


# Soft Matter

rsc.li/soft-matter-journal



ISSN 1744-6848

**PAPER**

Dmitry Golovaty, Oleg D. Lavrentovich *et al.*  
Toroidal nuclei of columnar lyotropic chromonic liquid  
crystals coexisting with an isotropic phase



Cite this: *Soft Matter*, 2022, **18**, 7258

Received 30th May 2022,  
Accepted 26th July 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2sm00712f

rsc.li/soft-matter-journal

## Toroidal nuclei of columnar lyotropic chromonic liquid crystals coexisting with an isotropic phase†

Runa Koizumi,<sup>a</sup> Dmitry Golovaty,<sup>ib</sup>\*<sup>b</sup> Ali Alqarni,<sup>cd</sup> Shawn W. Walker,<sup>e</sup> Yuriy A. Nastishin,<sup>fg</sup> M. Carme Calderer<sup>h</sup> and Oleg D. Lavrentovich<sup>ib</sup>\*<sup>ij</sup>

Nuclei of ordered materials emerging from the isotropic state usually show a shape topologically equivalent to a sphere; the well-known examples are crystals and nematic liquid crystal droplets. In this work, we explore experimentally and theoretically the toroidal in shape nuclei of columnar lyotropic chromonic liquid crystals coexisting with the isotropic phase. The geometry of these toroids depends strongly on concentrations of the disodium cromoglycate (DSCG) and the crowding agent, polyethylene glycol (PEG). High concentrations of DSCG and PEG result in thick toroids with small central holes, while low concentrations yield thin toroids with wide holes. The multitude of the observed shapes is explained by the balance of bending elasticity and anisotropic interfacial tension.

### 1 Introduction

Surface tension defines the shapes of finite-size condensed matter. Tiny droplets of water in air are spherical to minimize their surface area, while solid crystals have facets due to the orientational dependence of surface tension. Bulk interactions are irrelevant here: too weak to resist surface tension in the first example or too strong to permit internal curvatures in the second example. Liquid crystals show a more delicate balance between the bulk and surface energies yielding rich morphology of droplet shapes, such as smectic “batonnets”,<sup>1</sup> nematic spindle-like tactoids,<sup>2</sup> branched<sup>3,4</sup> and dividing droplets.<sup>5</sup> Although liquid

crystal droplets are typically topologically equivalent to a sphere, here we explore toroidal droplets that form when a columnar liquid crystal with two-dimensional positional ordering coexists with its own isotropic melt.<sup>6</sup>

The studied material is a lyotropic chromonic liquid crystal (LCLC) formed by plank-like molecules of disodium cromoglycate (DSCG) with hydrophobic polyaromatic cores and hydrophilic peripheries.<sup>7–11</sup> When dispersed in water, the molecules form cylindrical aggregates by stacking face-to-face, Fig. S1 (ESI†). Chromonic self-assembly is common for a broad family of materials, including nucleotides, dyes, food colorants, proteins, and pharmaceuticals, such as anti-asthmatic and anti-allergy drug disodium cromoglycate (DSCG). At sufficiently high concentrations, the aggregates align parallel to each other forming a nematic (N) phase. At still higher concentrations, the aggregates arrange into a hexagonal lattice producing a columnar (Col) phase, Fig. S1 (ESI†).<sup>8,9</sup>

Phase transitions in LCLCs are controlled by both temperature and concentration. The isotropic (I)-Col phase transition exhibits a broad coexistence region in which Col nuclei are shaped as solid toroids<sup>6</sup> or spool-like structures in which the central hole shrinks into a singular line.<sup>12</sup> These shapes resemble condensed nanoscale toroids of DNA strands in viral capsids<sup>13–18</sup> albeit at much larger length scales of tens of micrometers<sup>6,12</sup> accessible to optical microscopy. The molecules within chromonic aggregates are bound by weak noncovalent forces so that chromonic aggregates can intersect, reconnect and exchange ends, avoiding entanglements known for DNA strands.

In this work, we demonstrate that the toroidal shape of Col nuclei in the biphasic Col + I region of water dispersions of DSCG depends strongly on the concentrations *c* of DSCG and *C* of a condensing agent polyethylene glycol (PEG). PEG partitions

<sup>a</sup> Advanced Materials and Liquid Crystal Institute, Materials Science Graduate Program, Kent State University, Kent, OH 44242, USA. E-mail: rkoizumi@kent.edu

<sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematics, The University of Akron, Akron, OH 44325-4002, USA. E-mail: dmitry@uakron.edu

<sup>c</sup> Advanced Materials and Liquid Crystal Institute, Department of Physics, Kent State University, Kent, OH 44242, USA

<sup>d</sup> Department of Physics, University of Bisha, Bisha, 67714, Saudi Arabia. E-mail: aalqarni@kent.edu

<sup>e</sup> Department of Mathematics, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803-4918, USA. E-mail: walker@lsu.edu

<sup>f</sup> Advanced Materials and Liquid Crystal Institute, Kent State University, Kent, OH 44242, USA

<sup>g</sup> Hetman Petro Sahaidachnyi National Army Academy, 32 Heroes of Maidan street, Lviv, 79012, Ukraine. E-mail: nastyshyn\_yuriy@yahoo.com

<sup>h</sup> School of Mathematics, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA. E-mail: calde014@umn.edu

<sup>i</sup> Advanced Materials and Liquid Crystal Institute, Materials Science Graduate Program, Kent State University, Kent, OH 44242, USA

<sup>j</sup> Department of Physics, Kent State University, Kent, Ohio 44242, USA. E-mail: olavrent@kent.edu

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d2sm00712f>

into the I phase and helps to condense the Col phase.<sup>6</sup> The increase of  $c$  and  $C$  yields larger toroids with narrow central holes and pronounced facets clearly revealed when the nuclei in the shape of handles are attached to the bounding glass plates. The observed shapes are explained by the balance of bend elasticity, defined by the bend modulus  $K_3$ , and the interfacial Col-I energy with surface tension  $\sigma_{\parallel}$ . A dimensionless parameter  $\beta = K_3/(\sigma_{\parallel}V^{1/3})$  measures the ratio of bending to interfacial energy and determines the shape of the cross-section of the toroidal aggregate. Orientational dependence of the interfacial tension on translations  $\mathbf{u}$  within the hexagonal lattice produces faceted toroids. The equilibrium toroid is thin with a wide central hole when  $\beta \gg 1$ ; for  $\beta \ll 1$ , the central hole shrinks dramatically, and the toroids resemble a faceted sphere. We first present the experimental data and then the mathematical model.


## 2 Experiment

### 2.1 Toroids in aqueous DSCG and DSCG + PEG solutions

The Col nuclei appear upon cooling from the I phase as thin flexible filaments that bend into toroids, Fig. S2 (ESI<sup>†</sup>), to

prevent the contact of open ends with the I phase, see ESI<sup>†</sup>. Their shape depends on the concentration  $c$  of DSCG, Fig. 1a and b and  $C$  of PEG, Fig. 1f and g. The nuclei are actually half-toroids with faceted cross-sections that are mostly attached to the bottom glass plate because of the homeotropic alignment of the director and gravity, Fig. 1c. In Fig. 1b and g, we plot the concentration dependencies of the maximum extension  $2R$  of half-toroids along the normal to the axis of bend, minimum width of the central opening  $2b$ , maximum width  $2a$  of the solid part of the toroid, maximum extension  $L$  of the toroid along the axis of bend, and the volume  $V$  of half-torus, plotted as  $V^{1/3}$ . All parameters, except for the hole width  $2b$ , increase with  $c$  and  $C$ . In pure DSCG, the hole width  $2b$  decreases from  $\approx 20 \mu\text{m}$  at  $c = 0.47 \text{ mol kg}^{-1}$  to  $\leq 1 \mu\text{m}$  at  $c = 0.8 \text{ mol kg}^{-1}$ , Fig. 1b. The ratio  $a/R$  increases from  $\sim 0.3$  to the maximum possible value 0.5, transforming the central hole into a singular +1 disclination coinciding with the axis of the toroid, Fig. 1a,  $c = 0.62$  and  $0.8 \text{ mol kg}^{-1}$ . In the Col phase, this disclination is topologically stable<sup>19</sup> and features a submicron core of a radius  $a_c$ .

