

## STOCHASTIC INTEGRAL REPRESENTATIONS OF $\mathcal{F}$ -SELFDECOMPOSABLE AND $\mathcal{F}$ -SEMI-SELFDECOMPOSABLE DISTRIBUTIONS

NADJIB BOUZAR

ABSTRACT. We study two specific mappings defined on the class of infinitely divisible distributions on  $\mathbb{Z}_+$  with finite log-moments. As a consequence, we re-derive some known stochastic integral representations for  $\mathcal{F}$ -selfdecomposable distributions and obtain some new ones for  $\mathcal{F}$ -semi-selfdecomposable distributions. Stochastic integral representations for discrete distributions in nested subclasses of  $\mathcal{F}$ -selfdecomposable and  $\mathcal{F}$ -semi-selfdecomposable distributions are established via the iterates of the aforementioned mappings.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{I}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  denote the class of infinitely divisible distributions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Stochastic integral representations for distributions in sub-classes of  $\mathcal{I}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  have been the object of numerous articles over the last three decades. The subclasses  $\mathcal{SD}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  of selfdecomposable distributions (see Sato [14], for e.g.) and  $\mathcal{SSD}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , of semi-selfdecomposable distributions (Maejima and Naito [11]) have been paid particular attention. We cite two important characterization results. For that we will need some notation first. We denote by  $\mathcal{L}(Y)$  the probability law of a random variable  $Y$  and by  $[t]$  the greatest integer function at  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . For a probability law  $\mu \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $\{X_t^{(\mu)}\}$  will designate a Lévy process such that  $\mathcal{L}(X_1^{(\mu)}) = \mu$ . We define the subclass  $\mathcal{I}_{\log}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  by

$$\mathcal{I}_{\log}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \left\{ \mu \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{R}^d) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \ln^+ |x| \mu(dx) < \infty \right\},$$

where  $\ln^+ |x| = \max(\ln |x|, 0)$ .

A random variable  $X$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  has a selfdecomposable distribution if and only if there exists a Lévy process  $\{X_t^{(\mu)}\}$  such that

$$X \stackrel{d}{=} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} dX_t^{(\mu)}, \quad (1.1)$$

in which case  $\mu = \mathcal{L}(X_1^{(\mu)}) \in \mathcal{I}_{\log}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

---

Received 2015-5-12; Communicated by the editors.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 60E07; Secondary 60G51.

*Key words and phrases*. Lévy processes, stochastic integral, composition semigroups,  $\mathcal{F}$ -stability, infinite divisibility, probability generating functions, weak convergence.

A random variable  $X$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  has a semi-selfdecomposable distribution with order (span)  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  if and only if there exists a Lévy process  $\{X_t^{(\mu)}\}$  such that

$$X \stackrel{d}{=} \int_0^\infty \alpha^{\lfloor t \rfloor} dX_t^{(\mu)}, \quad (1.2)$$

in which case  $\mu = \mathcal{L}(X_1^{(\mu)}) \in \mathcal{I}_{\log}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

The representation (1.1) was obtained by Wolfe [19] in the case  $d = 1$  and generalized to the case  $d > 1$  by Sato and Yamazato [15], and to Banach space-valued random variables by Jurek and Vervaat [9]. The result was extended to operator selfdecomposable distributions by Sato and Yamazato [16]. Versions of (1.1) for distributions in nested subclasses of  $\mathcal{SD}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  were given in [9], [15], and Barndorff-Nielsen et al. [2].

Maejima and Ueda [12] established the representation (1.2) for distributions in  $\mathcal{SSD}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and extended it to distributions in nested subclasses of  $\mathcal{SSD}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Maejima and Miura [10] obtained a stochastic integral representation for distributions in  $\mathcal{SSD}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$  similar to (1.1) but where the integration was with respect to a semi-Lévy process.

For more on the stochastic integrals with respect to Lévy processes, additive processes, and semi-Lévy processes, we refer to Rocha-Arteaga and Sato [13] and to references therein.

van Harn et al. [7] proposed discrete analogues of self-decomposability and stability for distributions on  $\mathbb{Z}_+ := \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ . We recall below some important facts on the topic and refer without further mention to [7] and to Steutel and van Harn [18], Chapter 5, Section 8, for more details.

The  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -valued multiple  $\alpha \odot_{\mathcal{F}} X$  for a  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -valued random variable  $X$  and  $0 < \alpha < 1$  is defined as follows:

$$\alpha \odot_{\mathcal{F}} X = \sum_{k=1}^X Y_k(t) := Z_X(t) \quad (t = -\ln \alpha), \quad (1.3)$$

where  $Y_1(\cdot), Y_2(\cdot), \dots$  are independent copies of a continuous-time Markov branching process, independent of  $X$ , such that for every  $k \geq 1$ ,  $P(Y_k(0) = 1) = 1$ . The processes  $(Y_k(\cdot), k \geq 1)$  are driven by a composition semigroup of probability generating functions (pgf's)  $\mathcal{F} := (F_t, t \geq 0)$ :

$$F_s \circ F_t(z) = F_{s+t}(z) \quad (|z| \leq 1; s, t \geq 0). \quad (1.4)$$

The process  $Z_X(\cdot)$  of (1.3) is itself a Markov branching process driven by  $\mathcal{F}$  and starting with  $X$  individuals ( $Z_X(0) = X$ ).

Let  $P(z)$  be the pgf of  $X$ . Then the pgf  $P_{\alpha \odot_{\mathcal{F}} X}(z)$  of  $\alpha \odot_{\mathcal{F}} X$  is given by

$$P_{\alpha \odot_{\mathcal{F}} X}(z) = P(F_t(z)) \quad (t = -\ln \alpha; 0 \leq z \leq 1). \quad (1.5)$$

As an analogue of scalar multiplication, the operation  $\odot_{\mathcal{F}}$  must satisfy some minimal conditions. In particular, the following regularity conditions are imposed on the composition semigroup  $\mathcal{F}$ :

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} F_t(z) = F_0(z) = z, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} F_t(z) = 1. \quad (1.6)$$

The first part of (1.6) implies the continuity of the semigroup  $\mathcal{F}$  (by way of (1.4)) and the second part is equivalent to assuming that  $m = F'_1(1) \leq 1$ , which implies the (sub-)criticality of the continuous-time Markov branching process  $Y_k(\cdot)$  in (1.3).

We will restrict ourselves to the subcritical case ( $m < 1$ ) and we will assume without loss of generality that  $m = e^{-1}$ .

A  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -valued random variable  $X$ , or its distribution, is said to have an  $\mathcal{F}$ -self-decomposable distribution if for every  $t > 0$ ,

$$X \stackrel{d}{=} e^{-t} \odot_{\mathcal{F}} X + X_t, \quad (1.7)$$

where  $X_t$  is  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -valued and  $X$  and  $X_t$  are independent (see [7]).

Equivalently, by (1.5) and (1.7), a distribution on  $\mathbb{Z}_+$  with pgf  $P(z)$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -self-decomposable if for every  $t > 0$ , there exists a pgf  $P_t(z)$  such that

$$P(z) = P(F_t(z))P_t(z) \quad (0 \leq z \leq 1), \quad (1.8)$$

where  $P$  and  $P_t$  are the pgf's of  $X$  and  $X_t$ , respectively, in (1.7).

$\mathcal{F}$ -self-decomposable distributions are infinitely divisible. Moreover the distribution of  $X_t$  is infinitely divisible for every  $t > 0$ .

Let  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . A  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -valued random variable  $X$  with a nondegenerate distribution is said to be  $\mathcal{F}$ -semi-selfdecomposable of order  $\alpha$  if  $X$  satisfies (1.7) for some infinitely divisible  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -valued random variable  $X_t$  independent of  $X$  and for  $t = -\ln \alpha$  (Bouzar [4]).  $\mathcal{F}$ -semi-selfdecomposable distributions are infinitely divisible.  $\mathcal{F}$ -semistable and  $\mathcal{F}$ -geometric semistable distributions are  $\mathcal{F}$ -semi-selfdecomposable (see [4]).

Steutel et al. [17] introduced a stochastic integral with respect to a  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -valued Lévy process and used it to give an integral representation of (continuous time) sub-critical branching processes with immigration. They showed that  $\mathcal{F}$ -selfdecomposable distributions arise as the weak limit for such processes (as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ). Although not explicitly noted by the authors, but implicit in the proof of their main result (Theorem 3.2), is an integral representation for  $\mathcal{F}$ -selfdecomposable distributions.

