q} \varphi_{q,\lambda_{i}}(k).$$

Main Theorem Sketch of the Proof of the Main Theorem Example of the Method of Proof

### Matrix Decomposition

Let *A* be an  $n \times n$  matrix over the complex numbers with characteristic polynomial  $c_A(z) = (z - \lambda_1)^{m_1} \cdots (z - \lambda_r)^{m_r}$ . Then, there exists a decomposition

$$\mathcal{A}^{k} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{i}^{k} \mathcal{P}_{i} + \sum_{q=1}^{m_{i}-1} \mathcal{N}_{i}^{q} \varphi_{q,\lambda_{i}}(k).$$

Age, Credeur, Dirle, Reed Jordan Decomposition via the Z-transform

Main Theorem Sketch of the Proof of the Main Theorem Example of the Method of Proof

## Matrix Decomposition

### The decomposition

$$A^{k} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{i}^{k} P_{i} + \sum_{q=1}^{m_{i}-1} N_{j}^{q} \varphi_{q,\lambda_{i}}(k)$$

has many nice properties, such as:

 $\bigcirc P_i \text{ is a projection}$ 

*N<sub>i</sub>* is a nilpotent matrix

$$P_i N_j = N_j P_i = \begin{cases} N_i & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Main Theorem Sketch of the Proof of the Main Theorem Example of the Method of Proof

## Matrix Decomposition

### The decomposition

$$\mathbf{A}^{k} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{i}^{k} \mathbf{P}_{i} + \sum_{q=1}^{m_{i}-1} \mathbf{N}_{i}^{q} \varphi_{q,\lambda_{i}}(k)$$

has many nice properties, such as:

 $\bigcirc P_i \text{ is a projection}$ 

*N<sub>i</sub>* is a nilpotent matrix

$$P_i N_j = N_j P_i = \begin{cases} N_i & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Main Theorem Sketch of the Proof of the Main Theorem Example of the Method of Proof

## Matrix Decomposition

The decomposition

$$A^{k} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{i}^{k} P_{i} + \sum_{q=1}^{m_{i}-1} N_{i}^{q} \varphi_{q,\lambda_{i}}(k)$$

has many nice properties, such as:

1 
$$P_i$$
 is a projection

*N<sub>i</sub>* is a nilpotent matrix

$$P_i N_j = N_j P_i = \begin{cases} N_i & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Main Theorem Sketch of the Proof of the Main Theorem Example of the Method of Proof

### Matrix Decomposition

The decomposition

$$A^{k} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{i}^{k} P_{i} + \sum_{q=1}^{m_{i}-1} N_{i}^{q} \varphi_{q,\lambda_{i}}(k)$$

has many nice properties, such as:

### • $P_i$ is a projection

 $N_i$  is a nilpotent matrix

$$P_i N_j = N_j P_i = \begin{cases} N_i & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Main Theorem Sketch of the Proof of the Main Theorem Example of the Method of Proof

## Matrix Decomposition

The decomposition

$$A^{k} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{i}^{k} P_{i} + \sum_{q=1}^{m_{i}-1} N_{i}^{q} \varphi_{q,\lambda_{i}}(k)$$

has many nice properties, such as:

- $P_i$  is a projection
- N<sub>i</sub> is a nilpotent matrix

$$P_i N_j = N_j P_i = \begin{cases} N_i & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

▲□ → ▲ 三 → ▲ 三 → ▲□ → ● ● ●

Main Theorem Sketch of the Proof of the Main Theorem Example of the Method of Proof

# Matrix Decomposition

The decomposition

$$A^{k} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{i}^{k} P_{i} + \sum_{q=1}^{m_{i}-1} N_{i}^{q} \varphi_{q,\lambda_{i}}(k)$$

has many nice properties, such as:

- $P_i$  is a projection
- N<sub>i</sub> is a nilpotent matrix

$$P_i N_j = N_j P_i = \begin{cases} N_i & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

▲□ → ▲ 三 → ▲ 三 → 三 三 → の へ ()

Main Theorem Sketch of the Proof of the Main Theorem Example of the Method of Proof

# Matrix Decomposition

The decomposition

$$A^{k} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{i}^{k} P_{i} + \sum_{q=1}^{m_{i}-1} N_{i}^{q} \varphi_{q,\lambda_{i}}(k)$$

has many nice properties, such as:

- $P_i$  is a projection
- N<sub>i</sub> is a nilpotent matrix

$$P_i N_j = N_j P_i = \begin{cases} N_i & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

▲□ → ▲ 三 → ▲ 三 → 三 三 → の へ ()

Main Theorem Sketch of the Proof of the Main Theorem Example of the Method of Proof

## Matrix Decomposition

This decomposition is called the Jordan Decomposition of the matrix.

