

**Multilinear Functionals**

Let  $V$  be a vector space of dimension  $n$  over  $\mathbb{F}$ . We will use bold letters  $\mathbf{v}$  to stand for  $k$ -tuples of elements of  $V$ , i.e., elements of  $V^k$ .<sup>1</sup>

**Definition.** A  $k$ -multilinear functional on  $V$  is a function  $f : V^k \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  that is linear in each vector variable separately. I.e., for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} a f(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k) + b f(\mathbf{v}'_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k) &= f(a\mathbf{v}_1 + b\mathbf{v}'_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k) \\ a f(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_i, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k) + b f(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}'_i, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k) &= f(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, a\mathbf{v}_i + b\mathbf{v}'_i, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k) \\ &\text{etc.} \end{aligned}$$

In other words, if we hold all the vector variables in all places *except* the  $i^{\text{th}}$  fixed, then the resulting function from  $V$  to  $\mathbb{F}$  is linear.

*Example.* Suppose  $\{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3\}$  is a basis for  $V$  and suppose  $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, j = 1, 2$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}_1 &= a_{11}\varepsilon_1 + a_{12}\varepsilon_2 + a_{13}\varepsilon_3 \in V, \\ \mathbf{a}_2 &= a_{21}\varepsilon_1 + a_{22}\varepsilon_2 + a_{23}\varepsilon_3 \in V, \\ \mathbf{a} &= (\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2) \in V^2 \end{aligned}$$

If  $f : V^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  is 2-multilinear, then

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{a}) &= f(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2) \\ &= a_{11}f(\varepsilon_1, \mathbf{a}_2) + a_{12}f(\varepsilon_2, \mathbf{a}_2) + a_{13}f(\varepsilon_3, \mathbf{a}_2) \\ &= a_{11} \left( a_{21}f(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1) + a_{22}f(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) + a_{23}f(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_3) \right) \\ &\quad + a_{12} \left( a_{21}f(\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_1) + a_{22}f(\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_2) + a_{23}f(\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3) \right) \\ &\quad + a_{13} \left( a_{21}f(\varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_1) + a_{22}f(\varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_2) + a_{23}f(\varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_3) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Extending this pattern, we see that if  $V$  has a basis  $\mathcal{E} = \{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n\}$ ,  $f$  is  $k$ -multilinear and  $\{a_{ij}\}$  is a  $k \times n$  matrix with entries in  $\mathbb{F}$ ,  $\mathbf{a}_i = a_{i1}\varepsilon_1 + \dots + a_{in}\varepsilon_n \in V$  and  $\mathbf{a} = (\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_k) \in V^k$ , then

$$f(\mathbf{a}) = \sum_{\phi: [k] \rightarrow [n]} a_{1\phi(1)} \cdots a_{k\phi(k)} f(\varepsilon_{\phi(1)}, \dots, \varepsilon_{\phi(k)}). \quad (1)$$

Here,  $[k] := \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ ,  $[n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , and we are summing over all possible functions.

**Exercise 1.** (a) Show that the set of all  $k$ -multilinear functionals on  $V$  is a sub-vector-space of  $F^{V^k}$  (the vector space of functions from  $V^k$  to  $\mathbb{F}$ ). (b) Show that if  $f$  is a  $k$ -multilinear functional on  $V$  and  $L : V \rightarrow V$  is any linear function, then  $f \circ (L, \dots, L)$  is  $k$ -multilinear, where  $f \circ (L, \dots, L)(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k) := f(L(\mathbf{v}_1), \dots, L(\mathbf{v}_k))$ .

**Exercise 2.** (a) Let  $\mathcal{E} = \{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n\}$  be a basis of  $V$ . Suppose that  $\omega : \mathcal{E}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  is any function. (In other words,  $\omega$  is simply an assignment of elements of  $\mathbb{F}$  to  $k$ -tuples of basis vectors.) Then, there is a unique  $k$ -multilinear functional  $\bar{\omega} : V^k \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  such that  $\bar{\omega}|_{\mathcal{E}} = \omega$ . (b) Show that the vector space of all  $k$ -multilinear functionals on  $V$  has dimension  $n^k$ .

**Alternating multilinear functionals**

**Definition.** A  $k$ -multilinear functional  $f$  on  $V$  is said to be *alternating* if  $f(\mathbf{w}) = 0$  whenever  $\mathbf{w} \in V^k$  has a repeated entry.

**Exercise 3.** Show that the set of all alternating  $k$ -multilinear functionals on  $V$  is a vector space. Show that if  $f$  is alternating, then so is  $f \circ (L, \dots, L)$ ; cf. Exercise 1.

