M7210 Lecture 11.

Determinants (cont.)

Let us adjust notation to match the text. If A is an $n \times n$ matrix, the entry in its i^{th} row and j^{th} column will be written A_{ij} . Previously, I called this a_{ij} . So, all we are doing is using upper case letters where previously we had lower case. Also, in place of D(A), we will write det A. Both notations are widely used. When I refer to "the rows of A," I really mean the set of n tuple of elements of \mathbb{F}^n , where \mathbb{F}^n is viewed as a row space.

In the last lecture, we showed:

Proposition 1. The vector space of all alternating *n*-multilinear functional on the rows of A is 1-dimensional. /////

We defined

$$\det A := \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} A_{1\sigma(1)} \cdots A_{n\sigma(n)} \operatorname{sgn} \sigma, \qquad (10.2)$$

and showed that det is an non-zero alternating *n*-multilinear functional on the rows of A. By Proposition 1, det is—up to a constant multiple—the *only n*-multilinear functional on the rows of A. The following, which is obvious from the definition, tells us which multiple it is:

Proposition 2. If I is the $n \times n$ identity matrix, then det I = 1.

Proposition 3. If B is an $n \times n$ matrix, then det(AB) = (det A)(det B).

Proof. Applying Exercise 10.1, $\det(AB)$ is an alternating linear function of the rows of A. By Proposition 1, $\det(AB) = \det A c(B)$, where c(B) is a constant depending on B. If we let A = I, we get $\det B = c(B)$.

Proposition 4. det A = 0 if and only if A has no inverse.

Proof. If A has an inverse, then det A has an inverse, so det $A \neq 0$. If A does not have an inverse, then there is an invertible matrix B so that $B^{-1}AB$ has a row of zeroes, so det $(B^{-1}AB) = 0$ and by Proposition 3, det A = 0.

Proposition 5. Let A^t be the transpose of A, i.e., $A_{ij}^t = A_{ji}$. Then, det $A^t = \det A$.

Proof.

$$\det A^{t} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}} A^{t}_{1\sigma(1)} \cdots A^{t}_{n\sigma(n)} \operatorname{sgn} \sigma$$
$$= \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}} A_{\sigma(1)1} \cdots A_{\sigma(n)n} \operatorname{sgn} \sigma$$
$$= \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}} A_{1\sigma^{-1}(1)} \cdots A_{n\sigma^{-1}(n)} \operatorname{sgn} \sigma.$$

Now, the result follows because $\operatorname{sgn} \sigma^{-1} = \operatorname{sgn} \sigma$.

Let $\widehat{A_{ij}}$ be the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix obtained from A by deleting the i^{th} row and j^{th} column. $(-1)^{i+j} \det \widehat{A_{ij}}$ is called the $(i, j)^{th}$ cofactor of A.

Proposition 6. For any *j*:

$$\det A = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{i+j} A_{ij} \det \widehat{A_{ij}}.$$
(*)

/////

Remark 1. Let us look at the n = 2, j = 1 case. Suppose $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$. Then $\widehat{A_{11}} = d$ and $\widehat{A_{21}} = b$, and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2} (-1)^{i+1} A_{i1} \det \widehat{A_{i1}} = ad - cb.$$

Community Problem 1. Suppose $f : V^k \to \mathbb{F}$ is multilinear and $f(\mathbf{w}) = 0$ whenever a pair of *adjacent* entries in \mathbf{w} are equal. Prove that f is alternating.

Proof of Proposition 6. Fix n and j. Suppose A is the identity matrix. Then in the right hand side of (*) the i^{th} term is non-zero only when i = j. Since $\widehat{A_{jj}}$ is the identity matrix, this term is equal to 1. We finish the proof in two steps, showing first the each term on the right hand side is an n-multilinear functional of the rows to conclude that entire sum is n-multilinear, and second—using the "Community Problem"— that the sum is alternating. Now, for any fixed i, det $\widehat{A_{ij}}$ regarded as a functional on the rows of A other than the i^{th} is n-1-multilinear. Moreover, A_{ij} is linear on the i^{th} row. Thus the product, $A_{ij} \det \widehat{A_{ij}}$ is n-multilinear. (The reader should check this carefully. Notice that if $g_i : W_i \to \mathbb{F}$ is linear for each $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$, then the map $(w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_\ell) \mapsto g_1(w_1) \cdot g_2(w_2) \cdots g_\ell(w_\ell)$ from $\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} W_i$ to \mathbb{F} is ℓ -multilinear.) It remains only to see that the right hand side of (*) is alternating, and it suffices to show that it vanishes if two consecutive rows of A are equal. Suppose rows k and k + 1 are equal. In the RHS of (*), every term but those indexed by i = k and i = k+1 vanish, because when $i \notin \{k, k+1\}$, $\widehat{A_{ij}}$ has a repeated row. So, we need to show:

$$(-1)^{k+j} A_{kj} \det \widehat{A_{kj}} + (-1)^{(k+1)+j} A_{(k+1)j} \det \widehat{A_{(k+1)j}} = 0$$

$$\det \widehat{A_{kj}} = \det \widehat{A_{(k+1)j}},$$

/////

and this is obvious.

Proposition 7. (Vandermonde matrix). Let r_1, \ldots, r_n be scalars and let A be the matrix $A_{ij} = r_j^{i-1}$. Then

$$\det A = \prod_{j>i} (r_j - r_i).$$

Whole Community Problem. Find (on your own, or using references) as many proofs of this as you can.

Eigenvalues and eigenvectors

Definition. Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. Then $v \in \mathbb{F}^n \setminus \{\overline{0}\}$ is called an *eignvector* for A with *eigenvalue* λ if $Av = \lambda v$ for some scalar λ .

Proposition. A has an eigenvector with eigenvalue λ if and only if det $(\lambda I - A) = 0$.

Comment. det $(\lambda I - A)$ is a polynomial of degree n in λ . It is called the *characteristic polynomial* of A. We find the eigenvalues of A by finding the roots of this polynomial. We find the eigenspace for λ by finding the null space of $\lambda I - A$.

Proposition. Suppose v_1, \ldots, v_k are eigenvectors for A (an $n \times n$ matrix) with distinct eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k$. Then, v_1, \ldots, v_k are linearly independent.

Proof. Suppose $c_1v_1 + \cdots + c_kv_k = 0$. We show that all the c_i vanish. Multiplying by A repeatedly, we get $\lambda_1^j c_1v_1 + \cdots + \lambda_k^j c_kv_k = 0$ for $j = 1, \ldots, k - 1$. Let Λ be the Vandermonde matrix for the λ_i —which is non-singular—and let B be the matrix with rows c_iv_i . Then, $\Lambda B = 0$, so all the rows of B vanish, so all the c_i vanish.

Homework. 19, 20, 23, 26, 28, 32, 33 (Due Friday, Sept 21.)

Community Problems. 31, 34, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44