

Uniform Global Asymptotic Stability of Adaptive Cascaded Nonlinear Systems with Unknown High-Frequency Gains

Michael Malisoff, Louisiana State University

Joint with Frédéric Mazenc and Marcio de Queiroz

Sponsored by NSF/DMS Grant 0708084

Special Session: Control Systems & Signal Processing

2011 AMS Spring Southeastern Section Meeting

Statesboro, GA, March 12-13, 2011

Adaptive Tracking and Estimation Problem

Adaptive Tracking and Estimation Problem

- ▶ Consider a suitably regular nonlinear system

$$\dot{\xi} = \mathcal{J}(t, \xi, \Psi, u) \quad (1)$$

with a smooth reference trajectory ξ_R and a vector Ψ of uncertain constant parameters.

Adaptive Tracking and Estimation Problem

- ▶ Consider a suitably regular nonlinear system

$$\dot{\xi} = \mathcal{J}(t, \xi, \Psi, u) \quad (1)$$

with a smooth reference trajectory ξ_R and a vector Ψ of uncertain constant parameters. $\dot{\xi}_R = \mathcal{J}(t, \xi_R, \Psi, u_R)$.

Adaptive Tracking and Estimation Problem

- ▶ Consider a suitably regular nonlinear system

$$\dot{\xi} = \mathcal{J}(t, \xi, \Psi, u) \quad (1)$$

with a smooth reference trajectory ξ_R and a vector Ψ of uncertain constant parameters. $\dot{\xi}_R = \mathcal{J}(t, \xi_R, \Psi, u_R)$.

- ▶ **Problem:**

Adaptive Tracking and Estimation Problem

- ▶ Consider a suitably regular nonlinear system

$$\dot{\xi} = \mathcal{J}(t, \xi, \Psi, u) \quad (1)$$

with a smooth reference trajectory ξ_R and a vector Ψ of uncertain constant parameters. $\dot{\xi}_R = \mathcal{J}(t, \xi_R, \Psi, u_R)$.

- ▶ **Problem:** Design a dynamic feedback with estimator

$$u = u(t, \xi, \hat{\Psi}), \quad \dot{\hat{\Psi}} = \tau(t, \xi, \hat{\Psi}) \quad (2)$$

such that the error $Y = (\tilde{\Psi}, \tilde{\xi}) = (\Psi - \hat{\Psi}, \xi - \xi_R) \rightarrow 0$.

Adaptive Tracking and Estimation Problem

- ▶ Consider a suitably regular nonlinear system

$$\dot{\xi} = \mathcal{J}(t, \xi, \Psi, u) \quad (1)$$

with a smooth reference trajectory ξ_R and a vector Ψ of uncertain constant parameters. $\dot{\xi}_R = \mathcal{J}(t, \xi_R, \Psi, u_R)$.

- ▶ **Problem:** Design a dynamic feedback with estimator

$$u = u(t, \xi, \hat{\Psi}), \quad \dot{\hat{\Psi}} = \tau(t, \xi, \hat{\Psi}) \quad (2)$$

such that the error $Y = (\tilde{\Psi}, \tilde{\xi}) = (\Psi - \hat{\Psi}, \xi - \xi_R) \rightarrow 0$.

- ▶ This is a central problem with numerous applications in flight control and electrical and mechanical engineering.

Adaptive Tracking and Estimation Problem

- ▶ Consider a suitably regular nonlinear system

$$\dot{\xi} = \mathcal{J}(t, \xi, \Psi, u) \quad (1)$$

with a smooth reference trajectory ξ_R and a vector Ψ of uncertain constant parameters. $\dot{\xi}_R = \mathcal{J}(t, \xi_R, \Psi, u_R)$.

- ▶ **Problem:** Design a dynamic feedback with estimator

$$u = u(t, \xi, \hat{\Psi}), \quad \dot{\hat{\Psi}} = \tau(t, \xi, \hat{\Psi}) \quad (2)$$

such that the error $Y = (\tilde{\Psi}, \tilde{\xi}) = (\Psi - \hat{\Psi}, \xi - \xi_R) \rightarrow 0$.

- ▶ This is a central problem with numerous applications in flight control and electrical and mechanical engineering.
Persistent excitation.

Adaptive Tracking and Estimation Problem

- ▶ Consider a suitably regular nonlinear system

$$\dot{\xi} = \mathcal{J}(t, \xi, \Psi, u) \quad (1)$$

with a smooth reference trajectory ξ_R and a vector Ψ of uncertain constant parameters. $\dot{\xi}_R = \mathcal{J}(t, \xi_R, \Psi, u_R)$.

- ▶ **Problem:** Design a dynamic feedback with estimator

$$u = u(t, \xi, \hat{\Psi}), \quad \dot{\hat{\Psi}} = \tau(t, \xi, \hat{\Psi}) \quad (2)$$

such that the error $Y = (\tilde{\Psi}, \tilde{\xi}) = (\Psi - \hat{\Psi}, \xi - \xi_R) \rightarrow 0$.

- ▶ This is a central problem with numerous applications in flight control and electrical and mechanical engineering.

Persistent excitation. Annaswamy, Astolfi, Narendra, Teel..

