

## MATH 7230 Homework 8 - Spring 2017

Due Thursday, Apr. 20 at 10:30

[www.math.lsu.edu/~mahlburg/](http://www.math.lsu.edu/~mahlburg/)

You are required to turn in at least **one** of the following problems, and must complete a total of **20** by semester's end. Group work is allowed, but your solutions must be written up individually.

The notation "Andrews A.B" means Example B at the end of Chapter A in the textbook.

In Problems 1 – 6 you will work through the details of Andrews and Baxter's paper: "A motivated proof of the Rogers-Ramanujan identities", *American Mathematical Monthly* **96** (1999), 401 – 409.

As on previous assignments, let  $\mathcal{RR}$  denote the set of gap-2 partitions, where  $\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} \geq 2$  for all  $i$ , with generating function

$$f_{\mathcal{RR}}(x; q) := \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{RR}} x^{\ell(\lambda)} q^{|\lambda|}.$$

On Homework 3 Problem 9 you showed that

$$f_{\mathcal{RR}}(x; q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{x^n q^{n^2}}{(q; q)_n}. \quad (1)$$

Furthermore, on Homework 7 Problem 6 you showed that  $\mathcal{RR}$  is strongly closed under  $\mathbb{N}$ , so it makes sense to define

$$R_i(q) := f_{\mathcal{RR}}(q^{i-1}; q) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{RR}_{\geq i}} q^{|\lambda|}.$$

The Rogers-Ramanujan identities then state that

$$R_1(q) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{RR}} q^{|\lambda|} = \frac{1}{(q, q^4; q^5)_{\infty}}, \quad (2)$$

$$R_2(q) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{RR}_{\geq 2}} q^{|\lambda|} = \frac{1}{(q^2, q^3; q^5)_{\infty}}. \quad (3)$$

1. Define the *Rogers-Ramanujan polynomials* for  $i \geq 2$  by

$$A_i(q) := \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \mathcal{RR} \\ \lambda_1 \leq i-2}} q^{|\lambda|}.$$

Note that these are in fact polynomials (why?). The reason for the shifted indexing will be seen in the final part of the problem.

- (a) Calculate the first several polynomials (at least up to  $i = 5$ ).

(b) Prove that for  $i \geq 4$

$$A_i(q) = A_{i-1}(q) + q^{i-2}A_{i-2}(q), \quad (4)$$

with initial values  $A_2(q) = 1$  and  $A_3(q) = 1 + q$ . Use a combinatorial argument, separating the cases that the largest part is exactly  $i - 2$  or something smaller.

(c) Prove that for  $i \geq 1$ ,

$$R_i(q) = R_{i+1}(q) + q^i R_{i+2}(q). \quad (5)$$

There are several possible approaches: directly from (1), or a combinatorial argument that conditions on whether or not the smallest part is  $i$ .

(d) Finally, combine the above ideas and prove that for  $i \geq 2$ ,

$$R_1(q) = A_i(q)R_i(q) + q^{i-1}A_{i-1}(q)R_{i+1}(q). \quad (6)$$

*Remark: It will be very important later that (6) follows directly from (5), as an inductive argument also gives the recurrence for the  $A_i(q)$  without any appeal to the combinatorics of the gap-2 condition. Parts (a) and (b) were included only for the sake of further exploring/motivating these combinatorial properties of Rogers-Ramanujan partitions.*

2. (a) Prove that the  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} A_i(q)$  exists, so that it makes sense to write  $A_\infty(q)$ . You may argue either with formal power series, explaining why the coefficients “stabilize” as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ ; or analytically (for  $|q| < 1$ ), for example by using dominated convergence and comparing to  $P(q)$ ; or try to do both!
  - (b) Prove that  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} R_i(q) = 1$ . Again, you may argue as formal power series, analytically, or both.
  - (c) Now use (6) and conclude that  $R_1(q) = A_\infty(q)$ .
3. Now consider the product sides of (2) – (3). Define

$$G_1(q) := \frac{1}{(q, q^4, q^5)_\infty}, \quad \text{and} \quad G_2(q) := \frac{1}{(q^2, q^3, q^5)_\infty}.$$

