

MATH 7230 Homework 1 - Spring 2014

Due Thursday, Feb. 6 at 1:30

The notation “I-K” is shorthand for the textbook.

1. (a) Fill in the details of the following proof that there are infinitely many primes:

Pick an arbitrary $1 \neq N \in \mathbb{N}$ and set $a(1) := N$. Define

$$\begin{aligned}a(2) &:= N \cdot (N + 1), \\a(3) &:= N \cdot (N + 1) \cdot [N \cdot (N + 1) + 1],\end{aligned}$$

and so on, with $a(m) := a(m - 1) \cdot (a(m - 1) + 1)$. Prove that for all m , $a(m)$ has a prime factor p_m that does not divide $a(1), \dots, a(m - 1)$. Conclude that there is an infinite list of distinct primes p_1, p_2, \dots .

- (b) Generalize the above proof by letting $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be any arithmetic function such that n is always coprime to $f(n)$, and constructing the iterative sequence $a(m) := a(m - 1) \cdot f(a(m - 1))$. For example, in part (a), $f(n) = n + 1$.
2. (a) In this problem you will modify Euclid’s proof to show that there are infinitely many primes of the form $4n + 3$. Given a list q_1, q_2, \dots, q_k of primes of this form, let $N := 4q_1 \cdots q_k - 1$. Prove that N has a prime divisor $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ that is not one of the q_j s.

- (b) Generalize your result from part (a) as much as possible.

Hint: If p is prime and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, what are the possible residues $p \pmod{m}$?

3. In this problem you will prove that e is irrational.

- (a) As a warm-up, prove that $2 < e < 3$ by comparing the Taylor expansion $e - 2 = \sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{1}{n!}$ to the geometric series $\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{2^n}$.

- (b) Suppose to the contrary that $e = \frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q}$. Find a contradiction by showing that

$$b! \left(e - \sum_{n=0}^b \frac{1}{n!} \right)$$

is an integer between 0 and 1.

Remark: Actually, it is easier to consider e^{-1} , which was suggested by Pennisi (1953).

- (Optional) To learn why e is transcendental, I recommend starting with Hurwitz’s proof, which is Theorem 204 in Hardy and Wright. You can also find the argument outlined online at: <http://planetmath.org/eistranscendental>.

An alternative proof follows directly from Euler’s continued fraction representation $e = [2, 1, 2, 1, 1, 4, 1, 1, 6, 1, 1, \dots]$, though this requires the theory of continued fraction convergents and Bessel functions.

4. Read I-K Sections 1.1 – 1.4. Be sure that you understand all of the notation, definitions, and meanings of the following numbered formulas:

(1.5), (1.6), (1.11), (1.13), (1.15), (1.18), (1.24), (1.26), (1.32), (1.35).

Most of these require at least a few lines of calculation, and you are encouraged to hand in short proofs for all of them.

5. (a) Suppose that $a_n \geq 0$ for $n \geq 1$. Prove that $\prod_{n \geq 1} (1 + a_n)$ converges if and only if

$\sum_{n \geq 1} a_n$ converges.

- (b) Prove that $\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{p_n}$ diverges, where p_n denotes the n -th prime.

Hint: Recall that $\zeta(s)$ diverges as $s \rightarrow 1^+$.

- (Optional) Given an example of real $\{b_n\}$ such that $\prod(1 + b_n)$ converges, but $\sum b_n$ diverges. Give an example of $\{c_n\}$ such that $\prod(1 + c_n)$ diverges, but $\sum c_n$ converges.

6. Euler's ϕ -function is defined such that $\phi(n)$ is the number of integers $1 \leq a \leq n$ that are coprime to n . Provide an alternative proof of the formula ((1.36) from I-K)

$$\phi(n) = n \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right).$$

One option is to use an Inclusion-Exclusion argument, by first removing all multiples of p_1, p_2, \dots , and then correcting for over and under-counts.

Another option is to prove that for any m ,

$$\phi(pm) = \begin{cases} p \cdot \phi(m) & \text{if } p \mid m \\ (p-1) \cdot \phi(m) & \text{if } p \nmid m. \end{cases}$$

7. Prove and/or verify I-K equations (1.37) – (1.42). These definitions will be essential in the proof of the Prime Number Theorem.