First-Order Case (FM-MdQ-MM-TAC'09)

First-Order Case (FM-MdQ-MM-TAC'09)

- ▶ In 2009, we gave a solution for the special case

$$\dot{x} = \omega(x)\Psi + u. \quad (3)$$

First-Order Case (FM-MdQ-MM-TAC'09)

- ▶ In 2009, we gave a solution for the special case

$$\dot{x} = \omega(x)\Psi + u. \quad (3)$$

We used adaptive controllers of the form

$$u_s = \dot{x}_R(t) - \omega(x)\hat{\Psi} + K(x_R(t) - x), \quad \dot{\hat{\Psi}} = -\omega(x)^\top (x_R(t) - x).$$

First-Order Case (FM-MdQ-MM-TAC'09)

- ▶ In 2009, we gave a solution for the special case

$$\dot{x} = \omega(x)\Psi + u. \quad (3)$$

We used adaptive controllers of the form

$$u_s = \dot{x}_R(t) - \omega(x)\hat{\Psi} + K(x_R(t) - x), \quad \dot{\hat{\Psi}} = -\omega(x)^\top (x_R(t) - x).$$

- ▶ We used the **classical PE** assumption: \exists constant $\mu > 0$ s.t.

$$\mu I_p \leq \int_{t-T}^t \omega(x_R(l))^\top \omega(x_R(l)) dl \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (4)$$

First-Order Case (FM-MdQ-MM-TAC'09)

- ▶ In 2009, we gave a solution for the special case

$$\dot{x} = \omega(x)\Psi + u. \quad (3)$$

We used adaptive controllers of the form

$$u_s = \dot{x}_R(t) - \omega(x)\hat{\Psi} + K(x_R(t) - x), \quad \dot{\hat{\Psi}} = -\omega(x)^\top (x_R(t) - x).$$

- ▶ We used the **classical PE** assumption: \exists constant $\mu > 0$ s.t.

$$\mu I_p \leq \int_{t-T}^t \omega(x_R(l))^\top \omega(x_R(l)) dl \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (4)$$

- ▶ **Novelty:**

First-Order Case (FM-MdQ-MM-TAC'09)

- ▶ In 2009, we gave a solution for the special case

$$\dot{x} = \omega(x)\Psi + u. \quad (3)$$

We used adaptive controllers of the form

$$u_s = \dot{x}_R(t) - \omega(x)\hat{\Psi} + K(x_R(t) - x), \quad \dot{\hat{\Psi}} = -\omega(x)^\top (x_R(t) - x).$$

- ▶ We used the **classical PE** assumption: \exists constant $\mu > 0$ s.t.

$$\mu I_p \leq \int_{t-T}^t \omega(x_R(l))^\top \omega(x_R(l)) dl \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (4)$$

- ▶ **Novelty**: Our explicit global strict Lyapunov function for the $Y = (\Psi - \hat{\Psi}, x - x_R)$ dynamics.

First-Order Case (FM-MdQ-MM-TAC'09)

- ▶ In 2009, we gave a solution for the special case

$$\dot{x} = \omega(x)\Psi + u. \quad (3)$$

We used adaptive controllers of the form

$$u_s = \dot{x}_R(t) - \omega(x)\hat{\Psi} + K(x_R(t) - x), \quad \dot{\hat{\Psi}} = -\omega(x)^\top (x_R(t) - x).$$

- ▶ We used the **classical PE** assumption: \exists constant $\mu > 0$ s.t.

$$\mu I_p \leq \int_{t-T}^t \omega(x_R(l))^\top \omega(x_R(l)) dl \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (4)$$

- ▶ **Novelty**: Our explicit global strict Lyapunov function for the $Y = (\Psi - \hat{\Psi}, x - x_R)$ dynamics. It gave **input-to-state stability** with respect to additive time-varying uncertainties δ on Ψ .

Input-to-State Stability (Sontag, TAC'89)

Input-to-State Stability (Sontag, TAC'89)

This generalization of uniform global asymptotic stability (UGAS) applies to systems with disturbances δ , having the form

$$\dot{Y} = \mathcal{G}(t, Y, \delta(t)) . \quad (5)$$

Input-to-State Stability (Sontag, TAC'89)

This generalization of uniform global asymptotic stability (UGAS) applies to systems with disturbances δ , having the form

$$\dot{Y} = \mathcal{G}(t, Y, \delta(t)) . \quad (5)$$

It is the requirement that there exist functions $\gamma_i \in \mathcal{K}_\infty$ such that the corresponding solutions of (5) all satisfy

$$|Y(t)| \leq \gamma_1 (e^{t_0-t} \gamma_2(|Y(t_0)|)) + \gamma_3(|\delta|_{[0,t]}) \quad (6)$$

for all $t \geq t_0 \geq 0$.

Input-to-State Stability (Sontag, TAC'89)

This generalization of uniform global asymptotic stability (UGAS) applies to systems with disturbances δ , having the form

$$\dot{Y} = \mathcal{G}(t, Y, \delta(t)) . \quad (5)$$

It is the requirement that there exist functions $\gamma_i \in \mathcal{K}_\infty$ such that the corresponding solutions of (5) all satisfy

$$|Y(t)| \leq \gamma_1 (e^{t_0-t} \gamma_2(|Y(t_0)|)) + \gamma_3(|\delta|_{[0,t]}) \quad (6)$$

for all $t \geq t_0 \geq 0$. UGAS is the special case where $\delta \equiv 0$.

