

Solutions to Analysis Bank

II.1

Let \mathcal{A} be σ -algebra of X . $f: X \rightarrow X$ a map.

Need to prove $\{f^{-1}(S) : S \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is a σ -algebra \mathcal{B} .

i) $\emptyset = f^{-1}(\emptyset) \in \mathcal{B}$

ii) Let $S \in \mathcal{A}$, then $X \setminus f^{-1}(S) = f^{-1}(X \setminus S)$.
Hence $X \setminus f^{-1}(S) \in \mathcal{B}$.

iii) $f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} f^{-1}(E_i) \in \mathcal{B}$.

Hence by definition \mathcal{B} is a σ -algebra. ■

II.8

Consider the function :-

$$f: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$$

where $f(t) = \lambda(E \cap [0, t])$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $t_0 \in [0, 1]$. Without loss of generality assume $t > t_0$. Then

$f(t) - f(t_0) = \lambda(E \cap [t_0, t])$. ~~Let $E \subseteq [0, 1]$.~~
Then $\lambda(E \cap [t_0, t]) \leq \lambda([t_0, t]) = t - t_0$.

hence if $|t - t_0| < \varepsilon$

$$\Rightarrow |b(t) - b(t_0)| < \varepsilon$$

therefore b is continuous.

we have $b(0) = 0$ and $b(1) = l(E) > 0$

\therefore By intermediate value theorem $\exists t \in (0, 1)$

$$b(t) = \frac{l(E)}{2}$$

i.e. $l(E \cap [0, t]) = \frac{l(E)}{2}$

$\therefore A = E \cap [0, t]$

we have $l(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} l(I_k) : E \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k \right\}$

let $\varepsilon > 0$, $\exists \{I_k\}$ s.t. $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} l(I_k) < l(E) + \varepsilon$

Given that $l(E \cap I_k) \leq \frac{l(I_k)}{2}$

hence $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2 l(E \cap I_k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} l(I_k) < l(E) + \varepsilon$

we have that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} l(E \cap I_k) \geq l\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (E \cap I_k)\right) = l\left(E \cap \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k\right) = l(E)$$

$\therefore 2 l(E) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2 l(E \cap I_k) < l(E) + \varepsilon$

$\Rightarrow l(E) < \varepsilon \forall \varepsilon > 0 \Rightarrow l(E) = 0$

II.11

We will prove this in general for absolutely continuous function f . that is $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta$

s.t. for pairwise disjoint intervals $(x_k, y_k), 1 \leq k \leq n$ satisfying $\sum_{k=1}^n (y_k - x_k) < \delta \Rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^n |f(y_k) - f(x_k)| < \epsilon$.

Since A is measurable set ~~\mathbb{R}~~ ~~\mathbb{R}~~ ~~\mathbb{R}~~ and $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ then $\exists \{I_k\}$ s.t. $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} l(I_k) < \delta$ and $A \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k$. ~~I_k~~ we can choose I_k s.t. they are pairwise disjoint and we can find finitely many I_k s.t.

I_k s.t. $A \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^n I_k$.
hence $f(A) \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^n f(I_k)$.

$\therefore l(f(A)) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n |f(y_k) - f(x_k)| < \epsilon$.

$\therefore l(f(A)) < \epsilon \quad \forall \epsilon > 0$

$\Rightarrow l(f(A)) = 0$.

As $f = x^2$ and $f = \sqrt{x}$ are absolutely continuous, the result follows



(4)

II. 18

Let $\mathcal{B} = ([0, 1]^d)^d$, and $b: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.

$$\text{Let } F(x, y) = \chi_{\Gamma} \circ (x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (x, y) \in \Gamma \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then by Tonelli's theorem

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} F(x, y) dy dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} F(x, y) dy \right) dx$$

$$\text{For } x \text{ fixed, } F_x(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y = b(x) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Hence } \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} F_{\bullet x}(y) dy = 0$$

$$\therefore \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} F(x, y) dy dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} F_x(y) dy dx = 0$$

$$\text{LHS} = \lambda(\Gamma) = \text{RHS} = 0. \quad \blacksquare$$

II. 19) Let μ be finitely additive and $\mu(x) < \infty$.

Suppose μ is countably additive.

Let $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n, \dots$ with $\bigcap A_n = \emptyset$.

To prove $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n) = 0$

Let $B_1 = A_1 \setminus A_2$... and $B_n = A_n \setminus A_{n+1}$.

Then $\{B_i\}_1^\infty$ are disjoint sets.

Then $A_n = \bigcup_{k=n}^\infty B_k$

$\Rightarrow \mu(A_n) = \sum_{i=n}^\infty \mu(B_i)$

$\therefore \mu(X) < \infty \Rightarrow b = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu(B_i) = \mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty B_i) < \infty$

Hence suppose $s_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(B_i)$ and $s_n < s_{n+1}$ and

s_n is bounded. Every bounded increasing sequence has a

limit.