In condensates with a fixed  $c = 0.34 \text{ mol kg}^{-1}$ , addition of PEG at concentration  $C > 0.011 \text{ mol kg}^{-1}$  causes phase separation into the Col and I phases, as confirmed by X-ray



**Fig. 1** (a) Optical microscopy textures of half-toroids at different concentrations of DSCG:  $c = 0.47, 0.51, 0.57, 0.60, 0.62, 0.80 \text{ mol kg}^{-1}$ , scale bars  $20 \mu\text{m}$ . (b) The ratio  $a/R$  (right axis, blue open square), maximum width  $2R$ , “thickness” of the toroidal cross-section  $2a$ , maximum opening  $2b$  of the central hole, and the measure of volume  $V^{1/3}$  as functions of  $c$ . Here  $T = 45 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ . (c) Scheme of the Col half-torus attached as a handle to the bottom glass substrate of the flat capillary; (d) shape characteristics measured in the experiment; (e) geometry of the toroid used in the analysis of the shape dependency on the material parameters. (f) Microscope images of half-toroids formed at different concentrations  $C$  of PEG added to DSCG of a fixed concentration  $c = 0.34 \text{ mol kg}^{-1}$ :  $C = 0.011, 0.012, 0.014, 0.015, 0.016, 0.019 \text{ mol kg}^{-1}$ . Scale bars  $20 \mu\text{m}$ . (g) The ratio  $a/R$  (right axis, blue open square), maximum width  $2R$ , “thickness” of the toroidal cross-section  $2a$ , maximum opening  $2b$  of the central hole, and the measure of volume  $V^{1/3}$ , all plotted as a function of  $C$ . Here,  $T = 42 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ .



measurements.<sup>20</sup> The Col inclusions are toroids with cross-sections resembling hexagons, Fig. 1f. A distinct feature of the DSCG + PEG system is that the central hole never shrinks into a disclination, as its smallest width  $2b \approx 10 \mu\text{m}$  is relatively large, Fig. 1g.

It is important to stress that the homeotropic anchoring of Col aggregates at the glass substrate is not the reason for the existence of the faceted toroids, as these could be observed being freely suspended in very thick slabs, Fig. 2a and b. Among the freely suspended shapes, one can also observe compact domains, Fig. 2c, with two mutually perpendicular disclinations of strength  $1/2$ , Fig. 2d. As suggested by Bouligand,<sup>21</sup> the existence of two  $1/2$  mutually perpendicular disclinations proves the hexagonal order (six-fold symmetry) of the Col phase; these crossed configurations were previously observed by Ostwald in the bulk of a thermotropic Col phase.<sup>22</sup> The six-fold symmetry is an important factor shaping the Col nuclei in the studied system, as detailed in the numerical analysis below. Since their orientation is fixed, half-toroids are easier to analyze than their full counterparts; this is why we present the shape parameters in Fig. 1 for half-toroids. Note finally that the homeotropic anchoring of LCLCs achieved in our study for the Col phase is not unique: a homeotropic alignment for the nematic phase of DSCG at a glass treated with *N,N*-dimethyl-*N*-octadecyl-3-aminopropyl trimethoxysilyl chloride (DMOAP) was reported by Nazarenko *et al.*<sup>23</sup> and Zhou *et al.*,<sup>24</sup> while Mushenheim *et al.*<sup>25</sup> reported the effect for graphene-coated glass plates.

## 2.2 Elasticity–surface tension balance for thin toroids

The toroidal shapes result from a balance of surface tension and bulk elasticity. To calculate the elastic energy of a toroid,




Fig. 2 (a) Optical microscopy texture and (b) inner structure of a freely suspended faceted toroid; (c) optical microscopy texture and (d) inner structure of a compact domain with two mutually perpendicular disclinations of strength  $1/2$ . Scale bars  $20 \mu\text{m}$ . Here,  $T = 50 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ ; DSCG,  $c = 0.55 \text{ mol kg}^{-1}$ .

we model it as a circular torus of a minor radius  $a$  and a major radius  $r$ , associated with the geometrical parameters in Fig. 1c as  $r = R - a$ , Fig. 1e. The elastic energy of half-torus is  $F_e = \pi^2 K_3 \left( r - \sqrt{r^2 - a^2} \right)$ , while the Col-I interfacial energy is  $F_{s\parallel} = 2\pi^2 \sigma_{\parallel} ar$ , where  $\sigma_{\parallel}$  is the surface tension coefficient for tangential alignment of Col aggregates at the interface, assumed to be independent on the orientation of the hexagonal lattice. To enable analytical results, we consider thin toroids,  $a \ll r$ , in which case the surface energy at the Col-glass interface is insignificant as compared to  $F_{s\parallel}$ .

For  $a \ll r$ , the energy of the toroid simplifies to  $F \approx \pi^2 K_3 r \xi / 2 + 2\pi^2 \sigma_{\parallel} r^2 \sqrt{\xi}$ , where  $\xi = \frac{V}{\pi^2 r^3} \ll 1$ . Minimizing  $F$  with respect to  $r$ , for a fixed volume  $V = \pi^2 a^2 r = \text{const}$ , one finds that  $r = \left( \frac{V}{\pi^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{5}} \lambda_{ec}^{\frac{2}{5}}$ , where we introduce the elastocapillary length  $\lambda_{ec} = \frac{K_3}{\sigma_{\parallel}}$ . The result leads to  $a = \left( \frac{V}{\pi^2} \right)^{\frac{2}{5}} \lambda_{ec}^{-\frac{1}{5}}$  and

$$\frac{a}{r} = \frac{1}{\pi^{2/5} \beta^{3/5}}, \quad (1)$$


where

$$\beta = \frac{\lambda_{ec}}{V^{1/3}} = \frac{K_3}{\sigma_{\parallel} V^{1/3}} \quad (2)$$

is a dimensionless ratio of the bulk bend energy to the surface energy normalized by the characteristic size of the nuclei.