Input-to-State Stability (Sontag, TAC'89)

This generalization of uniform global asymptotic stability (UGAS) applies to systems with disturbances δ , having the form

$$\dot{Y} = \mathcal{G}(t, Y, \delta(t)) . \quad (5)$$

It is the requirement that there exist functions $\gamma_i \in \mathcal{K}_\infty$ such that the corresponding solutions of (5) all satisfy

$$|Y(t)| \leq \gamma_1 (e^{t_0-t} \gamma_2(|Y(t_0)|)) + \gamma_3(|\delta|_{[0,t]}) \quad (6)$$

for all $t \geq t_0 \geq 0$. UGAS is the special case where $\delta \equiv 0$.

Integral ISS is the same except with the decay condition

$$\gamma_0(|Y(t)|) \leq \gamma_1 (e^{t_0-t} \gamma_2(|Y(t_0)|)) + \int_0^t \gamma_3(|\delta(r)|) dr . \quad (7)$$

Input-to-State Stability (Sontag, TAC'89)

This generalization of uniform global asymptotic stability (UGAS) applies to systems with disturbances δ , having the form

$$\dot{Y} = \mathcal{G}(t, Y, \delta(t)) . \quad (5)$$

It is the requirement that there exist functions $\gamma_i \in \mathcal{K}_\infty$ such that the corresponding solutions of (5) all satisfy

$$|Y(t)| \leq \gamma_1 (e^{t_0-t} \gamma_2(|Y(t_0)|)) + \gamma_3(|\delta|_{[0,t]}) \quad (6)$$

for all $t \geq t_0 \geq 0$. UGAS is the special case where $\delta \equiv 0$.

Integral ISS is the same except with the decay condition

$$\gamma_0(|Y(t)|) \leq \gamma_1 (e^{t_0-t} \gamma_2(|Y(t_0)|)) + \int_0^t \gamma_3(|\delta(r)|) dr . \quad (7)$$

Both are shown by constructing specific kinds of strict Lyapunov functions for $\dot{Y} = \mathcal{G}(t, Y, 0)$.

Higher-Order Case (FM-MM-MdQ, NATMA'11)

Higher-Order Case (FM-MM-MdQ, NATMA'11)

- ▶ We solved the adaptive tracking and estimation problem for

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} &= f(\xi) \\ \dot{z}_i &= g_i(\xi) + k_i(\xi) \cdot \theta_i + \psi_i u_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, s. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Higher-Order Case (FM-MM-MdQ, NATMA'11)

- ▶ We solved the adaptive tracking and estimation problem for

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} &= f(\xi) \\ \dot{z}_i &= g_i(\xi) + k_i(\xi) \cdot \theta_i + \psi_i u_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, s. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Unknown constants $\psi = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_s) \in \mathbb{R}^s$

Higher-Order Case (FM-MM-MdQ, NATMA'11)

- ▶ We solved the adaptive tracking and estimation problem for

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} &= f(\xi) \\ \dot{z}_i &= g_i(\xi) + k_i(\xi) \cdot \theta_i + \psi_i u_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, s. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Unknown constants $\psi = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_s) \in \mathbb{R}^s$ and constants $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_s) \in \mathbb{R}^{p_1 + \dots + p_s}$.

Higher-Order Case (FM-MM-MdQ, NATMA'11)

- ▶ We solved the adaptive tracking and estimation problem for

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} &= f(\xi) \\ \dot{z}_i &= g_i(\xi) + k_i(\xi) \cdot \theta_i + \psi_i u_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, s. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Unknown constants $\psi = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_s) \in \mathbb{R}^s$ and constants $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_s) \in \mathbb{R}^{p_1 + \dots + p_s}$. $\xi = (x, z)$.

Higher-Order Case (FM-MM-MdQ, NATMA'11)

- ▶ We solved the adaptive tracking and estimation problem for

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} &= f(\xi) \\ \dot{z}_i &= g_i(\xi) + k_i(\xi) \cdot \theta_i + \psi_i u_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, s. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Unknown constants $\psi = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_s) \in \mathbb{R}^s$ and constants $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_s) \in \mathbb{R}^{p_1 + \dots + p_s}$. $\xi = (x, z)$. Now $\Psi = (\theta, \psi)$.

Higher-Order Case (FM-MM-MdQ, NATMA'11)

- ▶ We solved the adaptive tracking and estimation problem for

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} &= f(\xi) \\ \dot{z}_i &= g_i(\xi) + k_i(\xi) \cdot \theta_i + \psi_i u_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, s. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Unknown constants $\psi = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_s) \in \mathbb{R}^s$ and constants $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_s) \in \mathbb{R}^{p_1 + \dots + p_s}$. $\xi = (x, z)$. Now $\Psi = (\theta, \psi)$.

- ▶ The C^2 T -periodic reference trajectory $\xi_R = (x_R, z_R)$ to be tracked must satisfy $\dot{x}_R(t) = f(\xi_R(t))$ everywhere.

Higher-Order Case (FM-MM-MdQ, NATMA'11)

- ▶ We solved the adaptive tracking and estimation problem for

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} &= f(\xi) \\ \dot{z}_i &= g_i(\xi) + k_i(\xi) \cdot \theta_i + \psi_i u_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, s. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Unknown constants $\psi = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_s) \in \mathbb{R}^s$ and constants $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_s) \in \mathbb{R}^{p_1 + \dots + p_s}$. $\xi = (x, z)$. Now $\Psi = (\theta, \psi)$.