$\therefore \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = b < \infty \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (b - s_n) = 0$

Since $\mu(A_n) = b - s_n, \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n) = 0$

On the contrary suppose if $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty A_n = \emptyset$ and $A_1 \supseteq A_2 \supseteq \dots$ then

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n) = 0$

Let $\{B_i\}$ be disjoint sets. and

$A_1 = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty B_i$

~~$A_k = A_1 \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^k B_i$~~

$A_k = A_1 \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^k B_i$

easily $A_1 \supseteq A_2 \supseteq \dots$

then ~~$\mu(A_k) = \mu(A_1) - \sum_{i=1}^k \mu(B_i)$~~

Then $\mu(A_k) = \mu(A_1) - \mu(B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_k)$
 $= \mu(A_1) - \sum_{i=1}^k \mu(B_i)$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_k) = 0 \Rightarrow \mu(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_i)$$

$$\therefore \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_i)$$



II.22

Consider $\mathbb{1}_{-A} * \mathbb{1}_B(x)$.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbb{1}_{-A} * \mathbb{1}_B(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbb{1}_{-A}(x-y) \mathbb{1}_B(y) dy dx$$

(By Tonelli)

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbb{1}_{-A}(x-y) \mathbb{1}_B(y) dx \right) dy$$

$$\mathbb{1}_{-A}(x-y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x-y \in -A \text{ i.e. } x \in y-A \\ 0 & \text{if } x-y \notin -A \text{ i.e. } x \notin y-A \end{cases}$$

$$= \mathbb{1}_{y-A}(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{RHS} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbb{1}_{y-A}(x) \mathbb{1}_B(y) dx \right) dy$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \ell(y-A) \mathbb{1}_B(y) dy$$

Since Lebesgue measure is translation invariant & $\ell(-A) = \ell(A)$

$$\Rightarrow \text{RHS} = \ell(A)\ell(B) > 0$$

$$\text{Now LHS} = \int \left(\int \mathbb{1}_{-A}(x-y) \mathbb{1}_B(y) dy \right) dx$$

$$= \int \int \mathbb{1}_{(x+A)}(y) \mathbb{1}_B(y) dy dx$$

$$\text{Since } \mathbb{1}_{-A}(x-y) = \mathbb{1}_{(x+A)}(y)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \text{LHS} &= \int \int \mathbb{1}_{(x+A)}(y) \mathbb{1}_B(y) dy dx \\ &= \int \int \mathbb{1}_{(x+A) \cap B} dy dx \\ &= \int \mathbb{L}((x+A) \cap B) dx \end{aligned}$$

$$= \mathbb{L}(A)\mathbb{L}(B) > 0.$$

$\therefore \exists x_0$ s.t. $\mathbb{L}((x_0 + A) \cap B) > 0$.



#.24

Suppose $f_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ measurable. Let

$$S = \{x \mid \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) \text{ exists}\} \quad \text{i.e.}$$

$$\text{If } x \in S \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$$

$$\left(\begin{matrix} g \\ h \end{matrix} \right)$$

We have g and h are measurable, hence $g-h$ are measurable.

$\therefore S = (g-h)^{-1}(\{0\})$ is a measurable set as $\{0\}$ is a measurable set.



III. 1

(a) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sin\left(\frac{\pi x}{n}\right) = \sin(0) = 0.$

Let K be compact then \exists no s.t. $\forall n \geq n_0$ s.t.

$K \subseteq [-n, n].$

Then $\sup_{x \in K} |f_n(x)| = \sup_{x \in K} \left| \sin\left(\frac{\pi x}{n}\right) \right| \leq \sup_{x \in K} \left| \frac{\pi x}{n} \right| \leq \frac{n_0 \pi}{n}$

\therefore for $\epsilon > 0$ let n_1 be s.t. $\frac{n_0 \pi}{n_1} < \epsilon.$

$\therefore f_n \rightarrow 0$ on compact sets.

$\nexists f_n \rightarrow 0$ on \mathbb{R} uniformly $\Rightarrow \forall \epsilon > 0 \exists$ no s.t.

$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |f_n(x)| < \epsilon \quad \forall n \geq n_0$

But choose $x = \frac{n}{2}.$

Then $|f_n(x)| = \sin\left(\frac{\pi n}{2n}\right) = 1 > \epsilon$ if $\epsilon < 1.$

Hence $f_n \not\rightarrow 0$ uniformly on $\mathbb{R}.$

(b) $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx = 0$

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-n}^n \sin\left(\frac{\pi x}{n}\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\cos \frac{\pi x}{n}}{\pi/n} \Big|_{-n}^n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{\pi} [\cos \pi - \cos(-\pi)]$

$\approx \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{\pi} [-1 + 1] = 0.$

Assumptions of LDCT are not satisfied. since $\nexists g \in L^1$ s.t. $|g(x)| \geq |f_n(x)|$

(9)

If \exists such a g then $\int |f_n(x)| \leq \int |g| < \infty$

we have $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$\frac{1}{2} \chi_{[-\frac{5n}{6}, -\frac{n}{6}]} + \frac{1}{2} \chi_{[\frac{n}{6}, \frac{5n}{6}]} \leq |f_n(x)| \leq |g(x)|$$

$$\Rightarrow \int \frac{1}{2} \chi_{[-\frac{5n}{6}, -\frac{n}{6}]} + \frac{1}{2} \chi_{[\frac{n}{6}, \frac{5n}{6}]} \leq \int |g(x)| < \infty$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{2n}{3} \leq \int |g(x)| < \infty \quad \forall n.$$

which is a contradiction. ■

III.3

(a) $f_n(x) = \chi_{[1, n]}(x) \left(1 - \frac{x}{n}\right)^n$

$$\int_1^n \left(1 - \frac{x}{n}\right)^n dx = \frac{\left(1 - \frac{x}{n}\right)^{n+1}}{\frac{n+1}{-n}} \Big|_1^n$$

$$= \frac{-n}{n+1} \left[- \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{n+1} \right] = \frac{n}{n+1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^n$$

$$\therefore \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_1^n \left(1 - \frac{x}{n}\right)^n dx = 1 \cdot e^{-1} = e^{-1}$$