In this paper, our goal was to show that every complex-valued matrix can be written in this way.

<ロ> <同> <同> < 回> < 回> < 回> < 回</p>

Main Theorem Sketch of the Proof of the Main Theorem Example of the Method of Proof

# Matrix Decomposition

It is important that the matrix we work with be over the complex numbers, because the complex numbers are algebraically closed.

If we chose a real-valued matrix, then our characteristic polynomial won't necessarily have a root.

We need for our matrix to have an eigenvalue to do our work, which always happens over the complex numbers.

▲□ ▶ ▲ ■ ▶ ▲ ■ ▶ ▲ ■ ■ ● ● ●

Main Theorem Sketch of the Proof of the Main Theorem Example of the Method of Proof

## Main Results

There is an interesting result when closely studying the equation

$$A^{k+l} = A^k A^l.$$

In Tsai's paper [T12], one result is that you can write the matrix power  $A^k$  as

$$A^{k} = \sum_{r=1}^{R} \sum_{m=0}^{M_{r}-1} B_{r,m}\varphi_{m,a_{r}}(k).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ●□□ のへで

Main Theorem Sketch of the Proof of the Main Theorem Example of the Method of Proof

### Main Results

By writing both sides as Tsai's summation decomposition, as well as identical sums we arrive at

$$A^{k+l} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \sum_{b=1}^{r} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} M_{i,j+a} \delta_i(b) \varphi_{j,\lambda_b}(k) \varphi_{a,\lambda_i}(l)$$

$$=\sum_{i=1}^{r}\sum_{a=0}^{\infty}\sum_{b=1}^{r}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}M_{i,a}M_{b,j}\varphi_{j,\lambda_{b}}(k)\varphi_{a,\lambda_{i}}(l)=A^{k}A^{l}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ●□□ のへで

Main Theorem Sketch of the Proof of the Main Theorem Example of the Method of Proof

## Main Results

Invoking the linear independence of the  $\varphi$  functions, we have a collection of equations, one for each *i* and *a*. Therefore,

$$\sum_{b=1}^{r}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}M_{i,j+a}\delta_{i}(b)\varphi_{j,\lambda_{b}}(k)=\sum_{b=1}^{r}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}M_{i,a}M_{b,j}\varphi_{j,\lambda_{b}}(k),$$

and both sides still have a  $\varphi_{j,\lambda_b}(k)$  in common.

<ロ> <同> <同> < 回> < 回> < 回> < 回</p>

Main Theorem Sketch of the Proof of the Main Theorem Example of the Method of Proof

# Main Results

Again, using the linear independence of the  $\varphi$  functions, we know that the coefficients (matrices) are equal, thus

$$M_{i,j+a}\delta_i(b) = M_{i,a}M_{b,j}$$

If we let  $P_i = M_{i,0}$ , we can see that  $P_i^2 = P_i$ , so  $P_i$  is a projection.

This is one of the properties we set out to show, and the others can be shown in a similar way.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > 臣目目 のへで

Main Theorem Sketch of the Proof of the Main Theorem Example of the Method of Proof



### Example

Let the matrix

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{4} \\ -\mathbf{1} & -\mathbf{3} \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $A^k$  can be represented as

$$A^{k} = \sum_{r=1}^{R} \sum_{m=0}^{M_{r}-1} B_{r,m} \varphi_{m,a_{r}}(k).$$

Main Theorem Sketch of the Proof of the Main Theorem Example of the Method of Proof

### Example

#### Example

We must first find the characteristic polynomial:

$$c_A(z) = \det(zI - A)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} z - 1 & -4 \\ 1 & z + 3 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= (z - 1)(z + 3) - (-4)$$

$$= z^2 + 2z + 1$$

 $= (z+1)^2.$ 

Main Theorem Sketch of the Proof of the Main Theorem Example of the Method of Proof