- ▶ The C^2 T -periodic reference trajectory $\xi_R = (x_R, z_R)$ to be tracked must satisfy $\dot{x}_R(t) = f(\xi_R(t))$ everywhere.
- ▶ **New PE condition:**

Higher-Order Case (FM-MM-MdQ, NATMA'11)

- ▶ We solved the adaptive tracking and estimation problem for

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} &= f(\xi) \\ \dot{z}_i &= g_i(\xi) + k_i(\xi) \cdot \theta_i + \psi_i u_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, s. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Unknown constants $\psi = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_s) \in \mathbb{R}^s$ and constants $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_s) \in \mathbb{R}^{p_1 + \dots + p_s}$. $\xi = (x, z)$. Now $\Psi = (\theta, \psi)$.

- ▶ The C^2 T -periodic reference trajectory $\xi_R = (x_R, z_R)$ to be tracked must satisfy $\dot{x}_R(t) = f(\xi_R(t))$ everywhere.
- ▶ **New PE condition:** positive definiteness of the matrices

$$\mathcal{P}_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_0^T \lambda_i^\top(t) \lambda_i(t) dt \in \mathbb{R}^{(p_i+1) \times (p_i+1)}, \quad (9)$$

where $\lambda_i(t) = (k_i(\xi_R(t)), \dot{z}_{R,i}(t) - g_i(\xi_R(t)))$ for each i .

Two Other Key Assumptions

Two Other Key Assumptions

- ▶ Set $\mathcal{F}(t, \chi) = f(\chi + \xi_R(t)) - f(\xi_R(t))$.

Two Other Key Assumptions

- ▶ Set $\mathcal{F}(t, \chi) = f(\chi + \xi_R(t)) - f(\xi_R(t))$. There is a feedback v_f and a global strict Lyapunov function V for

$$\begin{cases} \dot{X} &= \mathcal{F}(t, X, Z) \\ \dot{Z} &= v_f(t, X, Z) \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

so that $-\dot{V}$ and V have positive definite quadratic lower bounds near 0

Two Other Key Assumptions

- ▶ Set $\mathcal{F}(t, \chi) = f(\chi + \xi_R(t)) - f(\xi_R(t))$. There is a feedback v_f and a global strict Lyapunov function V for

$$\begin{cases} \dot{X} &= \mathcal{F}(t, X, Z) \\ \dot{Z} &= v_f(t, X, Z) \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

so that $-\dot{V}$ and V have positive definite quadratic lower bounds near 0 and V , and v_f are T -periodic.

Two Other Key Assumptions

- ▶ Set $\mathcal{F}(t, \chi) = f(\chi + \xi_R(t)) - f(\xi_R(t))$. There is a feedback v_f and a global strict Lyapunov function V for

$$\begin{cases} \dot{X} &= \mathcal{F}(t, X, Z) \\ \dot{Z} &= v_f(t, X, Z) \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

so that $-\dot{V}$ and V have positive definite quadratic lower bounds near 0 and V , and v_f are T -periodic.

Backstepping..

Two Other Key Assumptions

- ▶ Set $\mathcal{F}(t, \chi) = f(\chi + \xi_R(t)) - f(\xi_R(t))$. There is a feedback v_f and a global strict Lyapunov function V for

$$\begin{cases} \dot{X} &= \mathcal{F}(t, X, Z) \\ \dot{Z} &= v_f(t, X, Z) \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

so that $-\dot{V}$ and V have positive definite quadratic lower bounds near 0 and V , and v_f are T -periodic.

Backstepping.. See Sontag text, Chap. 5.

Two Other Key Assumptions

- ▶ Set $\mathcal{F}(t, \chi) = f(\chi + \xi_R(t)) - f(\xi_R(t))$. There is a feedback v_f and a global strict Lyapunov function V for

$$\begin{cases} \dot{X} &= \mathcal{F}(t, X, Z) \\ \dot{Z} &= v_f(t, X, Z) \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

so that $-\dot{V}$ and V have positive definite quadratic lower bounds near 0 and V , and v_f are T -periodic.

Backstepping.. See Sontag text, Chap. 5.

- ▶ There are known positive constants θ_M , $\underline{\psi}$ and $\bar{\psi}$ such that

$$\underline{\psi} < \psi_i < \bar{\psi} \quad \text{and} \quad |\theta_i| < \theta_M \quad (11)$$

for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$.

Two Other Key Assumptions

- ▶ Set $\mathcal{F}(t, \chi) = f(\chi + \xi_R(t)) - f(\xi_R(t))$. There is a feedback v_f and a global strict Lyapunov function V for

$$\begin{cases} \dot{X} &= \mathcal{F}(t, X, Z) \\ \dot{Z} &= v_f(t, X, Z) \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

so that $-\dot{V}$ and V have positive definite quadratic lower bounds near 0 and V , and v_f are T -periodic.

Backstepping.. See Sontag text, Chap. 5.

- ▶ There are known positive constants θ_M , $\underline{\psi}$ and $\bar{\psi}$ such that

$$\underline{\psi} < \psi_i < \bar{\psi} \quad \text{and} \quad |\theta_i| < \theta_M \quad (11)$$

for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$. Known directions for the ψ_i 's.

Dynamic Feedback

Dynamic Feedback

The estimator evolves on $\{\prod_{i=1}^s (-\theta_M, \theta_M)^{p_i}\} \times (\underline{\psi}, \overline{\psi})^s$.

