

## 18.781 Solutions to Problem Set 9 - Fall 2008

Due Thursday, Nov. 13 at 1:00

1. (Niven 7.8.2) Suppose that  $N$  is a nonzero integer. Prove that if  $x^2 - dy^2 = N$  has one solution, then it has infinitely many.

We are given that  $x^2 - dy^2 = N$  has one solution, let's call it  $(x_0, y_0)$ . Then we know that there are infinitely many solutions  $(x_i, y_i)$  to Pell's equation:

$$x_i^2 - dy_i^2 = 1.$$

For each of these solutions, we consider the following:

$$\begin{aligned} N &= N \cdot 1 = (x_0^2 - dy_0^2)(x_i^2 - dy_i^2) \\ &= (x_0 - \sqrt{d}y_0)(x_0 + \sqrt{d}y_0)(x_i - \sqrt{d}y_i)(x_i + \sqrt{d}y_i) \\ &= (x_0 - \sqrt{d}y_0)(x_i - \sqrt{d}y_i)(x_0 + \sqrt{d}y_0)(x_i + \sqrt{d}y_i) \\ &= \left( (x_0x_i + dy_0y_i) - \sqrt{d}(x_0y_i + y_0x_i) \right) \left( (x_0x_i + dy_0y_i) + \sqrt{d}(x_0y_i + y_0x_i) \right) \\ &= (x_0x_i + dy_0y_i)^2 - d(x_0y_i + y_0x_i)^2. \end{aligned}$$

So each of the infinite solutions to Pell's equation gives a solution to the given equation, so there are infinitely many.

2. (Niven 7.8.3) Prove that  $x^2 - dy^2 = -1$  has no solution if  $d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .

If  $d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , then looking at the equation mod 4, we get the congruence

$$x^2 + y^2 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}.$$

But the squares mod 4 are just 0 and 1. Two of these clearly cannot be combined to make 3, so there are no solutions to the equation for these values of  $d$ .

3. (Niven 7.1.1) Expand the following fractions into simple continued fractions:

(a)  $\frac{17}{3}$

(c)  $\frac{8}{1}$

(b)  $\frac{3}{17}$

(d)  $\frac{71}{34}$ .

(a)

$$\frac{17}{3} = 5 + \frac{2}{3} = 5 + \frac{1}{\frac{3}{2}} = 5 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{2}} = [5, 1, 2].$$

(b)

$$\frac{3}{17} = 0 + \frac{1}{\frac{17}{3}} = 0 + \frac{1}{5 + \frac{1}{\frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{2}}}} = [0, 5, 1, 2]$$

(c)

$$\frac{8}{1} = 8 = [8].$$

(d)

$$\frac{71}{34} = 2 + \frac{3}{34} = 2 + \frac{1}{\frac{34}{3}} = 2 + \frac{1}{11 + \frac{1}{3}} = [2, 11, 3].$$

4. Prove that if  $x = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_r]$  is greater than 1, then  $\frac{1}{x} = [0, a_0, a_1, \dots, a_r]$ .

If  $x > 1$ , then  $a_0 > 0$ , since it is equal to  $[x]$ . Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{x} = \frac{1}{[a_0, a_1, \dots, a_r]} = 0 + \frac{1}{a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \dots}}}$$

5. (Niven 7.1.3) Convert the continued fractions into rational numbers:

(a)  $[2, 1, 4]$

(c)  $[0, 1, 1, 100]$ .

(b)  $[-3, 2, 12]$

(a)

$$2 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{4}} = 2 + \frac{1}{\frac{5}{4}} = 2 + \frac{4}{5} = \frac{14}{5}.$$

(b)

$$-3 + \frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{12}} = -3 + \frac{1}{\frac{25}{12}} = -3 + \frac{12}{25} = -\frac{63}{25}.$$

(c)

$$0 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{100}}} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{101}{100}}} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{100}{101}} = \frac{1}{\frac{201}{101}} = \frac{101}{201}.$$

6. (Niven 7.1.4 & 7.1.5) Suppose that  $c > d$ , and that all  $a_i$  are integers.

(a) Prove that  $[a_0, c] < [a_0, d]$ .

We have  $c > d$ , so  $\frac{1}{c} < \frac{1}{d}$ , and by adding  $a_0$  to both sides we get the inequality.

(b) Prove that  $[a_0, a_1, c] > [a_0, a_1, d]$ .

Let  $c' = [a_1, c]$  and  $d' = [a_1, d]$ . Then by the above argument, we get  $d' > c'$ , and so, using the above argument again, we get the middle inequality in the following:

$$[a_0, a_1, c] = [a_0, c'] > [a_0, d'] = [a_0, a_1, d].$$

- (c) Prove that  $[a_0, a_1, \dots, a_r, c] < [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_r, d]$  if and only if  $r$  is even, with the opposite (strict) inequality when  $r$  is odd.

So this is an induction on  $r$ . Above we have shown that the statement holds for  $r = 0, 1$ . Now suppose the statement holds for all  $r$  from 0 to  $k - 1$ . Then we want to prove the corresponding inequality for  $[a_0, a_2, \dots, a_k, c]$  and  $[a_0, a_2, \dots, a_k, d]$ . If we drop the first term of each, we have the opposite inequality to the one we are trying to prove between  $[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k, c]$  and  $[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k, d]$ , by the inductive hypothesis (it falls under  $r = k - 1$ ). If we invert both sides of this inequality, we switch its direction to the type we are trying to prove, and then we add  $a_0$  to both sides to prove the statement for  $r = k$ .

