

## Toward the mathematics of thick waveguides

We will need some background on compact operators and (limited) Sobolev-space theory. The stuff will be presented in the Hilbert-space context only.

Defn A linear operator  $T: \mathcal{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_2$  is compact if for each bounded sequence  $\{v_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  from  $\mathcal{H}_1$ , the sequence  $\{Tv_i\}$  has a convergent subsequence in  $\mathcal{H}_2$ .

[There are several insightful equivalent characterizations of compactness.]

Fact If  $T$  is compact, then  $T$  is bounded.

Pf If  $T$  is unbounded, then  $\exists$  a sequence  $\{v_i\}$  with  $\|v_i\| = 1$  and  $\|Tv_i\| \rightarrow \infty$ . Such a sequence  $\{Tv_i\}$  has no convergent subsequence even though  $\{v_i\}$  is bounded.

Fact If  $A: \mathcal{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_2$  and  $B: \mathcal{H}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_3$  are bounded linear operators and either  $A$  or  $B$  is compact, then  $BA$  is compact.

(Pf) In short, bounded operators preserve boundedness of sequences and convergence of sequences.

Fact If  $T: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is compact, then for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\sigma(T) \cap \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| > \varepsilon \}$  is a finite set of eigenvalues of  $T$ , each possessing a finite-dimensional eigenspace.

We will prove this for self-adjoint compact operators; and we will only make use of the fact in this case.

PF in self-adjoint case. Let  $\{E_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$  be the standard resolution of the identity  $I$  for  $T$ . Since  $T$  is bounded,  $\exists t_1$  and  $t_2$  s.t.  $E_{t_1} = 0$  and  $E_{t_2} = I$ . Thus it suffices to prove that  $E_b - E_a$  has finite-dimensional range whenever  $0 \notin [a, b]$ . Suppose, to the contrary, that  $E_b - E_a$  has infinite-dimensional range. Put  $c = \frac{a+b}{2}$ . Then either  $E_b - E_c$  or  $E_c - E_a$  has infinite-dimensional range. Recursively, one obtains a sequence of nested intervals  $[a_i, b_i] \supset [a_{i+1}, b_{i+1}]$  with  $|b_i - a_i| = \frac{b_i - a_i}{2^i}$ . Set  $\lambda_* = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} [a_i, b_i]$  such that  $E_{b_i} - E_{a_i}$  have infinite-dimensional range. One can construct recursively elements  $v_i \in \text{Ran}(E_{b_i} - E_{a_i})$  with  $(v_i, v_j) = \delta_{ij}$ . Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (+) \quad \| (T - \lambda_*) v_i \|^2 &= \int_{a_i}^{b_i} (\lambda - \lambda_*)^2 \sigma(\lambda; v_i) \leq |b_i - a_i|^2 \int_{a_i}^{b_i} \sigma(\lambda; v_i) \\
 &= |b_i - a_i|^2 \|v_i\|^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

The last equality holds because  $v_i \in \text{Ran}(E_{b_i} - E_{a_i})$ .

For  $i \neq j$ ,

$$Tv_i - Tv_j = \lambda_*(v_i - v_j) + (T - \lambda_*)v_i - (T - \lambda_*)v_j,$$

$$\text{so } \|Tv_i - Tv_j\| = \lambda_* \sqrt{2} \neq \delta_{ij}$$

with  $\delta_{ij} \rightarrow 0$  by (+) p. 57. Thus  $\{Tv_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  has no Cauchy subsequence. We conclude that the rank of  $E_\lambda - E_a$  is an increasing integer-valued function that is finite at  $\lambda = b$  and is therefore constant except for finite-rank jumps at a finite number of values  $\lambda_i \in [a, b]$ , which are eigenvalues of  $T$  with eigenspaces equal to  $E_{\lambda_i^+} - E_{\lambda_i^-}$ .  $\square$

### A little on the Sobolev spaces $H^1$ and $H_0^1$ .

Let  $\Omega$  be a domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with boundary  $\partial\Omega$ .

