A Course on the Yosida Theorem Classical & Pointfree Versions & Applications

James J. Madden, Louisiana State University

Summer 2020

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

1/11

Lecture 9 More Computations: Proof of Localic Yosida Concluded

Tuesday, August 4, 2020

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

2/11

## Review: Where we stand, now:

Let A be an Archimedean  $\ell$ -group, and suppose  $e \in A^+$ .

We have defined:

- (1) the "general Yosida locale"  $\mathcal{Y}A$  and the "topped Yosida locale"  $\mathcal{Y}(A, e)$ .
- (2) for each a ∈ A, the frame morphism Φ(a, e) : R → Y(A, e), and hence the map: Φ(\_, e) : A → R Y(A, e).

In the last lecture, we indicated some of the argument needed to show that  $\mathcal{RY}(A, e)$  is an  $\ell$ -group. We leave the full verification to the audience. (See "Madden-1992-frames.pdf" for hints.)

Comment on notation. We may write  $\mathcal{Y}_e A$  instead of  $\mathcal{Y}(A, e)$  and  $\Phi_e(a)$  instead of  $\Phi(a, e)$ . The map in (2), above, may be written  $\Phi_e : A \to \mathcal{R} \mathcal{Y}_e A$ .

The next step (cf. Lecture 7, slide 8, item 4) in our proof-sketch of the localic Yosida Theorem (constructive version) is to show that  $\Phi_e(a + b) = \Phi_e(a) + \Phi_e(b)$  for all  $a, b \in A$ . One must also verify that  $\Phi_e$  preserves  $\lor$ , but this is similar to (and easier than) showing that  $\Phi_e$  preserves +.

The classical Yosida Representation starts with algebraic data (maximal ideals) and then introduces a topology. The localic version inverts this: it starts with a localic construction, then shows that the algebraic structures carry through.

### What we need to prove

Pointwise addition of functions is described by the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \stackrel{\Delta}{\longrightarrow} X \times X & \stackrel{f \times g}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} & \stackrel{+}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{R}, \\ x & \longmapsto & (x, x) & \longmapsto & (f(x), g(x)) & \longmapsto & f(x) + g(x). \end{array}$$

The corresponding operation in frames is described thus (where  $\phi, \gamma : \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{O}$ ):

$$\mathcal{O} \longleftarrow \mathcal{\nabla} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O} \otimes \mathcal{O} \longleftarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes \gamma \longrightarrow \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{R} \longleftarrow \mathcal{R},$$
  
$$\bigvee \{ \phi(s,t) \land \gamma(u,v) | \cdots \} \longleftarrow \bigvee \{ \phi(s,t) \otimes \gamma(u,v) | \cdots \} \longleftarrow \bigvee \{ (s,t) \otimes (u,v) | \cdots \} \longleftarrow (p,q),$$
  
where  $\cdots$  stands for  $p \leq s + u \& t + v \leq q$ .

To show that  $\Phi_e(a + b) = \Phi_e(a) + \Phi_e(b)$ , we must show that:

$$\Phi_e(a+b)(p,q) = \bigvee \{ \Phi_e(a)(s,t) \land \Phi_e(b)(u,v) \mid p \leq s+u \& t+v \leq q \}.$$

The LHS is:

$$y_e\left(\left(a+b-pe\right)^+ \wedge \left(qe-(a+b)\right)^+
ight).$$

The RHS is:

$$\bigvee_{\text{in } \mathcal{Y}_{e}A} \left\{ y_{e} \left( (a-se)^{+} \land (te-a)^{+} \right) \land y_{e} \left( (b-ue)^{+} \land (ve-b)^{+} \right) \middle| p \leqslant s + u \& t + v \leqslant q \right\}.$$

Note:  $(\bigvee A) \land (\bigvee B) = \bigvee \{ (\bigvee A) \land b \mid b \in B \} = \bigvee \{ a \land b \mid a \in A, b \in B \}.$ 

<ロト < 部ト < 言ト < 言ト 言の < で 4/11

## What we need to prove (continued)

It suffices to show:

$$\begin{array}{ll} (i) & y_e \left( (a+b-pe)^+ \right) & = & \bigvee \left\{ y_e \left( (a-se)^+ \wedge (b-ue)^+ \right) \mid p \leqslant s+u \right\}, \\ (ii) & y_e \left( (qe-(a+b))^+ \right) & = & \bigvee \left\{ y_e \left( (te-a)^+ \wedge (ve-b)^+ \right) \mid t+v \leqslant q \right\}. \end{array}$$

#### The $\geq$ inequalities follow from:

**Lemma.** Any  $\ell$ -group satisfies the identity:  $(x + y)^+ \ge x^+ \land y^+$ .

Proof. 
$$(x + y)^{+} - (\frac{1}{2})(x + y) = (\frac{1}{2})|x + y|.$$
  
 $[x^{+} \wedge y^{+}] - (\frac{1}{2})(x + y) = (\frac{1}{2})[(x - y) \wedge (y - x)] = -(\frac{1}{2})|x - y|.$ 

We include the proof because it illustrates a strategy that we use again (with g and  $g_n$ , next slide).