Eqn (1) confirms the qualitative trends observed experimentally in Fig. 1a and f, despite the limitation  $a \ll r, R$  imposed on the model. The concentration dependencies of the geometrical parameters in Fig. 1b and g show that  $a/r$  increases with  $V$ , in agreement with eqn (1). The dependence of  $(a/r)^5$  vs.  $V^{1/3}$ , Fig. 3a, is practically linear, which suggests that within the explored range of concentrations, the elastocapillary length  $\lambda_{ec} = K_3/\sigma_{\parallel}$  does not change much, being on the order of tens of micrometers. For pure DSCG, least-square fitting yields  $\lambda_{ec} = (49 \pm 6) \mu\text{m}$ , while for DSCG + PEG mixtures,  $\lambda_{ec} = (35 \pm 10) \mu\text{m}$ . We estimated  $\sigma_{\parallel} \approx 10^{-6} \text{ J m}^{-2}$  for Col-I interface of a pure DSCG at  $c = 0.47 \text{ mol kg}^{-1}$  by a spinning droplet technique,<sup>26</sup> see the ESI.† With  $\sigma_{\parallel} \approx 10^{-6} \text{ J m}^{-2}$ , the fitted values of  $\lambda_{ec}$  suggest that  $K_3$  in the C phase is within the range of 20–60 pN, which is reasonable since the highest measured value of  $K_3$  in the N phase of DSCG is 50 pN.<sup>27</sup>

The dimensionless parameter  $\beta$  defined in eqn (2) and determined by the fitted  $\lambda_{ec}$  and experimental values of  $V$  decreases as  $c$  and  $C$  increase; in the case of the DSCG + PEG mixture,  $\beta \propto 1/C$ , while the dependency is steeper with respect to  $c$ , Fig. 3b. According to eqn (1), a smaller  $\beta$  means that  $a/r$  increases, which is intuitively clear. A smaller  $\lambda_{ec}$ ,  $K_3$  and  $\beta$  imply that the surface energy cost is high; thus, the central hole shrinks to make the toroid more round and to reduce the interfacial area; the corresponding  $a/r$  is large. Larger  $\lambda_{ec}$  and  $\beta$  mean a higher  $K_3$ ; the higher elastic cost of bend is relieved by expanding the central hole, *i.e.*, by reducing  $a/r$ .



**Fig. 3** Geometrical parameters of toroids in DSCG and DSCG + PEG mixtures. (a) Aspect ratio measure of toroids  $(a/r)^{5/3}$  is proportional to their characteristic size  $V^{1/3}$ ; (b) the dimensionless parameter  $\beta = K_3/(\sigma_{\parallel}V^{1/3})$  decreases when the concentrations  $c$  and  $C$  increase; the curves are guide to an eye, although in the case of DSCG + PEG, the curve is close to  $\beta \propto 1/C$ .

To summarize this section, the experiments uncover a rich morphology of Col toroids coexisting with the I phase. The shapes of toroids depend strongly on the concentration  $c$  of DSCG and concentration  $C$  of the crowding agent PEG and show the following trends.

(1) The cross-sections of the toroids are faceted because of the hexagonal packing of the chromonic aggregates and the anisotropy of the Col-I interfacial tension. The cross-section is not strictly hexagonal, with facets showing different lengths.

(2) The volume  $V$  and the ratio of the minor radius  $a$  to the major radius  $r$  of toroids increase strongly with  $c$  and  $C$ . The central hole is large at low  $c$  and  $C$ , but shrinks towards a singular line with a circular bend of columns at high concentrations.

(3) A model of thin toroids that (i) assumes the interfacial tension to be independent of the orientation of the hexagonal lattice and (ii) neglects the contact with glass substrates, predicts that the ratio  $a/r$  increases when  $V$  increases or the elastocapillary length  $\lambda_{ec} = K_3/\sigma_{\parallel}$  decreases. In liquid crystals, the elastic energy of director gradients  $K_3V^{1/3}$  scales with the linear size  $V^{1/3}$  of the system. Since the interfacial tension

energy scales with the surface area,  $\sigma_{\parallel}V^{2/3}$ , the elastic energy prevails for small nuclei at low concentrations, producing skinny tori with a large central core. The interfacial surface tension prevails for large nuclei and high concentrations, yielding shapes close to spherical with a shrunk central hole; these could not be described by a simple model with  $a \ll r$ .

In the next section, we introduce a mathematical model of toroids that for any  $a/r$  accounts for anisotropy of the I-Col interfacial tension, faceted shapes, and surface tension at the glass substrate.


## 3 Modeling and simulations

### 3.1 A model of toroidal columnar nuclei

Suppose that  $(\rho, \phi, z)$  are cylindrical coordinates in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and let

$$\omega \subset \mathbb{R}_+^2 := \{(\rho, z) \in \mathbb{R}^2; \rho > 0\}$$

be a simply-connected domain in the right half of the  $\rho z$  plane. Let the Col nucleus  $\Omega$  be axially symmetric (Fig. 4) and obtained



**Fig. 4** Geometry of the problem: (a) the toroidal Col nucleus  $\Omega$ ; (b) cross-section of  $\Omega$  by a vertical plane gives a two-dimensional domain  $\omega$  with the boundary  $\partial\omega$ .

by rotating  $\omega$  around the  $z$ -axis,

$$\Omega := \{(\rho, \phi, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : (\rho, z) \in \omega, \phi \in [0, 2\pi)\}.$$

The Col nucleus is composed of circularly bent ‘‘columns’’ centered on and lying in planes perpendicular to the  $z$ -axis. Each cross-section of the nucleus by a plane that contains the  $z$ -axis reveals a triangular lattice of points corresponding to the cross-sections of the columns; we assume that this lattice is fixed with one of the corresponding two-dimensional lattice vectors being parallel to the  $z$ -axis. The deformation of the chromonic columns is therefore limited to bending. The bending energy of a given column is proportional to the square of the column curvature so that

$$E_b := \frac{K_3}{2} \int_{\Omega} \rho^{-2} dV = \pi K_3 \int_{\omega} \rho^{-1} dA, \quad (3)$$

where  $dV = \rho d\rho dz d\phi$  and  $dA = d\rho dz$ .

The Col-I interfacial tension depends on the orientation of the columnar lattice vectors with respect to the surface normal. Because of the rotational invariance, the surface energy density can only depend on the relative angle between one of the lattice vectors and the surface normal. The outward normal to  $\partial\Omega$  at a given point coincides with a normal at the same point to an appropriately rotated  $\partial\omega$ . It follows that the surface energy density is a function  $\sigma: \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of  $\nu$ , where  $\nu$  is normal to both  $\partial\Omega$  and  $\partial\omega$ . The surface energy of the columnar chromonic nucleus is then given by

$$E_s := \int_{\partial\Omega} \sigma(\nu) dS = 2\pi \int_{\partial\omega} \sigma(\nu) \rho ds. \quad (4)$$

We seek the optimal shape of Col nuclei that minimizes the total energy functional

$$E[\omega] := E_b + E_s = \pi K_3 \int_{\omega} \rho^{-1} dA + 2\pi \int_{\partial\omega} \sigma(\nu) \rho ds, \quad (5)$$

among  $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}_+^2$  that satisfy a fixed volume constraint  $\text{Vol}(\Omega) = V > 0$  or

$$V = 2\pi \int_{\omega} \rho dA. \quad (6)$$

We nondimensionalize the problem,

$$\tilde{\rho} = \frac{\rho}{V^{1/3}}, \tilde{z} = \frac{z}{V^{1/3}}, \tilde{s} = \frac{s}{V^{1/3}}, \tilde{\sigma} = \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{\parallel}}, \tilde{E} = \frac{E}{\pi\sigma_{\parallel}V^{2/3}},$$

where  $\sigma_{\parallel} > 0$  is a reference surface energy density. Then, dropping tildes and using the same symbols for the rescaled domain  $\omega$ , we have

$$E[\omega] = \beta \int_{\omega} \rho^{-1} dA + 2 \int_{\partial\omega} \sigma(\nu) \rho ds - 4\lambda \int_{\omega} \rho dA, \quad (7)$$

where the nondimensional  $\beta$  describes the relative contribution of the bulk bending and surface energies and  $\lambda$  is the Lagrange multiplier corresponding to the constraint (6).