The Sobolev space  $H^1(\Omega) \subset L^2(\Omega)$  of weakly  $L^2$ -differentiable functions in  $\Omega$  can be defined as the domain of the adjoint of the divergence operator  $\nabla \cdot$  restricted to  $[C_c^\infty(\Omega)]^n$  ( $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$  is the space of infinitely differentiable functions with compact support contained in  $\Omega$ ). In other words,  $f \in H^1(\Omega)$  iff  $\exists F \in [L^2(\Omega)]^n$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} f \nabla \cdot \Phi = - \int_{\Omega} F \cdot \Phi \quad \forall \Phi \in [C_c^\infty(\Omega)]^n,$$

and we say that  $F = \nabla f$  is the weak gradient of  $f$ .  $H^1(\Omega)$  is endowed with the operator norm of  $\nabla$ :

$$\|f\|_{H^1}^2 = \|\nabla f\|_{L^2}^2 + \|f\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Since  $\nabla$  is the adjoint of an operator, its graph is closed, and thus  $H^1(\Omega)$  is complete in the graph, or operator, norm. It is a Hilbert space with inner product inherited from its graph:

$$(f, g)_{H^1} = (\nabla f, \nabla g)_{(L^2)^n} + (f, g)_{L^2}.$$

One usually defines  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  to be the closure of  $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$  in  $H^1(\Omega)$ . It is also characterized as the domain of the adjoint of the divergence operator  $\nabla \cdot$  restricted to  $[C^\infty(\Omega) \cap L^2(\Omega)]^n$ .

The interpretation of  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  is that it is the subspace of  $H^1(\Omega)$  of functions whose boundary values (trace) on  $\partial\Omega$  are zero:  $u \in H^1(\Omega) : u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ .

[There is a complete theory of boundary values of functions in Sobolev spaces, onto which we will not embark.]

Theorem If  $\Omega$  is bounded, then the embedding  $H_0^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega)$  is compact. If  $\partial\Omega$  is sufficiently smooth, the embedding  $H^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega)$  is compact (but we will not prove this).

[This theorem is a very special case of a vast set of compact embedding theorems for Sobolev spaces.]

The proof makes use of the spectral truncations  $v^R$  of a function  $v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for  $R > 0$ :

$$v^R = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[ \chi_{\{|\xi| \leq R\}} \mathcal{F}[v] \right].$$

First we prove two lemmas.

Lemma 1 If  $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $\|v - v^R\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{1}{R} \|v\|_{H^1}$ .

Proof  $R^2 \|v - v^R\|_{L^2}^2 = R^2 \int_{|\xi| > R} |\hat{v}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \leq \int_{|\xi| > R} |\xi \hat{v}(\xi)|^2 d\xi$   
 $\leq \int |\xi \hat{v}(\xi)|^2 d\xi = \int |\nabla v(x)|^2 dx \leq \|v\|_{H^1}^2.$

Lemma 2 If  $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $v^R \in C^\infty$  and there is a constant  $C_R$  such that

$$|v^R(x)| < C_R \|v\|_{L^2} \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla v^R(x)| < C_R \|v\|_{H^1}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Proof  $|v^R(x)| = \left| \int_{|\xi| < R} \hat{v}(\xi) e^{i\xi x} d\xi \right| \stackrel{\text{Hölder}}{\leq} C' \left[ \int_{|\xi| < R} |\hat{v}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right]^{1/2}$   
 $\leq C' \|v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$

$$|\nabla v^R(x)| = \left| \int_{|\xi| < R} i\xi \hat{v}(\xi) e^{i\xi x} d\xi \right| \leq C'' \left[ \int_{|\xi| < R} |\xi \hat{v}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right]^{1/2}$$

$$\leq C'' \|v\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

Proof of Theorem p. 60

Let  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  be a sequence from  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  with  $\|u_i\|_{H^1} \leq M < \infty$   $\forall i$ .  
 We will demonstrate the existence of a subsequence that converges in the  $L^2$ -norm. By its definition,  $H_0^1(\Omega) \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  since  $C_c^\infty(\Omega) \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . From Lemma 1,

$$(*) \quad \|u_i - u_i^R\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \frac{M}{R} \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \forall R > 0$$

We infer from Lemma 2 that  $\forall R > 0$ ,  $\{u_i^R\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is equicontinuous on  $\bar{\Omega}$ . Given a sequence  $R_k \rightarrow \infty$ , the Arzela-Ascoli Theorem and a diagonal argument produces a sequence  $\{i_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  such that  $\forall k$ ,  $u_{i_j}^{R_k}$  converges as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , say  $u_{i_j}^{R_k} \rightarrow u_k$ , uniformly in  $\Omega$  and  $i$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$ .  $\forall k$ ,  $\exists J$  s.t.  $j > J \Rightarrow \|u_{i_j}^{R_k} - u_k\|_{L^2(\Omega)} < \frac{M}{R}$ , and because of (\*),

$$\|u_{i_j} - u_{i_l}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \frac{4M}{R} \quad \forall j, l > J$$

