

**Héctor J. Sussmann** (sussmann@hilbert.rutgers.edu), Department of Mathematics, Rutgers University, *Finite-Dimensional Generalized Differentiation Theories that Satisfy the Chain Rule and Have an Open Mapping Property*

The classical differential of maps of class  $C^1$  is a functor from the category  $PMC^1$  of pointed manifolds of class  $C^1$  (i.e., pairs  $(M, p)$  consisting of a manifold  $M$  of class  $C^1$  and a distinguished point  $p$  of  $M$ ) and distinguished-point-preserving maps of class  $C^1$  to the category  $FDRLS$  of finite-dimensional real linear spaces and linear maps. Furthermore, this functor has an open mapping property: if the differential of a map  $F$  at a point  $p$  is surjective, then the map itself sends neighborhoods of  $p$  to neighborhoods of  $F(p)$ . We study extensions of this functor to categories with the same objects as  $PMC^1$  but larger classes of morphisms (including Lipschitz maps, many continuous maps that are not Lipschitz, and many set-valued maps), taking values in a larger category (whose morphisms are nonempty compact sets of linear maps), and still having the open mapping property in a suitable sense. These extensions are really “multivalued functors” (as might perhaps have been expected for a theory that belongs to the general field of set-valued analysis), and the functoriality property is the Chain Rule. A number of such extensions had been constructed in previous work by several authors, including the speaker, but they were not mutually comparable. We propose an extension, called “path-integral generalized differentials”, that contains all the others and still obeys the chain rule and has an open mapping property. We also discuss the harder problem of working with a category with a larger class of objects, e.g. pairs  $(C, p)$  where  $C$  is a subset of a manifold  $M$  of class  $C^1$  which is, near  $p$ ,  $C^1$ -diffeomorphic to a closed convex cone, and show how the theory of path-integral generalized differentials also work in this case, at least if one limits oneself to sets  $C$  that are  $C^1$ -diffeomorphic to polyhedral cones.