(b) $f_n(x) = \chi_{[1, 2n]}(x) \left(1 - \frac{x}{n}\right)^n$ then

$$\int_1^{2n} \left(1 - \frac{x}{n}\right)^n = \left(1 - \frac{x}{n}\right)^{n+1} \Big|_1^{2n} = \frac{-n}{n+1} \left[(-1)^{n+1} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{n+1} \right]$$

If $n = 2k+1$ then $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int \phi_{2k+1}(x) =$ (continued on next page)

$$= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-(2k+1)}{(2k+2)} \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{2k+1}\right)^{2k+1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2k+1}\right) \right]$$

$$= -1 [1 - e^{-1}] = e^{-1} - 1.$$

if $n = 2k$ then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int b_{2k}(x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-2k}{2k+1} \left[-1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{2k}\right)^{2k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2k}\right) \right]$$

$$= -1 [-1 - e^{-1}] = e^{-1} + 1.$$

\therefore lim does not exist. □

III.6

Suppose $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ are bounded. Then \exists subsequence $\{a_{n_k}\}$ and $\{b_{n_k}\}$ s.t. $a_{n_k} \rightarrow a$ and $b_{n_k} \rightarrow b$ and $|a_{n_k}| \leq m$ and $|b_{n_k}| \leq M \forall k$.

clearly $|f_n(x)| \leq m + M$.

\therefore By LDCT.

$$1 = \int_0^1 1 dx = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 a_{n_k} \sin(2\pi n_k x) + b_{n_k} \cos(2\pi n_k x)$$

$$= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[-a \frac{\cos 2\pi n_k x}{2\pi n_k} \Big|_0^1 + b \frac{\sin 2\pi n_k x}{2\pi n_k} \Big|_0^1 \right]$$

$$= 0 \neq \int_0^1 1 dx = 1. \quad \text{Hence we get}$$

a contradiction □

III. 7

Let $f_n(x) = \cos^{2n}(\pi f(x)) \leq 1$ on x .

$\therefore \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_x \cos^{2n}(\pi f(x)) dx = \int_x \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \cos^{2n}(\pi f(x)) d\mu(x)$

(By LDCT).

New $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } f(x) \notin \mathbb{Z} \\ 1 & \text{if } f(x) \in \mathbb{Z} \end{cases}$

$= \chi_{\{x : f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}\}}$

$\therefore \text{LHS} = \mu \{x : f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}\}$



III. 13

$f_n(x) = \frac{n}{x(\ln(x))^n}$; $x \geq e$

Put $\ln x = y \Rightarrow \frac{1}{x} dx = dy$

(a) $\int_e^\infty \frac{n}{x(\ln x)^n} dx = \int_1^\infty \frac{n}{y^n} dy = \frac{n}{1-n} y^{-n+1} \Big|_1^\infty = \frac{n}{n-1} < \infty$ for $n \geq 2$.

\therefore the integral exists for $n \geq 2$.

(b)

$\ln(x) > 1$ for $x > e$
 $\Rightarrow (\ln(x))^n \rightarrow \infty$ for $x > e$
 $\Rightarrow \frac{1}{(\ln(x))^n} \rightarrow 0$ for $x > e$.

By L'Hospital's rule for ∞ .

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{x(\ln x)^n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x \ln(\ln x) \ln(x)^n} = 0.$$

(c)
$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_e^{\infty} \frac{n}{x(\ln x)^n} dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{n-1} = 1$$

$$\int_e^{\infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{x(\ln x)^n} dx = 0$$

Hence LDCT is not satisfied.



III.14

$$f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \cos(xy) g(y) dy.$$

Let $x_n \rightarrow x$, then $|\cos(x_n y) g(y)| \leq |g(y)| \in L^1$.

Hence by LDCT

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \cos(xy) g(y) dy = f(x).$$

$\therefore f$ is continuous



III.16

$$f_n(x) = \frac{x^n}{n!} e^{-x}$$

(a) For $x > 0$, we have

$$x^{n-1} \leq (n-1)! e^x \text{ for } n \geq 1.$$

$$\therefore f_n(x) = \frac{x^n}{n!} e^{-x} \leq \frac{x (n-1)! e^x e^{-x}}{n!} = \frac{x}{n}$$

Hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = 0 \quad \forall x > 0.$

$$(b) I_n = \int_0^{\infty} b_n(x) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} e^{-x} dx$$

$$I_n = e^{-x} \frac{x^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \Big|_0^{\infty} + \int_0^{\infty} e^{-x} \frac{x^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} dx \quad [\text{By IBP}]$$

$$\therefore I_n = 0 + \int_0^{\infty} e^{-x} \frac{x^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} dx = I_{n+1}$$

$$\therefore \text{let } n=0 \Rightarrow \int_0^{\infty} e^{-x} dx = -e^{-x} \Big|_0^{\infty} = 1$$

Hence $I_n = 1 \quad \forall n \geq 0$.

$$(c) \text{ let } b_k(x) = \frac{x^n}{n!} \left(1 - \frac{x}{k}\right)^k \chi_{(0, k)} \quad \chi_{(0, k)}$$

We have Binomial expansion $b_k(x) \leq \frac{x^n}{k!} e^{-x}$

For fixed k , $0 \leq x \leq k$, $1 - \frac{x}{k} < 1$.

$$\text{let } \phi(y) = \left(1 - \frac{x}{y}\right)^y = e^{y \ln\left(1 - \frac{x}{y}\right)}$$

$$\phi'(y) = e^{y \ln\left(1 - \frac{x}{y}\right)} \left(y \left(\frac{1}{1 - \frac{x}{y}} \right) \left(\frac{x}{y^2} \right) + \ln\left(1 - \frac{x}{y}\right) \right)$$

\therefore For $0 < x < y$, $\phi'(y) > 0$.