Dynamic Feedback

The estimator evolves on $\{\prod_{i=1}^s (-\theta_M, \theta_M)^{p_i}\} \times (\underline{\psi}, \bar{\psi})^s$.

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\hat{\theta}}_{i,j} &= (\hat{\theta}_{i,j}^2 - \theta_M^2) \varpi_{i,j}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq p_i \\ \dot{\hat{\psi}}_i &= (\hat{\psi}_i - \underline{\psi})(\hat{\psi}_i - \bar{\psi}) \mathcal{U}_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq s \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

Dynamic Feedback

The estimator evolves on $\{\prod_{i=1}^s (-\theta_M, \theta_M)^{p_i}\} \times (\underline{\psi}, \bar{\psi})^s$.

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\hat{\theta}}_{i,j} = (\hat{\theta}_{i,j}^2 - \theta_M^2) \varpi_{i,j}, & 1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq p_i \\ \dot{\hat{\psi}}_i = (\hat{\psi}_i - \underline{\psi})(\hat{\psi}_i - \bar{\psi}) \mathcal{U}_i, & 1 \leq i \leq s \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

Here $\hat{\theta}_i = (\hat{\theta}_{i,1}, \dots, \hat{\theta}_{i,p_i})$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$

Dynamic Feedback

The estimator evolves on $\{\prod_{i=1}^s (-\theta_M, \theta_M)^{p_i}\} \times (\underline{\psi}, \bar{\psi})^s$.

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\hat{\theta}}_{i,j} = (\hat{\theta}_{i,j}^2 - \theta_M^2) \varpi_{i,j}, & 1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq p_i \\ \dot{\hat{\psi}}_i = (\hat{\psi}_i - \underline{\psi})(\hat{\psi}_i - \bar{\psi}) \mathcal{U}_i, & 1 \leq i \leq s \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

Here $\hat{\theta}_i = (\hat{\theta}_{i,1}, \dots, \hat{\theta}_{i,p_i})$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$,

$$\varpi_{i,j} = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial z_i}(t, \tilde{\xi}) k_{i,j} (\tilde{\xi} + \xi_R(t))$$

Dynamic Feedback

The estimator evolves on $\{\prod_{i=1}^s (-\theta_M, \theta_M)^{p_i}\} \times (\underline{\psi}, \bar{\psi})^s$.

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\hat{\theta}}_{i,j} = (\hat{\theta}_{i,j}^2 - \theta_M^2) \varpi_{i,j}, & 1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq p_i \\ \dot{\hat{\psi}}_i = (\hat{\psi}_i - \underline{\psi})(\hat{\psi}_i - \bar{\psi}) \mathcal{U}_i, & 1 \leq i \leq s \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

Here $\hat{\theta}_i = (\hat{\theta}_{i,1}, \dots, \hat{\theta}_{i,p_i})$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varpi_{i,j} &= -\frac{\partial V}{\partial \tilde{z}_i}(t, \tilde{\xi}) k_{i,j}(\tilde{\xi} + \xi_R(t)) \quad \text{and} \\ \mathcal{U}_i &= -\frac{\partial V}{\partial \tilde{z}_i}(t, \tilde{\xi}) u_i(t, \tilde{\xi}, \hat{\theta}, \hat{\psi}). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Dynamic Feedback

The estimator evolves on $\{\prod_{i=1}^s (-\theta_M, \theta_M)^{p_i}\} \times (\underline{\psi}, \bar{\psi})^s$.

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\hat{\theta}}_{i,j} = (\hat{\theta}_{i,j}^2 - \theta_M^2) \varpi_{i,j}, & 1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq p_i \\ \dot{\hat{\psi}}_i = (\hat{\psi}_i - \underline{\psi})(\hat{\psi}_i - \bar{\psi}) \mathcal{U}_i, & 1 \leq i \leq s \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

Here $\hat{\theta}_i = (\hat{\theta}_{i,1}, \dots, \hat{\theta}_{i,p_i})$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$,

$$\varpi_{i,j} = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial \tilde{z}_i}(t, \tilde{\xi}) k_{i,j}(\tilde{\xi} + \xi_R(t)) \quad \text{and} \quad (13)$$

$$\mathcal{U}_i = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial \tilde{z}_i}(t, \tilde{\xi}) u_i(t, \tilde{\xi}, \hat{\theta}, \hat{\psi}).$$

$$u_i(t, \tilde{\xi}, \hat{\theta}, \hat{\psi}) = \frac{v_{t,i}(t, \tilde{\xi}) - g_i(\xi) - k_i(\xi) \cdot \hat{\theta}_i + \dot{z}_{R,i}(t)}{\hat{\psi}_i} \quad (14)$$

Dynamic Feedback

The estimator evolves on $\{\prod_{i=1}^s (-\theta_M, \theta_M)^{p_i}\} \times (\underline{\psi}, \bar{\psi})^s$.

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\hat{\theta}}_{i,j} = (\hat{\theta}_{i,j}^2 - \theta_M^2) \varpi_{i,j}, & 1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq p_i \\ \dot{\hat{\psi}}_i = (\hat{\psi}_i - \underline{\psi})(\hat{\psi}_i - \bar{\psi}) \mathcal{U}_i, & 1 \leq i \leq s \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

Here $\hat{\theta}_i = (\hat{\theta}_{i,1}, \dots, \hat{\theta}_{i,p_i})$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$,

$$\varpi_{i,j} = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial \tilde{z}_i}(t, \tilde{\xi}) k_{i,j}(\tilde{\xi} + \xi_R(t)) \quad \text{and} \quad (13)$$

$$\mathcal{U}_i = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial \tilde{z}_i}(t, \tilde{\xi}) u_i(t, \tilde{\xi}, \hat{\theta}, \hat{\psi}).$$

$$u_i(t, \tilde{\xi}, \hat{\theta}, \hat{\psi}) = \frac{v_{t,i}(t, \tilde{\xi}) - g_i(\xi) - k_i(\xi) \cdot \hat{\theta}_i + \dot{z}_{R,i}(t)}{\hat{\psi}_i} \quad (14)$$

The estimator and feedback can only depend on things we know.

Stabilization Analysis

Stabilization Analysis

- ▶ We build a global strict Lyapunov function for the $Y = (\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\psi}) = (\xi - \xi_R, \theta - \hat{\theta}, \psi - \hat{\psi})$ dynamics to prove the UGAS condition $\|Y(t)\| \leq \gamma_1(e^{t_0-t} \gamma_2(\|Y(t_0)\|))$.

Stabilization Analysis

- ▶ We build a global strict Lyapunov function for the $Y = (\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\psi}) = (\xi - \xi_R, \theta - \hat{\theta}, \psi - \hat{\psi})$ dynamics to prove the UGAS condition $|Y(t)| \leq \gamma_1(e^{t_0-t} \gamma_2(|Y(t_0)|))$.
- ▶ We start with the nonstrict Lyapunov function

$$V_1(t, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\psi}) = V(t, \tilde{\xi}) + \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=1}^{p_i} \int_0^{\tilde{\theta}_{i,j}} \frac{m}{\theta_M^2 - (m - \theta_{i,j})^2} dm + \sum_{i=1}^s \int_0^{\tilde{\psi}_i} \frac{m}{(\psi_i - m - \underline{\psi})(\bar{\psi} - \psi_i + m)} dm.$$

Stabilization Analysis

- ▶ We build a global strict Lyapunov function for the $Y = (\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\psi}) = (\xi - \xi_R, \theta - \hat{\theta}, \psi - \hat{\psi})$ dynamics to prove the UGAS condition $|Y(t)| \leq \gamma_1(e^{t_0-t} \gamma_2(|Y(t_0)|))$.

- ▶ We start with the nonstrict Lyapunov function

$$V_1(t, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\psi}) = V(t, \tilde{\xi}) + \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=1}^{p_i} \int_0^{\tilde{\theta}_{i,j}} \frac{m}{\theta_M^2 - (m - \theta_{i,j})^2} dm + \sum_{i=1}^s \int_0^{\tilde{\psi}_i} \frac{m}{(\psi_i - m - \underline{\psi})(\bar{\psi} - \psi_i + m)} dm.$$

- ▶ It gives $\dot{V}_1 \leq -W(\tilde{\xi})$ for some positive definite function W .

Stabilization Analysis

- ▶ We build a global strict Lyapunov function for the $Y = (\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\psi}) = (\xi - \xi_R, \theta - \hat{\theta}, \psi - \hat{\psi})$ dynamics to prove the UGAS condition $|Y(t)| \leq \gamma_1(e^{t_0-t} \gamma_2(|Y(t_0)|))$.
- ▶ We start with the nonstrict Lyapunov function

$$V_1(t, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\psi}) = V(t, \tilde{\xi}) + \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=1}^{p_i} \int_0^{\tilde{\theta}_{i,j}} \frac{m}{\theta_M^2 - (m - \theta_{i,j})^2} dm + \sum_{i=1}^s \int_0^{\tilde{\psi}_i} \frac{m}{(\psi_i - m - \underline{\psi})(\bar{\psi} - \psi_i + m)} dm.$$

- ▶ It gives $\dot{V}_1 \leq -W(\tilde{\xi})$ for some positive definite function W .
- ▶ This is insufficient for robustness analysis because \dot{V}_1 could be zero outside 0.

Stabilization Analysis

- ▶ We build a global strict Lyapunov function for the $Y = (\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\psi}) = (\xi - \xi_R, \theta - \hat{\theta}, \psi - \hat{\psi})$ dynamics to prove the UGAS condition $|Y(t)| \leq \gamma_1(e^{t_0-t} \gamma_2(|Y(t_0)|))$.
- ▶ We start with the nonstrict Lyapunov function

$$V_1(t, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\psi}) = V(t, \tilde{\xi}) + \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=1}^{p_i} \int_0^{\tilde{\theta}_{i,j}} \frac{m}{\theta_M^2 - (m - \theta_{i,j})^2} dm + \sum_{i=1}^s \int_0^{\tilde{\psi}_i} \frac{m}{(\psi_i - m - \underline{\psi})(\bar{\psi} - \psi_i + m)} dm.$$

- ▶ It gives $\dot{V}_1 \leq -W(\tilde{\xi})$ for some positive definite function W .
- ▶ This is insufficient for robustness analysis because \dot{V}_1 could be zero outside 0. Therefore, we transform V_1 .

