

Toward a spectral representation of a self-adjoint operator T in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} .

Fact If $z \notin \mathbb{R}$, then $\|(T-z)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Im}(z)|}$.

Proof For $v \in \mathcal{H}$, $\exists u \in \mathcal{D}(T)$ s.t. $(T-z)u = v$. Put $z = x + iy$.
We have seen that $\|(T-z)u\|^2 = \|(T-x)u\|^2 + y^2\|u\|^2$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus } \frac{\|(T-z)^{-1}v\|}{\|v\|} &= \frac{\|u\|}{\|(T-z)u\|} \leq \left(\frac{\|u\|^2}{\|(T-x)u\|^2 + y^2\|u\|^2} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\|u\|^2}{y^2\|u\|^2} \right)^{1/2} = \frac{1}{|y|}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Let us denote the resolvent of T by $R_z = (T-z)^{-1}$.

The resolvent identity: For $z_1, z_2 \notin \mathbb{R}$,

$$R_{z_1} - R_{z_2} = (z_1 - z_2)R_{z_1}R_{z_2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof: } (T-z_1)(R_{z_1} - R_{z_2})(T-z_2) &= (T-z_2) - (T-z_1) = z_1 - z_2 \\ \Rightarrow R_{z_1} - R_{z_2} &= (T-z_1)^{-1}(z_1 - z_2)(T-z_2)^{-1} = (z_1 - z_2)R_{z_1}R_{z_2} \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

By repeated use of the resolvent identity, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} R_{z_1} &= R_{z_2} + (z_1 - z_2)R_{z_1}R_{z_2} \\ &= R_{z_2} + (z_1 - z_2)R_{z_2}^2 + (z_1 - z_2)^2R_{z_1}R_{z_2}^2 \\ &= R_{z_2} + (z_1 - z_2)R_{z_2}^2 + (z_1 - z_2)^2R_{z_2}^3 + (z_1 - z_2)^3R_{z_1}R_{z_2}^3 = \dots \end{aligned}$$

R_z is analytic in H_+ and in $H_- = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im}(z) < 0\}$:

$$\frac{R_{z_1} - R_z}{z_1 - z} = R_z^2 + (z_1 - z) R_z R_z^2,$$

$$\| (z_1 - z) R_z R_z^2 \| \leq |z_1 - z| \|R_z\| \|R_z\|^2 \leq \frac{|z_1 - z|}{|\text{Re } z| |\text{Re } z|^2},$$

which tends to 0 as $z_1 \rightarrow z$.

So $\frac{d}{dz} R_z = R_z^2$ [Notice $\frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{t-z} = \frac{1}{(t-z)^2}$ for scalars t .]

This implies that, $\forall u \in \mathcal{H}$, $(R_z u, u)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, and

$(R_z u, u)$
analytic ✓

$$\frac{d}{dz} (R_z u, u) = (R_z^2 u, u).$$

In addition if $R_z v = v$, then

$$(R_z u, u) = (v, (\tau - z)v) = (v, (\tau - x)v) + iy(v, v). \text{ Thus}$$

$H_+ \rightarrow H_+$ ✓

$$\text{Im } z > 0 \Rightarrow \text{Im} (R_z u, u) > 0.$$

Also, for $y \neq 0$,

decays @ ∞ ✓

$$|y| |(R_z u, u)| \leq |y| \|R_z u\| \|u\| \leq |y| \frac{\|u\|}{|y|} \|u\| = \|u\|^2$$

Thus there exists an increasing function $\sigma(t; u)$ of bounded variation such that

! \rightarrow

$$(R_z u, u) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t - z} d\sigma(t; u).$$

$\sigma(t; u)$ is the spectral measure for (R_z, u) . We will take σ to be left continuous with $\sigma(-\infty; u) = 0$ to make it unique.

Fact $R_z^* = R_{\bar{z}} \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$.

Proof $\forall u, v \in \mathcal{H}$, $(R_z u, v) = (R_z u, (T - \bar{z}) R_{\bar{z}} v) = ((T - \bar{z}) R_z u, R_{\bar{z}} v) = (u, R_{\bar{z}} v)$. \square

Now suppose $y < 0$. Then $\forall u \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (R_z u, u) &= (u, R_{\bar{z}} u) = (R_{\bar{z}} u, u)^* = \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t - \bar{z}} d\sigma(t; u) \right)^* \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t - z} d\sigma(t; u) \end{aligned}$$

Thus the integral representation of $(R_z u, u)$ is valid for all $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$.

$$(*) \quad \text{Fact } \sigma(d\sigma; u) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\sigma(t; u) \leq (u, u)$$

