# A Course on the Yosida Theorem Classical & Pointfree Versions & Applications

James J. Madden, Louisiana State University

Summer 2020

イロン イボン イヨン トヨ

1/14

Lecture 4. Applications: Archimedean *l*-Groups with Strong Unit Monoreflections

Tuesday, June 30, 2020

# The Yosida Representation (review of last lecture)

An important and influential reference for the material in Lectures 2 & 3 (and more) is:

Hager A W & Robertson L C, Representing and ringifying a Riesz space, *Symposia Mathematica* 21 (1975), 411 – 431.

A copy is in the Dropbox folder ("Hager-Robertson-1975.pdf")

In the present lecture, we will review the Yosida Representation, and then apply it to the category  $\mathbf{W}^*$  of archimedean  $\ell$ -groups with strong unit. A major goal of much research over the past several decades has been to generalize results concerning  $\mathbf{W}^*$  to the categories  $\mathbf{W}$  (archimedean  $\ell$ -groups with weak unit) and **Arch** (archimedean  $\ell$ -groups in general). In this lecture, we will present some of the  $\mathbf{W}^*$  prototype theorems.

Suppose A is an archimedean  $\ell$ -group with weak unit u. Then there is a compact Hausdorff space Y(A, u) and an  $\ell$ -isomorphism:

$$\widehat{()}_u: A \to \widehat{A}_u \subseteq D(Y(A, u)),$$

where  $\widehat{A}_u$  is a point-separating<sup>\*</sup>  $\ell$ -group of continuous extended-real-valued functions on Y(A, u) and  $\widehat{u}_u = 1$ .

\* We say a set A of functions in D(X) is point-separating if for any  $x_0, x_1 \in X$ , there is  $a \in A$  such that  $a(x_0) = 0$  and  $a(x_1) \neq 0$ .

Suppose *B* is an archimedean  $\ell$ -group with weak unit *v*, and  $\phi : A \to B$  is an  $\ell$ -group morphism with  $\phi(u) = v$ .

Then there is a continuous map:  $Y(\phi) : Y(B, v) \to Y(A, u)$  such that

$$\widehat{\phi(a)}_{v} = \widehat{a}_{u} \circ Y(\phi).$$

Suppose A is a point-separating  $\ell$ -group of continuous extended-real-valued functions on a compact Hausdorff space X, and  $1 \in A$ .

Then  $x \mapsto M_x := \{ a \in A \mid a(x) = 0 \}$  is a homeomorphism of X with Y(A, 1), and  $\widehat{a}_1(M_x) = a(x)$  for all  $a \in A$ .

# The Categories ${f W}$ and $\widehat{f W}$

Objects of **W**: Pairs (A, u), A an archimedean  $\ell$ -group;  $u \in A$  a weak unit.

Morphisms of **W**:  $\ell$ -group morphisms  $\phi : (A, u) \to (A', u')$ , with  $\phi(u) = u'$ .

Objects of  $\widehat{\mathbf{W}}$ : Pairs (A, X), where X is a compact Hausdorff space and A is a point-separating  $\ell$ -group of continuous extended-real-valued functions on X containing 1.

Morphisms of  $\widehat{\mathbf{W}}$ : A morphism from (A, X) to (A', X') is a continuous map  $\Phi : X' \to X$  such that for all  $a \in A$ ,  $a \circ \Phi \in A'$ . This implies in particular that  $\Phi^{-1}(a^{-1}(+\infty))$  must be nowhere dense in X' for all  $a \in A$ ; see (Hager Robertson 1975, Remark 2.13). A, as an algebra of functions on X, endows X with a geometric structure. The condition  $a \circ \Phi \in A'$  is a demand that  $\Phi$  preserve this structure. This is an embryonic version of idea underlying the idea of a "structure sheaf" — a topic that we will explore in detail later.