Transformation (FM-MM-MdQ, NATMA'11)

Transformation (FM-MM-MdQ, NATMA'11)

Theorem: We can construct $K \in \mathcal{K}_\infty \cap \mathcal{C}^1$ such that

$$V^\#(t, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\psi}) \doteq K(V_1(t, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\psi})) + \sum_{i=1}^s \bar{\Upsilon}_i(t, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\psi}) \quad , \quad (15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{where } \bar{\Upsilon}_i(t, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\psi}) &= -\tilde{z}_i \lambda_i(t) \alpha_i(\tilde{\theta}_i, \tilde{\psi}_i) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{T_\psi} \alpha_i^\top(\tilde{\theta}_i, \tilde{\psi}_i) \Omega_i(t) \alpha_i(\tilde{\theta}_i, \tilde{\psi}_i) \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

$$\lambda_i(t) = (k_i(\xi_R(t)), \dot{z}_{R,i}(t) - g_i(\xi_R(t))) \quad , \quad (17)$$

$$\alpha_i(\tilde{\theta}_i, \tilde{\psi}_i) = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\theta}_i \psi_i - \theta_i \tilde{\psi}_i \\ \tilde{\psi}_i \end{bmatrix} \quad , \quad \text{and} \quad (18)$$

$$\Omega_i(t) = \int_{t-T}^t \int_m^t \lambda_i^\top(s) \lambda_i(s) ds dm \quad ,$$

is a global strict Lyapunov function for the $Y = (\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\psi})$ dynamics.

Transformation (FM-MM-MdQ, NATMA'11)

Theorem: We can construct $K \in \mathcal{K}_\infty \cap \mathcal{C}^1$ such that

$$V^\#(t, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\psi}) \doteq K(V_1(t, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\psi})) + \sum_{i=1}^s \bar{\Upsilon}_i(t, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\psi}) \quad , \quad (15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{where } \bar{\Upsilon}_i(t, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\psi}) &= -\tilde{z}_i \lambda_i(t) \alpha_i(\tilde{\theta}_i, \tilde{\psi}_i) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{T_\psi} \alpha_i^\top(\tilde{\theta}_i, \tilde{\psi}_i) \Omega_i(t) \alpha_i(\tilde{\theta}_i, \tilde{\psi}_i) \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

$$\lambda_i(t) = (k_i(\xi_R(t)), \dot{z}_{R,i}(t) - g_i(\xi_R(t))) \quad , \quad (17)$$

$$\alpha_i(\tilde{\theta}_i, \tilde{\psi}_i) = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\theta}_i \psi_i - \theta_i \tilde{\psi}_i \\ \tilde{\psi}_i \end{bmatrix} \quad , \quad \text{and} \quad (18)$$

$$\Omega_i(t) = \int_{t-T}^t \int_m^t \lambda_i^\top(s) \lambda_i(s) ds dm \quad ,$$

is a global strict Lyapunov function for the $Y = (\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\psi})$ dynamics. Hence, the dynamics are UGAS to 0.

Application: BLDC Motor (Dawson-Hu-Burg)

Application: BLDC Motor (Dawson-Hu-Burg)

Linear magnetic circuit.

Application: BLDC Motor (Dawson-Hu-Burg)

Linear magnetic circuit. Drives single-link, direct-drive robot arm.

Application: BLDC Motor (Dawson-Hu-Burg)

Linear magnetic circuit. Drives single-link, direct-drive robot arm.

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y}_1 &= y_2 \\ \dot{y}_2 &= -\frac{B}{M}y_2 - \frac{N}{M}\sin(y_1) + K_T[K_b\zeta_1 + 1]\zeta_2 \\ \dot{\zeta}_i &= H_i(\mathbf{y}, \zeta)\beta_i + \gamma_i u_i, \quad i = 1, 2 \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

Application: BLDC Motor (Dawson-Hu-Burg)

Linear magnetic circuit. Drives single-link, direct-drive robot arm.

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y}_1 &= y_2 \\ \dot{y}_2 &= -\frac{B}{M}y_2 - \frac{N}{M}\sin(y_1) + K_T[K_b\zeta_1 + 1]\zeta_2 \\ \dot{\zeta}_i &= H_i(y, \zeta)\beta_i + \gamma_i u_i, \quad i = 1, 2 \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

$$H_1(y, \zeta) = (-\zeta_1, y_2\zeta_2).$$

Application: BLDC Motor (Dawson-Hu-Burg)

Linear magnetic circuit. Drives single-link, direct-drive robot arm.

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y}_1 = y_2 \\ \dot{y}_2 = -\frac{B}{M}y_2 - \frac{N}{M}\sin(y_1) + K_T[K_b\zeta_1 + 1]\zeta_2 \\ \dot{\zeta}_i = H_i(y, \zeta)\beta_i + \gamma_i u_i, \quad i = 1, 2 \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

$$H_1(y, \zeta) = (-\zeta_1, y_2\zeta_2). \quad H_2(y, \zeta) = (-\zeta_2, -y_2\zeta_1, -y_2).$$

Application: BLDC Motor (Dawson-Hu-Burg)

Linear magnetic circuit. Drives single-link, direct-drive robot arm.

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y}_1 = y_2 \\ \dot{y}_2 = -\frac{B}{M}y_2 - \frac{N}{M}\sin(y_1) + K_T[K_b\zeta_1 + 1]\zeta_2 \\ \dot{\zeta}_i = H_i(\mathbf{y}, \zeta)\beta_i + \gamma_i u_i, \quad i = 1, 2 \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

$$H_1(\mathbf{y}, \zeta) = (-\zeta_1, y_2\zeta_2). \quad H_2(\mathbf{y}, \zeta) = (-\zeta_2, -y_2\zeta_1, -y_2).$$

- ▶ y_1, y_2 = load position and velocity.