Hence increasing.

$$\phi_k(x) = \frac{x^n}{n!} \left(1 - \frac{x}{k}\right)^k \chi_{(0, k)} \leq \frac{x^n}{n!} \left(1 - \frac{x}{k}\right)^k \chi_{(0, k+1)}$$

$$\leq \frac{x^n}{n!} \left(1 - \frac{x}{k+1}\right)^{k+1} \chi_{(0, k+1)} = \phi_{k+1}(x)$$

$$\therefore \text{By MCT} \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^k \frac{x^n}{n!} \left(1 - \frac{x}{k}\right)^k dx = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} e^{-x} dx = 1 \quad \forall n \geq 0$$



III. 18

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^{-n} \sin\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) dx$$

$$f_n(x) = \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^{-n} \sin\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)$$

Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = \frac{0}{e^x} = 0$.

Let $\phi(y) = \left(1 + \frac{x}{y}\right)^{-y} = e^{-y \ln\left(1 + \frac{x}{y}\right)}$.

Then $\phi'(y) = e^{-y \ln\left(1 + \frac{x}{y}\right)} \left[-y \left(\frac{1}{1 + \frac{x}{y}}\right) \left(-\frac{x}{y^2}\right) + \ln\left(1 + \frac{x}{y}\right) \right]$

$\phi'(y) > 0$ for $x > 0$ & $y > 0$.

Hence strictly increasing and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^{-n} = e^{-x}$.

$$\therefore f_n(x) \leq e^{-x} \sin\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) \leq e^{-x}$$

\therefore By LDCT.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^{-n} \sin\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) dx = 0$$



III. 19

(a) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^n \frac{\sin x}{1+n x^2} dx$.

$$\chi_{[0, n)} \frac{\sin x}{1+n x^2} \leq \frac{1}{1+n x^2} \leq \frac{1}{1+x^2} \in L^1((0, \infty))$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sin x}{1+n x^2} dx &= \int_0^{\infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sin x}{1+n x^2} dx \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} 0 dx = 0 \end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{e^n} \frac{x}{1+nx^2} dx.$$

It is Riemann integrable on $[0, e^n]$.

$$\text{Let } nx^2 = y \Rightarrow 2nx dx = dy$$

$$\int_0^{ne^{2n}} \frac{dy}{2n(1+y)} = \frac{1}{2n} \ln(1+y) \Big|_0^{ne^{2n}}$$

By L'Hospital's rule.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\frac{1}{1+ne^{2n}} \right) (ne^{2n} \cdot \frac{1}{2} + e^{2n}) \right)$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e^{2n} (2n+1)}{2(1+ne^{2n})} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(2n+1)}{2(e^{-2n} + n)}$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(2+1/n)}{n(e^{-2n}/n + 1)2} = \frac{2}{2} = 1.$$

III - 25

$$\int_0^1 f_n(x) dx = \int_0^{1/n} nx^2 + \int_{1/n}^{2/n} (2n - nx^2) + \int_{2/n}^1 0$$

$$= \frac{nx^3}{3} \Big|_0^{1/n} + 2nx \Big|_{1/n}^{2/n} - \frac{nx^3}{3} \Big|_{1/n}^{2/n}$$

$$= \frac{n^2}{2n^2} + 4 - 2 - \frac{n^2 \cdot 4}{n^2 \cdot 2} + \frac{n^2}{n^2 \cdot 2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} + 4 - 4 + \frac{1}{2} = 1$$

$$\therefore \int_0^1 f_n(x) g(x) dx - g(0) = \int_0^1 f_n(x) (g(x) - g(0)) dx$$

$$= \int_0^1 f_n(x) (g(x) - g(0)) dx$$

Since g is continuous $\Rightarrow g$ uniformly continuous on $[0, 1]$.

Let $\epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0$ s.t. $|g(x) - g(y)| < \epsilon \forall |x - y| < \delta$.

Choose n_0 s.t. $\frac{2}{n_0} < \delta$. Then $\forall n > n_0$.

$$\text{Then } \int_0^1 f_n(x) (g(x) - g(0)) \leq \int_0^1 f_n(x) \epsilon \leq \epsilon.$$

$$\text{Hence } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 f_n(x) (g(x) - g(0)) = 0.$$



III. 27

Let $f_n \in L^1([0, 1])$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_0^1 |f_n(x)| dx < \infty \text{ then P.T.}$$

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n(x) < \infty \text{ a.e. and.}$$

$$\int_0^1 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_0^1 f_n(x) dx.$$

Proof :- By Tonelli's theorem.

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_0^1 |f_n(x)| dx = \int_0^1 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |f_n(x)| dx < \infty$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n(x) \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |f_n(x)| < \infty \text{ a.e.}$$

Let $F(x, n) = f_n(x) \in L^1([0, 1] \times \mathbb{N})$
with Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]$ and counting measure on \mathbb{N} .

