

## MARKOV SEMIGROUPS AND GROUPS OF OPERATORS

JEROME A. GOLDSTEIN, ROSA MARIA MININNI, AND SILVIA ROMANELLI

ABSTRACT. We consider different realizations of the operators  $L_{\theta,a} u(x) := x^{2a}u''(x) + (ax^{2a-1} + \theta x^a)u'(x)$ ,  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , acting on suitable spaces of real valued continuous functions. The main results deal with the existence of Feller semigroups generated by  $L_{\theta,a}$  and the representation  $L_{\theta,a} = G_a^2 + \theta G_a$ , where  $G_a u = x^a u'$ ,  $0 \leq a \leq 1$ , generates a (not necessarily strongly continuous) group. Explicit formulas of the generated semigroups are also deduced.

### 1. Introduction

Let us denote by  $C(\overline{J})$  the space of all real valued continuous functions on an interval  $J$ , having finite limits at all endpoints not included in  $J$ , equipped with the sup-norm.

We are interested in the operators

$$L_{\theta,a} u(x) := x^{2a}u''(x) + (ax^{2a-1} + \theta x^a)u'(x),$$

where  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

In [7], using Feller classification of the boundary points we showed that for any  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , the operator  $L_{\theta,a}$  generates a Feller semigroup on  $C[0, +\infty]$ . Here, in addition to analogous generation results in different spaces of continuous functions, we obtain an explicit representation of the semigroup generated by  $L_{\theta,a}$  for suitable  $a$ . Indeed, if  $0 \leq a \leq 1$  and  $G_a u := x^a u'$ , then the operator  $L_{\theta,a}$  can be represented as

$$L_{\theta,a} u = G_a^2 u + \theta G_a u,$$

where  $G_a$  generates a (not necessarily strongly continuous) group. Thus a variant of Romanov's formula applies and the results follow. For the connections with the Black-Merton-Scholes equation see [8].

In the following, for any Banach space  $X$ ,  $C(\mathbb{R}, X)$  will denote the Banach space of all  $X$ -valued continuous functions defined in  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{K}}(X)$  the Banach algebra of all linear bounded operators on a Banach space  $X$  over  $\mathbb{K}$ , for  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ .

### 2. Feller semigroups, analytic semigroups and cosine functions

This section provides a brief description of the basic definitions and results about Feller semigroups, analytic semigroups and cosine functions, which form a functional analytic background for our results.

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47D03; Secondary 47D06, 47D07.  
*Key words and phrases.* Markov semigroups, operator semigroups and groups.

Let us consider a locally compact, separable, metric space  $(K, \rho)$  and define  $K_\partial = K \cup \partial$ , where  $\partial$  is the point at infinity, if  $K$  is not compact. Hence  $K_\partial$  is compact and  $\partial$  is some point disjoint from  $K$  if  $K$  is compact. Define  $C(K_\partial)$  to be the space of all real-valued continuous functions on  $K_\partial$ . The space  $C(K_\partial)$  is a Banach space with the maximum norm  $\|f\| = \sup_{x \in K_\partial} |f(x)|$ . Observe that for  $K = [0, +\infty)$ , we have  $C(K_\partial) = C[0, +\infty]$ . Define the subspace  $C_0(K)$  as follows

$$C_0(K) = \{f \in C(K_\partial) : f(\partial) = 0\}.$$

The space  $C_0(K)$  is a closed subspace of  $C(K_\partial)$ , hence it is a Banach space. Note that  $C_0(K)$  can be identified with  $C(K)$  if  $K$  is compact.

Let us recall the notions of  $C_0$ -semigroup and of Feller semigroup.

**Definition 2.1.** A family  $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ ,  $T(t) \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{K}}(X)$  is called a  $C_0$ -semigroup on  $X$  if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i):  $T(t + s) = T(t)T(s)$ ,  $t, s \geq 0$ ;  $T(0) = I$ ;
- (ii):  $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$  is strongly continuous in  $t$ , i.e.

$$\lim_{s \downarrow 0} \|T(t + s)f - T(t)f\| = 0, \quad f \in X, t \geq 0.$$

A family  $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ ,  $T(t) \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}(C(K_\partial))$  is a Feller semigroup on  $C(K_\partial)$  if it satisfies (i) and (ii), and, in addition, the following property:

$$(f \in C(K_\partial), 0 \leq f \leq 1 \text{ on } K_\partial) \Rightarrow (0 \leq T(t)f \leq 1, t \geq 0, \text{ on } K_\partial, T(t)1 = 1, t \geq 0).$$

Feller semigroups can be related to particular classes of Markov transition functions, i.e. the so-called uniformly stochastically continuous transition functions, defined as follows.

**Definition 2.2.** A transition probability function  $P_t$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , on  $K$  is said to be *uniformly stochastically continuous* on  $K$  if the following condition is satisfied: For each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and each compact  $E \subset K$ , we have

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \sup_{x \in E} [1 - P_t(x, U_\varepsilon(x))] = 0,$$

where  $U_\varepsilon(x) = \{y \in K : \rho(x, y) < \varepsilon\}$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood of  $x$ .

More precisely, the following result holds (see e.g. [13, Theorem 9.2.3]).

**Theorem 2.3.** *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (a):  $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a uniformly stochastically continuous  $C_0$ -transition function on  $K$  and satisfies the condition  
(L) For each  $s > 0$  and each compact  $E \subset K$ , it follows that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \partial} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq s} P_t(x, E) = 0;$$

- (b): The family of operators  $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$ , defined by

$$T(t)f(x) = \int_K P_t(x, dy) f(y), \quad f \in C_0(K),$$

is a Feller semigroup on  $C_0(K)$ .

Notice that any Feller semigroup (and hence any corresponding family of uniformly stochastically continuous  $C_0$  transition functions) is uniquely associated to a suitable operator  $(A, D(A))$  called the generator of the Feller semigroup, defined in the following way: the domain of  $A$  is the subspace  $D(A)$  given by

$$D(A) = \left\{ u \in C_0(K) : \text{there exists } \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{T(t)u - u}{t} \in C_0(K) \right\}$$

and, for any  $u \in D(A)$ ,

$$Au = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{T(t)u - u}{t}.$$

According to the Lumer-Phillips version of the Hille-Yosida theorem (see e.g. [6, Theorem 3.3]), the simplest method to show that a closed, densely defined, linear operator  $(A, D(A))$  on  $C_0(K)$  generates a  $C_0$ -contraction semigroup is to check that the operator  $(A, D(A))$  is dissipative and satisfies the range condition. The notion of dissipativity relies on the duality map.