Proof We have seen that $|y| |(R_{iy} u, u)| \leq (u, u)$, or

$$\left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{y}{t - iy} d\sigma(t; u) \right| \leq (u, u). \quad \text{The triangle inequality gives}$$

$$\left| \int_{-A}^A \frac{y}{t - iy} d\sigma(t; u) \right| \leq \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{y}{t - iy} d\sigma(t; u) \right| + \left| \int_{-\infty}^{-A} \frac{y}{t - iy} d\sigma(t; u) \right| + \left| \int_A^{\infty} \frac{y}{t - iy} d\sigma(t; u) \right|$$

$$\xrightarrow{y \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-A}^A d\sigma(t; u) \leq (u, u) + \left| \int_{-\infty}^{-A} d\sigma(t; u) \right| + \left| \int_A^{\infty} d\sigma(t; u) \right|$$

$$\xrightarrow{A \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\sigma(t; u) \leq (u, u).$$

We now use the "polarization identity" for sesquilinear forms $[u, v]$ to obtain an integral representation for $(R_z u, v)$.

A sesquilinear form $[u, v]$ is linear in one coordinate (say u) and $[u, v] = [v, u]^*$. (This implies $[u, \alpha v] = \alpha [u, v]$.)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Polarization: } \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^3 i^k [u + i^k v, u + i^k v] &= \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^3 i^k \left([u, i^k v] + [u, u] + [i^k v, u] + [i^k v, i^k u] \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^3 \left([u, v] + \underbrace{i^k [u, u] + i^{2k} [v, u] + i^k [v, u]}_{\text{Each vanishes over } \mathbb{Z}} \right) \\ &= [u, v]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(R_z u, v)$ is a sesquilinear form in \mathcal{H} , we put

$$\sigma(t; u, v) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^3 i^k \sigma(t; u + i^k v) \quad (\text{bdd var. on } \mathbb{R})$$

to obtain

$$\longrightarrow (R_z u, v) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t-z} \sigma(t; u, v) \, dt.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Observe that } \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t-z} d\sigma(t; v, u) &= (R_z v, u) = (v, R_{\bar{z}} u) \\ &= \overline{(R_{\bar{z}} u, v)} = \overline{\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t-\bar{z}} d\sigma(t; u, v) \right)^*} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t-z} d\overline{\sigma(t; u, v)}. \end{aligned}$$

Because of the uniqueness of $\sigma(t; u, v)$ (given left continuity and $\sigma(-\infty; u, v) = 0$), we find that

$$\sigma(t; v, u) = \overline{\sigma(t; u, v)}.$$

It is straightforward to show linearity in the first argument of $\sigma(t; \cdot, \cdot) \neq t$. So $\sigma(t; \cdot, \cdot)$ is a sesquilinear form for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, it is bounded because of (*) p. 25: $\sigma(t; u, u) \leq (u, u)$. This means there exist bounded operators $E_t: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ s.t. $\sigma(t; u, v) = (E_t u, v) \quad \forall u, v \in \mathcal{H}$.

Here are the details: $\forall \gamma \in \mathbb{C}, u, v \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \sigma(t; u + \gamma v, u + \gamma v) \\ &= \sigma(t; u, u) + \gamma \sigma(t; v, u) + \bar{\gamma} \sigma(t; u, v) + |\gamma|^2 \sigma(t; v, v) \end{aligned}$$

For $\gamma = \alpha$ ($\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$) and for $\gamma = i\beta$ ($\beta \in \mathbb{R}$), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(t; u, u) + 2\alpha \operatorname{Re} \sigma(t; u, v) + \alpha^2 \sigma(t; v, v) &\geq 0 \\ \sigma(t; u, u) + 2\beta \operatorname{Im} \sigma(t; u, v) + \beta^2 \sigma(t; v, v) &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since this holds $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $(\operatorname{Re} \sigma(t; u, v))^2 - \sigma(t; u, u) \sigma(t; v, v) \leq 0$ which implies

$$|\operatorname{Re} \sigma(t; u, v)| \leq \sigma(t; u, u)^{1/2} \sigma(t; v, v)^{1/2} \leq \|u\| \|v\|,$$

with an analogous inequality for $\operatorname{Im} \sigma(t; u, v)$.

Thus $|\sigma(t; u, v)| \leq \sqrt{2} \|u\| \|v\|$, so that $\sigma(t; u, \cdot): \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a bounded conjugate-linear functional on \mathcal{H} whose norm is $\leq \sqrt{2} \|u\|$. Thus the map

$$\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^* \quad \text{:: } u \mapsto \sigma(t; u, \cdot)$$

is bounded by $\sqrt{2}$ and linear. The Riesz theorem provides a bounded linear operator $E_t: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$\sigma(t; u, v) = (E_t u, v) \quad \forall u, v \in \mathcal{H}.$$

[We have $\|E_t\| \leq \sqrt{2}$, but in fact E_t is a projection, as we will see, so $\|E_t\| = 1$ unless $E_t = 0$.]

