CHAPTER 6 IMMERSIONS AND EMBEDDINGS

In this chapter we turn to inclusion maps of one manifold to another. If $f: N \to M$ is an inclusion, then the image should also be a manifold. In chapter 3, we saw one situation where a subset of $f(N) \subset M$ inherited the structure of a manifold: when each point of f(N) had a slice coordinate neighborhood of M. In this chapter, we show that is the only way it can happen if f(N) is to inherit its structure from M.

We first review the situation for functions $f : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^m$ for $n \leq m$. The infinitesimal condition of a function to be one-to-one is that the derivative is one-to-one. That the derivative is one-to-one is not required for the function to be one-to-one, but it is sufficient to guarantee the function is one-to-one in some neighborhood (by the Inverse Function Theorem). On the other hand, if $f(y_0) = f(z_0)$, then there is a point x_0 on the segment between y_0 and z_0 where $Df(x_0)$ is not one-to-one. This last statement is a consequence of Rolle's Theorem. This discussion, perhaps, serves as some motivation to study functions whose derivative is injective. A second justification is that if f is to be a diffeomorphism to its image, then the derivative must be invertible as a linear map.

While the phrase "f(N) inherits manifold structure form M" is vague, it certainly includes that "f(N) inherits its topology from M" which is precise.

Definition 6.1*.** Suppose $f: N \to M$ is a smooth map between manifolds. The map f is called an immersion if $f_{*x}: T_x N \to T_{f(x)} M$ is injective for all $x \in N$.

The derivative is injective at each point is not enough to guarantee that the function is one-to-one, as very simple example illustrate. Take $f : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}^2$ by $f(x) = (\sin(2\pi x), \cos(2\pi x))$. This function is infinite-to-one as f(x + 1) = f(x), but Df(x) is injective for all $x \in \mathbf{R}$. Hence it is clear that we will need some other condition to obtain an inclusion. An obvious first guess, that turns out to be inadequate, is that f is also one-to-one.

Example 6.2*.** A one-to-one immersion $f : N \to M$ in which f(N) is not a topological manifold.

Let $N = (\frac{-\pi}{4}, \frac{3\pi}{4})$, $M = \mathbb{R}^2$, and $f(x) = (\cos(x)\cos(2x), \sin(x)\cos(2x))$. The image $f(\frac{-\pi}{4}, \frac{3\pi}{4})$ is two petals of a four leafed rose. The map is one-to-one: only $x = \frac{\pi}{4}$ maps to (0, 0). Note that if $\frac{-\pi}{4}$ or $\frac{3\pi}{4}$ were in the domain, then they would also map to (0, 0). Df(x) is rank one, so f is a one-to-one immersion. However, f(N) is not a topological manifold. Suppose $U \subset B_{1/2}((0, 0))$, then $U \cap f(N)$ cannot be homeomorphic to an open interval. An interval with one point removed has two components, by $U \cap f(N) \setminus (0, 0)$ has

copyright ©2002

at least four components. Hence no neighborhood of $(0,0) \in f(N)$ is homeomorphic to an open set in **R**.

Definition 6.3*.** Suppose $f : N \to M$ is a smooth map between manifolds. The map f is called an embedding if f is an immersion which is a homeomorphism to its image.

This extra topological condition is enough to guarantee that f(N) is a submanifold in the strong sense of Definition 3.2^{***} .

Theorem 6.4*.** Suppose N^n and M^m are manifolds and $f: N \to M$ is a smooth map of rank n. If f is a homeomorphism to its image, then f(N) is a submanifold of M and f is a diffeomorphism to its image.

Proof. To show that f(N) is a submanifold of M, we suppose $x_0 \in N$ and we must produce a slice neighborhood of $f(x_0) \in f(N) \subset M$. We produce this neighborhood in three steps. The first step is to clean up the local picture by producing coordinate neighborhoods of x_0 and $f(x_0)$ that properly align. The second step is to produce a coordinate neighborhood of $f(x_0)$ in M in which f(N) looks like the graph of a function. The graph of a function was already seen to be a submanifold, and we have virtually completed the construction. The third step is to construct the slice neighborhood.