<sup>1</sup> Our textbook makes an effort to work with row vectors, and uses notation that reflects this. For example, the book uses  $e_i^t$  to refer to the row associated with the column vector  $e_i$  in the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{F}^n$ . I have decided to give a more abstract presentation, but have chosen my notation to remain compatible with the book. For example, I use  $\varepsilon_i$  where the book might refer to  $e_i^t$ . (This whole footnote can be ignored, unless you want to look for the precise parallels between my notes and the book's treatment of this topic.)

**Lemma.** Suppose  $f$  is  $k$ -multilinear. Then  $f$  is alternating if and only if

$$f(\mathbf{v}) = -f(\mathbf{v}') \text{ whenever } \mathbf{v}' \in V^k \text{ is obtained from } \mathbf{v} \in V^k \text{ by transposing two entries.} \quad (\tau)$$

*Proof.* Alternating implies  $(\tau)$ : Let  $\widehat{f}$  be the functional that we obtain by holding fixed all arguments except those that are switched in passing from  $\mathbf{v}$  to  $\mathbf{v}'$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{f}(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2) + \widehat{f}(\mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_1) &= \widehat{f}(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2) + \widehat{f}(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_1) + \widehat{f}(\mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_1) + \widehat{f}(\mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_2) \\ &= \widehat{f}(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2) + \widehat{f}(\mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2) \\ &= \widehat{f}(\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $f(\mathbf{v}) + f(\mathbf{v}') = 0$ , so  $f(\mathbf{v}) = -f(\mathbf{v}')$ .

$(\tau)$  implies alternating: **Exercise 4.**

//////

## Determinants

**Lemma 1.** Suppose  $\dim V = n$ . The space of all alternating  $n$ -multilinear functionals on  $V$  has dimension  $\leq 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a basis for  $V$ . By the exercise,  $f$  is determined by its restriction to  $\mathcal{E}^n$ . Since  $f$  is alternating, it vanishes on any  $n$ -tuple in  $\mathcal{E}^n$  with a repeated entry. Any  $n$ -tuple with no repeats is a permutation of  $(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$ , and that value of  $f$  at any such element is equal to  $\pm f(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$ .

//////

**Lemma 2.** Suppose  $\dim V = n$ . There is a non-zero alternating  $n$ -multilinear functional on  $V$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n\}$  be a basis for  $V$ . Define a function  $\mathcal{E}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  by setting  $(\varepsilon_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \varepsilon_{\sigma(n)}) \mapsto \text{sgn } \sigma$  for each permutation  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ , and setting  $(\varepsilon_{j(1)}, \dots, \varepsilon_{j(n)}) \mapsto 0$  if  $j$  is any function from  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  to  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  that is *not* injective. By Exercise 1, this defines a non-zero  $n$ -multilinear functional on  $V$ , which we call  $D_{\mathcal{E}}$ . If  $\mathbf{a} \in V^k$  and  $\{a_{ij}\}$  is the matrix of coefficients that we use to write  $\mathbf{a}$  in terms of  $\mathcal{E}$ , as in Equation (1), then we have:

$$D_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbf{a}) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} a_{1\sigma(1)} \cdots a_{n\sigma(n)} \text{sgn } \sigma, \quad (2)$$

where  $S_n$  is the set of permutations of  $[n]$ . We need to show  $D_{\mathcal{E}}$  is alternating. So, suppose  $\tau \in S_n$  is a transposition and  $\mathbf{a}^{\tau}$  is defined by  $(\mathbf{a}^{\tau})_i = \mathbf{a}_{\tau(i)}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbf{a}^{\tau}) &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} a_{\tau(1)\sigma(1)} \cdots a_{\tau(n)\sigma(n)} \text{sgn } \sigma \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} a_{1\sigma\tau(1)} \cdots a_{n\sigma\tau(n)} \text{sgn } \sigma \quad (\text{recall } \tau = \tau^{-1}) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} a_{1\sigma(1)} \cdots a_{n\sigma(n)} \text{sgn } \sigma\tau \\ &= - \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} a_{1\sigma(1)} \cdots a_{n\sigma(n)} \text{sgn } \sigma \\ &= -D_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbf{a}). \end{aligned} \quad \text{//////}$$

*Comment.* In every one of the sums in the proof above, each of the products  $a_{1\sigma(1)}a_{2\sigma(2)} \cdots a_{n\sigma(n)}$ ,  $\sigma \in S_n$  occurs in exactly one term with a coefficient of either 1 or  $-1$ .

Note that we can define  $D(A)$  for an arbitrary  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  with entries from  $\mathbb{F}$  using (2). We can interpret this as the case of (2) where  $\mathcal{E}$  is the standard basis for  $\mathbb{F}^n$ , viewed as a row space.

**Homework.** Read the rest of the section on determinants. Do the four exercises in these notes. Also, attempt Problem 40, page 86.