Hence by Fubini :

$$\int_0^1 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n(x) dx = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_0^1 f_n(x) dx.$$

III.29

Let $f \geq 0$ be integrable.

$$\int_0^1 (f(x))^n dx = \int_0^1 f(x) dx \quad \forall n.$$

Let $E = \{x : |f(x)| > 1\}$

$$\Rightarrow (f(x))^n \leq (f(x))^{n+1} \quad \forall x \in E.$$

Hence by MCT.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E (f(x))^n dx = \int_E \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f(x))^n dx = \infty,$$

we have that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f(x))^n = \infty$,

$$\text{and } \int_E (f(x))^n dx \leq \int_0^1 (f(x))^n dx = \int_0^1 f(x) dx < \infty \quad \forall n.$$

Hence we have that $\mu(E) = 0$.

$$\therefore 0 \leq f(x) \leq 1 \quad \text{a.e.}$$

$$\text{Now } \int_0^1 f(x)^2 dx = \int_0^1 f(x) dx \Rightarrow \int_0^1 f(x)(1-f(x)) dx = 0.$$

$$\therefore f(x) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 1-f(x) \geq 0 \\ \Rightarrow f(x)(1-f(x)) = 0 \quad \text{a.e.}$$

$\therefore b(x) = 0$ or $b(x) = 1$ a.e.

Let $A = b^{-1}(\{1\}) \Rightarrow b(x) = \chi_A(x)$.

where A is measurable set.

III. 35

Let $p(x_1, x_2) \neq 0$. Let $S = \{x : p(x_1, x_2) = 0\}$.
Then $(L \times L)(S) = 0$ needs to be proven.

Let $F(x_1, x_2) = \chi_S = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p(x_1, x_2) = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$.

Then by Tonelli's theorem

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(x_1, x_2) dx_1 dx_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} F(x_1, x_2) dx_1 \right) dx_2.$$

For fixed x_2 , $\{x_1 : p_{x_2}(x_1) = p(x_1, x_2) = 0\}$ is a finite set. (Fundamental theorem of algebra).

$\Rightarrow F_{x_2}(x_1) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p_{x_2}(x_1) = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

$$\therefore \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} F(x_1, x_2) dx_1 \right) dx_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} F_{x_2}(x_1) dx_1 \right) dx_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} 0 dx_2 = 0$$

III. 20

$f \in L^1((0, \infty))$ and $\int_{(0, \infty)} x |f(x)| < \infty$

let $g(y) = \int_{(0, \infty)} e^{-xy} f(x) dx$

we will show that ~~of~~ the integrand satisfies the three properties of dependence on parameter theorem. Hence g is differentiable.

$F(x, y) = e^{-xy} f(x)$

1. $y \mapsto e^{-xy} f(x)$ is clearly differentiable $\forall x$.

2. we know that $|e^{-xy}| \leq 1 \forall x, y > 0$

$\therefore e^{-xy} f(x) \in L^1 \forall y$

3. $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} = e^{-xy} (-x) f(x)$

$|\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}| = |x f(x)| e^{-xy} \leq |x f(x)| \in L^1(0, \infty)$

$\therefore g$ is differentiable at every $y \in (0, \infty)$



III. 44

let $f, h \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and

$f * h(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x-y) h(y) dy$

then $|f * h(x)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x-y)| |h(y)| dy$

$$\Rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f * h(x)| dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x-y)| |h(y)| dy dx$$

By Tonelli

$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |h(y)| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x-y)| dx \right) dy$$

$$\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |h(y)| dy \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)| dx \right) \quad \text{as Lebesgue measure is translation invariant.}$$

$$\leq \|h\|_1 \|f\|_1$$

$$\therefore f * h(x) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow f * h(x) < \infty \quad \text{a.e.}$$



Questions on L^p -spaces

III - 47

Need to show that $L^q(X) \subseteq L^p(X)$ if $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$ and $\mu(X) < \infty$.

If $q = \infty$ then $(\int_X |f|^p)^{1/p} \leq \|f\|_\infty \mu(X)^{1/p} < \infty$.

$\therefore L^\infty(X) \subseteq L^p(X)$.

If $q \neq \infty$, then let $r = q/p$.

By Hölder's Inequality

$$\int_X |f|^p d\mu = \int_X |f|^p \cdot 1 d\mu \leq \left(\int_X |f|^p \right)^{p/q} \left(\int_X 1 d\mu \right)^{1-p/q}$$

$$= \left(\int_X |f|^q \right)^{p/q} \mu(X)^{1-p/q}$$

$$\therefore \left(\int_X |f|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \left(\int_X |f|^q \right)^{1/q} \mu(X)^{1/p - 1/q}$$

Hence if $f \in L^q \Rightarrow f \in L^p$. ■

V.20

We have that $f \geq 0$ and $0 < \mu(X) < \infty$.

$$\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{c > 0} \{ c : \mu(\{x : |f(x)| \geq c\}) \neq 0 \}$$

By previous question we have that

$$\left(\int_X |f|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \|f\|_\infty \mu(X)^{1/p}$$

We use the fact that if $a > 0$ then $a^{1/p} \rightarrow 1$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\therefore \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|b\|_p \leq \|b\|_\infty.$$

To prove the other way, choose $\epsilon > 0$.

$$\text{let } S_\epsilon = \{x : |b(x)| \geq \|b\|_\infty - \epsilon\}.$$

By definition we have that $\mu(S_\epsilon) > 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \left(\int_X |b(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} &\geq \left(\int_{S_\epsilon} |b(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \geq \left(\int_{S_\epsilon} (\|b\|_\infty - \epsilon)^p dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &\geq (\|b\|_\infty - \epsilon) \mu(S_\epsilon)^{1/p} \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|b\|_p \geq \|b\|_\infty - \epsilon \quad \forall \epsilon > 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \|b\|_\infty \leq \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|b\|_p$$

$$\text{Hence } \|b\|_\infty = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|b\|_p.$$

~~Qual Exam~~
~~Aug 2019~~
Q. 10/11
a

8) let $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r}$. If $b \in L^p, g \in L^q$
show that $bg \in L^r$ and

$$\|bg\|_r \leq \|b\|_p \|g\|_q.$$

Proof :- we have that $\frac{r_1}{p} + \frac{r_1}{q} = 1 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{p/r_1} + \frac{1}{q/r_1} = 1$

then by Hölder's inequality

$$\int_0^1 \|bg\|_r^r = \int_0^1 |b|^r |g|^r \leq \left(\int_0^1 (|b|^r)^{p/r} \right)^{r/p} \left(\int_0^1 (|g|^r)^{q/r} \right)^{r/q}$$

$$\leq \|b\|_p^r \|g\|_q^r$$

$$\|bg\|_r \leq \|b\|_p \|g\|_q$$



Qualifying Exam Aug 2019

Q10)b).