Thus  $\{u_{i_j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is Cauchy in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . ▀

Let us return to the wave equation in a domain  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  :

$$\rho \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} U(x,t) = \nabla \cdot \tau \nabla U(x,t) \quad (x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n, t \in \mathbb{R})$$

Assuming a solution of the harmonic form

$$U(x,t) = u(x) e^{-i\omega t}$$

one obtains the Helmholtz equation for  $u(x)$  :

$$\nabla \cdot \tau \nabla u + \omega^2 \rho u = 0$$

To motivate the definition of solutions  $u$  with  $\tau$  and  $\rho$  merely assumed to be measurable, observe that if  $\partial\Omega$  is smooth and all functions in sight are smooth (enough), then the Helmholtz equation implies  $\forall v$  (smooth)

$$\begin{aligned} (+) \quad 0 &= \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \tau \nabla u + \omega^2 \rho u) \bar{v} \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} \tau \nabla u \cdot \nabla \bar{v} + \omega^2 \int_{\Omega} \rho u \bar{v} + \int_{\partial\Omega} (\tau \partial_n u) \bar{v} \end{aligned}$$

In this expression, two forms appear :

$$a(u,v) := \int_{\Omega} \tau \nabla u \cdot \nabla \bar{v} \quad ; \quad b(u,v) = \int_{\Omega} \rho u \bar{v}$$

Let us consider two "converses" of this.

(1) The homogeneous "Dirichlet" boundary-condition case. If

$$\int_{\Omega} \tau \nabla u \cdot \nabla v - \omega^2 \int_{\Omega} \rho u v = 0 \quad \forall v \in C_c^\infty(\Omega),$$

then (\*) implies that

$$\nabla \cdot \tau \nabla u + \omega^2 \rho u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

In this case, we let the forms  $a(u,v)$  and  $b(u,v)$  act in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ , and if for some  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,

$$a(u,v) - \omega^2 b(u,v) = 0 \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega),$$

w/ eigenvalue  $\omega^2$

we call  $u$  a Dirichlet eigenfunction of  $(\Omega, \tau, \rho)$  ( $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ ). It is important to observe that  $\tau$  and  $\rho$  are allowed to be merely measurable and bounded.

(2) The homogeneous "Neumann" condition. If

$$\int_{\Omega} \tau \nabla u \cdot \nabla v - \omega^2 \int_{\Omega} \rho u v = 0 \quad \forall v \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

then (\*) implies that

$$\nabla \cdot \tau \nabla u + \omega^2 \rho u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad \underbrace{\partial_n u = 0}_{\text{normal deriv.}} \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$



In this case, we let the forms  $a(u,v)$  and  $b(u,v)$  act in  $H^1(\Omega)$ , and if for some  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ ,

$$a(u,v) - \omega^2 b(u,v) = 0 \quad \forall v \in H^1(\Omega),$$

we call  $u$  a Neumann eigenfunction of  $(\Omega, \tau, p)$  ( $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial \Omega} = 0$ ) with eigenvalue  $\omega^2$ . Both  $\tau$  and  $p$  may be bounded measurable functions.

Let us now assume that  $\tau$  and  $p$  are bounded from above and below in  $\Omega$ :

$$\left. \begin{aligned} 0 < \tau_- \leq \tau(x) \leq \tau_+ < \infty \\ 0 < p_- \leq p(x) \leq p_+ < \infty \end{aligned} \right\} \forall x \in \Omega$$

The form

$$\hat{a}(u,v) = a(u,v) + b(u,v)$$

$H = H_0^1(\Omega)$  or  $H^1(\Omega)$  is sesquilinear in  $H^1(\Omega)$  and in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ . Denote by  $H$  either of these spaces. Observe that  $\exists c_{\pm} > 0$  s.t.

$$c_- \|u\|_H^2 \leq \tau_- \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + p_- \|u\|_{L^2}^2$$

$$\leq \hat{a}(u,u) = \int \tau |\nabla u|^2 + \int p |u|^2$$

$$\leq \tau_+ \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + p_+ \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq c_+ \|u\|_H^2.$$

This means that  $\sqrt{\tilde{a}(u,u)}$  is equivalent to the Sobolev norm on  $H$ .