The aim of this paper is to obtain the discrete analogues of the representations (1.1) and (1.2) for  $\mathcal{F}$ -selfdecomposable and  $\mathcal{F}$ -semi-selfdecomposable distributions and to extend Theorem 3.2 in [17] to  $\mathcal{F}$ -semi-selfdecomposable distributions. We parallel the treatment for the continuous case, as covered in [12]. In Section 2, we recall some facts on the stochastic integral with respect to a  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -valued Lévy process and formally describe the associated improper integral. In Section 3, we define the mapping  $\Psi_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ) on the class of infinitely divisible distributions on  $\mathbb{Z}_+$  and identify its domain and range. As a corollary, we re-derive a stochastic integral representation for  $\mathcal{F}$ -selfdecomposable distributions obtained implicitly in [17]. In addition, we describe a fixed-point property for  $\Psi_\alpha$  in terms of  $\mathcal{F}$ -stable distributions. In Section 4, we introduce and study the operator  $\Phi_\alpha$  and study its properties. We obtain a stochastic integral representation for  $\mathcal{F}$  semi-selfdecomposable distributions with order  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and we show that these distributions arise as weak limits to a specific class of  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -valued stochastic processes. Finally, in Section 5, stochastic integral representations for distributions in

nested subclasses of  $\mathcal{F}$ -decomposable and  $\mathcal{F}$ -semi-selfdecomposable distributions are derived via the iterates of  $\Psi_\alpha$  and  $\Phi_\alpha$ , respectively.

In the rest of this section we recall some additional definitions and results about the continuous composition semigroup of pgf's  $\mathcal{F} := (F_t, t \geq 0)$ .

The following characterization of  $\mathcal{F}$ -self-decomposable distributions (see [18], Chapter V, Theorem 8.3) plays a key role throughout the paper.

**Theorem 1.1.** *A function  $P(z)$  on  $[0, 1]$  is the pgf of an  $\mathcal{F}$ -selfdecomposable on  $\mathbb{Z}_+$  if and only if it has the form*

$$\ln P(z) = \int_z^1 \frac{\ln Q(x)}{U(x)} dx = \int_0^\infty \ln Q(F_t(z)) dt \quad (0 \leq z \leq 1), \quad (1.9)$$

where  $U(z)$  is the infinitesimal generator of the semigroup  $\mathcal{F}$  (see definition below) and  $Q(z)$  is the pgf of an infinitely divisible distribution on  $\mathbb{Z}_+$  such that

$$\int_0^1 \frac{[-\ln Q(x)]}{U(x)} dx < \infty. \quad (1.10)$$

The infinitesimal generator  $U$  of the semigroup  $\mathcal{F}$  is defined by

$$U(z) = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} (F_t(z) - z)/t \quad (|z| \leq 1), \quad (1.11)$$

and satisfies  $U(z) > 0$  for  $0 \leq z < 1$ . Moreover,

$$U(x) \sim 1 - x \quad (x \uparrow 1). \quad (1.12)$$

The related  $A$ -function is defined by

$$A(z) = \exp\left\{-\int_0^z (U(x))^{-1} dx\right\} \quad (0 \leq z < 1). \quad (1.13)$$

The functions  $U(z)$  and  $A(z)$  satisfy for any  $t > 0$ ,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} F_t(z) = U(F_t(z)) = U(z)F_t'(z) \quad (|z| \leq 1), \quad (1.14a)$$

and

$$A(F_t(z)) = e^{-t} A(z) \quad (0 \leq z < 1). \quad (1.14b)$$

Following standard terminology, we will refer to any  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -valued Lévy process as a  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -valued subordinator which we denote by  $\{X_t^{(\mu)}\}$ , with  $\mathcal{L}(X_1^{(\mu)}) = \mu$ . We will be referring quite frequently to the following sets:

- $\mathcal{I}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ : the set of infinitely divisible distributions on  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ .
- $\mathcal{I}_{\log}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ : the subset of distributions  $\mu = (q_n, n \geq 0)$  in  $\mathcal{I}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  such that  $\sum_{n=0}^\infty q_n \ln(n+1) < \infty$ .
- $\mathcal{F}\text{-SD}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ : the subset of  $\mathcal{F}$ -self-decomposable distributions in  $\mathcal{I}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ .
- $\mathcal{F}\text{-SSD}_\alpha(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ : the subset of distributions in  $\mathcal{I}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  that are  $\mathcal{F}$ -semi-selfdecomposable of order  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ .

## 2. A Stochastic Integral With Respect to a $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -valued Subordinator

Let  $(X_t^{(\mu)}, t \geq 0)$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -valued subordinator. We will assume without loss of generality that  $X_0 = 0$ . Since  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -valued subordinators are piecewise constant, it follows from Theorem 21.2, p. 135, in [14], that  $\{X_t^{(\mu)}\}$  is a compound Poisson process, i.e., there exists a Poisson process  $\{N_t\}$  and a sequence of  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -valued random variables  $\{C_k\}$  with  $C_0 = 0$ , independent of  $\{N_t\}$ , such that

$$X_t^{(\mu)} = \sum_{k=1}^{N_t} C_k,$$

or, equivalently,

$$X_t^{(\mu)} = \sum_{\{k: 0 < T_k \leq t\}} C_k, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $(T_k, k \geq 1)$  is the sequence of jump times of  $\{X_t^{(\mu)}\}$ .

We recall the definition of the stochastic integral with respect to  $\{X_t^{(\mu)}\}$  introduced in [17]. Let  $f(s)$  be a (Lebesgue) measurable function on an interval  $[t_0, t_1]$  in  $[0, \infty)$  and taking values in  $(0, 1]$ . We define

$$\int_{t_0}^{t_1} f(s) \odot_{\mathcal{F}} dX_s^{(\mu)} \stackrel{d}{=} \sum_{\{k: t_0 < T_k \leq t_1\}} f(T_k) \odot_{\mathcal{F}} C_k. \quad (2.2)$$

As noted in [17], the operation  $A \odot_{\mathcal{F}} X$  for a random variable  $A$  taking values in  $[0, 1]$  has pgf

$$P_{A \odot_{\mathcal{F}} X}(z) = \int_0^1 P_{a \odot_{\mathcal{F}} X}(z) dG_A(a),$$

where  $G_A$  is the distribution function of  $A$ .

We also recall a useful representation theorem obtained in [17].

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $f(s)$  be a measurable function on  $[t_0, t_1]$  with range in  $(0, 1]$ . The stochastic integral  $\int_{t_0}^{t_1} f(s) \odot_{\mathcal{F}} dX_s^{(\mu)}$  has an infinitely divisible distribution with pgf*

$$P(z) = \exp \left\{ \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \ln Q_{\mu}(F_{-\ln f(s)}(z)) ds \right\}, \quad (2.3)$$

where  $Q_{\mu}(z)$  is the (infinitely divisible) pgf of  $X_1^{(\mu)}$ .

Next, we describe an improper integral.

Let  $\{X_t^{(\mu)}\}$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -valued subordinator and  $f(s)$  a measurable function on  $[0, \infty)$  with values in  $(0, 1]$ . We define the  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -valued process  $\{Y_t\}$  by

$$Y_t \stackrel{d}{=} \int_0^t f(s) \odot_{\mathcal{F}} dX_s^{(\mu)} \stackrel{d}{=} \sum_{\{k: 0 < T_k \leq t\}} f(T_k) \odot_{\mathcal{F}} C_k \quad (t \geq 0). \quad (2.4)$$

If  $\{Y_t\}$  converges in distribution to a  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -valued random variable  $Y_{\infty}$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , then we set

$$\int_0^{\infty} f(s) \odot_{\mathcal{F}} dX_s^{(\mu)} \stackrel{d}{=} Y_{\infty}. \quad (2.5)$$

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $\{X_t^{(\mu)}\}$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -valued subordinator and  $f(t)$  a measurable function on  $[0, \infty)$  with values in  $(0, 1]$ . Assume that the process  $\{Y_t\}$  of (2.4) converges weakly as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Then*

(i) *the distribution of  $\int_0^\infty f(s) \odot_{\mathcal{F}} dX_s^{(\mu)}$  is infinitely divisible and its pgf admits the representation*

$$P(z) = \exp\left\{\int_0^\infty \ln Q_\mu(F_{-\ln f(s)}(z)) ds\right\}. \quad (2.6)$$

(ii)  *$\int_0^\infty f(s) \odot_{\mathcal{F}} dX_s^{(\mu)}$  admits the following infinite series representation*

$$\int_0^\infty f(s) \odot_{\mathcal{F}} dX_s^{(\mu)} \stackrel{d}{=} \sum_{k=1}^\infty f(T_k) \odot_{\mathcal{F}} C_k. \quad (2.7)$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.1,  $L(\int_0^\infty f(s) \odot_{\mathcal{F}} dX_s^{(\mu)})$  is infinitely divisible as the weak limit of the infinitely divisible distributions  $\{\mathcal{L}(Y_t)\}$ , when  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Since the pgf of  $Y_t$  is (by (2.3))

$$P_t(z) = \exp\left\{\int_0^t \ln Q_\mu(F_{-\ln f(s)}(z)) ds\right\}, \quad (2.8)$$

it ensues that the pgf of  $\int_0^\infty f(s) \odot_{\mathcal{F}} dX_s^{(\mu)}$  admits the representation (2.6) by letting  $t \rightarrow \infty$  in (2.8). The infinite series representation (2.7) follows from the second equation in (2.4).  $\square$

*Remark 2.3.* One can arrive at an equivalent definition of the stochastic integral (2.2) that is similar to the one constructed in the continuous case (see for e.g. [13]), by first defining it for step functions and then extending the definition to measurable functions via the standard limit process.