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $X$  be a Banach space with dual space  $X'$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  be the pairing between  $X$  and  $X'$ . For every  $x \in X$ , we say that  $j(x)$  is the duality map of  $x$  if

$$j(x) = \{x' \in X' : \langle x, x' \rangle = \|x\|^2 = \|x'\|^2\}.$$

Notice that Hahn-Banach theorem implies that  $j(x) \neq \emptyset$  for any  $x \in X$ . In particular, for  $X = C_0(K)$ , if  $f \in X$ ,  $f \neq 0$  and  $\delta_{t_0}$  denotes the evaluation function at  $t_0$ , we have:

$$\{\overline{f(t_0)} \cdot \delta_{t_0} : t_0 \in K, |f(t_0)| = \|f\|\} \subset j(f).$$

**Definition 2.5.** An operator  $(A, D(A))$  on a Banach space  $X$  is called dissipative if, for any  $f \in D(A)$ , there exists  $x' \in j(f)$  such that  $Re \langle Af, x' \rangle \leq 0$ .

Now the Lumer-Phillips version of the Hille-Yosida theorem reads as follows (see [6, Chapter I, Section 3]).

**Theorem 2.6.** Let  $(A, D(A))$  be a linear operator on a Banach space  $X$ . Then  $(A, D(A))$  generates a  $C_0$ -contraction semigroup if and only if  $A$  is densely defined and  $m$ -dissipative ( i.e.  $(A, D(A))$  is dissipative and  $\rho(A) \cap (0, +\infty) \neq \emptyset$ ).

**Definition 2.7.** A  $C_0$ -group  $T$  on a Banach space  $X$  over  $\mathbb{K}$  is a family  $(T(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  of elements of  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{K}}(X)$  satisfying the conditions of Definition 2.1 but with  $\mathbb{R}_+$  replaced by  $\mathbb{R}$ . The generator  $(A, D(A))$  of a  $C_0$ -group on  $X$  is defined by

$$Af = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{T(t)f - f}{t},$$

the domain of  $A$  being the subspace

$$D(A) = \left\{ f \in X : \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{T(t)f - f}{t} \in X \right\}.$$

*Remark 2.8.* Note that here the limit is a two-sided one. Moreover,  $(A, D(A))$  is the generator of a  $C_0$ -group  $(T(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  if and only if  $\pm A$  generates a  $C_0$ -semigroup  $(T_{\pm}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ , where

$$T(t) = \begin{cases} T_+(t), & t \geq 0 \\ T_-(-t), & t \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Observe that, for  $X = C_0(K)$ , the automorphism groups on  $X$  can be characterized as follows (see e.g. [11, Propositions 3.8, 3.9]).

**Proposition 2.9.** *Let  $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \times K \rightarrow K$  be a flow  $(\Phi_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  on  $K$  (i.e.  $\Phi_t : K \rightarrow K, \Phi_t(x) = \Phi(t, x)$ , is continuous for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\Phi_0(x) = x, x \in K, \Phi_s \circ \Phi_t = \Phi_{s+t}, s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ ). Let  $(h_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  be a cocycle of  $\Phi$  (i.e.  $(h_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is a family of real-valued bounded continuous functions on  $K$  such that  $h_0 = 1$  and  $h_{t+s} = h_t \cdot (h_s \circ \Phi_t), s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ ).*

*If, for every  $x \in K$ , the mappings*

$$t \mapsto \Phi_t(x), \quad t \mapsto h_t(x)$$

*are continuous, then the operator  $T(t)f = h_t \cdot (f \circ \Phi_t)$  defines a  $C_0$ -group. Conversely, if  $(T(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is a  $C_0$ -group of positive operators on  $C_0(K)$ , then there exist a continuous flow on  $K$  and a continuous cocycle  $(h_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  of  $\Phi$  such that  $T(t)f = h_t \cdot (f \circ \Phi_t)$ , for any  $f \in C_0(K), t \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

Among all possible  $C_0$ -semigroups, the most regular class is the class of analytic semigroups, defined as follows.

**Definition 2.10.** For  $\alpha \in (0, \pi]$  we define the sector  $S(\alpha)$  in the complex plane by

$$S(\alpha) = \{r e^{i\theta} : r > 0, \theta \in (-\alpha, \alpha)\}.$$

A  $C_0$ -semigroup  $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$  on a (complex) Banach space  $X$  is called a bounded analytic semigroup of angle  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$  if  $(T(t))_{t > 0}$  is the restriction of an analytic function

$$T(\cdot) : S(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$$

satisfying

- i):**  $T(z)T(z') = T(z + z'), \quad z, z' \in S(\alpha);$
- ii):** For each  $\alpha_1 \in (0, \alpha)$  the set  $\{T(z) : z \in S(\alpha_1)\}$  is uniformly bounded and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(z_n)f = f$  for any null-sequence  $(z_n)$  in  $S(\alpha_1)$  and every  $f \in X$ .

We say that  $A$  generates an analytic semigroup of angle  $\alpha$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  with  $\alpha - \varepsilon > 0$  there is an  $\omega = \omega(\varepsilon)$  such that  $A - \omega I$  generates a bounded analytic semigroup of angle  $\alpha - \varepsilon$ .

Observe that the generators of analytic semigroups can be characterized as follows (see e.g. [5]).

**Theorem 2.11.** *Let  $(A, D(A))$  be a densely defined operator on a Banach space  $X$  and  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ . Then  $(A, D(A))$  is the generator of an analytic semigroup of angle  $\alpha$  if and only if there exists  $R > 0$  such that*

$$\lambda \in S\left(\alpha + \frac{\pi}{2}\right), |\lambda| \geq R \quad \text{implies} \quad \lambda \in \rho(A)$$

*and for every  $\alpha_1 \in (0, \alpha)$  there exists a constant  $M \geq 0$  such that*

$$\|R(\lambda, A)\| \leq \frac{M}{|\lambda|}, \quad \lambda \in S\left(\alpha_1 + \frac{\pi}{2}\right), |\lambda| \geq R.$$

In the case of second order Cauchy problems the corresponding notion of generator is given by means of the definition of generator of a cosine function (see e.g. [6, Chapter II, Section 8]).