Now suppose that the boundary curve

$$\partial\omega = \{\mathbf{r}(s) = (\rho(s), z(s)) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 : 0 \leq s < L, \mathbf{r}(L) = \mathbf{r}(0), \mathbf{r}'(L) = \mathbf{r}'(0)\}$$

is positively oriented and parametrized with respect to the arc length  $s$ , where  $L > 0$ . Suppose further that  $\mathbf{r}$  is smooth everywhere except for some  $s = c$  where  $\theta$  experiences a jump  $[\theta]_{s=c} := \theta_+(c) - \theta_-(c) = \lim_{x \rightarrow c^+} \theta(x) - \lim_{x \rightarrow c^-} \theta(x)$ . Here, we define the orthonormal frame  $(\tau(s), \nu(s))$  as

$$\tau(s) = \mathbf{r}'(s) = (\cos \theta(s), \sin \theta(s)), \quad (8)$$

$$\nu(s) = \mathbf{r}'_{\perp}(s) = (\sin \theta(s), -\cos \theta(s)), \quad (9)$$

where  $\theta(s)$  is the angle between the tangent to the curve and the positive direction of the  $\rho$ -axis for all  $s \in [0, L]$  and  $\mathbf{a}_p = (a_2, -a_1)$  for every  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2)$ . Consider a general smooth variation  $\delta$  of  $\mathbf{r}$ , then the same procedure as presented in the ESI,<sup>†</sup> for smooth curves result in the following weak form of the Euler–Lagrange equation

$$\int_0^L \left\{ \sigma(\nu) \mathbf{e}_{\rho} \cdot \delta \mathbf{r} + \rho [\sigma(\nu) \tau - (\nabla \sigma(\nu) \cdot \tau) \nu] \cdot \delta \mathbf{r}' \right\} ds + \beta \int_0^L \rho^{-1} \nu \cdot \delta \mathbf{r} ds - 2\lambda \int_0^L \rho \nu \cdot \delta \mathbf{r} ds = 0. \quad (10)$$

Standard arguments involving integration by parts, the strong form of the Euler–Lagrange equation, and continuity of the variation  $\delta \mathbf{r}$  give the appropriate jump condition

$$[\sigma(\nu) \tau - (\nabla \sigma(\nu) \cdot \tau) \nu]_{s=c} = 0, \quad (11)$$

or

$$[\gamma \tau - \gamma_{\theta} \nu]_{s=c} = 0, \quad (12)$$

when the condition is written in terms of

$$\gamma(\theta) := \sigma(\sin \theta, -\cos \theta).$$

Up to the definition of the orthonormal frame and the weight  $\rho$ , this corresponds to a standard condition of continuity of the capillary force

$$\mathbf{C}(\theta) = \rho(\gamma(\theta) \tau(\theta) - \gamma_{\theta}(\theta) \nu(\theta)) \quad (13)$$

across a corner.<sup>28</sup>

To gain some insight into (12), we follow the exposition in ref. 28 reviewed in ESI.<sup>†</sup> Let  $\mathbf{g}(\theta) = \gamma(\theta)^{-1} \nu(\theta)$  be a Frank potential associated with the surface energy density  $\gamma$ . The polar plot of  $\mathbf{g}$  is the so-called Frank diagram.<sup>29</sup> The capillary force

$$\mathbf{g}_{\theta}(\theta) = \frac{1}{\gamma^2(\theta)} \mathbf{C}(\theta),$$

is tangent to the Frank diagram. As demonstrated in ref. 28, a range of angles  $[\theta_-, \theta_+]$  corresponds to a corner if and only if the points  $\mathbf{g}(\theta_-)$  and  $\mathbf{g}(\theta_+)$  on the Frank diagram share a common tangent line (a Maxwell line).

The full problem for the unknown  $(\rho, \theta, z, L)$  satisfied by the energy-minimizing curve is given by the system of ODEs

$$\begin{cases} \rho(\gamma_{\theta\theta} + \gamma)\theta' + \gamma \sin \theta + \gamma_{\theta} \cos \theta + \frac{\beta}{\rho} - 2\lambda \rho = 0, \\ \rho' = \cos \theta, \\ z' = \sin \theta, \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

subject to the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(L) = \theta(0) + 2\pi, \quad \theta'(L) = \theta'(0), \\ \int_0^L \cos \theta \, ds = \int_0^L \sin \theta \, ds = 0, \quad 2\pi \int_{\omega} \rho \, dA = 1, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

as described in the ESI.†

In addition to considering full toroidal configurations, we also explore half-toroids attached to a glass substrate by considering the modified energy over  $\omega$ , where

$$E_h[\omega] = \frac{\pi K_3}{2} \int_{\omega} \rho^{-1} \, dA + 2\sigma_g \int_{\omega} dA + \pi \int_{\partial\omega} \sigma(\mathbf{v}) \rho \, ds, \quad (16)$$

among all  $\omega$  for which the corresponding toroid  $\Omega$  has a prescribed volume. Here,  $\sigma_g$  is the surface energy density of a chromionics/glass interface. With a slight abuse of notation, the nondimensional expression for  $E_h$  is given by

$$E_h[\omega] = \frac{\beta}{2} \int_{\omega} \rho^{-1} \, dA + \frac{2\chi}{\pi} \int_{\omega} dA + \int_{\partial\omega} \sigma(\mathbf{v}) \rho \, ds, \quad (17)$$

with the nondimensional parameter  $\chi$  defined as

$$\chi = \frac{\sigma_g}{\sigma_{\parallel}}.$$

The system of Euler–Lagrange ODEs for (17) is similar to (14).


Next, we discuss the behavior of solutions of (14) and (15). We use simulations to find stationary points of (7) *via* a gradient flow for this energy. In order to be able to simulate curves with corners, we use the standard regularization technique by adding a curvature-penalizing term to (7). The numerical scheme is described in the Materials and methods section.

### 3.2 Numerical results

All simulations in this section were done using FELICITY.<sup>30</sup> We first simulate the shapes of toroids for the anisotropic surface tension

$$\sigma(\mathbf{v}) = \sigma_{\parallel} + \sigma_{\parallel}^a \sin^2(3\theta),$$

where the relationship between  $\nu$  and  $\theta$  is given by (9). This expression can be rewritten in a nondimensional form



**Fig. 5** Numerical simulations of the toroidal shapes for the anisotropic interfacial energy  $\gamma(\theta) = 1 + \gamma_1 \sin^2(3\theta)$  with  $\gamma_1 = 0.2$  (a–f) and  $\gamma_1 = -0.2$  (g–i); (a) Frank diagram; (b) Wulff plot; (c) Wulff construction; (d–f) cross-sections of toroids minimizing the sum of the elastic and interfacial energy for  $\gamma_1 = 0.2$  where (d)  $\beta = 1$ , (e)  $\beta = 0.1$ , and (f)  $\beta = 0.01$ ; (g–i) the same as in (d–f), but  $\gamma_1 = -0.2$ . In these simulations, the regularization parameter  $\epsilon = 5 \times 10^{-4}$  (see Section 5.2).

$$\gamma(\theta) = 1 + \gamma_1 \sin^2(3\theta) \quad (18)$$

where  $\gamma_1 = \sigma_{\parallel}^a / \sigma_{\parallel}$ . Fig. 5a–c show the Frank diagram, Wulff plot, and Wulff construction, respectively, corresponding to (18) with  $\gamma_1 = 0.2$  in the absence of bend elasticity. Note that the Frank diagram is not convex, and six Maxwell lines indicate that the equilibrium shape must have six facets and six corners. The Wulff construction in Fig. 5c produces a shape that is close to the one obtained by accounting for both the surface tension and the bend elasticity, when  $\beta$  is relatively large. For large  $\beta$ , the major radius  $r$  of the torus is large while its minor radius  $a$  is small in order to accommodate significant bending energy and the volume constraint. Because  $a/r \ll 1$ , the variation of  $\rho$  across the cross-section is smaller than  $\rho$  itself so that  $\rho$  is essentially constant. The shape of the cross-section in Fig. 5d is close to that obtained *via* the Wulff construction in Fig. 5c; however, the corners in the hexagon are rounded due to regularization employed in the gradient flow simulations. On the other hand, decreasing  $K_3$  and  $\beta$  or increasing  $\sigma_{\parallel}$  and volume shrinks a wide “donut hole” into a narrow central core (Fig. 5e and f). We also observe that the corners and facets facing the  $z$ -axis become more rounded with decreasing  $\beta$  and the part of the curve closest to the  $z$ -axis transforms into a large facet.

