

- U/K U compact, $K = (G^\theta)_0$ for an involution θ on U (curvature > 0)
- \mathbb{R}^n (curvature $= 0$)
- G/K where G is a semisimple Lie group and K a maximal compact subgroup.

Def. Let $G \subseteq GL(n, \mathbb{C})$. Then

- 1) G is reductive if there exists a $g \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ such that $g G g^{-1}$ is invariant under the anti-homomorphism $x \mapsto x^*$
- 2) G is semisimple if $\dim G > 1$ and the center of G is discrete.

Ex $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ is reductive but not semisimple because the group

$$\mathbb{R}^+ I \subseteq Z(G(n, \mathbb{R}))$$

on the other hand the groups $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ and $SO(n, \mathbb{R})$ are semisimple. \square

~~Let~~ G

From now on we will assume that $G^* = G$ if G is reductive (semisimple). Define $\theta(g) = (g^*)^{-1}$.

Note that $\theta|_{U(n)} = \text{id}$, and we always have $\theta(X) = X^*$.

Lemma If G is reductive, then $\text{reg}^* = \text{reg}$. \square

Lemma Let $K \subseteq GL(n, \mathbb{C})$. Then there exist a $g \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$, g positive definite, such that

$$gKg^{-1} \subseteq U(n).$$

Proof. Let (\cdot, \cdot) denote the standard inner product on \mathbb{C}^n . Denote by dk a normalized Haar measure on K ($\int_K dk = 1$). Define a new inner product on \mathbb{C}^n by

$$(v, w)^\sim = \int_K (gkv, kw) dk$$

Then $(\cdot, \cdot)^\sim$ is K -invariant, i.e. for all $\tilde{k} \in K, v, w \in \mathbb{C}^n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{k}v, \tilde{k}w)^\sim &= \int_K (k\tilde{k}v, k\tilde{k}w) dk \\ &= \int_K (kv, kw) dk \\ &= (v, w)^\sim \end{aligned}$$

As $(\cdot, \cdot)^\sim$ is an inner product, there exist a positive definite A such that

$$(Av, w) = (v, w)^\sim$$

for all $v, w \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Let $k \in K$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (Akv, kw) &= (v, w)^\sim \\ &= (kv, kw) \\ &= (Akv, kw) \\ &= (k^* Akv, w) \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow A = k^* Ak$ or $k^* = Ak^*A^{-1}$. Let $g = \sqrt{A}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } (gkg^{-1})^* &= (g^{-1})^* k^* g^* \\ &= g^* A k^{-1} A^{-1} g^* \\ &= g^* k^{-1} g^{-1} \\ &= (gkg^{-1})^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

or $gkg^{-1} \subseteq U(n)$ \square

G-vector bundles

Let $M = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{k}$ where $\mathfrak{K} \subseteq \mathfrak{G}$ is a symmetric subgroup (or even more generally, only a closed subgroup). Let \mathfrak{v} be the -1 eigenspace of θ . Then $\mathfrak{v} \cong T_{x_0} M$. Let $\pi: TM \Rightarrow M$ be the canonical projection $T_m M \rightarrow m \in M$. The group G acts on TM by $(g, v) \mapsto (dg_g)_{\pi(v)} v$ and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} TM & \xrightarrow{dg_g} & TM \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ M & \xrightarrow{L_g} & M \end{array}$$

commutes. Note ~~that~~ $(dl_k)_{x_0}$: that

- 1) If $g \in G$, then $(dl_g)_x: T_x M \rightarrow T_{g \cdot x} M$ is linear. and $o(k_1 k_2) = o(k_1) o(k_2)$
- 2) If $k \in \mathfrak{K}$, then $(dl_k)_{x_0}: T_{x_0} M \rightarrow T_{x_0} M$ is linear. Identifying

we get for $\mathfrak{v} \cong T_{x_0} M$, $x \in \mathfrak{v}$, $k \in \mathfrak{K}$ and f smooth

$$\begin{aligned} & (dl_k)_{x_0} (x) f \\ &= x_{x_0} f \circ L_k \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} f(k e^{tX} \cdot x_0) \Big|_{t=0} \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} f(e^{t \text{Ad}(k)} X \cdot x_0) \Big|_{t=0}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\alpha(k)X = Ad(k)X$. To understand the situation better let us consider the general case of G -vector bundles over M . Recall first:
(with $\mathbb{F} \Rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C})

Def. A \mathbb{F} -vector bundle over M is a topological space V and a continuous, surjective map $\pi: V \rightarrow M$ such that

- 1) If $m \in M$, then $\pi^{-1}(m) \subseteq V$ is a \mathbb{F} -vector space
- 2) If $m \in M \Rightarrow \exists U \ni m$ open and a diffeomorphism $\phi: \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times \mathbb{F}^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $u \in U$ the map

$$\text{pr}_2 \circ \phi|_{\pi^{-1}(u)} : \pi^{-1}(u) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$$

is linear. Here $\text{pr}_2(u, v) = v$ denotes the projection onto the second factor.

We will assume that the standard \mathbb{F} -basis facts about vector bundles are known (i.e. definition of isomorphisms etc.) Examples are

- TM the tangent bundle
- T^*M the cotangent bundle
- $T_{\mathbb{F}}^{p, q} M = \underbrace{(TM \otimes \dots \otimes TM)}_{p\text{-times}} \otimes \underbrace{(T^*M \otimes \dots \otimes T^*M)}_{q\text{-times}}$

Def. A vector bundle V over M is called homogeneous (or a G -bundle) if G acts on V such that the ~~map~~ diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{g \cdot} & V \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ M & \xrightarrow{g} & M \end{array}$$

commutes and $g: V_m \rightarrow V_{g \cdot m}$ is linear.

We will now discuss how to construct all G -bundles and then describe their sections. Let V be a finite dimensional K -module. Denote the corresponding K -representation by ρ . Let $\tilde{V} = G \times V$. K acts on \tilde{V} from the right by

$$(y, v) \cdot k = (yk, \rho(k)^{-1}v)$$

Let $V = (G \times V)/K$. Note that the equivalence relation is given by

$$(y, v) \sim (h, w) \iff \exists k \in K: h = yk, w = \rho(k)^{-1}v.$$

We denote the equivalence class of (y, v) by $[y, v]$.

The group G acts on \tilde{V} on the left by $y \cdot (h, v) = (yh, v)$.

This action commutes with the K -action and defines a G -action on V , $y \cdot [h, v] = [yh, v]$.

~~We denote the~~

We set

$$V = G \times_K V (= G \times_{\rho} V), \text{ with the quotient structure.}$$

Define $\pi: V \rightarrow G/K$ by

$$\pi([y, v]) = y \cdot x_0$$

Then π is well defined. Let $U \ni x_0, U = \sigma_j$ be open such that $\text{Exp}: U \rightarrow V = \text{Exp}(U)$ is a diffeomorphism. Then

$$\pi^{-1}(V) \cong U \times V \text{ (local triviality).}$$

It follows that V is a G -bundle.

Let $W \xrightarrow{\pi} M = G/K$ be a G -bundle. Let

$$V = W_{x_0} = \pi^{-1}(x_0).$$

As $k \cdot x_0 = x_0$ for each $k \in K$ and $(k_1, k_2) \cdot x_0 = k_1 \cdot (k_2 \cdot x_0)$ it follows that K acts linearly on V defining a representation of K .

Lemma W is isomorphic to $G \times_{x_0} V$.

Proof. Define $G \times V \rightarrow W$ by

$$\tilde{\phi}(g, v) = g \cdot v \in W_{g \cdot x_0}$$

Then $\tilde{\phi}(gk, \sigma(k)^{-1}v) = \tilde{\phi}(g, v)$. Hence

$\phi: G \times_k V \rightarrow W, \phi([g, v]) = \tilde{\phi}(g, v)$ is well

defined and smooth. It is obviously linear on

each fiber. Assume that $\phi([g, v]) = \tilde{\phi}([h, w])$.

Then

$$g \cdot v = h \cdot w \text{ or } (h^{-1}g) \cdot v = w$$

As $v, w \in W_{x_0}$ it follows that $h^{-1}g \cdot x_0 = x_0$ or

$h^{-1}g \in k$. Let $k = h^{-1}g$, then $hk = g$ and $\sigma(k)^{-1}w = v$

or $(g, v) \cup (h, w)$. Let $w \in W$. Let $x = g \cdot x_0$

be such that $w \in W_x$. Then $g^{-1} \cdot w \in V = W_{x_0}$

and $\phi([g, g^{-1}w]) = w$. Hence ϕ is surjective. \square

Denote by $T^0(V)$ the smooth sections of V , i.e.

the vector space of smooth functions $\sigma: \mathfrak{G}/k \rightarrow V$

such that $\sigma(x) \in V_x$ for all x . Let $V = G \times_{x_0} V$.

Denote by $\text{Ind}_k^G V$ the space of smooth

functions $f: \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow V$ such that for all $g \in G$ and

all $k \in k$:

$$f(gk) = \sigma(k)^{-1} f(g).$$

Let G act on $\text{Ind}_k^G V$ by $(\text{Ind}_k^G G)(g)f(x) = f(g^{-1}x)$.

Lemma The map $\psi: \text{Ind}_k^G V \rightarrow T^0(V)$,

$$\psi(f)(gk) = [g, f(g)] = \sigma_f(gk)$$

is a G -isomorphism.

Remarks: The group G acts on $\Gamma^0(V)$ by

$$(g \cdot s)(x) = g \cdot s(g^{-1}x)$$

Note that $s(g^{-1}x) \in V_{g^{-1}x}$ and $g \cdot$ sends it back to V_x . \square

Idea of the proof: First we note that s_f is well defined: If $g \cdot x_0 = h \cdot x_0$, then $gk = h$ for some $k \in K$. We have $f(gk) = o(k)^{-1} f(g)$ and hence $(g, f(g)) \sim (h, f(h))$. Let $g, h \in G$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} s_{g \cdot f}(h \cdot x_0) &= [h, f(g^{-1}h)] \\ &= g \cdot [g^{-1}h, f(g^{-1}h)] \\ &= g \cdot (s_f(g^{-1}h \cdot x_0)) \\ &= (g \cdot s_f)(h \cdot x_0). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $f \mapsto s_f$ is a G -map.

Assume now that $s \in \Gamma^0$. Define f by

$$f(g) = g^{-1} \cdot (s(gk)) = (g^{-1} \cdot s)(x_0)$$

(identifying $V \cong V_{x_0}$). Obviously

$$f(gk) = o(k)^{-1} f(g).$$

and $s_f = s$ \square

Example: We have identified \mathfrak{v}_f with $T_{x_0} G/K$.

Let $x \in \mathfrak{v}_f$ and $k \in K$. Then

$$X_{x_0} f(x_0) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} f(e^{tx}) \right|_{t=0}.$$

For $k \in K$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (d\tau_k)_{x_0}(X) \uparrow &= X(\tau \circ \tau_k)(x_0) \\
 &= \frac{d}{dt} \tau(k e^{tX} \cdot x_0) \Big|_{t=0} \\
 &= \frac{d}{dt} \tau(e^{\text{Ad}(k)X} \cdot x_0) \Big|_{t=0} \\
 &= (\text{Ad}(k)X) \uparrow(x_0)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{Ad}(k)X = kXk^{-1}$. It follows that the k -action on $\mathfrak{v}_f \cong T_{x_0}M$ is the natural one given by Ad .

In particular

$$T\mathfrak{G}/k \cong \mathfrak{G} \times_{\text{Ad}} \mathfrak{v}_f.$$

Furthermore, the vector field, i.e. $T^\infty(T\mathfrak{G}/k)$ corresponds to functions $F: \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow \mathfrak{v}_f$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(gk) &= k^{-1} F(g) k \\
 &= \text{Ad}(k^{-1}) F(g).
 \end{aligned}$$

The group k acts on \mathfrak{v}_f^* , the dual of \mathfrak{v}_f , by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle k \cdot \nu, X \rangle &= \langle \nu, k^{-1} \cdot X \rangle \\
 &= \langle \nu, k^{-1} X k \rangle^* \\
 &= \langle \nu, \text{Ad}(k^{-1}) X \rangle \\
 &= \langle \text{Ad}(k^{-1})^t \nu, X \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

The 1-form corresponds then to maps

$$\begin{aligned}
 \omega: \mathfrak{G} &\rightarrow \mathfrak{v}_f^* \\
 \omega(gk) &= \text{Ad}(k)^t \omega(g).
 \end{aligned}$$

Assume that β is a K -invariant bilinear form on \mathfrak{g} . Then β defines a G -invariant 2-tensor on \mathfrak{g}/K by

$$\beta_{g \cdot x_0}(Lg, v], [Lg, w]) = \beta(v, w)$$

This is well defined because if $h = gk$ then $[gk, k^{-1}v] = [h, k^{-1}v]$ and $[h, k^{-1}w] = [g, w]$.

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{h \cdot x_0}([Lh, k^{-1}v], [Lh, k^{-1}w]) &= \beta(k^{-1}v, k^{-1}w) \\ &= \beta(v, w). \end{aligned}$$

Let $a, g \in G$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\beta_{ag \cdot x_0}((da)_{g \cdot x_0} Lg, v], (da)_{g \cdot x_0} Lg, w]) \\ &= \beta_{(ag) \cdot x_0}([Lag, v], [Lag, w]) \\ &= \beta(v, w) \\ &= \beta_{g \cdot x_0}([Lg, v], [Lg, w]). \end{aligned}$$

Example Let $S^n = \mathfrak{so}(n+1)/\mathfrak{so}(n)$. The tangent bundle of S^n is

$$TS^n = \{(w, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid w \in S^n, v \perp w\}$$

and $\mathfrak{so}(n+1)$ acts on TS^n by

$$y \cdot (w, v) = (yw, yv).$$

The elements in \mathfrak{v}_f are given by

$$\mathfrak{v}_f = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -x^b \\ x^a & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid x^a \in \mathbb{R}^n \right\}.$$

Let $A \in \mathfrak{so}(n)$. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -x^b \\ x^a & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -(Ax^b) \\ Ax^a & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence the map

$$\mathfrak{v}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -x^b \\ x^a & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto x^a$$

intertwines the adjoint action with the standard action on \mathbb{R}^n and

$$T\mathfrak{S}^n \simeq \mathfrak{so}(n+1) \times_{\mathfrak{so}(n)} \mathbb{R}^n.$$

The isomorphism is

$$[g, v] \mapsto (g e_1, g(v)).$$

Using this we note that the vector fields corresponds to maps $F: \mathfrak{so}(n+1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$F(gk) = k^{-1} F(g)$$

and the differential 1-forms correspond to functions $F: \mathfrak{so}(n+1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$

$$F(gk) = k^t F(g) = k^t F(g).$$

Hence, there is a natural isomorphism between the space of vector fields and 1-forms.

If η (and/or η') is invariant under $X \mapsto X^*$ then there is a natural $U(n) \supset K$ invariant inner product on η' given by

$$(X, Y) = +\text{Tr}(XY^*).$$

In the case of $SO(n+1)/SO(n)$ this form is

$$+\text{Tr} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\vec{x}^t \\ \vec{x} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \vec{x}^t \\ -\vec{x} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \text{Tr} \begin{pmatrix} \|\vec{x}\|^2 & * \\ * & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \|\vec{x}\|^2.$$

Thus our definition of Riemannian metric on $T(G/K)$ is the natural one.

Semisimple and reductive Lie groups

From now on we will always assume that G is connected.

Definition A linear Lie group is reductive if there exist a $g \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ such that

$$(g \alpha g^{-1})^* = g \alpha g^t.$$

G is semisimple if G is reductive and $Z(G)$, the center of G , is finite.

Lemma If K is compact (not necessarily connected) then K is reductive.

Proof. Denote by (\cdot, \cdot) the standard inner product on \mathbb{C}^n (or \mathbb{R}^n if $K = SU(n, 1/2)$). Let dk be a normalized Haar measure on K . Thus dk is a n -Radon measure on K with the following two properties:

- If $g = K$ and $f \in \mathcal{O}(K)$ then

$$\int_K f(gk) dk = \int f(k) dk$$

[left invariance].

- $\int_K dk = 1$ [normalization].

Define a new inner product by

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \int_K (kv, kw) dk.$$

Note, if $v = w$ then $\int_K (kv, kv) dk = \int \|kv\|^2 dk \geq 0$
and $= 0 \iff v = 0$. Then, there exists a $A \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$

A positive definite such that for all v, w :

$$\langle v, w \rangle = (Av, Aw)$$

Let $g = K$. Then by the invariance of dk we get that $\langle gv, gw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v, w \rangle &= (Av, Aw) \\ &= \langle g \cdot v, g \cdot w \rangle \\ &= (Agv, Agw) \\ &= (g^* Agv, Agw) \end{aligned}$$

As this holds for all v, w it follows that

$$g^* Ag = A$$

or

$$g^* = Ag^{-1}A^{-1}.$$

Define

$$B = \sqrt{A}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (B g B^{-1})^* &= B^{-1} g^* B \\
 &= B^{-1} A g^{-1} A^{-1} B \\
 &= B g^{-1} B^{-1} \\
 &= (B g B^{-1})^{-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence the claim, (In fact we have shown that $B g B^{-1} \in U(n)$.) \square

From now on G is connected and $G^* = G$. Then $\mathfrak{g}^* = \mathfrak{g}$. We will also assume that G is non-compact. Then

$$\theta: G \rightarrow G, y \mapsto (y^{-1})^*$$

is a non-trivial involution on G . Its differential is given by

$$\theta(X) = -X^*$$

and

$$G^\theta = G \cap U(n)$$

compact. Define a G -invariant bilinear form on \mathfrak{g} by

$$\begin{aligned}
 B(X, Y) &= \text{Tr}(X Y^*) = \text{Tr}(X Y) \\
 &= -\text{Tr}
 \end{aligned}$$

Then B defines by restriction a K -invariant bilinear form on \mathfrak{g} . Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned}
 (X, Y) &= \text{Tr}(X Y^*) \\
 &= -B(X, \theta Y)
 \end{aligned}$$

is an inner product on \mathfrak{g} such that

$$\text{Ad}(y)^* = \text{Ad}(y^*)$$

$$\text{ad}(X)^* = \text{ad}(X^*)$$

where $\text{ad}(X)Y = XY - YX = [X, Y]$.

As a consequence we get

$$1) \text{ } \mathfrak{y}(\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{C}) = \mathfrak{y}^\perp \oplus \mathfrak{y} \text{ where}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{V}^\perp &= \{ X \mid \forall Y \in \mathfrak{V} : B(X, Y) = 0 \} \\ &= \{ X \mid (\forall Y \in \mathfrak{V}) : (X, Y) = 0 \}. \end{aligned}$$

(same for $\mathfrak{y}(\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R})$ if $\mathfrak{y} = \mathfrak{y}(\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R})$). Furthermore $[\mathfrak{y}, \mathfrak{y}^\perp] \subseteq \mathfrak{y}^\perp$.

2) If $\mathfrak{z} = \mathfrak{y}$ is \mathfrak{g} -invariant (and hence an ideal) then

$$\mathfrak{y} = \mathfrak{z} \oplus \mathfrak{z}^\perp$$

and \mathfrak{z}^\perp is an ideal.

3) There exist simple ideals $\mathfrak{y}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{y}_m$ (i.e. can not be decomposed further) such that

$$\mathfrak{y} = \mathfrak{y}_1 \times \dots \times \mathfrak{y}_m.$$

Let $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{y}) = \bigoplus_{\dim \mathfrak{y}_j = 1} \mathfrak{y}_j$. Then $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{y})$ is

the center of \mathfrak{y} . For that we note the following:

- $[\mathfrak{y}_i, \mathfrak{y}_j] = \mathfrak{y}_i \cap \mathfrak{y}_j$

Hence $[\mathfrak{y}_i, \mathfrak{y}_j] = 0$ if $i \neq j$.

- If $\dim \mathfrak{y}_i = 1$, then $[\mathfrak{y}_i, \mathfrak{y}_i] = 0$. Hence $[\mathfrak{y}_i, \mathfrak{y}] = 0$.

- If $\dim v_i > 1$, then $[v_i, v_i] = L(v_i, v_i) = v_i$. For that note that $[v_i, v_i] = v_i$ is an ideal. As v_i is minimal the assumption $[v_i, v_i] \neq v_i$ would imply that v_i is abelian and hence one dimensional.

The Cartan decomposition

Let for the moment $G = SL(n, \mathbb{R})$. Let $g \in G$. Then we can write

$$g = e^X k$$

where $X \in \text{sym}(n, \mathbb{R})$ and $k \in SO(n)$. We have

$$g g^t = e^{2X}$$

so $X = \log_B \sqrt{g g^t}$. One way to take log of a positive definite matrix is to take $U \in SO(n)$ such that

$$B = U \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} U^{-1}$$

As B is positive definite $\Rightarrow \lambda_j > 0$. Let

$$\log B = U \begin{pmatrix} \log \lambda_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \log \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} U^{-1}$$

Then let $k = e^{-X} g$. It follows that

$$k k^t = e^{-X} g g^t e^{-X} = 1$$

Hence, as $\det k = \det g \det e^{-X} = 1$, $k \in SO(n)$.

It is clear that \leftarrow denoting $T_0(X) = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sym}(n, \mathbb{R}) \times K &\rightarrow SL(n, \mathbb{R}) \\ (\lambda, k) &\mapsto e^\lambda k \end{aligned}$$

is differentiable. By construction, the inverse is also differentiable.

Let $P = e^{\text{Sym}(n, \mathbb{R})^0}$, the set of positive definite matrices, with determinant 1. Then $SL(n, \mathbb{R}) \cong P \times K$

Theorem (Cartan decomposition) Let G be semisimple. Then the map

$$v \times k \rightarrow \alpha, \quad X_k \mapsto e^{X_k}$$

is a diffeomorphism. In particular K is connected.

Proof. Define - as before - $X = \log \sqrt{g_j g}$. We only have to show that $X = v_j$. Let $B = \sqrt{g_j g}$. Then B and X commute, and hence have a joint eigenspace decomposition. It follows then easily (as v_j is B invariant) that $\text{ad}(X): v_j \rightarrow v_j$. Write

$$X = X_1 + X_2$$

with $X_1 = v_j, X_2 = v_j^\perp$. Let $Y = v_j$. Then

$$v_j \ni [X, Y] = [X_1, Y] + [X_2, Y]$$

$\Rightarrow [X_2, Y] = v_j$. But $[v_j, v_j^\perp] \subseteq v_j^\perp$ and hence

$[X_2, v_j] = 0$. In particular it follows that

$$X_1 X_2 = X_2 X_1 \text{ and hence}$$

$$e^X = e^{X_1} e^{X_2} = e^{X_2} e^{X_1}$$

As G is connected it follows that e^{nX_2} commutes with α . If $X_2 \neq 0$ we would have

$$e^{X_2} = e^{-X_1} g_j g \in G$$

and $e^{nX_2} \in Z(\alpha)$ for all n . As $e^{nX_2} \neq e^{mX_2}$

($n \neq m$) it would follow that $Z(\alpha)$ is infinite,

contradicting the assumption that \mathfrak{g} is semisimple. \square

acting on v_j

Theorem Let $G = \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$, $N = N_n = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & x_{ij} \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ the group of upper triangular matrices, $A = A_n = \{d(a_{11}, \dots, a_{nn}) \mid a_{ii} > 0\}$ the group of diagonal matrices with determinant one, and $K = K_n = \text{SO}(n)$. Then the map

$$N \times A \times K \xrightarrow{\varphi} G, \quad n, a, k \mapsto nak$$

is a diffeomorphism.

Proof. It is clear that φ is differentiable (in fact a polynomial in the matrix elements). Assume that

$$nak = n_1 a_1 k_1$$

$\Rightarrow a_1^{-1} n_1^{-1} n a = (a_1^{-1} n_1^{-1} n a_1) (a_1^{-1} a) = k_1 k_1^{-1}$ is both orthogonal and upper triangular and hence the identity.

Thus $k = k_1$, $a_1^* = a$ and $n_1 = n$. Now let $g \in G$. write

$$g^{-1} = (\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_n), \text{ i.e. } \vec{x}_j = g^{-1} e_j$$

Apply the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization to the vectors $\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_n$, resulting in a set of orthonormal vectors

$$v_1 = \|\vec{x}_1\|^{-1} \vec{x}_1 = a_{11} \vec{x}_1$$

$$v_2 = \|\vec{y}_1\|^{-1} (\vec{x}_2 - (\vec{x}_2, v_1) v_1)$$

$$= a_{22} \vec{x}_2 + a_{12} \vec{x}_1$$

\vdots

$$v_j = a_{jj} \vec{x}_j + a_{1j} \vec{x}_1 + \dots + a_{j-1,j} \vec{x}_{j-1}$$

\vdots

$$v_n = a_{nn} \vec{x}_n + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{jn} \vec{x}_j$$

with $a_{ii} > 0$. Let $a = d(a_{11}, \dots, a_{nn})$, $h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & a_{ij} \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $i < j$.

Let

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \in A$$

$$n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{a_{12}}{a_{22}} & \dots \\ 0 & \ddots & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (n_{ij}) \in N$$

with $n_{ij} = 0$ if $i > j$, $n_{ii} = 1$, $n_{ij} = \frac{a_{ij}}{a_{jj}}$, $i > j$.

Then $g^{-1} n a e_j = v_j$. Let $k \in O(m)$ be such that $k e_j = v_j$. Then

$$g^{-1} (n a k) v_j = v_j, \quad j=1, \dots, n$$

and hence $g = n a k$. By construction

$$g \mapsto (n, a, k)$$

is smooth. \square

We write $g = n(g) a(g) k(g)$ if we need to indicate the dependence on g .

It is a little more work to generalize this to semisimple Lie groups. First we need some new notation.

Let $\mathfrak{v} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ be maximal abelian. Then $\text{ad}(\mathfrak{v}) \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a commuting family of selfadjoint operators. We can therefore simultaneously diagonalize them all. For that let for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{v}^*$

$$\mathfrak{v}_\lambda = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid (\forall H \in \mathfrak{v}) [H, X] = \lambda(H)X\}$$

Let $\Delta = \{\alpha \in \mathfrak{nr}^* \mid \alpha \neq 0, \mathfrak{nr}^\alpha \neq \{0\}\}$. The elements of Δ are called roots or restricted roots. If $\alpha \notin \Delta$ then $\mathfrak{nr}^\alpha = \{0\}$.

Lemma If $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta \cup \{0\}$ then the following holds

$$1) [\mathfrak{nr}^\alpha, \mathfrak{nr}^\beta] \subseteq \mathfrak{nr}^{\alpha+\beta}$$

$$2) -\alpha \in \Delta \cup \{0\} \text{ and } \theta \mathfrak{nr}^\alpha = \mathfrak{nr}^{-\alpha}.$$

Proof 1) follows from the Jacobi identity

$$[X, [Y, Z]] + [Y, [Z, X]] + [Z, [X, Y]] = 0$$

Thus for $H \in \mathfrak{nr}$, $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{nr}^\alpha$ and $X_\beta \in \mathfrak{nr}^\beta$:

$$\begin{aligned} [H, [X_\alpha, X_\beta]] &= [X_\alpha, [H, X_\beta]] + [X_\beta, [H, X_\alpha]] \\ &= \alpha(H) [X_\alpha, X_\beta] + \alpha(H) [X_\alpha, X_\beta] \\ &= (\alpha + \beta)(H) [X_\alpha, X_\beta]. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2) [H, \theta X_\alpha] &= \theta [\theta H, X_\alpha] \\ &= \theta [-H, X_\alpha] = -\alpha(H) \theta X_\alpha. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

From this we get:

Lemma $\mathfrak{nr}^0 = \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{nr})$ is a θ -stable subalgebra. Let $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{z}_\theta(\mathfrak{nr})$. Then $\mathfrak{nr}^0 = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{nr}$.

Proof \mathfrak{nr}^0 is θ -stable. Hence $\mathfrak{nr}^0 = \mathfrak{nr}^0 \cap \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{nr}^0 \cap \mathfrak{p}$.