## Yosida Theorem: Categorical Interpretation

The Yosida Theorem says that the categories W and  $\widehat{W}$  are equivalent, via the functor Y, where

$$egin{aligned} \mathbf{Y}(A,u) &:= (\widehat{A}_u, Y(A,u)) \ \mathbf{Y}(\phi) &:= Y(\phi) \end{aligned}$$

Because of this, we need not distinguish between the two categories. Given any  $\phi : (A, u) \rightarrow (B, v)$  in **W**, we may assume without any loss of generality that

- A and B are ℓ-groups of continuous extended-real-valued functions on spaces Y(A, u) and Y(B, v) and
- $\phi$  is induced by a continuous map  $f: Y(B, v) \to Y(A, u)$ .

# Strong Units: the Categories W\* and C\*

**Definition.** Let A be an  $\ell$ -group. An element  $e \in A$  is called a *strong unit* if  $0 \le e$  and for every  $a \in A$ , there is  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|a| \le n e$ .

#### Definition.

- (*i*) The category  $\mathbf{W}^*$  is the full subcategory of  $\mathbf{W}$  whose objects are those pairs (A, e) such that e is a strong unit.
- (*ii*) The category  $C^*$  is the full subcategory of  $W^*$  whose objects are those pairs (A, e) such that  $\widehat{A}_e = C^*(Y(A, e))$  (all continuous real-valued functions on Y(A, e)—necessarily bounded, since Y(A, e) is compact).

#### C\* is a monoreflective subcategory of W\*

Suppose (A, e) is an object of  $W^*$ . Then we have a  $W^*$  morphism

$$ho_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathcal{A} 
ightarrow 
ho \mathcal{A} := \mathcal{C}^*(Y(\mathcal{A}, e)), ext{ where } 
ho_{\mathcal{A}}(a) := \widehat{a}_e$$

Comment: We have merely renamed a few things that we've already encountered —  $\rho_A$  is the representation morphism  $\widehat{()}_e$ . We do this to be able say things in a manner that meshes with category theory. In particular, we will show that  $\rho_A$  is a reflection map—a concept we will define on the next slide.

**Theorem.** Let (B, d) be an object of  $C^*$ , and let  $\phi : (A, e) \to (B, d)$  be a morphism of  $W^*$ . Then there is a  $C^*$ -morphism  $\overline{\phi} : (\rho A, e) \to (B, d)$  such that  $\phi = \overline{\phi} \circ \rho_A$ .

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we may assume  $B = C^*(Y(B, d))$ .  $\phi$  is induced by a continuous map  $f : Y(B, d) \to Y(A, e)$ , such that  $\widehat{\phi(a)}_d = \widehat{a}_e \circ f$ . Let c be any continuous function on Y(A, e). Since  $\widehat{c}_e \circ f$  is a continuous, real-valued function on Y(B, d), we have  $\widehat{c}_e \circ f \in B$ . Thus, we may define  $\overline{\phi}$  by  $\overline{\phi}(c) = \widehat{c}_e \circ f$ , for  $c \in \rho A$ .

10/14

#### Reflective subcategories

**Definition.** Suppose **C** is a category. We say **R** is *reflective* in **C** if:

- **R** is a full, isomorphism- closed subcategory of **C**, and
- ▶ for each object *A* of **C**, there is a morphism  $\rho_A : A \to \rho A$  that is universal to **R**, i.e.,  $\rho A$  is in **R** and for any **C**-morphism  $\phi : A \to B$  with codomain *B* in **R**, there is a **unique** morphism  $\overline{\phi} : \rho A \to B$ , with  $\phi = \overline{\phi} \circ \rho_A$ .