Since $\sigma(\infty; u, v) := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(t; u, v)$ is bounded by $\sqrt{2} \|u\| \|v\|$, there is a bounded linear operator $E_\infty: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ s.th.

$$\sigma(\infty; u, v) = (E_\infty u, v) \quad \forall u, v \in \mathcal{H}.$$

We obtain the following integral representation of the resolvent of T :

→
$$(R_z u, v) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t-z} d(E_t u, v)$$

The Riesz theorem asserts a Hilbert space isomorphism $\varphi: \mathcal{H}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ w/ $w^*(v) = (\varphi(w), v)$ $\forall w^* \in \mathcal{H}^* \neq 0$ $v \in \mathcal{H}$.

This shows that $E_s E_t = E_t E_s$.

Fact $\forall s, t \in \mathbb{R}$, E_t is an orthogonal projection and

$$E_s E_t = E_{\min\{s, t\}}, \quad \text{or} \quad E_s \leq E_t.$$

Also, $E_\infty = I$ (the identity operator on \mathcal{H}).

Proof To see that E_t is self-adjoint, $\forall u, v \in \mathcal{H}$ write

$$(E_t u, v) = \sigma(t; u, v) = \overline{\sigma(t; v, u)} = \overline{(E_t v, u)} = (u, E_t v).$$

Next, recall that $\frac{d}{dz} R_z = R_z^2$ and $R_z^* = R_{\bar{z}}$, so

$$\frac{d}{dz} (R_z u, v) = (R_z^2 u, v) = (R_z u, R_{\bar{z}} v) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t-z} d(E_t u, R_{\bar{z}} v)$$

$$\text{and } \frac{d}{dz} (R_z u, v) = \frac{d}{dz} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t-z} d(E_t u, v)$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(t-z)^2} d(E_t u, v) = \left(\frac{1}{t-z} \right)' d \left(\frac{1}{s-z} d(E_s u, v) \right).$$

By the uniqueness of the left-continuous spectral measures that vanish at $-\infty$, we obtain

$$\int_{-\infty}^t \frac{1}{s-z} d(E_s u, v) = (E_t u, R_{\bar{z}} v) = (R_z E_t u, v) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s-z} d(E_s E_t u, v).$$

Thus $(E_s u, v) = (E_s E_t u, v)$ for $s \leq t$ and

and $(E_t u, v) = (E_s E_t u, v)$ for $s \geq t$. This is because of the left continuity of $(E_t u, v)$ and because $d(E_s E_t u, v) = 0$ for $s \geq t \Rightarrow (E_s E_t u, v) = \text{const} = (E_t E_t u, v) = (E_t u, v)$ for $s \geq t$.

E_t is a projection because $E_t E_t = I$, and it is orthogonal because E_t is self adjoint. This is because $\text{Ran } E_t \perp \text{Null } E_t^* = \text{Null } E_t$.

For $s \leq t$, $E_s \leq E_t$ (ie $(E_s u, u) \leq (E_t u, u) \forall u \in \mathcal{H}$) because $(E_s u, u) = (E_t E_s E_t u, u) = (E_s E_t u, E_t u) \leq (E_t u, E_t u) = (E_t u, u)$.
 \uparrow b/c E_s is a projection.

To see that $E_\infty = I$, $\forall u, v \in \mathcal{H}$ write

$$\begin{aligned} (E_t E_\infty u, v) &= (E_\infty u, E_t v) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} (E_s u, E_t v) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} (E_t E_s u, v) \\ &= \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} (E_t u, v) = (E_t u, v), \end{aligned}$$

so $E_t E_\infty = E_t \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus

$$(R_z E_\infty u, v) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t-z} (E_t E_\infty u, v) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t-z} (E_t u, v) = (R_z u, v)$$

so that $R_z E_\infty u = R_z u \forall u \in \mathcal{H}$, and since R_z is injective, $E_\infty u = u \forall u \in \mathcal{H}$.

As an exercise, prove that $E_s \rightarrow E_t$ strongly as $s \rightarrow t^-$, that is, E_t is strongly left continuous.

This really means that $E_s \rightarrow E_t$ "pointwise" as $s \rightarrow t^-$:

$$E_s u \rightarrow E_t u \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow t^- \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Note that strong (ie. pointwise) convergence of operators $A_s \rightarrow A$ implies weak convergence ($(A_s u, v) \rightarrow (A u, v)$ as $s \rightarrow t \quad \forall u, v \in \mathcal{H}$) and is implied by operator-norm (ie. uniform on the unit ball) convergence ($\|A_s - A\| \rightarrow 0$).