### Example

### Example

From the characteristic polynomial, we can find the eigenvalues  $a_r$  and the multiplicities  $M_r$ . In this example there is only one eigenvalue  $a_1 = -1$  and its multiplicity is  $M_1 = 2$ , so the  $A^k$  equation becomes

$$A^{k} = \sum_{r=1}^{1} \sum_{m=0}^{1} B_{r,m} \varphi_{m,a_r}(k)$$

$$= B_{1,0}\varphi_{0,-1}(k) + B_{1,1}\varphi_{1,-1}(k).$$

★ E ► ★ E ► E E < 2000</p>

< 🗇 🕨

Main Theorem Sketch of the Proof of the Main Theorem Example of the Method of Proof

### Example

#### Example

Let us define  $M = B_{1,0}$  and  $N = B_{1,1}$  for simplicity, so  $A^k$  and  $A^\ell$  become

$$A^{k} = M\varphi_{0,-1}(k) + N\varphi_{1,-1}(k)$$

$$A^{\ell} = M\varphi_{0,-1}(\ell) + N\varphi_{1,-1}(\ell).$$

Similarly,

$$A^{k+\ell} = M\varphi_{0,-1}(k+\ell) + N\varphi_{1,-1}(k+\ell).$$

・ロト < 同ト < 目ト < 目ト < 目と のQQ</li>

Main Theorem Sketch of the Proof of the Main Theorem Example of the Method of Proof

### Example

### Example

Now we can substitute these into the equation

$$A^k A^\ell = A^{k+\ell}$$

to get:

$$[M\varphi_{0,-1}(k) + N\varphi_{1,-1}(k)][M\varphi_{0,-1}(\ell) + N\varphi_{1,-1}(\ell)]$$
  
=  $M\varphi_{0,-1}(k+\ell) + N\varphi_{1,-1}(k+\ell).$ 

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Main Theorem Sketch of the Proof of the Main Theorem Example of the Method of Proof



### Example

After expanding and simplifying, the equation can be written as

$$M(-1)^{k+\ell} + NN(k+\ell)(-1)^{k+\ell-1}$$

$$= M^{2}(-1)^{k+\ell} + MN\ell(-1)^{k+\ell-1} + NMk(-1)^{k+\ell-1} + N^{2}k\ell(-1)^{k+\ell-2}$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶

Main Theorem Sketch of the Proof of the Main Theorem Example of the Method of Proof



#### Example

Divide both sides of the equation by  $(-1)^{k+\ell}$  to get

$$M - N(k + \ell) = M^2 - MN\ell - NMk + N^2kI.$$

Let  $k = 0, \ell = 0$ . Then we get that  $M = M^2$ , so M is a projection.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶

Main Theorem Sketch of the Proof of the Main Theorem Example of the Method of Proof



### Example

We can then subtract *M* from both sides of the equation to get

$$N(k+\ell) = MN\ell + NMk - N^2kI.$$

Let  $k = 0, \ell = 1$ . Then we get that N = MN. We can make a similar choice to see that N = NM. Therefore our equation becomes

$$N(k+\ell) = Nk + N\ell - N^2k\ell.$$

This shows us that  $N^2 = 0$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへの

Main Theorem Sketch of the Proof of the Main Theorem Example of the Method of Proof



#### Example

From this example, we have verified the following properties:  $M^2 = M$  MN = NM = N  $N^2 = 0$ . Hence, *M* is a projection and *N* is nilpotent.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ●□□ のへで



- Every matrix has a Jordan decomposition, made up of projections and nilpotents.
- Projections and nilpotents have many properties.
- Using the  $\mathcal{Z}$  transform, we build the  $\varphi$  function.
- Using the lin. independance of the φ functions, and the fact that A<sup>k+ℓ</sup> = A<sup>k</sup>A<sup>ℓ</sup>, we arrive at these properties.
- For a matrix to any power, we can easily express it as a sum of projections and nilpotents.



#### We would like to thank:

- The SMILE Program
- Louisiana State University
- Dr. Davidson
- Jacob Matherne
- National Science Foundation

▲ ■ ▶ ▲ ■ ▶ ■ ■ ■ ● ○ ○ ○

## For Further Reading I



Walter G. Kelley and Allan C. Peterson, *Difference* Equations: An Introduction with Applications, Academic Press, San Diego, 2001.

- E. J. P. Georg Schmidt, An Alternative Approach to Canonical Forms of Matrices, American Mathematical Monthly 93 (1986), no. 3, 176-184.
- Casey Tsai, The Cayley-Hamilton Theorem via the 2-Transform, Rose-Hulman Undergraduate Mathematics Journal 13 (2012), no. 2, 44-52.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへの