

## Commutative Rings III

We assume all rings are commutative and have multiplicative identity (different from 0).

## Units and zero-divisors

**Definition.** Let  $A$  be a commutative ring and let  $a \in A$ .

- i)  $a$  is called a *unit* if  $a \neq 0_A$  and there is  $b \in A$  such that  $ab = 1_A$ .
- ii)  $a$  is called a *zero-divisor* if  $a \neq 0_A$  and there is non-zero  $b \in A$  such that  $ab = 0_A$ . A (commutative) ring with no zero-divisors is called an *integral domain*.

*Remark.* In the zero ring  $Z = \{0\}$ ,  $0_Z = 1_Z$ . This is an annoying detail that our definition must take into account, and this is why the stipulation “ $a \neq 0_A$ ” appears in i). The zero ring plays a very minor role in commutative algebra, but it is important nonetheless because it is a valid model of the equational theory of rings-with-identity and it is the final object in the category of rings. We exclude this ring in the discussion below (and occasionally include a reminder that we are doing so).

**Fact.** In any (non-zero commutative) ring  $R$  the set of zero-divisors and the set of units are disjoint. *Proof.* Let  $u \in R \setminus \{0\}$ . If there is  $z \in R$  such that  $uz = 0$ , then for any  $t \in R$ ,  $(tu)z = 0$ . Thus, there is no  $t \in R$  such that  $tu = 1$ .

**Fact.** Let  $R$  be a ring. The set  $U(R)$  of units of  $R$  forms a group.

*Examples.* The units in  $\mathbb{Z}$  are 1 and  $-1$ . In  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ , the units are  $1, i, -1, -i$ . In a field, all non-zero elements are units. If  $A$  is a domain, the units of  $A[x]$  are the units of  $A$ , because when  $A$  is a domain, the degree of the product of two polynomials is the sum of the degrees of each separately). In  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ , the units are the residues of the integers that are prime to  $n$ . Every other non-zero element of  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  is a zero-divisor.

*Example.*  $A$  is *not* a domain, then there may be units in  $A[x]$  that are not in  $A$ . For example, if  $A = \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ , then

$$\left(\bar{1} + \bar{2}x\right)^2 = \bar{1} + \bar{4}x + \bar{4}x^2 = \bar{1},$$

**Exercise 1.** Show that  $\bar{1} + \bar{p}x$  is a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ . What are the units in  $(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})[x]$ ? What are the units in  $(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})[x]$ ?

**Definition/Exercise.** Let  $R$  be a ring. Two elements  $s, t \in R$  are said to be *associates* if there is a unit  $u \in R$  such that  $s = ut$ . Show that being associates is an equivalence relation. Show that equivalence classes may be multiplied unambiguously.

**Fact.** Every field is an integral domain. *Proof.* All non-zero elements of a field are units, so there are no zero-divisors.

**Exercise 2.** A finite integral domain is a field.

**Exercise 3.** Suppose  $D$  is an integral domain that contains a field  $F$ . Suppose further that  $D$  is finite-dimensional over  $F$ . Can you conclude that  $D$  is a field?

**Proposition.** Every integral domain is a subring of a field.

*Comment.* More important than the fact itself is the way we construct a field from any integral domain. This is described in the proof.

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be an integral domain, and let  $S = A \setminus \{0_A\}$ . We define a relation  $\sim$  on  $A \times S$  as follows:

$$(a_1, s_1) \sim (a_2, s_2) :\Leftrightarrow a_1 s_2 = a_2 s_1.$$

We will show this is an equivalence relation. It is obviously reflexive and symmetric. In order to prove transitivity, we use the fact that if a product of elements of  $A$  is 0, then one of the factors is zero. Suppose  $a_1 s_2 = a_2 s_1$  and  $a_2 s_3 = a_3 s_2$ . Multiplying the first equation by  $s_3$  and the second by  $s_1$ , we get  $a_1 s_2 s_3 = a_3 s_1 s_2$ , so  $(a_1 s_3 - a_3 s_1) s_2 = 0$ . But by assumption,  $s_2 \neq 0$ , so  $a_1 s_3 = a_3 s_1$ . We denote the equivalence class of  $(a, s)$  by  $\frac{a}{s}$ , and the set of all equivalence classes is denoted  $S^{-1}A$ . We now define addition on  $S^{-1}A$  by

$$\frac{a_1}{s_1} + \frac{a_2}{s_2} := \frac{a_1 s_2 + a_2 s_1}{s_1 s_2},$$

and multiplication by

$$\frac{a_1}{s_1} \cdot \frac{a_2}{s_2} := \frac{a_1 a_2}{s_1 s_2}.$$

These operations make  $S^{-1}A$  into a ring that contains a copy of  $A$ , namely  $\{\frac{a}{1} \mid a \in A\}$ . The proof is routine, but requires a lot of checking; see the exercise below. Every non-zero element of  $S^{-1}A$  has an inverse, since if  $a \neq 0$ , then

$$\frac{a}{s} \cdot \frac{s}{a} = \frac{as}{as} = 1_{S^{-1}A}.$$

Thus  $S^{-1}A$  is a field that contains  $A$ . /////

**Exercise 4.** Finish the proof.

**Exercise 5.** The field constructed in the proof is called the fraction field of  $A$ . Show that the embedding of  $A$  in its fraction field has the following universal property. If  $\phi : A \rightarrow F$  is any injective ring homomorphism and  $F$  is a field, then there is a unique extension of  $\phi$  to the fraction field of  $A$ .

## Ideals and Prime and Maximal Ideals

*Warm-up.* Suppose  $A$  is a ring. Then for all  $a \in A$ ,  $a0_A = 0_A$ .

*Reminder.* Let  $A$  be a commutative ring. A subgroup of the additive group of  $A$  with the property that

$$\text{for all } a \in A, \text{ and all } y \in I, ay \in I \tag{1}$$

is called an *ideal*.<sup>1</sup>

**Fact.** The kernel of any ring homomorphism is an ideal. If  $I \subseteq A$  is an ideal, the set of (additive) cosets of  $I$  has a multiplication defined unambiguously by  $(a + I)(b + I) = ab + I$ , and with this operation  $A/I$  is a ring and  $a \mapsto a + I$  is a ring homomorphism.

---

<sup>1</sup> If  $A$  is not assumed commutative, this is called a *left ideal*. An ideal must be closed under both “out-in” and “in-out” multiplication, i.e., it must satisfy (1) and also for all  $a \in A$ , and all  $y \in I$ , we must have  $ya \in I$ .