### 3. A Stochastic Integral Representation for $\mathcal{F}$ -selfdecomposable Distributions

Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . We define the mapping  $\Psi_\alpha(\cdot)$  on  $\mathcal{I}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  by

$$\Psi_\alpha(\mu) = \mathcal{L}\left(\int_0^\infty \alpha^s \odot_{\mathcal{F}} dX_s^{(\mu)}\right), \quad (3.1)$$

where  $\{X_t^{(\mu)}\}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -valued subordinator with  $\mathcal{L}(X_1^{(\mu)}) = \mu$ .

We identify the domain  $\mathcal{D}(\Psi_\alpha)$  and range  $\mathcal{R}(\Psi_\alpha)$  of the mapping  $\Psi_\alpha$  and as a consequence we obtain an integral representation for  $\mathcal{F}$ -selfdecomposable distributions on  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ .

We start out with a useful lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Assume  $\mu \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  with pgf  $Q_\mu(z)$ . The following assertions are equivalent.*

- (i)  $\mu \in \mathcal{I}_{\log}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ .
- (ii) (1.10) holds for  $Q = Q_\mu(z)$ .
- (iii)  $\int_0^\infty [-\ln Q_\mu(F_s(z))] ds < \infty$  for every  $z \in [0, 1]$ .

*Proof.* (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii): By Proposition 4.2, Appendix A, in [18],  $\mu \in \mathcal{I}_{\log}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  if and only if  $\int_0^1 \frac{[-\ln Q_\mu(z)]}{1-x} dx < \infty$ . The conclusion follows by applying (1.12). The statement (ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii) follows essentially from the proof of Theorem 8.3, Chapter V, in [18].  $\square$

Next, we give the main result of the section. Parts of the statement of Theorem 3.2 below can be derived from the proof of Theorem 3.2 in [17]. We provide a somewhat abbreviated proof for the sake of completeness.

**Theorem 3.2.**  $\mathcal{D}(\Psi_\alpha) = \mathcal{I}_{\log}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  and  $\mathcal{R}(\Psi_\alpha) = \mathcal{F}\text{-SD}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $\mu \in \mathcal{D}(\Psi_\alpha)$ . By applying (2.6) to  $f(s) = \alpha^s$ , one can show that the pgf  $P_{\Psi_\alpha(\mu)}(z)$  of  $\Psi_\alpha(\mu)$  admits the representation (1.9) with  $Q(z) = Q_\mu^{-1/\ln \alpha}(z)$ . Therefore, by Theorem 1.1,  $\Psi_\alpha(\mu)$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -selfdecomposable and  $Q_\mu(z)$  satisfies (1.10). It follows by Lemma 3.1[(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i)] that  $\mu \in \mathcal{I}_{\log}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ . We have thus shown that  $\mathcal{D}(\Psi_\alpha) \subset \mathcal{I}_{\log}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  and  $\mathcal{R}(\Psi_\alpha) \subset \mathcal{F}\text{-SD}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ . Assume now that  $\mu \in \mathcal{I}_{\log}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ . By Lemma 3.1[(i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii)] and Theorem 1.1, the function  $P(z) = \exp\{\int_0^\infty \ln Q_\mu(F_{-s \ln \alpha}(z)) ds\}$  is the pgf of an  $\mathcal{F}$ -selfdecomposable distribution. Letting  $P_t(z)$  be the pgf of  $Y_t = \int_0^t \alpha^s \odot_{\mathcal{F}} dX_s^{(\mu)}$  and applying Theorem 2.1, as well as (2.8), to  $f(s) = \alpha^s$ , we conclude that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P_t(z) = P(z)$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{L}(\int_0^\infty \alpha^t \odot_{\mathcal{F}} dX_s^{(\mu)})$  exists as the weak limit of  $\{Y_t\}$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , or equivalently,  $\mu \in \mathcal{D}(\Psi_\alpha)$ . We have thus shown  $\mathcal{I}_{\log}(\mathbb{Z}_+) \subset \mathcal{D}(\Psi_\alpha)$ . It remains to prove that  $\mathcal{F}\text{-SD}(\mathbb{Z}_+) \subset \mathcal{R}(\Psi_\alpha)$ . Let  $P(z)$  be the pgf of an  $\mathcal{F}$ -selfdecomposable distribution on  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ . Then  $P(z)$  admits the canonical representation (1.9) for some infinitely divisible pgf  $Q(z)$ . Let  $\mu \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  with pgf  $Q_\mu(z) = Q^{-\ln \alpha}(z)$ . It follows that  $P(z)$  satisfies (2.6) with  $f(s) = \alpha^s$ . Let  $\{X_t^{(\mu)}\}$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -valued subordinator with  $\mathcal{L}(X_1^{(\mu)}) = \mu$  and let  $\{Y_t\}$  be as above. It is clear that  $\{Y_t\}$  converges weakly and therefore  $\mathcal{L}(\int_0^\infty \alpha^s \odot_{\mathcal{F}} dX_s^{(\mu)})$  is definable and that  $P(z)$  is its pgf.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.** Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . The mapping  $\Psi_\alpha$  is one-to-one from  $\mathcal{I}_{\log}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  onto  $\mathcal{F}\text{-SD}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ .

*Proof.* We only need prove  $\Psi_\alpha$  is one-to-one. Let  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{I}_{\log}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  such that  $\Psi_\alpha(\mu_1) = \Psi_\alpha(\mu_2)$ . Denote by  $P(z)$  the pgf of  $\Psi_\alpha(\mu_1)$  (and thus of  $\Psi_\alpha(\mu_2)$ ). It follows by (2.6) and (1.4) that

$$\ln \frac{P(z)}{P(F_{-t \ln \alpha}(z))} = \int_0^t \ln Q_{\mu_i}(F_{-s \ln \alpha}(z)) ds \quad (z \in [0, 1]; i = 1, 2).$$

Therefore,  $Q_{\mu_1}(F_{-t \ln \alpha}(z)) = Q_{\mu_2}(F_{-t \ln \alpha}(z))$  for every  $z \in [0, 1]$  and  $t \geq 0$ . Letting  $t \downarrow 0$  and using (1.6) leads to  $Q_{\mu_1}(z) = Q_{\mu_2}(z)$ , or  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ .  $\square$

The corollary below follows straightforwardly from Theorem 3.2 and the infinite series representation (2.7).

**Corollary 3.4.** A  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -valued random variable  $X$  has an  $\mathcal{F}$ -selfdecomposable distribution if and only if for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , and therefore for every  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , there

exists a  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -valued subordinator  $\{X_t^{(\mu)}\}$ , with  $\mu = \mathcal{L}(X_1^{(\mu)}) \in \mathcal{I}_{\log}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  (depending on  $\alpha$ ), such that

$$X \stackrel{d}{=} \int_0^\infty \alpha^s \odot_{\mathcal{F}} dX_s^{(\mu)} \stackrel{d}{=} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \alpha^{T_k} \odot_{\mathcal{F}} C_k. \quad (3.2)$$

Here the sequences  $\{T_k\}$  and  $\{C_k\}$  respectively represent the jump times and jump sizes of  $\{X_t^{(\mu)}\}$  (see(2.1)).

For the sake of completeness, and as a consequence of Theorem 3.2, we restate the convergence result obtained in [17] (Theorem 3.2, therein).

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . Let  $\{X_t^{(\mu)}\}$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -valued subordinator and  $Y_t = \int_0^t \alpha^s \odot_{\mathcal{F}} dX_s^{(\mu)}$ . Then  $Y_t$  converges in distribution to some  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -valued random variable  $Y_\infty$  (as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ) if and only if  $E(\ln(1 + X_1^{(\mu)})) < \infty$ , in which case the distribution of  $Y_\infty$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -selfdecomposable.*

Next, we derive a fixed point property for the mapping  $\Psi_\alpha$  that is the analogue of a result obtained in [9] for distributions on Banach and Euclidean spaces.

First, we briefly recall some results on  $\mathcal{F}$ -stable distributions (see Chapter V, Section 8, in [18]).

A  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -valued random variable  $X$ , or its distribution, is said to be  $\mathcal{F}$ -stable with exponent  $\gamma \in (0, 1]$  if for every  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$X \stackrel{d}{=} \alpha \odot_{\mathcal{F}} X + (1 - \alpha^\gamma)^{1/\gamma} \odot_{\mathcal{F}} X', \quad (3.3)$$

where  $X \stackrel{d}{=} X'$  and  $X$  and  $X'$  are independent.