(a) Show that

$$G_1(q) = \frac{(q^2, q^3, q^5; q^5)_\infty}{(q; q)_\infty},$$

and then apply the Jacobi Triple Product to conclude that

$$G_1(q) = \frac{1}{(q; q)_\infty} \left[ 1 + \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^{n+1} q^{\frac{5n^2+9n+4}{2}} (1 + q^{n+1}) \right].$$

(b) Similarly, show that

$$G_2(q) = \frac{1}{(q; q)_\infty} \left[ 1 + \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^{n+1} q^{\frac{5n^2+7n+2}{2}} (1 + q^{3n+3}) \right]. \quad (7)$$

(c) Combine the above and verify that

$$G_1(q) - G_2(q) = \frac{1}{(q; q)_\infty} \cdot q \left[ \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n q^{\frac{5n^2+7n}{2}} (1 - q^{n+1}) (1 - q^{2n+2}) \right]. \quad (8)$$

4. For  $i \geq 2$ , define the series

$$g_i(q) := \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n q^{\frac{5n^2-5n}{2}+2in} (q^{n+1}; q)_{i-2} (1 - q^{2n+i-1}). \quad (9)$$

Note that  $g_2(q) = (q; q)_\infty G_2(q)$  (it requires a short calculation to rewrite (7)), and (8) implies that  $g_3(q) = (q; q)_\infty \cdot q (G_1(q) - G_2(q))$ .

(a) Separate the  $n = 0$  term and shift the remaining sum to obtain

$$g_i(q) = (q; q)_{i-2} (1 - q^{i-1}) + \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^{n+1} q^{\frac{5n^2+5n}{2}+2i(n+1)} (q^{n+2}; q)_{i-2} (1 - q^{2n+i+1}).$$

(b) Now split the term  $(1 - q^{2n+i})$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g_{i+1}(q) &= \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n q^{\frac{5n^2-5n}{2}+2(i+1)n} (q^{n+1}; q)_{i-1} \\ &\quad + \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^{n+1} q^{\frac{5n^2-5n}{2}+2(i+1)n+2n+i} (q^{n+1}; q)_{i-1}. \end{aligned}$$

In the first sum above, isolate the  $n = 0$  term, and shift the remaining sum, and conclude

$$\begin{aligned} g_{i+1}(q) &= (q; q)_{i-1} + \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^{n+1} q^{\frac{5n^2+5n}{2}+2(i+1)(n+1)} (q^{n+2}; q)_{i-1} \\ &\quad + \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^{n+1} q^{\frac{5n^2-5n}{2}+2(i+1)n+2n+i} (q^{n+1}; q)_{i-1}. \end{aligned}$$

(c) Now use (a) and (b) to show that

$$g_i(q) - g_{i+1}(q) = q^i g_{i+2}(q). \quad (10)$$

After (carefully!) grouping terms, you should find that you need an identity of the form

$$1 - q^a - q^b + q^{2a+b} + q^{a+2b} - q^{2a+2b} = (1 - q^a)(1 - q^b)(1 - q^{a+b}).$$

5. (a) Using (9), prove that  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} g_i(q) = (q; q)_\infty$ .

(b) For  $i \geq 2$ , define  $G_i(q) := (q; q)_\infty^{-1} g_i(q)$ . Conclude that  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} G_i(q) = 1$ .

- (c) Referring to (10) (you may assume its truth if you did not answer the problem), show that for  $i \geq 1$ ,

$$G_i(q) = G_{i+1}(q) + q^i G_{i+2}(q). \quad (11)$$

As mentioned in the remark following 1, it is now possible to iterate (11) and conclude that for  $i \geq 2$ ,

$$G_1(q) = A_i(q)G_i(q) + q^{i-1}A_{i-1}(q)G_{i+1}(q). \quad (12)$$

Work out the details, showing that this is compatible with the definition of  $A_i$  above.