Changing the sign of  $\gamma_1$  by setting  $\gamma_1 = -0.2$  in (18) corresponds to rotating the plots in Fig. 5a–c by  $\pi/3$ , so that a facet faces the  $z$ -axis instead of a corner. Upon decreasing  $\beta$  and  $K_3$  or increasing  $S\sigma_{\parallel}$ , in Fig. 5g–i, this facet expands and approaches progressively closer to the  $z$ -axis.

Decreasing  $|\gamma_1|$  produces a convex Frank diagram and less faceted shapes, Fig. S11–S14 (ESI<sup>†</sup>).

Fig. 6 demonstrates how the shape of *half-toroids* is influenced by the surface tension of the chromonic/substrate interface. Here, we use gradient flow for the energy (22) to obtain equilibrium shapes. The interfacial I-Col energy for the director being normal to the I-Col interface is estimated (see the ESI<sup>†</sup>) to be larger than  $10^{-4}$  N m<sup>-1</sup>. Thus, the dimensional glass-Col anchoring energy  $\sigma_{\parallel}\chi$  must be lower than this number; a good




Fig. 6 Cross-sections of the energy-minimizing half-toroids for the anisotropic surface energy  $\gamma(\theta) = 1 + \gamma_1 \sin^2(3\theta)$  with  $\gamma_1 = 0.2$ ,  $\beta = 0.06$  and  $\varepsilon = 10^{-3}$ .

starting estimate is  $10^{-6}$  N m<sup>-1</sup>, *i.e.*,  $|\chi|$  is on the order of 1. The qualitative argument in the ESI<sup>†</sup> shows that  $\chi$  might be either positive or negative. As  $\chi$  increases, the principal radius of the toroid increases, while it becomes more “skinny,” Fig. 6.

## 4 Discussion and conclusions

The numerical model above captures well the faceted shapes of toroids. The sign of  $\gamma_1$  defines qualitatively different shapes:  $\gamma_1 < 0$  yields an outermost facet parallel to the axis of the nucleus, Fig. 5g–i, while  $\gamma_1 > 0$  corresponds to two outer facets separated by a corner, Fig. 5d–f. In the experiment, pure DSCG shows a prevalence to form outermost facets parallel to the axis of the nucleus, Fig. 1a, while DSCG + PEG shows facets separated by a corner, Fig. 1f. The prevalence is not absolute, as in each case, the preferred shapes are observed with a probability of about 70%. It shows that both  $\gamma_1 < 0$  and  $\gamma_1 > 0$  correspond to the minima of the surface tension with only a small difference in the depth. We selected  $\gamma_1 = -0.2$  for pure DSCG and  $\gamma_1 = 0.2$  for DSCG + PEG as the best match with the facets observed experimentally.

Numerical simulations find the dimensionless shape parameters  $a/V^{1/3}$ ,  $r/V^{1/3}$ ,  $R/V^{1/3}$ ,  $b/V^{1/3}$ , and  $L/V^{1/3}$  as functions of  $\beta$  for fixed  $\gamma_1$  and  $\chi$  and the concentrations  $c$  and  $C$ . The numerical dependencies are compared to the experimental data to extract the matching  $\beta$ . These values are used to calculate the elastocapillary length  $\lambda_{ec} = K_3/\sigma_{\parallel} = \beta V^{1/3}$  and to plot it as a function of  $c$ , Fig. 7a, and  $C$ , Fig. 7. Different shape parameters produce somewhat different values of  $\beta$  and  $\lambda_{ec}$ . In some cases,  $\beta$  could not be determined because the simulated shape parameters are outside of the range of positive-definite  $\beta$ . For this reason,  $a/V^{1/3}$  data is not included in Fig. 7a. The scatter of data is natural since the experimental textures show a range of shapes even at the fixed  $c$  and  $C$ . The closest agreement of the experimental and numerical dependencies  $\lambda_{ec}(c, C)$  is chosen to estimate  $\gamma_1$  and  $\chi$ . For DSCG, the closest correspondence is found for  $\chi = -2.5$  and  $\gamma_1 = -0.2$  as shown in Fig. 7. A departure from these values causes a disagreement between the simulations and the experiment; an example for  $\chi = 1.0$  and  $\gamma_1 = -0.2$  is illustrated in the ESI<sup>†</sup> Fig. S4a. For DSCG + PEG, the simulation matches the experiments best (Fig. 7b) when  $\chi = 1.1$  and  $\gamma_1 = 0.2$ . When  $\chi$  departs from this value, the discrepancy grows, see the ESI<sup>†</sup> Fig. S4b for  $\chi = -4.5$  and  $\gamma_1 = 0.2$ . The values  $\chi = -2.5$  and 1.1 are within the expected range, as discussed in the S2, see Fig. S3 (ESI<sup>†</sup>).

In conclusion, we described the unusual faceted toroidal shapes of the columnar nuclei coexisting with the isotropic phase of a lyotropic chromonic liquid crystal. The shapes are reminiscent of the DNA condensates but occur at a much larger scale, suitable for optical microscopy observation. Experiments show that the toroidal shapes depend strongly on the concentration of the chromonic molecules in the aqueous solutions. The theoretical and numerical analysis demonstrates that the faceted shapes result from the anisotropy of the interfacial tension, associated with different orientations of the hexagonal






Fig. 7 Comparison of simulated  $\beta V^{1/3}$  to the experimentally obtained values for (a) pure DSCG and (b) DSCG + PEG. The best match between the simulations and the experimental results is achieved when  $\chi = -2.5$  and  $\gamma_1 = -0.2$  for pure DSCG and  $\chi = 1.1$  and  $\gamma_1 = 0.2$  for DSCG + PEG.

lattice at the interface. The facets are not equal in length, as the ones closer to the center of the toroids tend to be elongated more than the facets farther away from the center. Numerical simulations demonstrate that the bending elasticity of the columns is the primary cause of this behavior. The balance of bending energy with the elastic constant  $K_3$  and anisotropic interfacial energy  $\sigma_{\parallel}$ , expressed as the elastocapillary length  $\lambda_{ec} = K_3/\sigma_{\parallel}$  controls the width of the central opening of the toroids, which in the experiments varies from tens of micrometers to submicrometers. Larger openings and skinny toroids, observed at low concentrations of the chromonic molecules and crowding agent, are facilitated by a smaller interfacial tension  $\sigma_{\parallel}$ , a smaller volume of the nuclei, and a larger bend constant  $K_3$ , while the opposite behavior with toroids approaching faceted spheres, is observed at high concentrations. Although the experiments were performed for half-toroids attached to the glass plates of the cells, the general trends are expected to be the same for full toroids.