**Definition 2.12.** A (strongly continuous) cosine function on a Banach space  $X$  is a family  $C = (C(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  of linear bounded operators on  $X$  satisfying

- (i):  $C(t + s) + C(t - s) = 2C(t)C(s) \quad t, s \in \mathbb{R};$
- (ii):  $C(0) = I;$
- (iii):  $C(\cdot) f \in C(\mathbb{R}, X),$  for each  $f \in X.$

The generator  $(A, D(A))$  of a cosine function  $C$  is the operator  $A := C''(0),$  with domain

$$D(A) := \{f \in X : C(\cdot) f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}, X)\}.$$

There are significant relations among generators of  $C_0$ -groups, generators of cosine functions and generators of analytic semigroups. We collect some of them in the following theorem (see e.g. [6, Chapter II, Section 8]).

**Theorem 2.13.** (i) If  $(B, D(B))$  is the generator of a  $C_0$ -group  $(T(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  on a Banach space  $X,$  then for any  $a \in \mathbb{R},$  the operator  $(aI + B^2, D(B^2))$  generates a cosine function  $C_a$  on  $X.$  If  $a = 0,$  then  $(B^2, D(B^2))$  generates a cosine function  $C_0$  given by

$$C_0(t) = \frac{[T(t) + T(-t)]}{2}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \quad (d' Alembert's \text{ formula}).$$

(ii) Let  $(A, D(A))$  be the generator of a cosine function  $C$  on a Banach space  $X.$  Then  $(A, D(A))$  generates a  $C_0$ -semigroup  $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$  given by

$$T(t) f = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi t}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}} C(s) f ds, \quad t > 0 \quad (Romanov's \text{ formula})$$

for any  $f \in X.$  In addition, if  $X$  is a complex space, then  $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$  is an analytic semigroup in the right half plane.

### 3. Feller semigroups and explicit representations in $C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$

If  $J = (r_1, r_2)$  is a real interval, with  $-\infty \leq r_1 < r_2 \leq +\infty,$  let  $A$  be a second order differential operator of the type

$$Au := a(x)u'' + b(x)u',$$

where  $a$  and  $b$  are real valued continuous functions on  $J$  such that  $a(x) > 0$  for any  $x \in J.$  Then we can introduce the Feller classification of the boundary (see e.g. [5, Chapter VI Section 4]) . Let us denote by

$$W(x) := \exp\left(-\int_{x_0}^x \frac{b(s)}{a(s)} ds\right), \quad Q(x) := \frac{1}{a(x)W(x)} \int_{x_0}^x W(s) ds,$$

$$R(x) := W(x) \int_{x_0}^x \frac{1}{a(s)W(s)} ds,$$

where  $x \in J$  and  $x_0$  is fixed in  $J$ . The boundary point  $r_2$  is said to be

- regular* if  $Q \in L^1(x_0, r_2), R \in L^1(x_0, r_2);$
- exit* if  $Q \notin L^1(x_0, r_2), R \in L^1(x_0, r_2);$
- entrance* if  $Q \in L^1(x_0, r_2), R \notin L^1(x_0, r_2);$
- natural* if  $Q \notin L^1(x_0, r_2), R \notin L^1(x_0, r_2).$

Analogous definitions can be given for  $r_1$  by considering the interval  $(r_1, x_0)$  instead of  $(x_0, r_2)$ .

Previous classification of the endpoints allows us to state Feller’s theorem, which characterizes when the operator  $A$  with the so-called Wentzell boundary conditions (i.e.  $\lim_{x \rightarrow r_1, x \rightarrow r_2} Au(x) = 0$ ) generates a Feller semigroup (see e.g. [5, Chapter VI Theorems 4.14, 4.17]), as follows.

**Proposition 3.1.** *The operator  $A$  with domain*

$$D_M(A) := \{u \in C(\bar{J}) \cap C^2(J) : Au \in C(\bar{J})\}$$

*generates a Feller semigroup on  $C(\bar{J})$  if and only if  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are of entrance or natural type. The operator  $A$  with domain*

$$D(A) := \{u \in C(\bar{J}) \cap C^2(J) : \lim_{x \rightarrow r_1, x \rightarrow r_2} Au(x) = 0\}$$

*generates a Feller semigroup on  $C(\bar{J})$  if and only if both the endpoints  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are not of entrance type.*

If we are working in the space  $C[0, +\infty]$ , then the endpoints 0 and  $+\infty$  are not of entrance type for the operator  $L_{\theta,a}$  defined by

$$L_{\theta,a} u(x) := x^{2a} u''(x) + (ax^{2a-1} + \theta x^a) u'(x),$$

acting on  $C[0, +\infty]$  and so the following theorem holds ([7, Theorem 2]).

**Theorem 3.2.** *For any  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}, a \in \mathbb{R}$  the operator  $L_{\theta,a}$  with domain*

$$D(L_{\theta,a}) = \{u \in C[0, +\infty] \cap C^2(0, +\infty) : \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+, x \rightarrow +\infty} L_{\theta,a} u(x) = 0\}$$

*generates a Feller semigroup on  $C[0, +\infty]$ .*

Similar arguments work as well even if we replace  $C(\bar{\mathbb{R}}_+)$  by  $C(\bar{\mathbb{R}}_-)$  and the operator  $L_{\theta,a}$  by the operator  $\tilde{L}_{\theta,a} u = (-x)^{2a} u'' + (-a(-x)^{2a-1} + \theta(-x)^a) u'$  having domain

$$D(\tilde{L}_{\theta,a}) = \{u \in C(\bar{\mathbb{R}}_-) \cap C^2(-\infty, 0) : \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty, x \rightarrow 0^-} \tilde{L}_{\theta,a} u(x) = 0\}.$$

Indeed, we prove the following result.