As a first step, we produce coordinate neighborhoods:

- (1) (O_2, ψ) a coordinate neighborhood in N centered at x_0
- (2) (U_2, τ) a coordinate neighborhood in M centered at $f(x_0)$ with $f^{-1}(U_2 \cap N) = O_2$ and $(\tau \circ f)_{*x_0}(TN_{x_0}) = \mathbf{R}^n \times \{0\}$

Take $O_1 \subset N$ a coordinate neighborhood in N centered at x_0 , and $U_1 \subset M$ such that $f^{-1}(U_1 \cap N) = O_1$. Such a U_1 exists since f is a homeomorphism to its image, and f(N) has the subspace topology. Take (U_2, ϕ) a coordinate neighborhood of M centered at $f(x_0)$ with $U_2 \subset U_1$. Let $O_2 \subset f^{-1}(U_2)$, $x_0 \in O_2$. Then (O_2, ψ) is a coordinate neighborhood of N centered at x_0 . Let v_1, \dots, v_n span $(\phi \circ f)_{*x_0}(TN_{x_0}) \subset \mathbf{R}^m$, and let v_1, \dots, v_m be a basis of \mathbf{R}^m . Let $H : \mathbf{R}^m \to \mathbf{R}^m$ be the isomorphism $H(\sum_{i=1}^m a_i v_i) = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$. Then $(U_2, H \circ \phi)$ is a coordinate neighborhood in M centered at $f(x_0)$ and $(H \circ \phi \circ f)_{*x_0}(TN_{x_0}) = \mathbf{R}^n \times \{0\}$. Let $\tau = H \circ \phi$ and the coordinate neighborhoods are constructed.

The second step is to cut down the neighborhood of $f(x_0)$ so that f(N) looks like the graph of a function. This step requires the inverse function theorem. We produce coordinate neighborhoods:

- (1) (O_3, ψ) a coordinate neighborhood in N centered at x_0
- (2) (U_3, τ) a coordinate neighborhood in M centered at $f(x_0), \tau : U_3 \to W_3 \times W_2 \subset \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^{m-n}$
- (3) a C^{∞} function $g: W_3 \to W_2$ such that $\tau(f(N) \cap U_4)$ is the graph of g.

Let $W_2 \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ and $W_4 \subset \mathbf{R}^{m-n}$ be open sets such that $W_4 \times W_2$ is a neighborhood of $0 \in \tau(U_2) \in \mathbf{R}^m$. Now define $U_4 = \tau^{-1}(W_4 \times W_2)$ and $O_4 = f^{-1}(U_4)$. Then $O_4 \subset O_2$, (O_4, ψ) is a chart centered at x_0 , and $U_4 \subset U_2$, (U_4, τ) is a chart centered at $f(x_0)$. Let $p_1 : \mathbf{R}^m \to \mathbf{R}^n$ be the projection onto the first n coordinates and $p_2 : \mathbf{R}^m \to \mathbf{R}^{m-n}$ be the projection onto the first n coordinates. The function $p_1 \circ \tau \circ f \circ \psi^{-1}$ maps the open set $\psi(O_4)$ to W_4 . Since $(\tau \circ f)_{*x_0}(TN_{x_0}) = \mathbf{R}^n \times \{0\}$, $D(p_1 \circ \tau \circ f \circ \psi^{-1})(0)$ has rank n,

i.e., it is an isomorphism. By the Inverse Function Theorem, there is a neighborhood V of $0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$, $V \subset \psi(O_4)$ and a neighborhood W_3 of $0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$, $W_3 \subset W_4$ such that

$$p_1 \circ \tau \circ f \circ \psi^{-1} : V \to W_3$$

is a diffeomorphism. Let $O_3 = \psi^{-1}(V)$ and $U_3 = \tau^{-1}(W_3 \times W_2)$. Then (U_3, τ) is a coordinate chart centered at $f(x_0), \tau : U_3 \to W_3 \times W_2$, and (O_3, ψ) is a coordinate chart centered at x_0 . Let g be the composition

$$W_3 \xrightarrow{(p_1 \circ \tau \circ f \circ \psi^{-1})^{-1}} \psi^{-1}(O_3) \xrightarrow{(p_2 \circ \tau \circ f \circ \psi^{-1})} W_2$$

The function g is the composition of two C^{∞} functions. We now observe that the graph of g is $\tau(f(N) \cap U_3)$. The points in $\tau(f(N) \cap U_3)$ are $\tau \circ f \circ \psi^{-1}(\psi(O_3))$. If $x \in \psi(O_3)$, then its coordinates in $W_3 \times W_2$ is $(p_1 \circ \tau \circ f \circ \psi^{-1}(x), p_2 \circ \tau \circ f \circ \psi^{-1})(x))$ which agrees with the graph of g. The second step is established.