## 5 Materials and methods

### 5.1 Experiments

Disodium cromoglycate (DSCG), Fig. S1 (ESI<sup>†</sup>), with a purity of 98% was purchased from Alfa Aesar and used without further purification. De-ionized water with resistivity  $\geq 18.0$  M $\Omega$  cm was used to prepare the aqueous solutions of DSCG. At 25 °C, a homogeneous nematic (N) phase appears when the concentration of DSCG,  $c$ , is in the range of  $0.3 \leq c \leq 0.65$  mol kg<sup>-1</sup> ( $13.5 \leq c \leq 25$  wt%). In the range  $0.65 \leq c \leq 0.70$  mol kg<sup>-1</sup> ( $25 \leq c \leq 26.5$  wt%), the nematic + columnar (N + Col) coexistence region appears, and at  $c > 0.70$  mol kg<sup>-1</sup>, the solution is in the homogeneous columnar (Col) phase.<sup>31</sup> At  $T = 45$  °C, the solution remains in the I phase when  $c \leq 0.40$  mol kg<sup>-1</sup> ( $\leq 17$ wt%); above this concentration, the Col and I phases coexist. The liquid crystalline regions exhibit a higher DSCG concentration compared to the overall concentration in the entire sample.<sup>6,20,32,33</sup> Fig. S1 (ESI<sup>†</sup>) schematizes the molecular arrangements within the aggregates and their mutual alignments in the I, N, and Col phases.

The addition of the crowding agent PEG to aqueous solutions of DSCG expands the temperature range of the biphasic

regions and condenses Col nuclei, Fig. S2 (ESI<sup>†</sup>). For example, an isotropic DSCG solution with  $c = 0.34$  mol kg<sup>-1</sup> (15 wt%) at 45 °C transforms into a biphasic I + Col state when PEG is added at the concentrations  $C = (0.03 - 0.07)$  mol kg<sup>-1</sup>.<sup>6,20</sup> We use PEG with a molecular weight of 3.35 kg mol<sup>-1</sup> (Sigma Aldrich). The gyration diameter of the PEG molecules  $2r_g \approx 4.4$  nm is larger than the inter-columnar separation  $\approx (1.9-3.2)$  nm in the columnar phase of DSCG.<sup>6,9</sup> The PEG molecules are thus expelled from the liquid crystalline regions and partitioned into the isotropic regions.<sup>6</sup>

The facets of toroids are best observed when the axis of rotational symmetry is in the plane of the view. A tangential alignment of the director  $\hat{n}$  at bounding plates does not allow one to observe the faceted structures clearly. Because of this, we use clean glass rectangular capillaries (purchased from Vitro-Com) that yield a homeotropic alignment after cleaning in an ultrasonic bath for 15 minutes at 60 °C followed by an isopropanol rinse and drying in an oven for 10 min at 80 °C. The capillaries are 0.2 mm thick and 4.0 mm wide. After filling with the LC, the two ends of the capillary are sealed with epoxy glue to prevent evaporation of water. The samples are placed inside a hot stage (Linkam Model PE94) and observed using an optical polarizing microscope (Nikon Optiphot 2 POL) in the transmission mode with parallel polarizers. The materials are cooled down from the homogeneous I phase to the biphasic I + Col region at a rate of 0.1 °C min<sup>-1</sup> and then the temperature of the sample is fixed, either at 45 °C (DSCG samples) or at 42 °C (DSCG + PEG). The Col nuclei form half-toroidal handles, Fig. 1 and Fig. S2 (ESI<sup>†</sup>), attached to the bottom glass plate of the capillary as shown in Fig. 1c since their mass density is higher than that of the I phase.<sup>6</sup> The Col nuclei were explored 20 min after the cooling stopped and the temperature fixed, to ensure that they have reached their stationary state.

### 5.2 Numerical method

**5.2.1 Computing energy minimizers via gradient flow for the regularized energy.** We seek to minimize the energy  $E[\omega] = 2\pi J[\omega]$  over  $\omega$  where

$$aJ[\omega] = \frac{\beta}{2} \int_{\omega} \rho^{-1} dA + \int_{\partial\omega} \sigma(\mathbf{v}) \rho ds + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\partial\omega} \kappa^2 ds, \quad (19)$$

subject to the constraint that the volume is fixed; note that  $\varepsilon \geq 0$  is a regularization parameter. The associated Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L}[\omega, \lambda] = J[\omega] + \lambda \left( C_v - \int_{\omega} \rho \, dA \right), \quad (20)$$

where  $C_v > 0$  is the desired volume (without the factor of  $2\pi$ ).

Note that one can rewrite (20) entirely in terms of  $\Gamma := \partial\omega$ . By Gauss' divergence theorem (in the plane), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\omega} \rho^{-1} \, dA &= \int_{\Gamma} (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{e}_1) \log \rho \, ds, \\ \int_{\omega} \rho \, dA &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{e}_1) \rho^2 \, ds. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Therefore,  $J[\omega] \equiv J[\Gamma]$  and  $[\omega, \lambda] \equiv [\Gamma, \lambda]$ .

For the energy of half-toroids introduced in (17), the associated relaxed energy can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} J_h[\omega] &= \frac{\beta}{2} \int_{\omega} \rho^{-1} \, dA + \frac{2\chi}{\pi} \int_{\omega} dA + \int_{\partial\omega} \sigma(\mathbf{v}) \rho \, ds \\ &\quad + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\partial\omega} \kappa^2 \, ds, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

with the Lagrangian given by

$$\mathcal{L}_h[\omega, \lambda] = J_h[\omega] + \lambda \left( C_v - \int_{\omega} \rho \, dA \right), \quad (23)$$

where  $C_v > 0$  is the desired volume (without the factor of  $2\pi$ ). One can still rewrite (23) entirely in terms of  $\Gamma := \partial\omega$  because

$$\int_{\omega} dA = \int_{\Gamma} (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{e}_1) \rho \, ds. \quad (24)$$

Therefore,  $J_h[\omega] \equiv J[\Gamma]$  and  $\mathcal{L}_h[\omega, \lambda] \equiv \mathcal{L}_h[\Gamma, \lambda]$ .

**5.2.2 Gradient Flow.** Since the first-order conditions for a critical point of (20) are non-linear, we use a gradient flow strategy to find the minimizer. Suppose  $\mathbf{X}$  is a parameterization of  $\Gamma$  that depends on a pseudo-time variable  $t$ . In other words,  $\Gamma(t)$  is time-varying and is parameterized (instantaneously) by  $\mathbf{X}(\cdot, t)$ . Hence, our goal is to create a “velocity”  $\mathbf{V} = \partial_t \mathbf{X}$  so that the energy  $J[\Gamma(t)]$  is monotonically decreasing and  $\partial_t \int_{\omega(t)} \rho \, dA = 0$  (volume is preserved).

We achieve this energy decrease by a gradient flow, *i.e.* we define  $\mathbf{V}$  to be minus the “shape gradient” of (20) with a (time-varying) Lagrange multiplier that enforces volume conservation. The next section describes this more specifically.