**Theorem 3.3.** *The operator  $\tilde{L}_{\theta,a}$  with domain*

$$D(\tilde{L}_{\theta,a}) = \{u \in C(\bar{\mathbb{R}}_-) \cap C^2(-\infty, 0) : \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty, x \rightarrow 0^-} \tilde{L}_{\theta,a} u(x) = 0\}$$

*generates a positive contraction semigroup in  $C(\bar{\mathbb{R}}_-)$ .*

*Proof.* In order to study the boundary  $-\infty$ , let us take  $x_0 = -1$ . Let us consider the cases **(i)**  $a = 1$ , **(ii)**  $a < 1$ , and **(iii)**  $a > 1$ .

**(i)** For  $x < 0$  and  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ , let us evaluate

$$\begin{aligned} W_\theta(x) &= \exp \left[ - \int_{-1}^x \frac{t + \theta(-t)}{(-t)^2} dt \right] = \exp \left[ - \int_{-1}^x \frac{\theta - 1}{(-t)} dt \right] \\ &= \exp [(\theta - 1) \log(-t)|_{-1}^x] = (-x)^{\theta-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, for  $x < 0$  and  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$  we obtain

$$Q_\theta(x) = \frac{1}{(-x)^{1+\theta}} \int_{-1}^x (-t)^{\theta-1} dt \quad \text{and} \quad R_\theta(x) = \frac{1}{(-x)^{1-\theta}} \int_{-1}^x (-t)^{-\theta-1} dt.$$

In particular, for  $\theta = 0$  we have

$$Q_0(x) = \frac{\log(-x)}{x} = R_0(x).$$

Therefore  $Q_0 \notin L^1(-\infty, -1)$ ,  $R_0 \notin L^1(-\infty, -1)$ , and hence  $-\infty$  is natural. For  $x < 0$  and  $\theta \neq 0$  we obtain that

$$Q_\theta(x) = \frac{1 - (-x)^\theta}{\theta(-x)^{1+\theta}} = R_{-\theta}(x).$$

Thus  $-\infty$  is natural for any  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**(ii)** For  $x < 0$  let us evaluate

$$\begin{aligned} W_\theta(x) &= \exp \left[ - \int_{-1}^x \frac{(-a)(-t)^{2a-1} + \theta(-t)^a}{(-t)^{2a}} dt \right] \\ &= \exp \left[ - \int_{-1}^x \left( \frac{-a}{(-t)} + \frac{\theta}{(-t)^a} \right) dt \right] \\ &= \exp \left[ -a \log(-t) + \theta \frac{(-t)^{1-a}}{1-a} \right]_{-1}^x \\ &= \exp \left[ -a \log(-x) + \theta \frac{(-x)^{1-a}}{1-a} - \frac{\theta}{1-a} \right] \\ &= \frac{e^{-\frac{\theta}{1-a}(1-(-x)^{1-a})}}{(-x)^a}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for  $\theta = 0$ ,  $W_0(x) = \frac{1}{(-x)^a}$ ,

$$Q_0(x) = \frac{(-x)^a}{(-x)^{2a}} \int_{-1}^x \frac{1}{(-s)^a} ds = \frac{1}{(-x)^a} \left[ -\frac{(-s)^{1-a}}{1-a} \right]_{-1}^x = \frac{1}{(-x)^a} \left[ 1 - \frac{(-x)^{1-a}}{1-a} \right],$$

and

$$R_0(x) = \frac{1}{(-x)^a} \int_{-1}^x \frac{(-s)^a}{(-s)^{2a}} ds = \frac{1}{(-x)^a} \int_{-1}^x \frac{1}{(-s)^a} ds = Q_0(x).$$

Thus  $Q_0 \notin L^1(-\infty, -1)$ ,  $R_0 \notin L^1(-\infty, -1)$ , and hence  $-\infty$  is natural. For  $\theta \neq 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_\theta(x) &= \frac{(-x)^a e^{\frac{\theta}{1-a}(1-(-x)^{1-a})}}{(-x)^{2a}} \int_{-1}^x W_\theta(s) ds \\
&= \frac{e^{\frac{\theta}{1-a}(1-(-x)^{1-a})}}{(-x)^a} \int_{-1}^x \frac{e^{-\frac{\theta}{1-a}(1-(-s)^{1-a})}}{(-s)^a} ds \\
&= \frac{e^{\frac{\theta}{1-a}(1-(-x)^{1-a})} e^{-\frac{\theta}{1-a}}}{(-x)^a} \int_{-1}^x \frac{e^{\frac{\theta}{1-a}(-s)^{1-a}}}{(-s)^a} ds \\
&= \frac{e^{-\frac{\theta}{1-a}(-x)^{1-a}}}{(-x)^a} \left[ -\frac{e^{\frac{\theta}{1-a}(-s)^{1-a}}}{\theta} \right]_{-1}^x \\
&= -\frac{e^{-\frac{\theta}{1-a}(-x)^{1-a}}}{\theta(-x)^a} \left[ e^{\frac{\theta}{1-a}(-x)^{1-a}} - e^{\frac{\theta}{1-a}} \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{\theta(-x)^a} \left[ e^{\frac{\theta}{1-a}(1-(-x)^{1-a})} - 1 \right],
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
R_\theta(x) &= \frac{e^{-\frac{\theta}{1-a}(1-(-x)^{1-a})}}{(-x)^a} \int_{-1}^x \frac{(-s)^a e^{\frac{\theta}{1-a}(1-(-s)^{1-a})}}{(-s)^{2a}} ds \\
&= \frac{e^{-\frac{\theta}{1-a}(1-(-x)^{1-a})}}{(-x)^a} \int_{-1}^x \frac{e^{\frac{\theta}{1-a}(1-(-s)^{1-a})}}{(-s)^a} ds \\
&= \frac{e^{\frac{\theta}{1-a}(-x)^{1-a}}}{(-x)^a} \int_{-1}^x \frac{e^{-\frac{\theta}{1-a}(-s)^{1-a}}}{(-s)^a} ds = Q_{-\theta}(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $Q_\theta \notin L^1(-\infty, -1)$ ,  $R_\theta \notin L^1(-\infty, -1)$ , and  $-\infty$  is natural.

**(iii)** Similar calculations as in the case **(ii)** yield that for any  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$  we have  $R_\theta(x) = Q_{-\theta}(x)$ , and  $Q_\theta \in L^1(-\infty, -1)$ ,  $R_\theta \in L^1(-\infty, -1)$ . We conclude that  $-\infty$  is regular.