- (d) The proof of the first Rogers-Ramanujan identity (2) now concludes by taking the limit as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  in (12), which implies that  $G_1(q) = A_\infty(q)$ . Comparing to Problem 2, you have now proven  $G_1(q) = A_\infty(q) = R_1(q)$ !

6. The second Rogers-Ramanujan identity (3) follows by arguments nearly identical to Problems 1 – 5, so you will only need to explain a few differences as described here.

- (a) Define the polynomials  $B_i(q)$  by

$$B_i(q) = B_{i-1}(q) + q^{i-2}B_{i-2}(q)$$

for  $i \geq 4$ , and  $B_2 = B_3 = 1$ . Prove that for  $i \geq 3$ ,

$$R_2(q) = B_i(q)R_i(q) + q^{i-1}B_{i-1}(q)R_{i+1}(q).$$

*Remark: Although a combinatorial argument is not required, one approach uses the observation that*

$$B_i(q) = \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \mathcal{RR}_{\geq 2} \\ \lambda_1 \leq i-2}} q^{|\lambda|}.$$

- (b) Starting from (11), show (analogously to (12)) that

$$G_2(q) = B_i(q)G_i(q) + q^{i-1}B_{i-1}(q)G_{i+1}(q).$$

- (c) Now conclude that  $R_2(q) = B_\infty(q) = G_2(q)$ .

In Problems 7 – 8 you will explore some of the ideas behind Dyson's partition statistics, which were introduced in order to provide a combinatorial decomposition of the Ramanujan congruences. As discussed in lecture, he defined the *rank* of a partition to be the largest part minus the number of parts, so

$$\text{rank}(\lambda) := \alpha(\lambda) - \ell(\lambda).$$

Define the enumeration function

$$N(m, n) := \#\{\lambda \in \mathcal{P} \mid \lambda \vdash n, \text{rank}(\lambda) = m\}.$$

7. (a) Prove that if  $\lambda \vdash n$ , then the possible range of the rank function is given by  $-(n-1) \leq \text{rank}(\lambda) \leq n-1$ . Do all values in this range occur?

- (b) Prove that the rank function is symmetric between its negative and positive values; in other words,  $N(m, n) = N(-m, n)$ .
- (c) Dyson's observation was that if the rank is reduced modulo 5, then the partitions of  $5n + 4$  are divided into 5 equinumerous classes. In particular, define

$$N(m, k; n) := \#\{\lambda \in \mathcal{P} \mid \lambda \vdash n, \text{rank}(\lambda) \equiv m \pmod{k}\},$$

and verify that  $N(m, k; n) = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} N(m + kr, n)$ . Dyson's claim is then written as

$$N(m, 5; 5n + 4) = \frac{1}{5}p(5n + 4), \quad 0 \leq m \leq 4;$$

$$N(m, 7; 7n + 5) = \frac{1}{7}p(7n + 5), \quad 0 \leq m \leq 6.$$

Verify the claim for the 30 partitions of 9.

(Optional) Calculate the rank modulo 5 for all partitions of 14, and calculate the rank modulo 7 for all partitions of 12. You probably should not do all of these by hand - use computational software!

8. Recall that earlier in the semester we defined the generating function

$$f(x, y) = f(x, y; q) := \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} x^{\ell(\lambda)} y^{\alpha(\lambda)} q^{|\lambda|},$$

and used combinatorial arguments (conditioning on the largest part) to show

$$f(x, y) = 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{xy^n q^n}{(xq; q)_n}. \quad (13)$$

We then provided two proofs that  $f(x, y) = f(y, x)$ , using combinatorial arguments (based on conjugation) and analytic arguments (using Heine's  ${}_2\phi_1$  transformation). Note that the symmetry in  $x$  and  $y$  is **not** apparent in (13).