The properties we have shown about the family $\{E_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ make it a resolution of the identity on \mathcal{H} :

$\forall s, t \in \mathbb{R}$

- | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| (i) $E_s E_t = E_{\min\{s, t\}}$ | } $\Rightarrow E_t$ is an orthogonal proj. and $E_s \leq E_t$ |
| (ii) E_t is self-adjoint | |
| (iii) E_t is strongly (ie. pointwise) left continuous | |
| (iv) $\begin{cases} E_{-\infty} := \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} E_t = 0 & \text{strongly} \\ E_{\infty} := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E_t = I & \text{strongly} \end{cases}$ | |

As an exercise, prove that E_t reduces R_z , that is, E_t projects R_z into $\text{Ran}(E_t)$. More precisely,

$$E_t R_z = R_z E_t \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R},$$

\rightarrow We write
$$R_z = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t-z} dE_t.$$

Now that we have a resolution of the identity associated to the resolvent of T , we will show that T is also represented by an integral with respect to the same resolution in a natural way:

$$(Tu, v) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t d(E_t u, v).$$

To prove this, first define an operator T_1 in \mathcal{H} by

$$\mathcal{D}(T_1) = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{H} : \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t d(E_t u, v) \text{ converges as an improper}$$

Stieltjes integral and is bounded by $C\|v\|$ for some constant C }

$\forall u \in \mathcal{D}(T_1)$, $T_1 u$ is the unique element of \mathcal{H} s.t.

$$(T_1 u, v) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t d(E_t u, v) \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{H}.$$

We write $T_1 u = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t dE_t u$, or simply $T_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t dE_t$.

We will prove that $T = T_1$.

It is also true (see Akhiezer and Glazman §63) that

$$\mathcal{D}(T) = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{H} : \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^2 d(E_t u, u) < \infty \right\}.$$

defn. of standard "diagonal" operator associated to $\{E_t\}$

To prove that $T = T_1$, it suffices to prove that

$$\begin{cases} (T_1 - z)R_z = I \\ R_z(T_1 - z) = I_{\mathcal{D}(T_1)} \end{cases}$$

The second shows that $\mathcal{D}(T_1) \subset \mathcal{D}(T)$ since $\mathcal{D}(T) = \text{Ran}(R_z)$.
The first shows that $\mathcal{D}(T) \subset \mathcal{D}(T_1)$ and that $T_1 - z = T - z$,
so that $T_1 = T$.

$(T_1 - z)R_z = I$ First we prove that $((T_1 - z)R_z u, v) = (u, v) \quad \forall u, v \in \mathcal{H}$.
This tacitly involves proving that $R_z u \in \mathcal{D}(T_1)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-A}^A (t-z) d(E_t R_z u, v) &= \int_{-A}^A (t-z) d(R_z u, E_t v) \\ &= \int_{-A}^A (t-z) d_t \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s-z} d_s (E_s u, E_t v) = \int_{-A}^A (t-z) d \int_{-\infty}^t \frac{1}{s-z} d(E_s u, v) \\ &= \int_{-A}^A \frac{t-z}{t-z} d \int_{-\infty}^t d(E_s u, v) = \int_{-A}^A d(E_s u, v) \xrightarrow{A \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d(E_s u, v) \\ &= (E_{\infty} u, v) = (u, v) \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (t-z) d(E_t R_z u, v)$ exists and is equal to (u, v) ,

that is, $R_z u \in \mathcal{D}(T_1)$ and $((T_1 - z)R_z u, v) = (u, v)$

$\forall u, v \in \mathcal{H}$, so $(T_1 - z)R_z = I$. \checkmark

Next we show that $\forall u \in \mathcal{D}(T), R_z(T-z)u = u$.

Assuming $u \in \mathcal{D}(T)$, we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (t-z) d(E_t u, v) = (T-z)u, v.$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_z(T-z) &= I_{\mathcal{D}(T)} \\ \downarrow \\ (R_z(T-z)u, v) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t-z} d(E_t (T-z)u, v) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t-z} d((T-z)u, E_t v) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t-z} d_t \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (s-z) d_s (E_s u, E_t v) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t-z} d \int_{-\infty}^t (s-z) d(E_s u, v) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{t-z}{t-z} d \int_{-\infty}^t d(E_s u, v) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d(E_t u, v) = (E_{\infty} u, v) = (u, v). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $R_z(T-z)u = u$. ✓

The characterization of $\mathcal{D}(T)$ by

$$\mathcal{D}(T) = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{H} : \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^2 d(E_t u, u) < \infty \right\}$$

is left as reading (Alkhweriz/Glazman §63) or an exercise.