Then, in any case,  $-\infty$  and  $0$  are not of entrance type and the assertion holds.  $\square$

Now we focus on the operator  $L_{\theta,1}$  (i.e.  $a = 1$ ) acting on the closed subspace  $C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$ .

Let us define the mapping  $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ , such that for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$

$$\Phi(t, x) = \Phi_t(x) = x e^t.$$

It is straightforward to show that  $\Phi_0(x) = x$ ,  $\Phi_t \circ \Phi_s = \Phi_{t+s}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$ , and, for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , the mapping  $t \longmapsto \Phi_t(x)$  is continuous.



According to [11, B-II, Propositions 3.8, 3.13], the operators  $S(t)f := f \circ \Phi_t$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , define positive bounded operators on  $C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $(S(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is a positive  $(C_0)$  automorphism group on  $C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Its generator is the closure of the operator  $A_\infty u(x) := xu'(x)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$  with domain  $D(A_\infty) := C_c^1[0, +\infty)$ . Here

$$C_c[0, +\infty) := \{f \in C(\mathbb{R}_+) : f \text{ vanishes in a neighborhood of } +\infty\},$$

$$C_c^k[0, +\infty) := \{f \in C^k(\mathbb{R}_+) : f \text{ vanishes in a neighborhood of } +\infty\},$$

$$Mf(x) := xf(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_+, f \in C(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

Observe that the domain of  $\overline{A}_\infty$  is

$$D(\overline{A}_\infty) := \{u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}_+) : Mu' \in C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)\}$$

and  $G := \overline{A}_\infty$  generates a  $(C_0)$  group of isometries on  $C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Indeed for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\|S(t)u\|_\infty = \sup_{x \geq 0} |u(xe^t)| = \sup_{s \geq 0} |u(s)| = \|u\|_\infty.$$

Let us consider the square of the operator  $A_\infty$ , say  $A_\infty^2$ , given by

$$A_\infty^2 u(x) = x(xu')'$$

with domain  $D(A_\infty^2) := C_c^2[0, +\infty)$  and the square of  $\overline{A}_\infty$ , say  $\overline{A}_\infty^2$ , whose domain is

$$D(\overline{A}_\infty^2) := \{u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}_+) : Mu', M(Mu')' \in C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)\}.$$

It is clear that  $\overline{A}_\infty^2 = \overline{A_\infty^2}$ . In addition, according to Theorem 2.13,  $G^2 = \overline{A_\infty^2}$  generates a cosine function and the analytic semigroup  $(T(t))$  generated by  $G^2$  has the following Romanov representation:

$$\begin{aligned} T(t)u(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi t}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}} \left[ \frac{S(y)u(x) + S(-y)u(x)}{2} \right] dy \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}} [u(xe^y) + u(xe^{-y})] dy, \end{aligned}$$

for any  $t$  with  $Re(t) > 0$ , and  $u \in C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Then  $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$  is a  $C_0$  semigroup of contractions, since for  $t > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |T(t)u(x)| &= \left| \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}} [u(xe^y) + u(xe^{-y})] dy \right| \\ &\leq \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi t}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}} dy \right) \|u\|_\infty = \|u\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\|T(t)u\|_\infty = \sup_{x \geq 0} |T(t)u(x)| \leq \|u\|_\infty.$$

Therefore, we have proved the following result

**Theorem 3.4.** *The closure of the operator  $(A_\infty, D(A_\infty))$  defined by*

$$A_\infty u(x) = xu',$$

*with domain  $D(A_\infty) := C_c^1[0, +\infty)$ , generates a positive  $(C_0)$  group of isometries on  $C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Hence the square  $(\overline{A_\infty}^2, D(\overline{A_\infty}^2))$ , with domain*

$$D(\overline{A_\infty}^2) := \{u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}_+) : Mu', M(Mu')' \in C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)\},$$

*generates a cosine function, and an analytic semigroup  $(T(t))_{\operatorname{Re}(t) > 0}$  of contractions having the following representation:*

$$T(t)u(x) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}} [u(xe^y) + u(xe^{-y})] dy.$$

In order to consider the operator  $L_{\theta,1}$ , we shall examine additional properties of the operator  $\overline{A_\infty}$  with respect to its square  $\overline{A_\infty}^2$ . Let us remark that the operator  $\overline{A_\infty}$  is dissipative on  $C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . This follows from Theorem 2.6 but we give a separate direct proof. Indeed, let  $u \in D(\overline{A_\infty})$  and choose  $x_0 \in [0, \infty)$  such that  $u(x_0) = e^{i\theta} \|u\|_\infty$ , for some real  $\theta$ .

If  $x_0 > 0$ , then  $u'(x_0) = 0$  and this implies  $\overline{A_\infty} u(x_0) = 0$ . Hence

$$\langle \overline{A_\infty} u, \delta_{x_0} \rangle = 0$$

and since  $\delta_{x_0} e^{-i\theta} \|u\|_\infty \in j(u)$ , we are done.

In the case  $x_0 = 0$ ,  $u(0) = \|u\|_\infty$ , and  $u$  is real valued, then for any  $x > 0$   $u(x) \leq u(0)$ . Thus  $\limsup_{x \rightarrow 0} u'(x) \leq 0$  and so  $\overline{A_\infty} u(0) \leq 0$ . Therefore, we can conclude that  $\overline{A_\infty}$  is dissipative, in case  $u$  is real valued. The proof for  $u$  complex is a trivial variant and we omit it.

