The Sigmoid Beverton-Holt Model

William Bradford, Suzette Lake, Terrence Tappin, Simeon Weatherby

Louisiana State University SMILE Program

August 24, 2010

The Sigmoid Beverton-Holt Model

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{a x_n^{\delta}}{1 + x_n^{\delta}}.$$

The Sigmoid Beverton-Holt Model

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{a x_n^{\delta}}{1 + x_n^{\delta}}.$$

What exactly is the Sigmoid Beverton-Holt Model?

A discrete-time population model that uses a function of the number of individuals in the present generation to provide the expected population density for subsequent generations.

How does the SBHM apply to the real-world?

The SBHM can be used to:

- Obtained by the prevent diminishing stock sizes in the fishery industry.
- Ø Foresee the extinction of a given natural population.
- Olician Calculate insurance costs.
- Stimate the future population density of a given natural population.
- 9 Predict most future trends in natural populations.

What are we going to *do* with the SBHM?

Let's take a look at what happens when the parameter value, δ , is varied. We explored three cases in particular:

 $\delta = 1$ $\delta < 1$

 $\delta = 2$

Let's take it step-by-step, using the case $\delta = 1$ as our example.

Step 1: Set Value for δ

Start with the SBHM, $x_{n+1} = \frac{ax_n^{\delta}}{1+x_n^{\delta}}$, and set a value for δ . Here we have chosen $\delta = 1$ where 0 < a < 1, as shown below:

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{a x_n^{\ \delta}}{1 + x_n^{\ \delta}}$$

Step 1: Set Value for δ

Start with the SBHM, $x_{n+1} = \frac{ax_n^{\delta}}{1+x_n^{\delta}}$, and set a value for δ . Here we have chosen $\delta = 1$ where 0 < a < 1, as shown below:

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{ax_n^{\delta}}{1 + x_n^{\delta}} \Rightarrow x_{n+1} = \frac{ax_n}{1 + x_n}$$

when $\delta = 1$.

Equilibrium

A condition in which all acting influences are cancelled by others, resulting in a stable, balanced, or unchanging system.

Step 2: Simplify and Solve for x

Simplify the equilibrium equation and solve for x to find the equilibria.

$$x = \frac{ax}{1+x} \Rightarrow x(1+x) = ax$$

Which results in two equilibria: x = 0 x = a - 1

Note: Since we are looking at instances where 0 < a < 1, we will discard x = a - 1 because it does not provide a positive solution.

Local Asymptotic Stability

If the result of plugging the equilibrium value into the derivative of the equilibrium equation is between -1 and 1, then it is L.A.S.

Step 3: Test for Local Asymptotic Stability

Now we test the remaining equilibrium, x = 0, for L.A.S. First we find the derivative of the equilibrium equation:

$$f'(x) = \frac{a}{(1+x)^2}$$

Then we plug in our equilibrium value of 0:

$$f'(0) = rac{a}{1}$$

The result is less than 1 for all 0 < a < 1. Since this falls between -1 and 1 the equilibrium is L.A.S.

Global Asymptotic Stability

If the sequence is shown to be both bounded and increasing/decreasing monotonically, then it is known to be G.A.S.

Step 4: Test for Global Asymptotic Stability

Since x = 0 is L.A.S., we can now test it for G.A.S. by proving convergence.

To prove convergence two criteria must be satisfied:

- Sequence must be increasing or decreasing monotonically
- 2 Sequence must be bounded

Monotonic Convergence Theorem

If a sequence $\{x_n\}$ is monotonic (increasing or decreasing) and bounded, then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges.

Step 5: Prove Monotonicity

To prove monotonicity we set our recursion equation equal to our model and solve:

$$x_{n+1} - x_n = \frac{ax_n}{1 + x_n} - x_n$$

The resulting expression, $x_n(\frac{a-1-x_n}{1+x_n})$, is negative; so the sequence is decreasing monotonically.

Step 6: Prove the Sequence is Bounded

Since the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is decreasing $(x_{n+1} < x_n < ... < x_2 < x_1 < x_0)$, and x_m is greater than 0 for all m, we arrive at the following inequality:

$$0 < x_m \leq x_0.$$

Which tells us that the sequence is bounded by 0 and x_0 .

The sequence is both monotonic and bounded, therefore it is G.A.S.

Graph: $\delta = 1$, a = 1, initial value = .35

Graph: $\delta = 1$, a = 1, initial value = 1

The $\delta = 1$ Case

Summation of $\delta = 1$ Case

We have now shown our equilibrium, x = 0, to be not only L.A.S. but also G.A.S. for our model when $\delta = 1$ where 0 < a < 1. The same step-by-step process just used in our $\delta = 1$ case was also employed in our other two cases, where $\delta < 1$ and $\delta = 2$.

References

Kocic, Vlajko. Xavier University of Louisiana (2010)

Lecture Notes, *Louisiana State University Smile Program*. *Slides* June 10 – July 7.

Thompson, G. G. textitln S. J. Smith, J. J. Hunt and D. Rivard [ed.] Risk Evaluation and Biological Reference Points for Fisheries Management. (1993) A Proposal for a Threshold Stock Size and Maximum Fishing Mortality Rate *Can. Spec. Publ. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 120.* p.303-320.

Software E&F Chaos (software)

Documentation downloaded from http://www1.fee.uva.nl/cendef/whoiswho/makehp/page.asp?i[D=19

C. Diks, C. Hommes, V. Panchenko, R. vander Wielde, E&F Chaos: a user friendly software package for nonlinear dynamics *Computational Economics* 32, 221-244.

The End