**5.2.3 Weak formulation.** We assume that, for each  $t$ ,  $\mathbf{V}(t)$  is defined on  $\Gamma(t)$  and lies in a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(t)$ . Let  $\langle \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{Y} \rangle$  be an inner product on  $\mathcal{H}$  for any functions  $\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{Y} \in \mathcal{H}$ . With this, we define the gradient flow weakly, *i.e.*  $\mathbf{V}(t)$  solves (for each  $t$ )

$$\langle \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{Y} \rangle = -\delta_{\Gamma} \mathcal{L}[\Gamma(t), \lambda(t); \mathbf{Y}], \quad (25)$$

for all admissible “shape” perturbations  $\mathbf{Y}$ . Here,  $\delta_{\Gamma}$  denotes the shape derivative, which is explained in ref. 34,35. Combining (25) with the boundary motion equation:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{x}, t), \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{X} \in \Gamma(t), \quad (26)$$

completely defines the evolution of the boundary  $\Gamma(t)$ . For example, if  $J[\Gamma] = \int_{\Gamma} 1$ , then the evolution would simply be mean curvature flow:  $\mathbf{V} = -\kappa \nu$ .

**5.2.4 Fully discrete approximation.** In order to have a tractable problem, we discretize (25) with a variant of a method found in ref. 36–38. We first discretize the curve  $\Gamma$  by a polygonal curve. Hence,  $\mathbf{X}$  is a vector-valued, continuous piecewise linear finite element function.<sup>39,40</sup> In addition, we use a backward-Euler method for approximating (26) with a fixed time step  $\delta t$ . Therefore, given the current guess for the polygonal curve  $\mathbf{X}^m$  (at time index  $m$ ), we introduce the continuous piecewise linear finite element space  $\mathbb{V}^m$  defined on  $\Gamma^m$ . Thus,  $\mathbf{X}^m \in [\mathbb{V}^m]^2$ , which is a vector-valued finite element space.

The polygonal curve at the next time index is obtained by solving the following system of equations, *i.e.* find  $(\kappa_{\sigma}^{m+1}, \kappa^{m+1}, \mathbf{X}^{m+1}, \lambda^{m+1})$  such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \frac{\mathbf{X}^{m+1} - \mathbf{X}^m}{\delta t}, \mathbf{v}_h^m \eta \right\rangle_m^h + \langle \kappa_{\sigma}^{m+1}, \eta \rangle_m^h + \varepsilon \langle \partial_s \kappa^{m+1}, \partial_s \eta \rangle_m \\ - \lambda^{m+1} \langle \rho, \eta \rangle_m^h = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \langle (\kappa^m)^3, \eta \rangle_m^h, \quad \forall \eta \in \mathbb{V}^m, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \kappa_{\sigma}^{m+1}, \mathbf{v}_h^m \cdot \mathbf{Y} \rangle_m^h - \langle \rho \sigma(\mathbf{v}^m) \partial_s \mathbf{X}^{m+1}, \partial_s \mathbf{Y} \rangle_m \\ - \frac{\beta}{2} \langle \rho^{-2} (\mathbf{X}^{m+1} \cdot \mathbf{e}_1), \mathbf{Y} \cdot \mathbf{v}^m \rangle_m \\ - \frac{2\chi}{\pi} \langle 1, \mathbf{Y} \cdot \mathbf{v}^m \rangle_m = \\ - \langle \rho(\mathbf{v} \cdot \partial_s \mathbf{Y}) \mathbf{t} \cdot \sigma'(\mathbf{v}^m), 1 \rangle_m \\ + \langle \sigma(\mathbf{v}^m)(\mathbf{Y} \cdot \mathbf{e}_1), \eta \rangle_m^h, \quad \forall \mathbf{Y} \in [\mathbb{V}^m]^2, \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

$$\langle \kappa^{m+1}, \mathbf{v}_h^m \cdot \mathbf{Y} \rangle_m^h - \langle \partial_s \mathbf{X}^{m+1}, \partial_s \mathbf{Y} \rangle_m = 0, \quad \forall \mathbf{Y} \in [\mathbb{V}^m]^2, \quad (29)$$

$$-\left\langle \frac{\mathbf{X}^{m+1} - \mathbf{X}^m}{\delta t}, \mathbf{v}_h^m \rho \right\rangle_m^h = \frac{1}{\delta t} \left[ \int_{\omega^m} \rho \, dA - C_v \right], \quad (30)$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_m$  denotes the inner product on  $\Gamma^m$ ,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_m^h$  is a mass lumped inner product on  $\Gamma^m$ , and  $\mathbf{v}_h^m$  is a “discrete” vertex normal vector of  $\Gamma^m$ . The system (27)–(30) is linear and *semi-implicit* which allows for taking large time steps (see ref. 36–38 with the caveat about anisotropic surface energy and the regularization parameter).

The first equation, (27), is an approximation of the gradient descent eqn (25). Eqn (29) is essentially a “weak” definition of the standard curvature of  $\Gamma^{m+1}$  (viewed as a planar curve), whereas (28) is a weak definition of the “anisotropic, radially weighted” curvature. The last equation accounts for the volume constraint, whose right-hand side corrects for any deviations from the desired volume  $C_v$ .

After solving the system, we obtain  $\mathbf{X}^{m+1}$  which is defined on  $\Gamma^m$ . We obtain the new curve  $\Gamma^{m+1}$  by simply taking the nodal values of  $\mathbf{X}^{m+1}$  as the new vertex positions of the polygonal curve.

Therefore, given an initial curve  $\Gamma^0$ , we obtain  $\Gamma_m$  by iteratively solving the above system  $m$  times. This requires an initial guess for  $\kappa^0$  because it appears on the right-hand side of (27). This is accomplished by using a similar equation to (29).

More specifically, we find  $\mathbf{K}^0 \in [\mathbb{V}^0]^2$  such that

$$\langle \mathbf{K}^0, \mathbf{Y} \rangle_0^h = \langle \partial_s \mathbf{X}^0, \partial_s \mathbf{Y} \rangle_0, \quad \forall \mathbf{Y} \in [\mathbb{V}^0]^2, \quad (31)$$

and then computing  $\kappa^0(\mathbf{x}_i) := \nu_h^m(\mathbf{x}_i) \cdot \mathbf{K}^0(\mathbf{x}_i)$  at each vertex  $\mathbf{x}_i$  in  $\Gamma^0$ .

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by NSF grants DMS-2106675 (ODL), DMS-2106551 (DG), DMS-1555222-CAREER and DMS-2111474 (SWW), DMS-1435372, DMS-1729589 and DMS-1816740 (MCC). The authors would like to thank Dr. Thomas A. Everett from the Enhanced Oil Recovery Lab at Purdue University for his help using the spinning drop tensiometer. MCC also acknowledges the hospitality of the Isaac Newton Institute at Cambridge University, UK, and the support from the Simons Foundation.