Notice that

$$\overline{L_{\theta,1}} = \overline{\overline{A_\infty}^2 + (1 + \theta) A_\infty} = \overline{A_\infty}^2 + (1 + \theta) \overline{A_\infty}.$$

Similar arguments as in [5, Chapter III, Example 2.2] imply that  $(1 + \theta) \overline{A_\infty}$  is  $\overline{A_\infty}^2$ -bounded with  $\overline{A_\infty}^2$ -bound equal to 0. Moreover [5, Chapter III, Lemma 2.4] yields that  $\overline{A_\infty}^2 + (1 + \theta) \overline{A_\infty}$  with domain  $D(\overline{A_\infty}^2)$  is closed and, as a consequence of [5, Chapter III, Theorem 2.7], generates a contraction semigroup on  $C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , which is analytic in the right half plane. In addition, the semigroup generated by  $\overline{A_\infty}^2 + (1 + \theta) \overline{A_\infty}$  is given by

$$U(t) = T(t)S((1 + \theta)t), \quad t \geq 0.$$

Hence, if  $u \in C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$  the semigroup has the explicit representation

$$\begin{aligned} U(t)u(x) &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}} [S(y)S((1 + \theta)t)u(x) + S(-y)S((1 + \theta)t)u(x)] dy \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}} [S(y + (1 + \theta)t)u(x) + S(-y + (1 + \theta)t)u(x)] dy \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}} [u(xe^{y+t(1+\theta)}) + u(xe^{-y+t(1+\theta)})] dy. \end{aligned}$$

This is valid for all  $t > 0$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Therefore the following result holds.

**Theorem 3.5.** *The closure of the operator  $L_{\theta,1}$  with domain  $D(\overline{A_\infty^2})$  generates a positive contraction analytic semigroup  $(U(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  on  $C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$  having the following explicit representation:*

$$U(t)u(x) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}} [u(xe^{y+t(1+\theta)}) + u(xe^{-y+t(1+\theta)})] dy,$$

for all  $t$  with  $\operatorname{Re} t > 0$ , and all  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ .

#### 4. Explicit representations in $C(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$

Let us consider the Banach space  $C(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$ , equipped with the sup-norm, and the operator  $L_{\theta,a}$  defined in the Introduction. For  $a = 0$ , the operator  $L_{\theta,0}$  is given by

$$L_{\theta,0}u(x) = u''(x) + \theta u'(x).$$

It is well known that the operator  $Gu(x) := u'(x)$  with domain

$$D(G) := \{u \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}}) : u' \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}})\}$$

generates the translation group  $(S(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  on  $C(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$ , where  $S(t)u(x) := u(x+t)$ ,  $x, t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Hence, according to Theorem 2.13, the square  $G^2$  with domain

$$D(G^2) = \{u \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}}) : u', u'' \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}})\}$$

generates a cosine function and the (analytic) semigroup generated by  $G^2$  has the following representation

$$T(t)u(x) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}} [u(x+y) + u(x-y)] dy$$

for any  $t > 0$ ,  $u \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$ , and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . In addition, according to [6], any  $u \in D(G^2)$  satisfies

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} u'(x) = 0 = \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} u''(x).$$

It follows that, for any  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ , the semigroup  $(U(t))_{t \geq 0}$  generated by  $L_{\theta,0} = G^2 + \theta G$  in  $C(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$  can be written as  $T(t)S(\theta t)$  and we have

$$U(t)u(x) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}} [u(x+\theta t+y) + u(x+\theta t-y)] dy$$

for any  $t > 0$ ,  $u \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Our next aim is to show that our operator  $L_{\theta,a}$  can be interpreted as an operator of the type  $G_a^2 + \theta G_a$ , where  $G_a$  in some sense generates a suitable group on  $C(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$ .

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $x$  be a real number and  $a$  be a positive number, then we define

$$x^{\{a\}} = \begin{cases} x^a & \text{if } x \geq 0; \\ -(-x)^a & \text{if } x < 0. \end{cases}$$

Observe that  $x^{\{1\}} = x$  for any real number  $x$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *For any real number  $x$  and any  $a > 0$  and  $b > 0$ , we have*

$$\left(x^{\{a\}}\right)^{\{b\}} = x^{\{ab\}},$$

and

$$(-x)^{\{a\}} = -x^{\{a\}}.$$

Moreover, for any  $x \neq 0$

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left(x^{\{a\}}\right) = a |x|^{a-1}.$$

*Proof.* Concerning the first assertion, if  $x \geq 0$ , it is trivial. If  $x < 0$ , then we have  $x^{\{a\}} < 0$ , and thus

$$\left(x^{\{a\}}\right)^{\{b\}} = (-(-x)^a)^{\{b\}} = -((-x)^a)^b = -(-x)^{ab} = x^{\{ab\}}.$$

The second and third part of the assertion easily follow from the definition of  $x^{\{a\}}$ . □

Now we are in position to prove

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let us assume that  $0 < a < 1$  and for any  $h \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , define for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ :*

$$T(t)h(x) = h\left(\left[x^{\{1-a\}} + (1-a)t\right]^{\{\frac{1}{1-a}\}}\right).$$

*Then  $(T(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is a positive contraction group of operators on  $C(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$ . In addition, for any  $h \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , the mapping  $t \rightarrow T(t)h(x)$  is continuous, and for any  $h \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}}) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  there exists  $\frac{d}{dt}(T(t)h(x))|_{t=0} = |x|^a h'(x)$ .*

*Proof.* First observe that for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $T(t)$  is a linear bounded operator on  $C(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$ , which is positive and contractive. It is also clear that for any  $h \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$T(0)h(x) = h\left(\left(x^{\{1-a\}}\right)^{\{\frac{1}{1-a}\}}\right) = h(x)$$

by virtue of Lemma 4.2. This yields that  $T(0) = I$ .

Let us proceed to show that for any  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $h \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$

$$T(t+s)h(x) = T(t)T(s)h(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{4.1}$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} T(t)T(s)h(x) &= T(t)h\left(\left[x^{\{1-a\}} + (1-a)s\right]^{\{\frac{1}{1-a}\}}\right) \\ &= h\left(\left(\left[x^{\{1-a\}} + (1-a)s\right]^{\{\frac{1}{1-a}\}}\right)^{\{1-a\}} + (1-a)t\right)^{\{\frac{1}{1-a}\}} \\ &= h\left(\left[x^{\{1-a\}} + (1-a)s\right] + (1-a)t\right)^{\{\frac{1}{1-a}\}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= h\left(\left[x^{\{1-a\}} + (1-a)(t+s)\right]^{\{\frac{1}{1-a}\}}\right) \\
 &= T(t+s)h(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus (4.1) is proved. Now let us observe that for any  $h \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$T(t)h(x) - h(x) = h\left(\left[x^{\{1-a\}} + (1-a)t\right]^{\{\frac{1}{1-a}\}}\right) - h(x).$$