Let $X = \mathbb{N}$, μ be counting measure and $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty$.

Let $\| (a_n) \|_p \leq 1 \Rightarrow \sum |a_n|^p \leq 1$

i.e. $|a_n| \leq 1 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, since $p \leq q$

$$\Rightarrow |a_n|^q \leq |a_n|^p$$

$$\therefore \sum |a_n|^q \leq \sum |a_n|^p \leq 1$$

hence $\| (a_n) \|_q \leq 1$ for $\| (a_n) \|_p \leq 1$.

Now let $b_n = \frac{a_n}{\| (a_n) \|_p}$ then

$$\| (b_n) \|_p \leq 1 \Rightarrow \| (b_n) \|_q \leq 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \left\| \frac{(a_n)}{\| (a_n) \|_p} \right\|_q \leq 1 \Rightarrow \| (a_n) \|_q \leq \| (a_n) \|_p$$



G. E.
Aug 2019
Q9

Let $\|f\| = \|f\|_p + \|f\|_q$.
We will prove it's a norm on $L^p \cap L^q$.

- 1) If $b=0 \Rightarrow \|b\|=0$
 If $\|b\|=0 \Rightarrow \|b\|_p = 0$ and $\|b\|_q = 0$.
 $\Rightarrow b=0$ a.e.

2) $\|cb\| = \|cb\|_p + \|cb\|_q = |c| (\|b\|_p + \|b\|_q) = |c| \|b\|$

3) $\|b+g\| = \|b+g\|_p + \|b+g\|_q \leq \|b\|_p + \|g\|_p + \|b\|_q + \|g\|_q$
 $\leq \|b\| + \|g\|$.

Hence a norm.

Let $\{f_n\}$ be a Cauchy sequence. Then $f_n \rightarrow g$ in L^p and $f_n \rightarrow h$ in L^q .

$\Rightarrow f_n \rightarrow g$ in measure and $f_n \rightarrow h$ in measure

$$\mu \{ |g-h| \geq \epsilon \} \leq \mu \{ \alpha : |g-f_n| + |f_n-h| \geq \epsilon \}$$

$$\leq \mu \{ \alpha : |g-f_n| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \} + \mu \{ \alpha : |f_n-h| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \} \rightarrow 0$$

$\therefore \mu \{ |g-h| \geq \epsilon \} = 0 \quad \forall \epsilon > 0$
 $\Rightarrow g=h$ a.e.

Hence $f_n \rightarrow g$ in L^p and L^q . since

$$\|f_n - g\| = \|f_n - g\|_p + \|f_n - g\|_q$$



Some Problems from Analysis Q.E.

Jan 2022

Q3. $\mu_b(A) = \int_A b d\mu$. ν a positive measure $\nu \geq 0$.

Let $g = \chi_B$ then

$$\int_X \chi_B d\mu_b = \int_B b d\mu$$

$$\mu_b(B) = \int_B b d\mu = \int_X \chi_B b d\mu$$

\therefore $\forall b$ ϕ_n are simple functions we have

$$\int_X \phi_n d\mu_b = \int_X \phi_n b d\mu$$

Suppose $g \geq 0$ then $\exists \{\phi_n\}$ simple functions s.t.

$\phi_n \uparrow g$ a.e. By MCT

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X \phi_n d\mu_b = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X \phi_n b d\mu$$

($\because \phi_n b \geq 0$ & $\phi_n b \leq \phi_{n+1} b$)

$$\int_X \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n d\mu_b$$

$$\int_X \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n b d\mu$$

$$\int_X g d\mu_b = \int_X g b d\mu$$

Suppose $g \in L^1(X, \mu_b)$ then write

$g = g_+ - g_-$ and

$$\int_X g d\mu_b = \int_X g_+ d\mu_b - \int_X g_- d\mu_b =$$

$$\int_X g_+ b d\mu - \int_X g_- b d\mu = \int_X g b d\mu$$

Hence $g \in L^1(\mu_b) \Leftrightarrow gb \in L^1(\mu)$.



Q5)

$X \rightarrow$ countable, μ -counting measure.

Let $f_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ converge uniformly to f .

Then $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists n_0$ s.t. $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon \forall x \in X, \forall n \geq n_0$.

$\Rightarrow \mu \{x : |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \epsilon\} = 0 \forall n \geq n_0$.

Hence $f_n \rightarrow f$ in measure.

Conversely, let $f_n \rightarrow f$ in measure.

Let $\epsilon > 0, c > 0$ then $\exists n_0$ s.t.

$\# \{x : |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq c\} < \epsilon$.

Choose $\epsilon < 1$, then $\exists n_0$ s.t.

$\# \{x : |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq c\} < 1 \forall n \geq n_0$

Since μ is counting measure $\# \{x\}$ is either 0 or 1

Hence $\# \{x : |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq c\} = 0 \forall n \geq n_0$

$\Rightarrow |f_n(x) - f(x)| < c \forall x \in X$ and $\forall n \geq n_0$.