An  $\mathcal{F}$  stable distribution  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{Z}_+$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -selfdecomposable and thus necessarily infinitely divisible. Moreover, its pgf  $P_\mu$  admits the following canonical representation:

$$P_\mu(z) = \exp[-\lambda A(z)^\gamma] \quad (0 \leq z \leq 1), \quad (3.4)$$

where  $A(z)$  is the  $A$ -function given in (1.13) and  $\lambda$  is a positive constant.

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . A distribution  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{Z}_+$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -stable if and only if  $\mu \in \mathcal{I}_{\log}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  and there exists  $c > 0$  such that the pgf's  $P_\mu$  and  $P_{\Psi_\alpha(\mu)}$  of  $\mu$  and  $\Psi_\alpha(\mu)$ , respectively, satisfy the equation*

$$\ln P_{\Psi_\alpha(\mu)}(z) = c \ln P_\mu(z), \quad (0 \leq z \leq 1), \quad (3.5)$$

in which case  $\Psi_\alpha(\mu)$  is also  $\mathcal{F}$ -stable with the same exponent  $\gamma$  as  $\mu$ ,  $\gamma = \frac{-1}{c \ln \alpha} \in (0, 1]$ .

*Proof.* The ‘‘only if’’ part: assume that  $\mu$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -stable with exponent  $\gamma \in (0, 1]$ . We have by (3.4) and the fact that  $\frac{A'(x)}{A(x)} = -\frac{1}{U(x)}$ ,  $x \in (0, 1)$  (see (1.13)),

$$\int_0^1 \frac{[-\ln P_\mu(x)]}{U(x)} dx = \lambda \int_0^1 \frac{A(x)^\gamma}{U(x)} dx = \lambda \int_0^1 A(x)^{\gamma-1} A'(x) dx \quad (\lambda > 0).$$



A simple change of variable argument shows that the third integral in the above equation is finite, and thus  $\mu \in \mathcal{I}_{\log}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ . By (2.6) and (3.4),

$$\ln P_{\Psi_\alpha(\mu)}(z) = \int_0^\infty \ln P_\mu(F_{-s \ln \alpha}(z)) ds = -\lambda \int_0^\infty [A(F_{-s \ln \alpha}(z))]^\gamma ds,$$

for some  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\gamma \in (0, 1]$ . It follows by (1.14b) that

$$\ln P_{\Psi_\alpha(\mu)}(z) = -\lambda A(z)^\gamma \int_0^\infty \alpha^{\gamma s} ds = \frac{\lambda}{\gamma \ln \alpha} A(z)^\gamma.$$

Therefore (3.5) holds with  $c = -1/\gamma \ln \alpha$  and  $\Psi_\alpha(\mu)$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -stable with exponent  $\gamma$  (by (3.4)). We now prove the "if part". Assume that  $\mu \in \mathcal{I}_{\log}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  and that (3.5) holds. We have via (2.6) and (1.14a)

$$\ln P_{\Psi_\alpha(\mu)}(z) = \frac{-1}{\ln \alpha} \int_0^\infty \ln P_\mu(F_s(z)) ds = \frac{-1}{\ln \alpha} \int_0^\infty \frac{\ln P_\mu(F_s(z))}{U(F_s(z))} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} F_s(z) ds.$$

The change of variable  $x = F_t(z)$  and (1.6) yield  $\ln P_{\Psi_\alpha(\mu)}(z) = \frac{-1}{\ln \alpha} \int_z^1 \frac{\ln P_\mu(x)}{U(x)} dx$ , which, combined with (3.5), yields in turn the differential equation

$$c \frac{d}{dx} \ln P_\mu(x) = \frac{1}{\ln \alpha} \frac{\ln P_\mu(x)}{U(x)}.$$

Since  $\frac{A'(x)}{A(x)} = -\frac{1}{U(x)}$ , one easily deduces that the solution  $P_\mu(z)$  takes the form (3.4) with  $\lambda = -\ln P_\mu(0)$  and  $\gamma = -1/(c \ln \alpha)$ . The fact that  $\gamma \in (0, 1]$  follows from a result in van Harn and Steutel [8] (see the proof of Lemma 4.2 therein).  $\square$

#### 4. A Stochastic Integral Representation for $\mathcal{F}$ -semi-selfdecomposable Distributions

Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . We define the mapping  $\Phi_\alpha(\cdot)$  on  $\mathcal{I}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  by

$$\Phi_\alpha(\mu) = \mathcal{L}\left(\int_0^\infty \alpha^{\lfloor s \rfloor} \odot_{\mathcal{F}} dX_s^{(\mu)}\right), \quad (4.1)$$

where  $\{X_t^{(\mu)}\}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -valued subordinator with  $\mathcal{L}(X_1^{(\mu)}) = \mu$  and, recall,  $\lfloor s \rfloor$  denotes the greatest integer function at  $s$ .

The first two results identify the domain  $\mathcal{D}(\Phi_\alpha)$  and range  $\mathcal{R}(\Phi_\alpha)$  of the mapping  $\Phi_\alpha$ .

**Theorem 4.1.**  $\mathcal{D}(\Phi_\alpha) = \mathcal{I}_{\log}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f(s) = \alpha^{\lfloor s \rfloor}$  for  $s \in [0, t]$ , and let  $\{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{\lfloor t \rfloor}, s_{\lfloor t \rfloor + 1}\}$  be the subdivision of  $[0, t]$  such that  $s_j = j$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, \lfloor t \rfloor$ , and  $s_{\lfloor t \rfloor + 1} = t$ . The function  $f(s)$  can be rewritten as

$$f(s) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor t \rfloor} \alpha^j I_{[s_j, s_{j+1})}(s), \quad (s \in [0, t]).$$

A straightforward pgf argument, by way of Theorem 2.1 and equation (2.3), leads to

$$\int_0^t \alpha^{\lfloor s \rfloor} \odot_{\mathcal{F}} dX_s^{(\mu)} = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor t \rfloor} \alpha^j \odot_F (X_{s_{j+1}}^{(\mu)} - X_{s_j}^{(\mu)}).$$

Moreover, the pgf  $P_t(z)$  of  $\int_0^t \alpha^{\lfloor s \rfloor} \odot_{\mathcal{F}} dX_s^{(\mu)}$  simplifies to

$$P_t(z) = \left\{ \prod_{j=0}^{\lfloor t \rfloor - 1} Q_{\mu}(F_{-j \ln \alpha}(z)) \right\} Q_{\mu}^{t - \lfloor t \rfloor}(F_{-\lfloor t \rfloor \ln \alpha}(z)). \quad (4.2)$$

Since  $0 \leq t - \lfloor t \rfloor \leq 1$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} F_{-\lfloor t \rfloor \ln \alpha}(z) = 1$ , we have  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Q_{\mu}^{t - \lfloor t \rfloor}(F_{-\lfloor t \rfloor \ln \alpha}(z)) = 1$ . Therefore,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P_t(z)$  exists if and only if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=0}^n Q_{\mu}(F_{-j \ln \alpha}(z))$  is finite and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P_t(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=0}^n Q_{\mu}(F_{-j \ln \alpha}(z)). \quad (4.3)$$

Now  $\prod_{j=0}^n Q_{\mu}(F_{-j \ln \alpha}(z))$  is the pgf of the size of the  $n$ -th generation of a Galton-Watson branching process with stationary immigration described by the pgf  $Q_{\mu}(z)$  and an offspring distribution with pgf  $F_{-\ln \alpha}(z)$  (see Athreya and Ney [1], Chapter 6). By Corollary 2 in Foster and Williamson [5],  $\prod_{j=0}^n Q_{\mu}(F_{-j \ln \alpha}(z))$  converges to a pgf if and only if  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n \ln n < \infty$ , where  $\{q_n\}$  is the distribution with pgf  $Q_{\mu}$ , or equivalently (since  $\ln(n+1) \sim \ln n$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), if and only if  $E(\ln(1 + X_1^{(\mu)})) < \infty$ . Therefore,  $\Phi_{\alpha}(\mu)$  exists if and only if  $\mu \in \mathcal{I}_{\log}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2.**  $\mathcal{R}(\Phi_{\alpha}) = \mathcal{F}\text{-SSD}_{\alpha}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $\Phi_{\alpha}(\mu)$  exists for some  $\mu \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ . Let  $P_t(z)$  be the pgf of  $\int_0^t \alpha^{\lfloor s \rfloor} \odot_{\mathcal{F}} dX_s^{(\mu)}$ . Then by (4.3), the pgf  $P_{\Phi_{\alpha}(\mu)}(z)$  of  $\Phi_{\alpha}(\mu)$  can be written as