The third step is to produce the slice neighborhood. Take W_1 an open set with compact closure and $\overline{W}_1 \subset W_3$. Let ϵ be such that $0 < \epsilon < \max\{|g(x) - y| | x \in \overline{W}_1, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus W_2\}$. Let $V_1 \subset W_1 \times W_2$ be the open set $\{(x, y) \in W_1 \times W_2 \mid |g(x) - y| < \epsilon\}$. Let $\gamma : V_1 \to W_1 \times B_{\epsilon}(0)$ by $\gamma(x, y) = (x, y - g(x))$. The map γ is a diffeomorphims with inverse $(x, y) \mapsto (x, y + g(x))$. The image of the graph of g under γ is $W_1 \times \{0\}$. Let $U = \tau^{-1}(V_1)$, then $(U, \gamma \circ \tau)$ is the slice neighborhood: y = g(x) if and only if $\gamma(x, y) = (x, 0)$.

It remains to show that f is a diffeomorphism. Since f is a homeomorphism to its image, f has a continuous inverse. We need to see that f is smooth as is its inverse. We use Proposition 2.18^{***}. Given $x \in N$, there is a chart of f(N) about x that arises from a slice chart about x in M, Proposition 3.3^{***}. Let $(U, \phi), \phi : U \to W_1 \times W_2$ be the slice chart and $(U \cap f(N), p_1 \circ \phi)$ the chart for f(N). The map f is a diffeomorphism if $p_1 \circ \phi \circ f \circ \psi^{-1}$ and its inverse are C^{∞} in a neighborhood of $\psi(x)$ and $\phi(f(x))$, respectively. Now, since (U, ϕ) is a slice neighborhood,

$$p_1 \circ \phi \circ f \circ \psi^{-1} = \phi \circ f \circ \psi^{-1}.$$

The derivative $D(\phi \circ f \circ \psi^{-1})(x)$ has rank n since ϕ and ψ are diffeomorphisms, and f has rank n. By the Inverse Function Theorem, $p_1 \circ \phi \circ f \circ \psi^{-1}$ is C^{∞} on a neighborhood of $\phi(f(x))$. By Proposition 2.18***, f and f^{-1} are smooth functions. \Box

Some authors use the terminology that the image of a manifold under an immersion is a submanifold, but this usage is less common. Furthermore it requires the immersion in the definition. We will use the term immersed submanifold.

Definition. Suppose N and M are manifolds and $f : N \to M$ is an immersion. Then (N, f) is an immersed submanifold.

This terminology is suggested by Exercise 1.***

Proposition 6.5*.** Suppose N and M are manifolds and $f : N \to M$ is a one-to-one immersion. If N is compact, then f is an embedding.

Proof. We just need to show that f is a homeomorphism to its image. It is a one-to-one continuous map from a compact space to a Hausdorff space. By a standard result in general topology, f is a homeomorphism. \Box

Exercises

Exercise 1*.** Suppose that $f: N \to M$ is a one-to-one immersion. Show that for every $x \in N$ there is a neighborhood U of x such that $f \mid_U: U \to M$ is an embedding. Show that the result holds even if f is not one-to-one.

The next exercise is a difficult and interesting exercise.

Exercise 2*.** Every compact *n*-manifold embeds in \mathbf{R}^N for some *N*.

This result is true without the hypothesis of compactness.

The dimension N can be taken to be 2n. That every *n*-manifold embedds in \mathbb{R}^{2n} is a result by H. Whitney. It is also interesting to note that every compact *n*-manifold immerses in $\mathbb{R}^{2n-\alpha(n)}$ where $\alpha(n)$ is the number of ones in the dyadic expansion of n. This result was proven by Ralph Cohen. The connection to the dyadic expansion and that this result is the best possible arose in work by William S. Massey.

Exercise 3*.** Let $f : \mathbf{RP}^2 \to \mathbf{R}^3$ by f([x, y, z]) = (xy, xz, yz). Show that f is a well-defined smooth function. Is f one-to-one? Is f an immersion?

Let $g: \mathbf{RP}^2 \to \mathbf{R}^4$ by $g([x, y, z]) = (xy, xz, yz, x^4)$. Is g an embedding or an immersion?