Now $\mathfrak{nr}^0 \cap \mathfrak{p}$ commutes with \mathfrak{nr} . Assume

$\mathfrak{nr}^0 \cap \mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{nr}$. Let $X \in \mathfrak{nr}^0 \cap \mathfrak{p} \setminus \mathfrak{nr}$. Then

$\mathfrak{nr} \oplus \mathbb{R}X$ is a commutative subalgebra in \mathfrak{p} strictly bigger than \mathfrak{nr} , contradicting the

maximality of \mathfrak{r} . \square

As $\dim \mathfrak{g} < \infty$ it follows that Δ is finite. For

$\alpha \in \Delta$ let

$$\alpha^\perp = \{ H \in \mathfrak{r} \mid \alpha(H) = 0 \} = \ker(\alpha)$$

Then

$$\mathfrak{r}_{\text{reg}} = \mathfrak{r} \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} \alpha^\perp \quad (\text{the set of regular elements})$$

$$= \{ H \in \mathfrak{r} \mid (\forall \alpha \in \Delta) \alpha(H) \neq 0 \}$$

is open and dense. Let $H \in \mathfrak{r}_{\text{reg}}$ and define

$$\Delta^+ = \{ \alpha \in \Delta \mid \alpha(H) > 0 \}$$

(Note that Δ^+ does only depend on the connected component containing H .)

Lemma 1) If $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta^+$ and $\alpha + \beta \in \Delta$, then $\alpha + \beta \in \Delta^+$.

$$2) \Delta = \Delta^+ \cup -\Delta^+ \text{ and } -\Delta^+ = \theta \Delta^+$$

$$3) \Delta^+ \cap -\Delta^+ = \emptyset. \quad \text{and } \Delta^+ \neq \emptyset$$

Proof. This is clear.

Define

$$\mathfrak{k} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha$$

then \mathfrak{k} is a subalgebra, $[\mathfrak{g}^0, \mathfrak{k}] \subseteq \mathfrak{k}$ and

hence $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^0 \oplus \mathfrak{k}$ is an algebra. Finally

\mathfrak{k} is nilpotent, i.e. $\text{ad}(X)$ is nilpotent for each $X \in \mathfrak{k}$.

Lemma Let $\bar{\pi} = \theta\pi$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{ng} &= \bar{\pi} \oplus \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{n} \oplus \pi \\ &= \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{n} \oplus \pi \quad (\text{Iwasawa decomposition}) \end{aligned}$$

Proof: The first part is just the decomposition into eigenspaces. For the other one let $x \in \mathfrak{ng}$. Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \sum X_{-\alpha} + X_m + X_a + \sum X_{\alpha} \\ &= \sum (X_{-\alpha} + \theta X_{-\alpha}) + X_m + X_a + \sum (X_{\alpha} - \theta X_{\alpha}) \end{aligned}$$

We have $X_{-\alpha} + \theta X_{-\alpha} \in \mathfrak{k}$ and $\theta X_{-\alpha} \in \mathfrak{n}$. Hence $\mathfrak{ng} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{n} + \mathfrak{n}$. Assume that $x \in \mathfrak{k}$, $Y \in \mathfrak{n}$ and $Z \in \mathfrak{n}$ is such that $x + Y + Z = 0$. But $\text{ad}(x)$ is skew-symmetric, $\text{ad} Y$ is diagonal and $\text{ad} Z$ is uppertriangular. Hence $\text{ad}(x) = \text{ad}(Y) = \text{ad}(Z) = 0$. As ad is injective, it follows that $x = Y = Z = 0$. \square

We make the following:

Lemma Let $H \in \mathfrak{n}_{\text{reg}}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{ng}}(H) &= \{X \in \mathfrak{ng} \mid [H, X] = 0\} \\ &= \mathfrak{ng}^0 \end{aligned}$$

Proof: It is clear that $\mathfrak{ng}^0 \subseteq \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{ng}}(H)$. Let $X \in \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{ng}}(H)$

Write

$$X = \sum X_{\alpha} + X_0$$

with $X_0 \in \mathfrak{ng}^0$. Then

$$0 = [H, X] = \sum \alpha(H) X_{\alpha}$$

As the root vectors X_{α} are linearly independent, $\alpha(H) = 0$ it follows that $X = X_0$. \square