If  $\rho_A$  is monic (i.e., left-cancellable) for all A, then **R** is said to be *monoreflective*. Some examples of monoreflective subcategories:

С	Tych. sp.	distr. latt.	torsion-free ab. grps	<b>W</b> *
R	$\operatorname{cpt} T_2$ sp.	bool. latt.	divisible t-f ab. grps	<b>C</b> *

## Free objects in W\*

**Definition.** Let  $I := [0, 1] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . Let S be a set. For  $\alpha \in S$ , let  $x_{\alpha} : I^{S} \to I$  be the projection onto the  $\alpha^{th}$  factor, and let  $F_{\ell}^{*}(S)$  denote the sub- $\ell$ -group of  $C(I^{S})$  generated by  $\{x_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in S\} \cup \{1\}$ . Each element of  $F_{\ell}^{*}(S)$  is of the form  $\bigvee_{i=1}^{m} \bigwedge_{j=1}^{n_{i}} f_{ij}$ , where  $m, n_{i} \in \mathbb{N}$  and each  $f_{ij}$  is an integer plus an integer-linear combination of finitely many elements of  $\{x_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in S\} \cup \{1\}$ .

**Proposition.** Suppose  $(A, e) \in \mathbf{W}^*$  and  $\alpha \mapsto a_\alpha$  is a function from S to A, with  $0 \le a_\alpha \le e$  for all  $\alpha \in E$ . Then, there is a unique  $\ell$ -group morphism  $\phi : F_\ell^*(S) \to A$  such that  $\phi(1) = e$  and  $\phi(x_\alpha) = a_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in S$ .

*Proof.* For each  $\alpha \in S$ ,  $\widehat{a_{\alpha e}} : Y(A, e) \to I$ . Consider the map  $\Phi : Y(A, e) \to I^S$  whose component at  $\alpha$  is  $\widehat{a_{\alpha e}}$ . For all  $f \in F_{\ell}^*(S)$  define  $\phi(f) := f \circ \Phi$ . Clearly,  $x_{\alpha} \circ \Phi = \widehat{a_{\alpha e}}$ . Moreover, if  $f, g \in F_{\ell}^*(S)$ , then  $(f \lor g) \circ \Phi = (f \circ \Phi) \lor (g \circ \Phi)$  and  $(f + g) \circ \Phi = (f \circ \Phi) + (g \circ \Phi)$ , so  $\phi$  is an  $\ell$ -group morphism.

# Epimorphisms in $\mathbf{W}^*$

**Definition.** A morphism  $\epsilon : A \to B$  in a category **C** is said to be **C**-*epi* if for all **C**-morphisms  $\phi, \psi : B \to C$ ,  $\phi \circ \epsilon = \psi \circ \epsilon$  implies  $\phi = \psi$ .

*Examples.* **Set**-epi = surjective. A morphism  $f : A \rightarrow B$  of bounded distributive lattices is epi if every element of *B* is either in f(A) or is the complement of an element of f(A).

**Proposition.** A **W**<sup>\*</sup>-morphism  $\epsilon : (A, a) \to (B, b)$  is epi iff  $Y(\epsilon) : Y(B, b) \to Y(A, a)$  is injective.

*Proof Sketch.*  $\epsilon$  is **W**<sup>\*</sup>-epi iff  $\rho\epsilon$  is **C**<sup>\*</sup>-epi iff  $Y(\rho\epsilon)$  is **CptHaus**-mono iff  $Y(\rho\epsilon) = Y(\epsilon)$  is injective.

**Cor.** An object (A, a) of  $\mathbf{W}^*$  is *epicomplete* iff it is a  $C^*(X)$  for some compact Hausdorff space X.

# Some guiding problems suggested by W\*

In the lectures that follow, our goal will be to develop the theory needed to address the following:

- ▶ Is there a functorial representation for **Arch**? (Unsolved.)
- What are the free objects in W? (Solved, and easy to represent.) In Arch? (Solved, but not well-understood; seldom applied.)
- What are the epimorphisms in W? In Arch? (Solved by Ball & Hager. Not easy.)
- What are the epi-closed objects in W? In Arch? (Solved for W by Madden & Vermeer using locales. Solved for W and Arch by Ball & Hager without locales. Not easy.)
- What can we say about the monoreflective subcategories of W? (Much is known.) Of Arch? (Important problems are unsolved.)