Hence $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly.



Q3 a) let $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly, $\mu(X) < \infty$. then $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists n_0$ s.t. $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon \quad \forall n \geq n_0, \forall x \in X$.

$$\Rightarrow \int_X |f_n - f| \leq \int_X \epsilon d\mu \leq \epsilon \cdot \mu(X) \quad \forall n \geq n_0.$$

Since $\mu(X) < \infty$, implies that $f_n \rightarrow f$ in L^1 .

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X |f| d\mu &= \int_X |f - f_{n_0} + f_{n_0}| d\mu \leq \int_X |f - f_{n_0}| d\mu + \int_X |f_{n_0}| d\mu \\ &\leq \epsilon \cdot \mu(X) + \int_X |f_{n_0}| d\mu < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

$f \in L^1$.

b) let $f_n = \frac{1}{n} \chi_{[-n, n]}$.

then $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_n d\mu = \frac{1}{n} \cdot 2n = 2 < \infty$.

Now $f_n \rightarrow 0$ uniformly as $\forall \epsilon > 0$ let n_0 be s.t.

$$\frac{1}{n_0} < \epsilon \quad \text{then}$$

$$|\frac{1}{n} \chi_{[-n, n]}(x)| \leq \frac{1}{n_0} < \epsilon$$

$$\therefore \frac{1}{n} \leq \frac{1}{n_0} \quad \forall n \geq n_0$$

$\Rightarrow f_n \rightarrow 0$ ~~uniformly~~ uniformly.

By But $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_n - 0| = 2 \not\rightarrow 0$ ~~so~~

$\therefore f_n \not\rightarrow 0$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$



Aug 2021

28

Q9) :- let X, Y be Banach spaces.

let $Z = cX + Y$ then

$$\begin{aligned} T^t(\phi)(cX+Y) &= \phi(T(cX+Y)) = \phi(cT(X) + T(Y)) \\ &= c\phi(T(X)) + \phi(T(Y)) = cT^t(\phi)(X) + T^t\phi(Y). \end{aligned}$$

clearly $T^t(\phi)(X) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $T^t\phi$ is linear.

let $x_n \rightarrow 0$ then $T^t\phi(x_n) = \phi(Tx_n)$

$\because T$ is bounded $\Rightarrow Tx_n \rightarrow 0$, $\because \phi \in Y^*$

$$\phi(Tx_n) \rightarrow 0$$

$\Rightarrow T^t\phi(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ hence continuous.

$\therefore T^t\phi \in X^*$ $\forall \phi \in Y^*$.

To prove T^t is linear. $T^t(c\phi + \psi)(X) = (c\phi + \psi)(TX)$
 $= cT^t\phi(X) + T^t\psi(X)$

$$\|T^t\| = \sup_{\|\phi\| \leq 1} \|T^t\phi\| = \sup_{\|\phi\| \leq 1} \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} |T^t\phi(x)|$$

$$= \sup_{\|\phi\| \leq 1} \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} |\phi(Tx)| \leq \sup_{\substack{\|\phi\| \leq 1 \\ \|x\| \leq 1}} \|\phi\| \|Tx\| \leq \|T\|.$$

hence T^t is bounded and $\|T^t\| \leq \|T\|$

~~Jan 2021~~

Aug 2020

Part II
Q 3

$$X = Y = [0, 1]$$

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{B} [0, 1]$$

$\mu \rightarrow$ Lebesgue measure $\nu =$ counting measure

i) $\int_Y \int_X \mathbb{1}_{D_y}(x, y) d\mu d\nu$

$$\mathbb{1}_{D_y}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x=y \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\therefore \int_X \mathbb{1}_{D_y}(x, y) d\mu = \int_X \mathbb{1}_{D_y}(x) d\mu = 0$$

$$\therefore \int_Y \int_X \mathbb{1}_{D_y}(x, y) d\mu d\nu = 0$$

$$\int_X \left(\int_Y \mathbb{1}_{D_y}(x, y) d\nu \right) d\mu = \int_X \left(\sum_Y \mathbb{1}_{D_x}(y) \right) d\mu$$

$$\text{i.e. } \mathbb{1}_{D_x}(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x=y \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\therefore \int_Y \mathbb{1}_{D_x}(y) d\nu = \sum_{\substack{\text{formal} \\ y \in [0, 1]}} \mathbb{1}_{D_x}(y) = 1$$

$$\therefore \int_{[0, 1]} 1 d\mu = 1$$

ii) Fubini's theorem fails as $([0, 1], \nu)$ with counting measure is not σ -finite. ■

Aug 2019

Q4

$$f_n = n^{-1/p} \chi_{[0, n]} \quad 1 \leq p < \infty.$$

(a) Choose $\varepsilon > 0$, let n_0 be s.t.

$$\frac{1}{(n_0)^{1/p}} < \varepsilon.$$

then $|f_n(x)| \leq |f_{n_0}(x)| < \varepsilon \quad \forall n \geq n_0 \quad \forall x.$

$$\therefore \text{for } n \geq n_0 \quad (n_0)^{1/p} \leq (n)^{1/p}.$$

hence $f_n \rightarrow 0$ uniformly

(b) $f_n \rightarrow 0$ in measure.

since $f_n \rightarrow 0$ uniformly

$\Rightarrow f_n \rightarrow 0$ in measure.

(c) $(\int |f_n|^p)^{1/p} = \left(\int_{[0, n]} \left(\frac{1}{(n)^{1/p}} \right)^p \right)^{1/p} = (1)^{1/p} = 1$

$$\rightarrow 0 = \int_0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

hence $f_n \rightarrow 0$ in L^p .