To show the  $\leq$  inequalities, it suffices to show:

(i) 
$$[(1/2)(a + b - pe)^+ \land e] \in RU\{(a - se)^+ \land (b - ue)^+ \land e \mid s + u = p\}$$
, and  
(ii)  $[(1/2)(qe - (a + b))^+ \land e] \in RU\{(te - a)^+ \land (ve - b)^+ \land e \mid t + v \leq q\}$ .

Here, RUX denotes the set of relative-uniform limits of sequences of elements of X. Note that including the " $\wedge e$ " is permissible, because  $y_e(e) = \top_{\mathcal{Y}_eA}$ , and  $y_e(a \wedge b) = y_e(a) \wedge y_e(b)$ . The factor (1/2) is harmless, because  $y_e((1/2) a) = y_e(a)$ .

## Proof of (*ii*)

Suppose A is a divisible abelian  $\ell$ -group,  $a, b \in A$ ,  $e \in A^+$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ , and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let

$$g := \frac{1}{2} \left( q e - (a + b) \right), \text{ and } \qquad g_n := \bigvee_{i=-n^2}^{n^2} \left[ \left( \left( \frac{1}{2}q + \frac{i}{n} \right) e - a \right) \wedge \left( \left( \frac{1}{2}q - \frac{i}{n} \right) e - b \right) \right].$$

$$\mathbf{g} - \mathbf{g}_n = \frac{1}{2} \left( q \, \mathbf{e} - (\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}) \right) + \bigwedge_{i=-n^2}^{n^2} \left[ \left( \mathbf{a} - \left( \frac{1}{2} q + \frac{i}{n} \right) \mathbf{e} \right) \vee \left( \mathbf{b} - \left( \frac{1}{2} q - \frac{i}{n} \right) \mathbf{e} \right) \right]$$

$$= \bigwedge_{i=-n^2}^{n^2} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{2}(a-b) - \frac{i}{n}e \right) \vee \left( \frac{1}{2}(b-a) + \frac{i}{n}e \right) \right] = \bigwedge_{i=-n^2}^{n^2} \left| \frac{1}{2}(a-b) - \frac{i}{n}e \right|.$$
 Mensch!

**Lemma.** If  $f, w \in A$  and  $0 \leq w$ , then  $\bigwedge_{i=-m}^{m} |f - iw| \leq (|f| - mw) \vee w$ . ("Madden-1992-frames.pdf", 4.4.)

$$0 \leq g - g_n \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}|a - b| - ne\right) \lor \frac{1}{n}e$$
$$\leq \left(\frac{1}{2}|a - b| - ne\right)^+ \lor \frac{1}{n}e$$
$$(g - g_n)^+ \land e \leq \left(\left(\frac{1}{2}|a - b| - ne\right)^+ \land e\right) \lor \frac{1}{n}e$$

**Lemma.** If  $x, w \in A^+$ ,  $(x - nw)^+ \land w \leq \frac{1}{n}x$ . ("Madden-1992-frames.pdf", 4.3.)

$$(g^+ \wedge e) - (g^+_n \wedge e) \leqslant (g - g_n)^+ \wedge e \leqslant \frac{1}{n} \left( \frac{1}{2} |a - b| \vee e \right)$$

This concludes the proof of (ii).

6/11

## Remarks on a key lemma.

**Lemma.** If  $f, w \in A$  and  $0 \leq w$ , then  $\bigwedge_{i=-m}^{m} |f - iw| \leq (|f| - mw) \vee w$ .

Here are graphs of the functions in the lemma when w = 1,  $f = x^3 - 4x$  (in gray) and *m* takes the values 1, 5 and 40. The LHS is depicted in blue, and the RHS in orange.



# Summary

We have now completed the proof sketch of the following theorem:

**Localic Yosida.** Suppose A is an archimedean  $\ell$ -group, and  $e \in A^+$ . Let  $y_e : A^+ \to \mathcal{Y}_e A$  be the frame freely generated by  $A^+$  modulo relations  $(I_1)$ - $(I_4)$ , (Y) and  $y_e(e) = \top$ . Let  $\Phi_e : A \to \mathcal{R} \mathcal{Y}_e A$  be defined by

$$\Phi_e(a)(p,q) = y_e\left(\left(a - pe\right)^+ \wedge \left(qe - a\right)^+\right), \quad p,q \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{Y}_e A$  regular Lindelöf and  $\Phi_e$  is an  $\ell$ -homomorphism.