$$P_{\Phi_{\alpha}(\mu)}(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=0}^n Q_{\mu}(F_{-j \ln \alpha}(z)) = \left[ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=1}^n Q_{\mu}(F_{-j \ln \alpha}(z)) \right] Q_{\mu}(z), \quad (4.4)$$

or,

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\Phi_{\alpha}(\mu)}(z) &= \left[ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=1}^n Q_{\mu}(F_{-(j-1) \ln \alpha}(F_{-\ln \alpha}(z))) \right] Q_{\mu}(z) \\ &= P(F_{-\ln \alpha}(z)) Q_{\mu}(z). \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Since  $Q_{\mu}(z)$  is infinitely divisible, it follows by (1.8) (applied at  $t = -\ln \alpha$ ) that  $\Phi_{\alpha}(\mu) \in \mathcal{F}\text{-SSD}_{\alpha}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  and thus  $\mathcal{R}(\Phi_{\alpha}) \subset \mathcal{F}\text{-SSD}_{\alpha}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ . Assume now that  $P(z)$  is the pgf of an  $\mathcal{F}$ -semi-selfdecomposable distribution on  $\mathbb{Z}_+$  with order  $\alpha$ . We have by (1.8) that  $P(z) = P(F_{-\ln \alpha}(z))Q(z)$  for some infinitely divisible pgf  $Q(z)$ . It follows by equation (2.2) in [4] that

$$P(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=0}^n Q(F_{-j \ln \alpha}(z)). \quad (4.6)$$

Let  $\mu \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  with pgf  $Q(z) (= Q_\mu(z))$  and let  $\{X_t^{(\mu)}\}$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -valued subordinator with  $\mathcal{L}(X_1^{(\mu)}) = \mu$ . We conclude by (4.3) and (4.6) that  $\mathcal{L}(\int_0^\infty \alpha^{\lfloor s \rfloor} \odot_{\mathcal{F}} dX_s^{(\mu)})$  is definable and that  $P(z)$  is its pgf. We have thus shown  $\mathcal{F}\text{-SSD}_\alpha(\mathbb{Z}_+) \subset \mathcal{R}(\Phi_\alpha)$ .  $\square$

Corollaries 4.3 and 4.4 below are straightforward consequences of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 and the infinite series representation (2.7).

**Corollary 4.3.** *A  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -valued random variable  $X$  with pgf  $P(z)$  has an  $\mathcal{F}$ -semi-selfdecomposable distribution with order  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  if and only if there exists a  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -valued subordinator  $\{X_t^{(\mu)}\}$  such that*

$$X \stackrel{d}{=} \int_0^\infty \alpha^{\lfloor s \rfloor} \odot_{\mathcal{F}} dX_s^{(\mu)} \stackrel{d}{=} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \alpha^{\lfloor T_k \rfloor} \odot_{\mathcal{F}} C_k, \quad (4.7)$$

in which case  $\mu = \mathcal{L}(X_1^{(\mu)}) \in \mathcal{I}_{\log}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ . Here the sequences  $\{T_k\}$  and  $\{C_k\}$  respectively represent the jump times and jump sizes of  $\{X_t^{(\mu)}\}$  (see(2.1)).

**Corollary 4.4.** *Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . Let  $\{X_t^{(\mu)}\}$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -valued subordinator and  $Y_t = \int_0^t \alpha^{\lfloor s \rfloor} \odot_{\mathcal{F}} dX_s^{(\mu)}$ . Then  $Y_t$  converges in distribution to some  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -valued random variable  $Y_\infty$  (as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ) if and only if  $E(\ln(1 + X_1^{(\mu)})) < \infty$ , in which case the distribution of  $Y_\infty$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -semi-selfdecomposable with order  $\alpha$ .*

The proof of the next result is similar to that of Corollary 3.3 (by way of (4.5)).

**Corollary 4.5.** *Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . The mapping  $\Phi_\alpha$  is one-to-one from  $\mathcal{I}_{\log}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  onto  $\mathcal{F}\text{-SSD}_\alpha(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ .*

## 5. Integral Representations for Elements in Nested Subclasses of $\mathcal{F}\text{-SD}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ and $\mathcal{F}\text{-SSD}_\alpha(\mathbb{Z}_+)$

We define nested subclasses of  $\mathcal{F}\text{-SD}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  and  $\mathcal{F}\text{-SSD}_\alpha(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  and study the actions of the mappings  $\Psi_\alpha$  and  $\Phi_\alpha$ , respectively, on their elements.

First, we formulate an equivalent definition of  $\mathcal{F}$ -selfdecomposability and  $\mathcal{F}$ -semi-selfdecomposability (see (1.7) and (1.8)). A distribution  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{Z}_+$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}\text{-SD}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{F}\text{-SSD}_\alpha(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ) if and only if for every  $t > 0$  (resp. for  $t = -\ln \alpha$ ),

$$\mu = (\mu \vee \nu_t) * \mu_t, \quad (5.1)$$

where  $\nu_t$  is the distribution with pgf  $F_t(z)$  and  $\mu_t \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ . The binary operation  $\vee$  is the compounding operation and  $*$  is the convolution operation.

We define  $\mathcal{F}\text{-SD}_0(\mathbb{Z}_+) = \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  and for  $m \geq 0$ ,  $\mathcal{F}\text{-SD}_{m+1}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  as the subset of distributions  $\mu \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  such that every  $t > 0$ , there exists  $\mu_t \in \mathcal{F}\text{-SD}_m(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  such that (5.1) holds.

Likewise, we define for  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}\text{-SSD}_{\alpha,0}(\mathbb{Z}_+) = \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  and for  $m \geq 0$ ,  $\mathcal{F}\text{-SSD}_{\alpha,m+1}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  as the subset of distributions  $\mu \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  that satisfy (5.1) for  $t = -\ln \alpha$  and some  $\mu_t \in \mathcal{F}\text{-SSD}_{\alpha,m}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ .

For  $m \geq 1$ , we define

$$\mathcal{I}_{\log^m}(\mathbb{Z}_+) = \{\mu = (q_n, n \geq 0) \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{Z}_+) : \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n (\ln(n+1))^m < \infty\}.$$

The sequences  $\{\mathcal{I}_{\log^m}(\mathbb{Z}_+)\}$ ,  $\{\mathcal{F}\text{-SD}_m(\mathbb{Z}_+)\}$ , and  $\{\mathcal{F}\text{-SSD}_{\alpha,m}(\mathbb{Z}_+)\}$  are easily checked to be decreasing in  $\mathcal{I}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  by an induction argument.

Hansen [6] studied extensively the subclasses  $\{\mathcal{F}\text{-SD}_m(\mathbb{Z}_+)\}$  (see also Berg and Forst [3]).

We start out by recalling the discrete versions of two important results obtained in [6].

**Theorem 5.1.** (Theorem 7.4 in [6]) *Let  $\mu \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  with pgf  $P(z)$  and  $m \geq 0$ . Then  $\mu \in \mathcal{F}\text{-SD}_{m+1}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  if and only if*

$$\ln P(z) = \int_0^{\infty} \ln Q(F_s(z)) s^m ds,$$

where  $Q(z)$  is the pgf of a distribution in  $\mathcal{I}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ .

**Theorem 5.2.** (Theorem 7.5 in [6]) *Let  $\mu \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  with pgf  $Q(z)$  and  $m \geq 0$ . The following assertions are equivalent.*

(i)  $\int_0^{\infty} [-\ln Q(F_s(z))] s^m ds < \infty \quad (0 \leq z \leq 1)$

(ii) *The canonical sequence  $\{r_k\}$  of  $\mu$  defined by  $\frac{Q'(z)}{Q(z)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r_k z^k$  satisfies*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\ln(k+1))^{m+1} \frac{r_k}{k+1} < \infty \quad (r_k \geq 0).$$

Let  $\{X_t^{(\mu)}\}$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -valued subordinator with  $\mathcal{L}(X_1^{(\mu)}) = \mu \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ . For  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , we define the sequences of mappings  $\{\Psi_{\alpha}^m(\mu)\}$  and  $\{\Phi_{\alpha}^m(\mu)\}$  recursively as follows:  $\Psi_{\alpha}^0(\mu) = \Phi_{\alpha}^0(\mu) = \mu$  and for every  $m \geq 0$ ,  $\Psi_{\alpha}^{m+1}(\mu) = \Psi_{\alpha}(\Psi_{\alpha}^m(\mu))$  and  $\Phi_{\alpha}^{m+1}(\mu) = \Phi_{\alpha}(\Phi_{\alpha}^m(\mu))$  where  $\Psi_{\alpha}$  and  $\Phi_{\alpha}$  are defined by (3.1) and (4.1), respectively. The aim of this section is to identify the domains and ranges of  $\Psi_{\alpha}^m$  and  $\Phi_{\alpha}^m$ .

Next, we derive a useful lemma.