- (a) Prove that the generating function for the rank can be expressed in terms of  $f$ , specifically as

$$R(u; q) := \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} u^{\text{rank}(\lambda)} q^{|\lambda|} = f(u, u^{-1}; q). \quad (14)$$

- (b) In the next two parts you will prove the alternative representation

$$f(x, y) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{x^n y^n q^{n^2}}{(xq, yq; q)_n}. \quad (15)$$

First, give a combinatorial argument using Durfee squares. Recall that if the (largest) Durfee square of size  $n$  is removed from a partition, then the remaining parts to the right form a conjugate partition with parts  $\leq n$ , which only contribute to the largest part. The remaining parts below the square form a partition with parts  $\leq n$ , which only contribute to the number of parts.

(c) Recall the notation for basic hypergeometric series:

$${}_3\phi_2 \left( \begin{matrix} a, & b, & c \\ & d, & e \end{matrix}; q, t \right) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(a, b, c; q)_n t^n}{(q, d, e; q)_n}.$$

For an analytic proof of (15), use the following transformation formula ((3.2.7) in Gaspar-Rahman):

$${}_3\phi_2 \left( \begin{matrix} a, & b, & c \\ & d, & e \end{matrix}; q, \frac{de}{abc} \right) = \frac{\left(\frac{e}{a}, \frac{de}{bc}; q\right)_\infty}{\left(e, \frac{de}{abc}; q\right)_\infty} \cdot {}_3\phi_2 \left( \begin{matrix} a, & \frac{d}{b}, & \frac{d}{c} \\ & d, & \frac{de}{bc} \end{matrix}; q, \frac{e}{a} \right). \quad (16)$$

Make the substitutions  $a = q, d = xq, e = yq$  and  $b, c \rightarrow 0$ .

9. In 1929 Watson proved an analog to Whipple's  ${}_7F_6$  transformation, which you may use without proof in this problem (although Watson's proof is only 3 pages long, and the heaviest machinery it requires is Heine's  ${}_2\phi_1$  transformation). In particular, if  $|q| < 1$  and  $g = q^{-N}$  for some  $N \geq 0$  (all other parameters may be arbitrary complex values), then

$$\begin{aligned} {}_8\phi_7 \left( \begin{matrix} a, & q\sqrt{a}, & -q\sqrt{a}, & c, & d, & e, & f, & g \\ & \sqrt{a}, & -\sqrt{a}, & \frac{aq}{c}, & \frac{aq}{d}, & \frac{aq}{e}, & \frac{aq}{f}, & \frac{aq}{g} \end{matrix}; q, \frac{a^2q^2}{cdefg} \right) \\ = \frac{\left(aq, \frac{aq}{fg}, \frac{aq}{ge}, \frac{aq}{ef}; q\right)_\infty}{\left(\frac{aq}{e}, \frac{aq}{f}, \frac{aq}{g}, \frac{aq}{efg}; q\right)_\infty} \cdot {}_4\phi_3 \left( \begin{matrix} \frac{aq}{cd}, & e, & f, & g \\ & \frac{efg}{a}, & \frac{aq}{c}, & \frac{aq}{d} \end{matrix}; q, q \right). \end{aligned}$$

This is now known as the *Watson-Whipple* transformation. Note that it is a **finite** summation (with  $N$  terms) on the left side, and furthermore, the product on the right is actually a rational function. However, an infinite summation can be obtained by taking the limit  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , which is equivalent to setting  $g \rightarrow \infty$ .

(a) Show that

$$\frac{(q\sqrt{a}, -q\sqrt{a}; q)_n}{(\sqrt{a}, -\sqrt{a}; q)_n} = \frac{1 - aq^{2n}}{1 - a}.$$

(b) Set  $c, d, e, f, g, \mapsto \infty$  in Watson-Whipple, and simplify the result. You should obtain the identity

$$1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(aq; q)_{n-1}}{(q; q)_n} a^{2n} q^{\frac{5n^2-n}{2}} (1 - aq^{2n}) = (aq; q)_\infty \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{a^n q^{n^2}}{(q; q)_n}.$$

(c) The first Rogers-Ramanujan identity (2) now follows by setting  $a = 1$  and using the Jacobi Triple Product.

(d) To obtain the second Rogers-Ramanujan identity (3), set  $a = q$ ; it now requires slightly more work to simplify.