## References

- 1 G. Friedel, Les états mésomorphes de la matière, *Annales de Physique*, 1922, **9**(18), 273–474.
- 2 J. D. Bernal and I. Fankuchen, X-ray and crystallographic studies of plant virus preparations: I. introduction and preparation of specimens ii. modes of aggregation of the virus particles, *J. Gen. Physiol.*, 1941, **25**(1), 111–146.
- 3 W.-S. Wei, Y. Xia, S. Ettinger, S. Yang and A. Yodh, Molecular heterogeneity drives reconfigurable nematic liquid crystal drops, *Nature*, 2019, **576**(7787), 433–436.
- 4 K. Peddireddy, S. Čopar, K. V. Le, I. Mušević, C. Bahr and V. S. Jampani, Self-shaping liquid crystal droplets by balancing bulk elasticity and interfacial tension, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2021, **118**(14), e2011174118.
- 5 O. D. Lavrentovich and Yu. A. Nastishin, Division of drops of a liquid-crystal in the case of a cholesteric-smectic-a phase-transition, *JETP Lett.*, 1984, **40**(6), 1015–1019.
- 6 L. Tortora, H.-S. Park, S.-W. Kang, V. Savaryn, S.-H. Hong, K. Kaznatcheev, D. Finotello, S. Sprunt, S. Kumar and O. D. Lavrentovich, Self-assembly, condensation, and order in aqueous lyotropic chromonic liquid crystals crowded with additives, *Soft Matter*, 2010, **6**(17), 4157–4167.
- 7 J. Lydon, Chromonic review, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2010, **20**(45), 10071–10099.
- 8 J. Lydon, Chromonic liquid crystalline phases, *Liquid Crystals*, 2011, **38**(11–12), 1663–1681.
- 9 H.-S. Park and O. D. Lavrentovich, Lyotropic chromonic liquid crystals: Emerging applications, *Liq. Cryst. Beyond Disp.*, 2012, 449–484.
- 10 P. J. Collings, J. N. Goldstein, E. J. Hamilton, B. R. Mercado, K. J. Nieser and M. H. Regan, The nature of the assembly process in chromonic liquid crystals, *Liq. Cryst. Rev.*, 2015, **3**(1), 1–27.
- 11 S.-W. Tam-Chang and L. Huang, Chromonic liquid crystals: properties and applications as functional materials, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, 1957–1967.
- 12 J. Jeong, Z. S. Davidson, P. J. Collings, T. C. Lubensky and A. Yodh, Chiral symmetry breaking and surface faceting in chromonic liquid crystal droplets with giant elastic anisotropy, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2014, **111**(5), 1742–1747.
- 13 M. Stukan, V. Ivanov, A. Y. Grosberg, W. Paul and K. Binder, Chain length dependence of the state diagram of a single stiff-chain macromolecule: Theory and monte carlo simulation, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2003, **118**(7), 3392–3400.
- 14 N. V. Hud and I. D. Vilfan, Toroidal DNA condensates: unraveling the fine structure and the role of nucleation in determining size, *Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct.*, 2005, **34**, 295–318.
- 15 G. Maurstad and B. T. Stokke, Toroids of stiff polyelectrolytes, *Curr. Opin. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2005, **10**(1–2), 16–21.
- 16 A. Leforestier and F. Livolant, Structure of toroidal DNA collapsed inside the phage capsid, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2009, **106**(23), 9157–9162.
- 17 M.-L. Ainalem and T. Nylander, DNA condensation using cationic dendrimers—morphology and supramolecular structure of formed aggregates, *Soft Matter*, 2011, **7**(10), 4577–4594.
- 18 K. Osada, Versatile DNA folding structures organized by cationic block copolymers, *Polym. J.*, 2019, **51**(4), 381–387.
- 19 M. Kleman and O. D. Lavrentovich, *Soft matter physics: an introduction*. New York, Springer-Verlag, 2003.
- 20 R. Koizumi, B.-X. Li and O. D. Lavrentovich, Effect of crowding agent polyethylene glycol on lyotropic chromonic liquid crystal phases of disodium cromoglycate, *Crystals*, 2019, **9**(3), 160.
- 21 Y. Bouligand, Geometry of (non smectic) hexagonal mesophases, *J. Phys.*, 1980, **41**(11), 1297–1306.
- 22 P. Oswald, Observations in homeotropic anchoring of the +  $\pi$  disclinations in a hexagonal discotic liquid crystal, *J. Phys. Lett.*, 1981, **42**(8), 171–173.
- 23 V. G. Nazarenko, O. P. Boiko, H. S. Park, O. M. Brodyn, M. M. Omelchenko, L. Tortora, Y. A. Nastishin and O. D. Lavrentovich, Surface alignment and anchoring transitions in nematic lyotropic chromonic liquid crystal, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2010, **105**(1), 017801.
- 24 S. Zhou, O. Tovkach, D. Golovaty, A. Sokolov, I. S. Aranson and O. D. Lavrentovich, Dynamic states of swimming bacteria in a nematic liquid crystal cell with homeotropic alignment, *New J. Phys.*, 2017, **19**(5), 055006.
- 25 P. C. Mushenheim, R. Trivedi, S. Roy, M. Arnold, D. Weibel and N. Abbott, Effects of confinement, surface-induced orientation and strain on dynamic behavior of bacteria in thin liquid crystalline films, *Soft Matter*, 2015, **11**, 6821–6831.
- 26 B. Vonnegut, Rotating bubble method for the determination of surface and interfacial tensions, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, 1942, **13**(1), 6–9.
- 27 S. Zhou, K. Neupane, Y. A. Nastishin, A. R. Baldwin, S. V. Shiyankovskii, O. D. Lavrentovich and S. Sprunt, Elasticity, viscosity, and orientational fluctuations of a lyotropic chromonic nematic liquid crystal disodium cromoglycate, *Soft Matter*, 2014, **10**, 6571–6581.
- 28 S. Angenent and M. E. Gurtin, Multiphase thermomechanics with interfacial structure. 2. Evolution of an isothermal interface, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 1989, **108**, 323–391.

- 29 F. Frank, Metal surfaces: structure, energetics and kinetics, *American Society for Metals, Ohio*, p. 1, 1963.
- 30 S. W. Walker, Felicity: a matlab/c++ toolbox for developing finite element methods and simulation modeling, *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.*, 40, 2, C234–C257, 2018.
- 31 N. Zimmermann, G. Jünnemann-Held, P. J. Collings and H.-S. Kitzerow, Self-organized assemblies of colloidal particles obtained from an aligned chromonic liquid crystal dispersion, *Soft Matter*, 2015, **11**(8), 1547–1553.
- 32 T. L. Madden and J. Herzfeld, Exclusion of spherical particles from the nematic phase of reversibly assembled rod-like particles, *MRS Online Proc. Libr.*, 1991, **248**(1), 95–100.
- 33 T. L. Madden and J. Herzfeld, Liquid crystal phases of self-assembled amphiphilic aggregates, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc., A*, 1993, **344**(1672), 357–375.
- 34 M. C. Delfour and J.-P. Zolésio, *Shapes and Geometries: Analysis, Differential Calculus, and Optimization*, 4 of *Advances in Design and Control*. SIAM, 2nd edn, 2011.
- 35 S. W. Walker, *The Shapes of Things: A Practical Guide to Differential Geometry and the Shape Derivative*, 28 of *Advances in Design and Control*. SIAM, 1st edn, 2015.
- 36 J. W. Barrett, H. Garcke and R. Nürnberg, A parametric finite element method for fourth order geometric evolution equations, *J. Comput. Phys.*, 2007, **222**(1), 441–467.
- 37 J. W. Barrett, H. Garcke and R. Nürnberg, Parametric approximation of willmore flow and related geometric evolution equations, *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.*, 2008, **31**(1), 225–253.
- 38 J. W. Barrett, H. Garcke and R. Nürnberg, A variational formulation of anisotropic geometric evolution equations in higher dimensions, *Numerische Mathematik*, 2008, **109**(1), 1–44.
- 39 J. Albery, C. Carstensen and S. A. Funken, Remarks around 50 lines of MATLAB: Short finite element implementation, *Numerical Algorithms*, 1998, **20**, 117–137.
- 40 S. C. Brenner and L. R. Scott, *The Mathematical Theory of Finite Element Methods*, 15 of *Texts in Applied Mathematics*. New York, NY, Springer, 3rd edn, 2008.