7. (Niven 7.3.1 & 7.3.2)

- (a) Evaluate  $[1, 1, 1, \dots]$ .

This infinite continued fraction converges to a number  $x$  that must satisfy the equation

$$x = 1 + \frac{1}{x},$$

by the recursion in the definition of the continued fraction. We can solve this by multiplying through by  $x$ .

$$x^2 - x - 1 = 0,$$

so the quadratic formula gives

$$x = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}.$$

Since  $x$  is clearly greater than 1, because its first term in the continued fraction expansion is 1, we must have the positive square root. So

$$[1, 1, 1, \dots] = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2},$$

also known as  $\phi$ , the golden ratio.

- (b) Evaluate  $[2, 1, 1, 1, \dots]$ .

$$[2, 1, 1, 1, \dots] = 1 + [1, 1, 1, 1, \dots] = 1 + \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} = \frac{3 + \sqrt{5}}{2}.$$

- (c) Evaluate  $[2, 3, 1, 1, 1, \dots]$ .

$$\begin{aligned} [2, 3, 1, 1, 1, \dots] &= 2 + \frac{1}{2 + [1, 1, 1, \dots]} = 2 + \frac{1}{2 + \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}} \\ &= 2 + \frac{2}{5 + \sqrt{5}} = 2 + \frac{10 - 2\sqrt{5}}{20} = \frac{25 - \sqrt{5}}{10}. \end{aligned}$$

8. (Niven 7.3.4) For  $i \geq 1$ , prove that

$$\frac{k_i}{k_{i-1}} = [a_i, a_{i-1}, \dots, a_2, a_1].$$

Find and prove a similar formula for  $\frac{h_i}{h_{i-1}}$  (*Hint: Use the Euclidean algorithm on  $k_i$  and  $k_{i-1}$* ).

We have a recursion to find the  $k_i$ 's that is:  $k_{-2} = 1$ ,  $k_{-1} = 0$ , and  $k_i = a_i k_{i-1} + k_{i-2}$  for  $k \geq 0$ . So clearly  $k_0 = 1$  and  $k_1 = a_1$ . This proves the above formula for  $i = 1$ . Now assume inductively that the formula holds for  $i < n$ . Then  $k_n = a_n k_{n-1} + k_{n-2}$  implies

$$\frac{k_n}{k_{n-1}} = a_n + \frac{k_{n-2}}{k_{n-1}} = a_n + \frac{1}{\frac{k_{n-1}}{k_{n-2}}} = a_n + \frac{1}{[a_{n-1}, a_{n-2}, \dots, a_1]} = [a_n, a_{n-1}, \dots, a_1].$$

For the  $h_i$ 's the difference in the recursion only comes from the switching of the values of  $h_{-2}$  and  $h_{-1}$ , which leads to the base case being instead

$$\frac{h_0}{h_{-1}} = a_0.$$

The rest of the proof follows exactly, and you get that for all  $i \geq 0$ ,

$$\frac{h_i}{h_{i-1}} = [a_i, a_{i-1}, \dots, a_0].$$

9. Calculate the first three convergents for

(a)  $e^2$  (b)  $2\pi$ .

(a)  $e^2 = [7, 2, 1, 1, \dots]$ . So the first three convergents are 7,  $\frac{15}{2}$ , and  $\frac{22}{3}$ .

(b)  $2\pi = [6, 3, 1, 1, \dots]$ . So the first three convergents are 6,  $\frac{19}{3}$ , and  $\frac{25}{4}$ .

10. Calculate the infinite continued fraction expansions for

(a)  $\sqrt{7}$  (b)  $\frac{1+\sqrt{13}}{2}$ .

(a)

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{7} &= 2 + (\sqrt{7} - 2) \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{7} - 2} &= \frac{\sqrt{7} + 2}{3} = 1 + \frac{\sqrt{7} - 1}{3} \\ \frac{3}{\sqrt{7} - 1} &= \frac{3(\sqrt{7} + 1)}{6} = 1 + \frac{\sqrt{7} - 1}{2} \\ \frac{2}{\sqrt{7} - 1} &= \frac{2(\sqrt{7} + 1)}{6} = 1 + \frac{\sqrt{7} - 2}{3} \\ \frac{3}{\sqrt{7} - 2} &= \frac{3(\sqrt{7} + 2)}{3} = 4 + (\sqrt{7} - 2) \end{aligned}$$

We have repeated a remainder, so it is clear that the continued fraction expansion is  $[2, \overline{1, 1, 1, 4}]$ .

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1 + \sqrt{13}}{2} &= 2 + \frac{\sqrt{13} - 3}{2} \\ \frac{2}{\sqrt{13} - 3} &= \frac{2(\sqrt{13} + 3)}{4} = 3 + \frac{\sqrt{13} - 3}{2}\end{aligned}$$

Immediately we get a repeated remainder! So the continued fraction expansion is simply  $[2, \overline{3}]$ .

(Bonus) Let  $n$  be a positive integer.

- (a) Prove that  $\sqrt{n^2 + 1} = [n, \overline{2n}]$ .
- (b) Prove that  $\sqrt{n^2 + 2} = [n, \overline{n, 2n}]$ .
- (c) Prove that  $\sqrt{n^2 + 2n} = [n, \overline{1, 2n}]$ .