### What is ker $\Phi_e$ ? (Cf. Lecture 3, slides 5 and 8)

**Proposition.** Suppose A is an archimedean  $\ell$ -group and  $a, e \in A^+$ . The following are equivalent:

(i)  $a \in \ker \Phi_e$ ; (ii)  $y_e(a) = \bot$ ; (iii)  $a \land e = 0$ .

*Remark.* Suppose  $\phi : \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{O}$ . Then

$$\phi = 0 \iff \text{for all } p, q \in \mathbb{Q}, \ \phi(p,q) = \begin{cases} \top, & \text{if } p < 0 < q; \\ \bot, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof. 
$$(i \Rightarrow ii) \Phi_e(a) = 0$$
 implies  $y_e((a - pe)^+) = \begin{cases} \bot, & \text{if } p < 0; \\ \bot, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$   
Thus  $y_e(a) = y_e((a - 0e)^+) = \bot.$ 

 $(ii \Rightarrow iii)$   $y_e(a) = y(e) \land y(a) = y(e \land a)$ . Since A is archimedean,  $0 \le b \& y(b) = 0$  iff b = 0. (Caution/Question. "Archimedean" has more than one constructive interpretation. What are we using, here?)

$$\begin{aligned} (iii \Rightarrow i) \text{ Suppose } a \wedge e &= 0. \text{ Then, } y_e(a) = y_e(a \wedge e) = \bot. \text{ Also,} \\ (a - pe)^+ &= \begin{cases} a \vee -pe, & \text{if } p < 0; \\ a, & \text{if } 0 \leq p \end{cases}, \text{ and } (qe - a)^+ = \begin{cases} qe, & \text{if } q > 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } q \leq 0 \end{cases}. \text{ Thus} \\ y_e\left((a - pe)^+\right) &= \begin{cases} \top, & \text{if } p < 0; \\ \bot, & \text{if } 0 \leq p \end{cases}, \text{ and } y_e\left((qe - a)^+\right) = \begin{cases} \top, & \text{if } q > 0; \\ \bot, & \text{if } q \leq 0 \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$

9/11

٠

Reprise of Lecture 3: Maximal *l*-ideals in Archimedean case

**Definition.** For  $a \in A$ ,  $a^{\perp} := \{ b \in A \mid 0 = |a| \land |b| \}$ . We say *a* is a *weak unit* if  $0 \leq a$  and  $a^{\perp} = \{0\}$ .

**Theorem.** Suppose A is archimedean,  $a, b \in A$  and  $0 \le a \le b$ . Then  $a^{\perp}$  is the intersection of the values M of a such that  $b \in M^*(:= \langle M, a \rangle)$ .

**Corollary.** If A is archimedean, then  $a^{\perp}$  is the intersection of the values of a, and fin<sub>u</sub> b is dense in Y(A, a) for all  $b \in A$ .  $\uparrow$ this yields classical version of proposition on previous slide.

*Proof.* If  $a \notin M$  then  $a^{\perp} \subseteq M$ , so  $a^{\perp} \subseteq \bigcap Val(A, a)$ . To prove the opposite inclusion, suppose  $0 \leq x \notin a^{\perp}$ . Then,  $0 < x \land a$ . Let  $d := x \land a$ . Note that  $d \leq b$ . Since A is archimedean and 0 < d, we may—and do—pick  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $nd \leq b$ . Let

 $h := b - (n d \wedge b)$ , and  $g := n d - (n d \wedge b)$ .

Note that  $g \wedge h = 0$ . Pick *P* maximal missing *g*. Since *P* is prime,  $h \in P$ . Also, *P* does not contain *b* (otherwise, it would contain *g*, because  $0 < nd \leq nb$  and  $(nd \wedge b) \leq b$ ). Enlarge *P* to a value *M* of *b*. Since  $b \notin M$ but  $h \in M$ ,  $nd \wedge b \notin M$ , so  $d \notin M$ , so neither *x* nor *a* is in *M*. Clearly  $a \in \langle M, b \rangle = M^*$ , so *M* is a value of *a*.

# What's next?

- 1. Suppose  $e, f \in A^+$ . What is the relationship between  $\Phi_e$  and  $\Phi_f$ ?
- 2. Some thoughts about representations of Arch.
- 3. Examples of some representations:
  - ► Free divisible abelian ℓ-groups
  - Finitely-supported functions on N
  - Conrad-Martinez example
  - Countably-supported functions on an uncountable set

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト ヨー わへの

11/11

 Hager's Unusual Epicomplete Archimedean *l*-group ("Hager-2015-unusual.pdf")