It follows that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} T(t)h(x) - h(x) = 0$ . This gives the continuity of the mapping  $t \rightarrow T(t)h(x)$  at  $t = 0$ . In addition, there easily follows the continuity at any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Finally, if  $h \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}}) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $t \neq 0$ , let us examine  $\frac{T(t)h(x) - h(x)}{t}$ . We have

$$\frac{T(t)h(x) - h(x)}{t} = \frac{h\left(\left[x^{\{1-a\}} + (1-a)t\right]^{\{\frac{1}{1-a}\}}\right) - h(x)}{t}.$$

Then, taking the limit as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , an easy consequence of de l'Hospital rule gives  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{T(t)h(x) - h(x)}{t} = h'(x)|x|^a$  and the proof has been completed.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.4.** *Let us assume that  $0 < a < 1$  and that  $(T(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is the group of operators on  $C(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$  defined in Theorem 4.3 and let us denote by*

$$C_e(\overline{\mathbb{R}}) := \{h \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}}) : h \text{ is even}\}.$$

Then for any  $h \in C_e(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$ , we have

$$T(t)h(-x) = T(-t)h(x), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (4.2)$$

*Proof.* Let us fix  $h \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$ ,  $h$  even (i.e.  $h(-x) = h(x)$ , for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ). In order to prove (4.2) we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(t)h(-x) &= h\left(\left[(-x)^{\{1-a\}} + (1-a)t\right]^{\{\frac{1}{1-a}\}}\right) \\
 &= h\left(\left[-x^{\{1-a\}} + (1-a)t\right]^{\{\frac{1}{1-a}\}}\right) \\
 &= h\left(\left[x^{\{1-a\}} - (1-a)t\right]^{\{\frac{1}{1-a}\}}\right) \\
 &= T(-t)h(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Corollary 4.5.** *For any  $f \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+)$  let us denote by  $\tilde{f}$  the even extension of  $f$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Let  $a \in (0, 1)$  and  $(T(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  be the group of operators on  $C(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$  defined in Theorem 4.3. Then the family of operators  $(\tilde{T}(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  defined as follows*

$$\tilde{T}(t)f(x) = T(t)\tilde{f}(x), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

is a positive contraction group on  $C(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+)$ . In addition, for any  $f \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+)$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$  the mapping  $t \rightarrow T(t)f(x)$  is continuous, and for any  $f \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$  there exists  $\frac{d}{dt}T(t)f(x)|_{t=0} = x^a f'(x)$ .

*Proof.* From Corollary 4.4, for any  $f \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+)$  we deduce that

$$\tilde{T}(t)f(x) = \begin{cases} T(t)f(x), & t \geq 0, x \geq 0; \\ T(-t)\tilde{f}(-x), & t < 0, x \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence, by taking into account Theorem 4.3 and the previous Corollary, the assertion holds.  $\square$

Note that the previous groups  $(T(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  (respectively,  $(\tilde{T}(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ ) on  $C(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$  (respectively, on  $C(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+)$ ), have some regularity properties, as the continuity of the map  $t \rightarrow T(t)f(x)$  (respectively,  $t \rightarrow \tilde{T}(t)f(x)$ ), for any fixed  $f \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  (respectively,  $f \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ) and the pointwise convergence of  $\frac{T(h)f(x) - f(x)}{h}$  (respectively  $\frac{\tilde{T}(h)f(x) - f(x)}{h}$ ), as  $h \rightarrow 0$ , to  $Af(x)$  (respectively to  $\tilde{A}f(x)$ ). Also,  $(T(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  (respectively,  $(\tilde{T}(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ ) is strongly measurable on  $C(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$  (respectively, on  $C(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+)$ ), hence strongly continuous at  $t$  for all  $t \neq 0$  (see [10, Theorem 10.2.3]). Finally, by using the results by Priola [12, Chapter 6], we can conclude that our groups are  $C_0$ -groups on the respective spaces, provided that we identify the elements of  $C(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$  (respectively  $C(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+)$ ) with the elements of  $C(\mathbb{R}^c)$  (respectively  $C(\mathbb{R}_+^c)$ ). Here  $\mathbb{R}^c$  (respectively  $\mathbb{R}_+^c$ ) denotes the Alexandroff compactification of  $\mathbb{R}$  (respectively  $\mathbb{R}_+$ ). For the connections with integrated semigroups see also [1].

All these facts allow us to repeat similar arguments as in Section 3 in order to give an explicit representation of the semigroups on  $C(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$  (respectively on  $C(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+)$ ) generated by the operators

$$L_{\theta,a}u(x) = x^{2a}u''(x) + (a|x|^{2a-1} + \theta|x|^a)u'(x) = G_a^2u(x) + (1 + \theta)G_a u(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where  $(G_a, D(G_a))$  is defined as follows

$$D(G_a) = \{u \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}}) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}) : u'(\cdot)|x|^a \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}})\},$$

$$G_a u(x) = u'(x)|x|^a, \quad u \in D(G_a), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}$$

(respectively,

$$\tilde{L}_{\theta,a}u(x) = x^{2a}u''(x) + (ax^{2a-1} + \theta x^a)u'(x) = \tilde{G}_a^2u(x) + (1 + \theta)\tilde{G}_a u(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

where  $(\tilde{G}_a, D(\tilde{G}_a))$  is defined as follows

$$D(\tilde{G}_a) = \{f \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}_+) : f'(\cdot)x^a \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+)\},$$

$$\tilde{G}_a f(x) = f'(x)x^a, \quad f \in D(\tilde{G}_a), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

More precisely, we have that the operator  $L_{\theta,a}$  has domain  $D(G_a^2)$ , and, respectively, the operator  $\tilde{L}_{\theta,a}$  has domain  $D(\tilde{G}_a^2)$ . Consequently,  $L_{\theta,a}$  generates the semigroup  $(U(t))_{t \geq 0}$  given by

$$U(t)u(x) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}} [T(y)T((1+\theta)t)u(x) + T(-y)T((1+\theta)t)u(x)] dy,$$

for all  $t > 0$ ,  $u \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . In a similar way  $\tilde{L}_{\theta,a}$  generates the semigroup  $(\tilde{U}(t))_{t \geq 0}$  given by

$$\tilde{U}(t)u(x) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}} [\tilde{T}(y)\tilde{T}((1+\theta)t)u(x) + \tilde{T}(-y)\tilde{T}((1+\theta)t)u(x)] dy,$$

for all  $t > 0$ ,  $u \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+})$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ .

This gives an explicit representation of  $(U(t))_{t \geq 0}$  and  $(\tilde{U}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ . Indeed, for any  $y \geq 0$ ,  $t > 0$ ,  $u \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$ ,  $x > 0$  such that  $x^{1-a} + (1-a)(1+\theta)t \geq (1-a)y$  we define

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(x, y) &:= T(y)T((1+\theta)t)u(x) + T(-y)T((1+\theta)t)u(x) \\ &= T(y)u([x^{1-a} + (1-a)(1+\theta)t]^{\frac{1}{1-a}}) + T(-y)u([x^{1-a} + (1-a)(1+\theta)t]^{\frac{1}{1-a}}) \\ &= u([x^{1-a} + (1-a)[(1+\theta)t + y]]^{\frac{1}{1-a}}) + u([x^{1-a} + (1-a)[(1+\theta)t - y]]^{\frac{1}{1-a}}). \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, for  $x > 0$  with  $x^{1-a} + (1-a)(1+\theta)t < (1-a)y$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(x, y) &:= T(y)T((1+\theta)t)u(x) + T(-y)T((1+\theta)t)u(x) \\ &= u([x^{1-a} + (1-a)[(1+\theta)t + y]]^{\frac{1}{1-a}}) + u(-[-x^{1-a} - (1-a)[(1+\theta)t - y]]^{\frac{1}{1-a}}). \end{aligned}$$

Then, for any  $t > 0$ ,  $u \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$  and  $x > 0$  it yields that

$$U(t)u(x) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} \int_0^z e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}} I_1(x, y) dy + \int_z^\infty e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}} I_2(x, y) dy,$$

where  $z := \frac{x^{1-a} + (1-a)(1+\theta)t}{1-a}$ . On the other hand, for  $x < 0$  with  $|x|^{1-a} \leq (1-a)(1+\theta)t$ , we have

$$U(t)u(x) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} \int_0^w e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}} I_3(x, y) dy + \int_w^\infty e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}} I_4(x, y) dy,$$

where  $w := \frac{-|x|^{1-a} + (1-a)(1+\theta)t}{1-a}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} I_3(x, y) &:= \\ &u([-|x|^{1-a} + (1-a)[(1+\theta)t + y]]^{\frac{1}{1-a}}) + u([-|x|^{1-a} + (1-a)[(1+\theta)t - y]]^{\frac{1}{1-a}}), \\ I_4(x, y) &:= \\ &u([-|x|^{1-a} + (1-a)[(1+\theta)t + y]]^{\frac{1}{1-a}}) + u(-[|x|^{1-a} - (1-a)[(1+\theta)t - y]]^{\frac{1}{1-a}}). \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way one can describe explicitly the case  $x < 0$  with  $|x|^{1-a} > (1-a)(1+\theta)t$ . In analogy, by taking into account Corollary 4.5, one can describe  $\tilde{U}(t)u(x)$  for any  $t > 0$ ,  $u \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+})$ ,  $x \geq 0$ .

In [9] we apply the results obtained in this paper to some problems arising in financial mathematics.

**Acknowledgement.** We thank the referee for helpful comments which shortened the proof of Theorem 4.3.

### References

- [1] Arendt, W.: Resolvent positive operators, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **54(3)** (1997), 321–349.
- [2] Clément, Ph. & Timmermanns, C.A.: On  $C_0$ -semigroups generated by differential operators satisfying Ventcel' boundary conditions, *Indag. Math.* **89** (1986), 379–387.
- [3] Black, F. & Scholes, M.: The pricing of options and corporate liabilities, *J. Polit. Econom.* **81** (1973), 637–659.
- [4] Dynkin, E.E.: *Markov Processes* Vols. 1-2, Die Grundlehren der Math. Wissenschaften 121-122, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1965.
- [5] Engel, K.J. & Nagel, R.: *One-Parameter Semigroups for Linear Evolution Equations*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 194, Springer, 2000.
- [6] Goldstein, J.A.: *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford, 1985.
- [7] Goldstein, J.A., Mininni, R.M. & Romanelli, S.: Generators of Feller semigroups with coefficients depending on parameters and optimal estimators, *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems* **8 (2)** (2007) (to appear).
- [8] Goldstein, J.A., Mininni, R.M. & Romanelli, S.: A new explicit formula for the solution of the Black-Merton-Scholes equation (2006) (Submitted).
- [9] Goldstein, J.A., Mininni, R.M. & Romanelli, S.: Markov semigroups and estimating functions, with applications to some financial models, *Communications on Stochastic Analysis* (2007) (to appear).
- [10] Hille, E. & Phillips, R.S.: *Functional Analysis and Semi-Groups*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications 31, 1957.
- [11] Nagel, R. (Ed.): *One-Parameter Semigroups of Positive Operators*, Lect. Notes in Mathematics 1184, Springer, 1986.
- [12] Priola, E.: *Partial differential equations with infinitely many variables*, Tesi di Dottorato, Università degli Studi di Milano, 1999.
- [13] Taira, K.: *Diffusion Processes and Partial Differential Equations*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1988.

JEROME A. GOLDSTEIN: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, THE UNIVERSITY OF MEMPHIS, 373 DUNN HALL, MEMPHIS TN 38152-3240, USA

*E-mail address:* [jgoldste@memphis.edu](mailto:jgoldste@memphis.edu)

*URL:* <http://www.mscl.memphis.edu/faculty/goldsteinj.html>

ROSA MARIA MININNI: DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI BARI, VIA ORABONA 4, 70125 BARI, ITALY

*E-mail address:* [mininni@dm.uniba.it](mailto:mininni@dm.uniba.it)

SILVIA ROMANELLI: DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI BARI, VIA ORABONA 4, 70125 BARI, ITALY

*E-mail address:* [mininni@dm.uniba.it](mailto:mininni@dm.uniba.it)

*URL:* <http://www.dm.uniba.it/~romanelli>