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $m$  a positive integer. Let  $\mu \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  with pgf  $Q(z)$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

(i)  $J_1(z) = \int_0^{\infty} [-\ln Q(F_{-\lfloor s \rfloor \ln \alpha}(z))] \binom{\lfloor s \rfloor + m}{m} ds < \infty \quad (0 \leq z \leq 1).$

(ii)  $J_2(z) = \int_0^{\infty} [-\ln Q(F_s(z))] s^m ds < \infty \quad (0 \leq z \leq 1).$

(iii)  $\mu \in \mathcal{I}_{\log^{m+1}}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$

*Proof.* First, we note that for every  $s > 0$ ,  $\lfloor s \rfloor \leq s \leq \lfloor s \rfloor + 1$  and for every  $z \in [0, 1]$ ,  $F_s(z)$  is increasing as a function of  $s \in (0, \infty)$  (see 1.14a). Moreover,

$\binom{\lfloor s \rfloor + m}{m} \sim \frac{s^m}{m!}$  as  $s \uparrow \infty$ . Rewriting  $J_2(z)$  as

$$J_2(z) = t_0^{m+1} \int_0^\infty [-\ln Q(F_{st_0}(z))] s^m ds,$$

where  $t_0 = -\ln \alpha$ , and using a standard integration argument, one can easily show that (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii). The equivalence (ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii) follows by Theorem 5.2, combined with the discrete versions of Theorem 25.3 and Proposition 25.4 in [14].  $\square$

The next result identifies the domain  $\mathcal{D}(\Psi_\alpha^m)$  and the range  $\mathcal{R}(\Psi_\alpha^m)$  of the mapping  $\Psi_\alpha^m$ ,  $m \geq 1$ .

**Theorem 5.4.** *For every positive integer  $m$ ,  $\mathcal{D}(\Psi_\alpha^m) = \mathcal{I}_{\log^m}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  and  $\mathcal{R}(\Psi_\alpha^m) = \mathcal{F}\text{-SD}_m(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ . Moreover, if  $\mu \in \mathcal{I}_{\log^m}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ , then*

$$\ln P_{\Psi_\alpha^m(\mu)}(z) = \int_0^\infty \frac{s^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \ln Q_\mu(F_{-s \ln \alpha}(z)) ds. \quad (5.2)$$

*Proof.* We prove the theorem by induction. The case  $m = 1$  follows from Theorem 3.2 and equation (2.6) (applied to  $f(s) = \alpha^s$ ). Assume that Theorem 5.4 holds for  $m \geq 1$ . Suppose that  $\mu \in \mathcal{D}(\Psi_\alpha^{m+1})$ . Let  $Q_\mu(z)$  be the pgf of  $X_1^{(\mu)}$ . Then by (2.6), (5.2), and (1.4),

$$\begin{aligned} \ln P_{\Psi_\alpha^{m+1}(\mu)}(z) &= \int_0^\infty \ln P_{\Psi_\alpha^m(\mu)}(F_{-t \ln \alpha}(z)) dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{s^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \ln Q_\mu(F_{-(s+t) \ln \alpha}(z)) ds \right) dt, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\ln P_{\Psi_\alpha^{m+1}(\mu)}(z) = \int_0^\infty \left( \int_0^s \frac{(s-t)^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} dt \right) \ln Q_\mu(F_{-s \ln \alpha}(z)) ds,$$

which in turn implies that (5.2) holds for  $m+1$ . By making the change of variable  $t = -s \ln \alpha$  in (5.2), with  $m+1$  in place of  $m$ , we obtain

$$\ln P_{\Psi_\alpha^{m+1}(\mu)}(z) = B_m \int_0^\infty t^m \ln Q_\mu(F_t(z)) dt, \quad (5.3)$$

where

$$B_m = \frac{(-\ln \alpha)^{-m-1}}{m!}. \quad (5.4)$$

Since the integral in (5.3) converges, we conclude by Lemma 5.3[(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii)] that  $\mu \in \mathcal{I}_{\log^{m+1}}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ . Moreover, noting that  $Q_\mu^{B_m}(z)$  is an infinitely divisible pgf, the representation (5.3) implies that  $\Psi_\alpha^{m+1}(\mu) \in \mathcal{F}\text{-SD}_{m+1}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  by Theorem 5.1. We have thus shown that  $\mathcal{D}(\Psi_\alpha^{m+1}) \subset \mathcal{I}_{\log^{m+1}}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  and  $\mathcal{R}(\Psi_\alpha^{m+1}) \subset \mathcal{F}\text{-SD}_{m+1}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ . Assume now that  $\mu \in \mathcal{I}_{\log^{m+1}}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ . By Lemma 5.3[(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii)], the integral  $J_2(z)$  converges for every  $z \in [0, 1]$ . Since  $\mathcal{I}_{\log^{m+1}}(\mathbb{Z}_+) \subset \mathcal{I}_{\log^m}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ ,  $\Psi_\alpha^m(\mu)$  exists by the induction hypothesis. Using the same argument as above, we deduce

$$\int_0^\infty [-\ln P_{\Psi_\alpha^m(\mu)}(F_{-t \ln \alpha}(z))] dt = B_m J_2(z) < \infty \quad (z \in [0, 1]),$$

where  $B_m$  is given by (5.4). It ensues by Lemma 3.1[(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i)], along with an obvious change of variable argument, that  $\Psi_\alpha^m(\mu) \in \mathcal{I}_{\log}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ . Therefore  $\Psi_\alpha^{m+1}(\mu) = \psi_\alpha(\Psi_\alpha^m(\mu))$  exists by Theorem 3.2 and thus  $\mathcal{I}_{\log^{m+1}}(\mathbb{Z}_+) \subset \mathcal{D}(\Psi_\alpha^{m+1})$ . What remains to be shown is  $\mathcal{F}\text{-SD}_{m+1}(\mathbb{Z}_+) \subset \mathcal{R}(\Psi_\alpha^{m+1})$ . Let  $P(z)$  be the pgf of a distribution in  $\mathcal{F}\text{-SD}_{m+1}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ . By Theorem 5.1,  $P(z)$  admits the representation

$$\ln P(z) = \int_0^\infty t^m \ln Q(F_t(z)) dt \quad (5.5)$$

for some infinitely divisible pgf  $Q(z)$ . Let  $\mu \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  with pgf  $Q_\mu(z) = Q^{1/B_m}(z)$ , where  $B_m$  is as in (5.4). We have by (5.5) that the integral  $J_2(z)$  of Lemma 5.3 converges for every  $0 \leq z \leq 1$ . By Lemma 5.3[(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii)],  $\mu \in \mathcal{I}_{\log^{m+1}}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  and thus, as shown above,  $\Psi_\alpha^{m+1}(\mu)$  exists. It is easily seen that

$$\ln P(z) = \int_0^\infty \frac{s^m}{m!} \ln Q_\mu(F_{-s \ln \alpha}(z)) ds,$$

or,  $P(z) = P_{\Psi_\alpha^{m+1}(\mu)}(z)$ .  $\square$

The following corollary gives an integral representation of the iterate  $\Psi_\alpha^m(\mu)$ . We will need the following sequence of functions  $\{f_m\}$  defined on  $[0, \infty)$  as follows:

$$f_m(s) = ((m+1)!s)^{1/(m+1)} \quad (m \geq 0). \quad (5.6)$$

**Corollary 5.5.** *Let  $\{X_t^{(\mu)}\}$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -valued subordinator with  $\mathcal{L}(X_1^{(\mu)}) = \mu$  and  $m$  a positive integer. If  $\mu \in \mathcal{I}_{\log^m}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ , then*

$$\Psi_\alpha^m(\mu) = \mathcal{L}\left(\int_0^\infty \alpha^{f_{m-1}(s)} \odot_{\mathcal{F}} dX_s^{(\mu)}\right). \quad (5.7)$$

*Proof.* Assume  $\mu \in \mathcal{I}_{\log^m}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  ( $m \geq 1$ ). We define the process  $\{Y_t\}$  by

$$Y_t = \int_0^t \alpha^{f_{m-1}(s)} \odot_{\mathcal{F}} dX_s^{(\mu)}. \quad (5.8)$$

We have by Theorem 2.1 and (2.3) that the pgf  $P_t(z)$  of  $Y_t$  is

$$P_t(z) = \exp\left\{\int_0^t \ln Q_\mu(F_{-f_{m-1}(s)} \ln \alpha(z)) ds\right\}. \quad (5.9)$$

Making the change of variable  $u = f_{m-1}(s)$  in (5.9) and letting  $t \rightarrow \infty$  leads to

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P_t(z) = \exp\left\{\int_0^\infty \frac{u^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \ln Q_\mu(F_{-u} \ln \alpha(z)) du\right\},$$

or, by Theorem 5.3 and (5.2),  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P_t(z) = P_{\Psi_\alpha^m(\mu)}(z)$ . It follows that the process  $\{Y_t\}$  converges weakly and therefore, by Theorem 2.2,  $\mathcal{L}\left(\int_0^\infty \alpha^{f_{m-1}(s)} \odot_{\mathcal{F}} dX_s^{(\mu)}\right)$  is definable and has pgf  $P_{\Psi_\alpha^m(\mu)}(z)$ .  $\square$

We now turn to the problem of characterizing the domain and range of the iterates  $\{\Phi_\alpha^m(\mu)\}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{D}(\Phi_\alpha^m)$  and  $\mathcal{R}(\Phi_\alpha^m)$ , the domain and range of  $\Phi_\alpha^m$ , respectively.