Jan 2019

Q1) a) $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ measurable.

let $A = \{x : |f(x)| < \infty\}$. By the question $\mu(A) > 0$.

$$\text{let } \mu(A) = c, \quad \infty$$

$$B_n = \{x : f(x) \leq n\} \subseteq A.$$

$$A = \bigcup B_n$$

we have $B_1 \subseteq B_2 \subseteq \dots$ and $\mu(B_1) \leq \mu(B_2) \leq \dots$

$\therefore \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(B_n) = \mu(A) = c$

i.e. $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists n_0$ s.t. $|\mu(B_{n_0}) - c| < \epsilon$
 $\Rightarrow c - \mu(B_{n_0}) < \epsilon$ i.e. $c - \epsilon < \mu(B_{n_0})$

choose ϵ s.t. $0 < c - \epsilon$

$\therefore \exists \mu(B_{n_0}) > 0$ and for $x \in B_{n_0} \quad |\phi(x)| \leq n_0$
 hence ϕ is bounded.

(b) $\phi \in L^1(X, \mu)$. let $A_n = \{x : |\phi(x)| \geq n\}$ then

$\int_X \phi \geq \int_{A_n} \phi \geq \int_{A_n} n d\mu = n \mu(A_n)$

$\Rightarrow \mu(A_n) \leq \frac{\int_X \phi}{n} < \infty \quad \forall n.$

Since A_n are measurable, \therefore

$\{x : \phi(x) \neq 0\} = \bigcup_1^\infty A_n$

hence the set on LHS is σ -finite

(c) $\phi \in L^1, \psi \in C_c^\infty$. let $F(x, y) = \psi(y)\phi(x-y)$

i) then $f(x) = \int \psi(y)\phi(x-y)$

ii) let $x_i = 0$ then $\psi(y)\phi(x-y) \in L^1$
 is clearly differentiable for each $x_i \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$

As $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\psi(y)| |\phi(x-y)| = \sup |\phi(y)| \int |\psi(y)| < \infty$

(a) $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} = b(y) \frac{\partial g^q(x-y)}{\partial x_i}$

$|\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}| \leq |b(y)| \sup |\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}| \leq M |b(y)| \in L^1$

more $\frac{\partial f * g^q(x)}{\partial x_i} = \int \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(x,y) dy = \int b(y) \frac{\partial g^q(x-y)}{\partial x_i}$



(b1)
b

let $\phi(t) = \nu([0, t]) < \infty$

$\int_{[0, \infty)} \mu(\{x : |b(x)| > t\}) d\nu(t) = \int_{[0, \infty)} \sum_X \chi_{\{x : |b(x)| > t\}}^{(x)} d\mu(x) d\nu(t)$

By Fubini's theorem

$= \int_X \sum_{[0, \infty)} \chi_{\{x : |b(x)| > t\}} dt d\mu(x)$
 $= \int_X \nu\{t : t < |b(x)|\} d\mu(x)$
 $= \int_X \phi(|b(x)|) d\mu(x)$



Q: - (a) Let (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) be finite space. $f: X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ measurable
 and $f < \infty$ a.e. s.t. $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0$ set
 $E \in \mathcal{A}$ s.t. $\mu(E^c) < \delta$ and f is bounded on E

(b) Give an eg. that shows one can not always find
 a null set E^c s.t. f bounded on E .

(c) Give eg. showing that the statement is not
 true for $\mu(X) = \infty$.

\Rightarrow (a) Let $E_k = \{x: |f(x)| \leq k\}$.

then $E_k^c = \{x: |f(x)| > k\}$

we have $E_1 \supseteq E_2 \supseteq \dots$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu(E_k^c) &= \mu\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k^c\right) \\ &= \mu(\{x: |f(x)| = \infty\}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \exists k_0$ s.t.
 $\mu(E_{k_0}^c) < \epsilon$

and on E_{k_0} f is bounded.

(b) Let $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \chi_{\left(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n-1}\right]}$

f is measurable.

Suppose E be a measurable set s.t. f is bounded
 on E then $E \subseteq E_k$ for some k .

$$\Rightarrow \mu(E^c) \geq \mu(E_k^c)$$

$$E_k^c = \{x : |b(x)| > k\} = (0, \frac{1}{k+1}]$$

$$\therefore \mu(E_k^c) = \frac{1}{k+1} > 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu(E^c) > 0.$$

(c) let $b: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where $f(x) = x$, then $b < \infty$ a.e.
Suppose E is s.t. b is bounded i.e.

$$|b(x)| \leq M \Rightarrow E \subseteq E_M = [-M, M].$$

$$\therefore \mu(E^c) \geq \mu(E_M^c) = \infty.$$

\nexists a set such a set E .

Analysis Bank
II-15

$$\begin{aligned} \text{we have that } f^{-1}(x) &= \{x : b(x) = x\} \\ &= \{x : b(x) \leq x\} \cap \{x : b(x) \geq x\} \\ &= f^{-1}([-\infty, x]) \cap f^{-1}([x, \infty]). \end{aligned}$$

Since $[-\infty, x)$ is measurable set $\Rightarrow [-\infty, x)^c = [x, \infty)$ is also measurable. $\therefore f^{-1}([x, \infty])$ is measurable.

$$\text{Now } [-\infty, x] = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} [-\infty, x + \frac{1}{n})$$

$$\therefore f^{-1}([-\infty, x]) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} f^{-1}([-\infty, x + \frac{1}{n})) \text{ is a measurable set}$$

Hence $f^{-1}(x)$ is a measurable set