

Characteristic and minimum polynomials

Using the same notation as in the previous lecture, the *characteristic polynomial* of A is

$$f(x) = \det(xI - A) = d_{n-s+1}d_{n-s+2} \cdots d_n(x),$$

and the *minimum polynomial* of A is $d_n(x)$.

Recall that $\mathbb{F}[x]z_i \cong \mathbb{F}[x]/(d_i(x))$. Therefore, $d_i(x)z_i = 0$. Since $d_i(x)|d_j(x)$ if $i < j$, $d_n(x)z_i = 0$ for all i . It follows that $d_n(x)x^jz_i = 0$ for all i and j . Since every element of V is a linear combination of some x^jz_i s for various i and j , it follows that $d_n(x)v = 0$ for all $v \in V$. Thus, $d_n(L) = 0$. This is a stronger statement than:

Theorem. (Cayley-Hamilton.) *Suppose $L : V \rightarrow V$ is a linear map, A is the matrix for L (with respect to a basis) and $f(x) = \det(xI - A)$. Then $f(L) = 0$.*

The Cayley-Hamilton theorem can be proved directly, and it is true not just for endomorphisms of a vector space, but for endomorphisms of any finitely-generated module over any commutative ring. *In the following, R will be a commutative ring with 1 and M will be a finitely-generated R -module.*

Theorem. (Generalized Cayley-Hamilton; see Eisenbud, *Commutative Algebra*, p. 120.) *Let $J \subset R$ an ideal and let $\phi : M \rightarrow M$ be an R -module endomorphism such that $\phi(M) \subseteq JM$. Then there is a monic polynomial $p(x) \in R[x]$,*

$$p(x) = x^n + p_1x^{n-1} + \cdots + p_n, \text{ with } p_i \in J^i,$$

such that $p(\phi) = 0$.

Comment. The theorem is meaningful and informative even in the case that $J = R$, but we get useful additional information when J is a proper ideal. Reminder: JM is the submodule of M generated by $\{ym \mid y \in J, m \in M\}$. It consists of all sums $\sum_{i=1}^k y_i m_i$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $y_i \in J$ and $m_i \in M$.

Proof. Let m_1, \dots, m_n be a finite set of generators for M and let A be a matrix expressing ϕ with respect to these generators:

$$\phi(m_i) = \sum_j a_{ij}m_j, \text{ with } a_{ij} \in J.$$

(Note that the A is not unique, nor is every $n \times n$ matrix with entries from R necessarily associated with an endomorphism. These things are true if the m_i form a basis for M , but we have not assumed that they do, nor even that M has a basis.) Now, regard M as an $R[x]$ -module by letting x act as ϕ , i.e., $xm := \phi(m)$, for $m \in M$. Let \mathbf{m} be the column vector whose entries are the m_j . If I is the $n \times n$ identity matrix, then

$$(xI - A)\mathbf{m} = 0.$$

If we multiply on the left by the matrix of cofactors of $xI - A$, we get

$$[\det(xI - A)]I \cdot \mathbf{m} = 0.$$

Let $p(x) := \det(xI - A)$. The previous line shows that $p(x)m_j = p(\phi)m_j = 0$ for all m_j . Accordingly $p(\phi) = 0$. It is clear from the definition of the determinant that the coefficient in p of x^i is in J^{n-i} . /////

Corollary. *If $\alpha : M \rightarrow M$ is a surjective homomorphism of R -modules, then α is an isomorphism. (We are assuming that M is finitely-generated. This is not true otherwise.)*

Proof. We will apply Cayley-Hamilton with the ring being $R[t]$. Regard M as an $R[t]$ -module by letting $tm = \alpha(m)$. For the ideal J we take $(t) \subset R[t]$. Since α is surjective, $IM = M$. For the endomorphism ϕ , we take id_M . The theorem gives us a polynomial $q(t, x) \in R[t][x]$ such that $q(t, \text{id}_M) = 0$. Now,

$$q(t, x) = x^n + q_1(t)x^{n-1} + \cdots + q_n(t), \text{ with } q_i(t) \in (t^i).$$

This means that each $q_i(t)$ has zero constant term. Thus, $q(t, \text{id}_M) = 0$ is of the form $(1 - Q(t)t)$ for some $Q(t) \in R[t]$ and so $Q(t)t = tQ(t) = 1$. Thus $Q(\alpha) = \alpha^{-1}$. Since α has an inverse, it is an isomorphism. /////

Corollary. *Any set $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1, \dots, f_n\} \subseteq R^n$ that generates R^n forms a free basis.*

Proof. Define $\beta : R^n \rightarrow R^n$ by $\beta(e_i) = f_i$, where $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is the standard basis. Then β is surjective, hence an isomorphism, so \mathcal{F} is a free basis. /////

Corollary. *Any basis of R^n has n elements.*

Proof. Suppose $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, \dots, g_m\} \subseteq R^n$ generates R^n . If $m < n$, then let $g_{m+1} = \cdots = g_n = 0$, and let $\mathcal{G}' = \{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$. Then by the first part of the corollary, \mathcal{G}' is a free basis—but this is absurd. Thus, any generating set (hence, any free basis) of R^n must have *at least* n elements. If R^n contained a free basis with $s \geq n$ elements, then $R^n \cong R^s$. The same argument shows that n cannot be strictly less than s . Thus, any free basis of R^n has n elements. /////