First, we give a useful characterization of the distributions in  $\mathcal{F}\text{-SSD}_{\alpha,m}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ .

**Theorem 5.6.** *Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $m$  a positive integer. A distribution on  $\mathbb{Z}_+$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}\text{-SSD}_{\alpha, m}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  if and only if its pgf  $P(z)$  admits the representation*

$$\ln P(z) = \int_0^\infty \ln Q(F_{-\lfloor s \rfloor \ln \alpha}(z)) \binom{\lfloor s \rfloor + m - 1}{m - 1} ds, \quad (5.10)$$

where  $Q(z)$  is the pgf of an infinitely divisible distribution on  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ .

*Proof.* The proof is by induction. First, we note that

$$\int_0^\infty \ln Q(F_{-\lfloor s \rfloor t_0}(z)) \binom{\lfloor s \rfloor + m - 1}{m - 1} ds = \sum_{j=0}^\infty \ln Q(F_{-jt_0}(z)) \binom{j + m - 1}{m - 1}, \quad (5.11)$$

where  $t_0 = -\ln \alpha$ . Assume  $m = 1$ . The “only if” part follows from (4.6) and (5.11). For the “if part” we note that if  $P(z)$  satisfies (4.6), then  $P(F_{t_0}(z)) = \prod_{k=0}^\infty Q(F_{(k+1)t_0}(z))$ , and thus (1.8) holds with  $P_{t_0}(z) = Q(z)$ . This implies that  $P(z)$  is the pgf of an  $\mathcal{F}$ -semi-selfdecomposable distribution. Assume now that the theorem holds for a positive integer  $m$ . If  $P(z)$  is the pgf of a distribution in  $\mathcal{F}\text{-SSD}_{\alpha, m+1}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ , then  $Q_1(z) = P(z)/P(F_{t_0}(z))$  is the pgf of a distribution in  $\mathcal{F}\text{-SSD}_{\alpha, m}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  and

$$\ln P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^\infty \ln Q_1(F_{jt_0}(z)). \quad (5.12)$$

We have by the induction hypothesis that  $Q_1(z)$  satisfies (5.10), and thus (5.11), for some infinitely divisible pgf  $Q(z)$ . It follows by (5.12) and (1.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \ln P(z) &= \sum_{j=0}^\infty \sum_{k=0}^\infty \ln Q(F_{(k+j)t_0}(z)) \binom{j + m - 1}{m - 1} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^\infty \left( \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k - j + m - 1}{m - 1} \right) \ln Q(F_{kt_0}(z)). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k - j + m - 1}{m - 1} = \binom{k + m}{m}, \quad (5.13)$$

we conclude that  $\ln P(z)$  satisfies (5.11), and thus (5.10), with  $m$  in place of  $m - 1$ . Assume now that  $P(z)$  is a pgf that admits the representation (5.10), with  $m$  in place of  $m - 1$ , for some infinitely divisible pgf  $Q(z)$ . It follows by (5.11), (1.4), and the identity  $\binom{n}{r} = \binom{n-1}{r} + \binom{n-1}{r-1}$ , that

$$\ln P(z) = \ln P(F_{t_0}(z)) + \sum_{k=0}^\infty \ln Q(F_{kt_0}(z)) \binom{k + m - 1}{m - 1}. \quad (5.14)$$

Define the function  $Q_1(z)$  by the equation

$$\ln Q_1(z) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \ln Q(F_{kt_0}(z)) \binom{k + m - 1}{m - 1}.$$

It is clear that  $Q_1(0) > 0$ . Let  $l_k = \binom{k+m-1}{m-1}$ . The pgf  $Q^{l_k}(z)$  is infinitely divisible. Therefore, by Theorem 4.2, Chapter II, in [18] and the properties of the semigroup  $\mathcal{F}$ , we have for each  $k \geq 0$ ,

$$-\ln Q^{l_k}(F_{kt_0}(z)) = \int_{F_{kt_0}(z)}^1 R_k(x) dx = \int_z^1 R_k(F_{kt_0}(x))F'_{kt_0}(x) dx \quad (z \in [0, 1]),$$

for some absolutely monotone function  $R_k(x)$  on  $[0, 1)$ . It follows that  $-\ln Q_1(z) = \int_z^1 R(x) dx$ , where  $R(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} R_k(F_{kt_0}(x))F'_{kt_0}(x)$ ,  $x \in [0, 1)$ . Since  $R(x)$  is absolutely monotone on  $[0, 1)$  (as the limit of sums of absolutely monotone functions on  $[0, 1)$ ), we have, again by Theorem 4.2, Chapter II, in [18], that  $Q_1(z)$  is the pgf of an infinitely divisible distribution on  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ . By the induction hypothesis and (5.11), applied at  $m-1$ , we can conclude that  $Q_1(z)$  is the pgf of a distribution in  $\mathcal{F}\text{-SSD}_{\alpha, m}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ . By (5.14),  $P(z) = P(F_{t_0}(z))Q_1(z)$ . Therefore,  $P(z)$  is the pgf of a distribution in  $\mathcal{F}\text{-SSD}_{\alpha, m+1}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5.7.** *For every positive integer  $m$ ,  $\mathcal{D}(\Phi_\alpha^m) = \mathcal{I}_{\log^m}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  and  $\mathcal{R}(\Phi_\alpha^m) = \mathcal{F}\text{-SSD}_{\alpha, m}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ . Moreover,*

$$\ln P_{\Phi_\alpha^m(\mu)}(z) = \int_0^\infty \ln Q_\mu(F_{-\lfloor s \rfloor \ln \alpha}(z)) \binom{\lfloor s \rfloor + m - 1}{m - 1} ds. \quad (5.15)$$

*Proof.* The proof is by induction. The case  $m = 1$  follows from Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 and equation (4.4). Let's assume that Theorem 5.7 holds for  $m \geq 1$ . Suppose that  $\mu \in \mathcal{D}(\Phi_\alpha^{m+1})$ . Let  $Q_\mu(z)$  be the pgf of  $X_1^{(\mu)}$ . Since  $\Phi_\alpha^{m+1}(\mu) = \Phi_\alpha(\Phi_\alpha^m(\mu))$ , we have by the induction hypothesis (or, (5.15)), (4.4), and (1.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \ln P_{\Phi_\alpha^{m+1}(\mu)}(z) &= \int_0^\infty \ln P_{\Phi_\alpha^m(\mu)}(F_{-\lfloor t \rfloor \ln \alpha}(z)) dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty \left( \int_0^\infty \ln Q_\mu(F_{-(\lfloor s \rfloor + \lfloor t \rfloor) \ln \alpha}(z)) \binom{\lfloor s \rfloor + m - 1}{m - 1} ds \right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

By making the change of variable  $u = s + \lfloor t \rfloor$  in the inner integral above, and noting that  $\lfloor u \rfloor = \lfloor s \rfloor + \lfloor t \rfloor$ , we have

$$\ln P_{\Phi_\alpha^{m+1}(\mu)}(z) = \int_0^\infty \left( \int_{\lfloor t \rfloor}^\infty \ln Q_\mu(F_{-\lfloor u \rfloor \ln \alpha}(z)) \binom{\lfloor u \rfloor - \lfloor t \rfloor + m - 1}{m - 1} du \right) dt.$$

Since  $u \geq \lfloor t \rfloor$  if and only if  $t < \lfloor u \rfloor + 1$ , it follows that

$$\ln P_{\Phi_\alpha^{m+1}(\mu)}(z) = \int_0^\infty \ln Q_\mu(F_{-\lfloor u \rfloor \ln \alpha}(z)) \left( \int_0^{\lfloor u \rfloor + 1} \binom{\lfloor u \rfloor - \lfloor t \rfloor + m - 1}{m - 1} dt \right) du.$$

It is easily seen that

$$\int_0^{\lfloor u \rfloor + 1} \binom{\lfloor u \rfloor - \lfloor t \rfloor + m - 1}{m - 1} dt = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor u \rfloor} \binom{\lfloor u \rfloor - j + m - 1}{m - 1} = \binom{\lfloor u \rfloor + m}{m},$$

where the second equation follows from (5.13). We have thus shown that (5.15) holds for  $m+1$ . Since the integral in (5.15), with  $m+1$  in place of  $m$ , converges, we conclude that  $\mu \in \mathcal{I}_{\log^{m+1}}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  (by Lemma 5.3[(i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii)]) and that  $\Phi_\alpha^{m+1}(\mu) \in \mathcal{F}\text{-SSD}_{\alpha, m+1}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  (by Theorem 5.6). We have thus shown that