Since  $\forall u \in H$ ,  $\tilde{a}(u, \cdot)$  acts as a <sup>conjugate</sup> linear functional on  $H$  and  $\forall v \in H$ ,

$$\tilde{a}(u,v) \leq \sqrt{\tilde{a}(u,u)} \sqrt{\tilde{a}(v,v)} \leq c_+ \|u\|_H \|v\|_H,$$

the Riesz Lemma provides a linear operator  $A: H \rightarrow H$  such that

Defn. of A

$$\tilde{a}(u,v) = (Au, v)_H \quad \forall u, v \in H,$$

with  $\|Au\|_H \leq c_+ \|u\|_H$ , so that  $A$  is bounded by  $c_+$  (as an operator). Also,

$$c_- \|u\|_H^2 \leq \tilde{a}(u,u) = (Au, u) \leq \|Au\|_H \|u\|_H,$$

which yields

$$c_- \|u\|_H \leq \|Au\|_H,$$

so that  $A^{-1}$  exists and is bounded by  $\frac{1}{c_-} < \infty$ .

Similarly, since  $b(u, \cdot)$  acts as a <sup>conj.</sup> linear functional on  $H$  and

$$(+) \quad |b(u,v)| = \left| \int g u \bar{v} \right| \leq \rho_+ |S u \bar{v}| \leq \rho_+ \|u\|_{L^2} \|v\|_{L^2} \leq \rho_+ \|u\|_{L^2} \|v\|_H,$$

there exists a linear operator  $B : H \rightarrow H$  such that

Defn of B

$$b(u,v) = (Bu,v)_H \quad \forall u,v \in H$$

B is the composition of three operators :

$$H \xrightarrow{\iota} L^2(\Omega) \xrightarrow{u \mapsto b(u,\cdot)} H^* \xrightarrow{\text{Riesz}} H.$$

The first map is the inclusion of  $H$  into  $L^2$ . We have proved that  $\iota$  is compact for  $H = H_0^1(\Omega)$ ; it is also compact for  $H = H^1(\Omega)$ . The second map is bounded, as shown by (†) p. 65. The third map is the Riesz isomorphism, and is thus bounded. Therefore  $B$  is compact.

The Dirichlet or Neumann eigenvalue problem

$$\nabla \cdot \tau \nabla u + \omega^2 g u = 0, \quad \begin{cases} u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 & (\text{Dirichlet}) \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 & (\text{Neumann}) \end{cases}$$

is posed in the weak sense by means of the forms  $a$  and  $b$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  or  $H^1(\Omega)$  or equivalently, in terms of the operators  $A$  and  $B$  as follows:

E-val problem

Seek  $u \in H$  such that  $a(u,v) + \omega^2 b(u,v) = 0 \quad \forall v \in H$ , or

$$\hat{a}(u,v) + (\omega^2 - 1)b(u,v) = 0 \quad \forall v \in H,$$

or, equivalently,  $Au + (\omega^2 - 1)Bu = 0$ , or

$$u + (\omega^2 - 1)A^{-1}Bu = 0.$$

Since  $A^{-1}$  is bounded and  $B$  is compact,  $A^{-1}B$  is compact. It is a simple matter to show that  $A$  and  $B$  are both self-adjoint and that therefore  $A^{-1}B$  is also self-adjoint.

Thus the spectrum of  $A^{-1}B$  consists of a sequence of eigenvalues  $\{\mu_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  that converges to 0. Indeed 0 is not an eigenvalue because  $A^{-1}$  is injective and  $(Bu, v) = 0 \quad \forall v \Rightarrow u = 0$ , which is readily checked from the definition of  $b$  and  $0 < f_- \leq f \leq f_+ < \infty$ . Let the associated eigenfunctions be  $\{u_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ . These form an orthonormal Hilbert-space basis for  $H$  by virtue of the spectral theorem.

Putting  $\omega_i^2 = 1 + \frac{1}{\mu_i}$ ,

we obtain  $a(u_i, v) + \omega_i^2 b(u_i, v) = 0 \quad \forall v \in H$ , and we can write (in the weak sense)

$$\nabla \cdot \tau \nabla u_i + \omega_i^2 f u_i = 0 \quad (\omega_i \rightarrow \infty)$$

with  $\int u_i = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$  (if  $H = H_0^1(\Omega)$ ),  
 $\int \partial_n u_i = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$  if  $H = H^1(\Omega)$ .