$\mathcal{D}(\Phi_\alpha^{m+1}) \subset \mathcal{I}_{\log^{m+1}}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  and that  $\mathcal{R}(\Phi_\alpha^{m+1}) \subset \mathcal{F}\text{-SSD}_{\alpha, m+1}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ . Assume now that  $\mu \in \mathcal{I}_{\log^{m+1}}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ . By Lemma 5.3[(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i)], the integral  $J_1(z)$  converges for every  $z \in [0, 1]$ . Since  $\mathcal{I}_{\log^{m+1}}(\mathbb{Z}_+) \subset \mathcal{I}_{\log^m}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ ,  $\Phi_\alpha^m(\mu)$  exists by the induction hypothesis. Using the same argument as above, we deduce

$$\int_0^\infty [-\ln P_{\Phi_\alpha^m(\mu)}(F_{-t \ln \alpha}(z))] dt = J_1(z) < \infty \quad (z \in [0, 1]).$$

It follows by Lemma 3.1[(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i)], and an obvious change of variable argument, that  $\Phi_\alpha^m(\mu) \in \mathcal{I}_{\log}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ . Therefore  $\Phi_\alpha^{m+1}(\mu) = \Phi_\alpha(\Phi_\alpha^m(\mu))$  exists by Theorem 4.1 and thus  $\mathcal{I}_{\log^{m+1}}(\mathbb{Z}_+) \subset \mathcal{D}(\Phi_\alpha^{m+1})$ . We complete the proof by showing that  $\mathcal{F}\text{-SSD}_{\alpha, m+1}(\mathbb{Z}_+) \subset \mathcal{R}(\Phi_\alpha^{m+1})$ . Let  $P(z)$  be the pgf of a distribution in  $\mathcal{F}\text{-SSD}_{\alpha, m+1}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ . Then by Theorem 5.6,  $P(z)$  admits the representation

$$\ln P(z) = \int_0^\infty \ln Q(F_{-\lfloor s \rfloor \ln \alpha}(z)) \binom{\lfloor s \rfloor + m}{m} ds \quad (5.16)$$

for some infinitely divisible pgf  $Q(z)$ . Let  $\mu \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  with pgf  $Q_\mu(z) = Q(z)$ . We have by (5.16) that the integral  $J_1(z)$  of Lemma 5.3 converges for every  $0 \leq z \leq 1$ . By Lemma 5.3[(i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii)],  $\mu \in \mathcal{I}_{\log^{m+1}}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  and thus, as shown above,  $\Phi_\alpha^{m+1}(\mu)$  exists. It is clearly seen that  $P(z) = P_{\Phi_\alpha^{m+1}(\mu)}(z)$ .  $\square$

We now deduce an integral representation of the iterate  $\Phi_\alpha^m(\mu)$ . We will need the sequence of functions  $\{g_m\}$  defined by

$$g_m(s) = \int_0^s \binom{\lfloor u \rfloor + m}{m} du \quad (m \geq 0).$$

We denote by  $\tilde{g}_m$  the inverse function of  $g_m$ .

**Corollary 5.8.** *Let  $\{X_t^{(\mu)}\}$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -valued subordinator with  $\mathcal{L}(X_1^{(\mu)}) = \mu$  and  $m$  a positive integer. If  $\mu \in \mathcal{I}_{\log^m}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ , then*

$$\Phi_\alpha^m(\mu) = \mathcal{L}\left(\int_0^\infty \alpha^{\lfloor \tilde{g}_{m-1}(s) \rfloor} \odot_{\mathcal{F}} dX_s^{(\mu)}\right). \quad (5.18)$$

*Proof.* Assume  $\mu \in \mathcal{I}_{\log^m}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  ( $m \geq 1$ ). We define the process  $\{Y_t\}$  by

$$Y_t = \int_0^t \alpha^{\lfloor \tilde{g}_{m-1}(s) \rfloor} \odot_{\mathcal{F}} dX_s^{(\mu)}.$$

We have by Theorem 2.1 and (2.3) that the pgf  $P_t(z)$  of  $Y_t$  is

$$P_t(z) = \exp\left\{\int_0^t \ln Q_\mu(F_{-\lfloor \tilde{g}_{m-1}(s) \rfloor \ln \alpha}(z)) ds\right\}. \quad (5.19)$$

Making the change of variable  $u = \tilde{g}_{m-1}(s)$  in (5.19) and letting  $t \rightarrow \infty$  leads to

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P_t(z) = \exp\left\{\int_0^\infty \ln Q_\mu(F_{-\lfloor u \rfloor \ln \alpha}(z)) \binom{\lfloor u \rfloor + m - 1}{m - 1} du\right\}.$$

or, by Theorem 5.7 and (5.15),  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P_t(z) = P_{\Phi_\alpha^m(\mu)}(z)$ . It follows that the process  $\{Y_t\}$  converges weakly and therefore, by Theorem 2.2,  $\mathcal{L}\left(\int_0^\infty \alpha^{\lfloor \tilde{g}_{m-1}(s) \rfloor} \odot_{\mathcal{F}} dX_s^{(\mu)}\right)$  is definable and has pgf  $P_{\Phi_\alpha^m(\mu)}(z)$ .  $\square$

**Acknowledgment.** The author is grateful to a referee for very valuable comments and suggestions.

### References

1. Athreya, K. B. and Ney, P.E.: *Branching Processes*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1972.
2. Barndorff-Nielsen, O. E., Maejima, M., and Sato, K.: Some classes of multivariate infinitely divisible distributions admitting stochastic integral representations. *Bernoulli* **12** (2006), 1–33.
3. Berg, C. and Forst, G.: Multiply self-decomposable probability measures on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ . *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheor. Verw. Gebiete* **62**, (1983), 147–163.
4. Bouzar, N.: Semi-self-decomposability induced by semigroups. *Electron. J. Probab.* **16** (2011), 1117–1133.
5. Foster, J. H. and Williamson, J. A.: Limit theorems for the Galton-Watson process with time-dependent immigration. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheor. Verw. Gebiete* **20** (1971), 227–235.
6. Hansen, B. G.: *Self-decomposable distributions and branching processes*. Memoir COSOR 89-06, Eindhoven Univ. of Technology (Eindhoven, Netherlands.), 1989.
7. van Harn, K., Steutel, F. W., and Vervaat, W.: Self-decomposable discrete distributions and branching processes. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheor. Verw. Gebiete* **61** (1982), 97–118.
8. van Harn, K. and Steutel, F. W.: Stability equations for processes with stationary independent increments using branching processes and Poisson mixtures. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **45** (1993), 209–230.
9. Jurek, Z. J. and Vervaat, W.: An integral representation for self-decomposable Banach space valued random variables. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheor. Verw. Gebiete* **62** (1983), 247–262.
10. Maejima, M. and Miura, M.: A characterization of subclasses of semi-self-decomposable distributions by stochastic integral representations. *Statist. Probab. Lett.* **77** (2007), 838–842.
11. Maejima, M. and Naito, Y.: Semi-selfdecomposable distributions and a new class of limit theorems. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **112** (1998), 13–31.
12. Maejima M. and Ueda, Y.: Stochastic integral characterizations of semiselfdecomposable distributions and related Ornstein-Uhlenbeck type processes. *Commun. Stoch. Anal.* **3** (2009), 349–367.
13. Rocha-Arteaga, A. and Sato K.: *Topics in Infinitely Divisible Distributions and Lévy Processes*. Aportaciones Matemáticas, Investigación 17, Sociedad Matemática Mexicana, 2003.
14. Sato, K.: *Lévy Processes and Infinitely Divisible Distributions*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
15. Sato, K. and Yamazato, M.: Stationary processes of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck type. *Probability Theory and Mathematical Statistics, 4-th USSR-Japan, Symp., Proceedings of 1982*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1021, Springer, Berlin, 541–551, 1983.
16. Sato, K. and Yamazato, M.: Operator-selfdecomposable distributions as limit distributions of processes of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck type. *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* **17**(1984), 73–100.
17. Steutel, F. W., Vervaat, W., and Wolfe, S. J.: Integer-valued branching processes with immigration. *Adv. Appl. Probab.* **15** (1983), 713–725.
18. Steutel, F. W. and van Harn, K.: *Infinite Divisibility of Probability Distributions on the Real Line*. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York-Basel, 2004.
19. Wolfe, S. J.: On a continuous analogue of the stochastic difference equation  $X_n = \rho X_{n-1} + B_n$ . *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* **12** (1982), 301–312.

NADJIB BOUZAR: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46227, USA

*E-mail address:* nbouzar@uindy.edu