Application: BLDC Motor (Dawson-Hu-Burg)

Linear magnetic circuit. Drives single-link, direct-drive robot arm.

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y}_1 = y_2 \\ \dot{y}_2 = -\frac{B}{M}y_2 - \frac{N}{M}\sin(y_1) + K_T[K_b\zeta_1 + 1]\zeta_2 \\ \dot{\zeta}_i = H_i(y, \zeta)\beta_i + \gamma_i u_i, \quad i = 1, 2 \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

$$H_1(y, \zeta) = (-\zeta_1, y_2\zeta_2). \quad H_2(y, \zeta) = (-\zeta_2, -y_2\zeta_1, -y_2).$$

- ▶ y_1, y_2 = load position and velocity. ζ_i = winding currents.

Application: BLDC Motor (Dawson-Hu-Burg)

Linear magnetic circuit. Drives single-link, direct-drive robot arm.

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y}_1 = y_2 \\ \dot{y}_2 = -\frac{B}{M}y_2 - \frac{N}{M}\sin(y_1) + K_T[K_b\zeta_1 + 1]\zeta_2 \\ \dot{\zeta}_i = H_i(y, \zeta)\beta_i + \gamma_i u_i, \quad i = 1, 2 \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

$$H_1(y, \zeta) = (-\zeta_1, y_2\zeta_2). \quad H_2(y, \zeta) = (-\zeta_2, -y_2\zeta_1, -y_2).$$

- ▶ y_1, y_2 = load position and velocity. ζ_i = winding currents.
- ▶ B = viscous friction coefficient.

Application: BLDC Motor (Dawson-Hu-Burg)

Linear magnetic circuit. Drives single-link, direct-drive robot arm.

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y}_1 &= y_2 \\ \dot{y}_2 &= -\frac{B}{M}y_2 - \frac{N}{M}\sin(y_1) + K_T[K_b\zeta_1 + 1]\zeta_2 \\ \dot{\zeta}_i &= H_i(\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\zeta})\beta_i + \gamma_i u_i, \quad i = 1, 2 \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

$$H_1(\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\zeta}) = (-\zeta_1, y_2\zeta_2). \quad H_2(\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\zeta}) = (-\zeta_2, -y_2\zeta_1, -y_2).$$

- ▶ y_1, y_2 = load position and velocity. ζ_i = winding currents.
- ▶ B = viscous friction coefficient. M = mechanical inertia.

Application: BLDC Motor (Dawson-Hu-Burg)

Linear magnetic circuit. Drives single-link, direct-drive robot arm.

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y}_1 = y_2 \\ \dot{y}_2 = -\frac{B}{M}y_2 - \frac{N}{M}\sin(y_1) + K_T[K_b\zeta_1 + 1]\zeta_2 \\ \dot{\zeta}_i = H_i(y, \zeta)\beta_i + \gamma_i u_i, \quad i = 1, 2 \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

$$H_1(y, \zeta) = (-\zeta_1, y_2\zeta_2). \quad H_2(y, \zeta) = (-\zeta_2, -y_2\zeta_1, -y_2).$$

- ▶ y_1, y_2 = load position and velocity. ζ_i = winding currents.
- ▶ B = viscous friction coefficient. M = mechanical inertia.
 N = related to the load mass and gravitational constant.

Application: BLDC Motor (Dawson-Hu-Burg)

Linear magnetic circuit. Drives single-link, direct-drive robot arm.

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y}_1 = y_2 \\ \dot{y}_2 = -\frac{B}{M}y_2 - \frac{N}{M}\sin(y_1) + K_T[K_b\zeta_1 + 1]\zeta_2 \\ \dot{\zeta}_i = H_i(y, \zeta)\beta_i + \gamma_i u_i, \quad i = 1, 2 \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

$$H_1(y, \zeta) = (-\zeta_1, y_2\zeta_2). \quad H_2(y, \zeta) = (-\zeta_2, -y_2\zeta_1, -y_2).$$

- ▶ y_1, y_2 = load position and velocity. ζ_i = winding currents.
- ▶ B = viscous friction coefficient. M = mechanical inertia. N = related to the load mass and gravitational constant. K_T, K_b = torque transmission coefficients.

Application: BLDC Motor (Dawson-Hu-Burg)

Linear magnetic circuit. Drives single-link, direct-drive robot arm.

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y}_1 &= y_2 \\ \dot{y}_2 &= -\frac{B}{M}y_2 - \frac{N}{M}\sin(y_1) + K_\tau[K_b\zeta_1 + 1]\zeta_2 \\ \dot{\zeta}_i &= H_i(y, \zeta)\beta_i + \gamma_i u_i, \quad i = 1, 2 \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

$$H_1(y, \zeta) = (-\zeta_1, y_2\zeta_2). \quad H_2(y, \zeta) = (-\zeta_2, -y_2\zeta_1, -y_2).$$

- ▶ y_1, y_2 = load position and velocity. ζ_i = winding currents.
- ▶ B = viscous friction coefficient. M = mechanical inertia. N = related to the load mass and gravitational constant. K_τ, K_b = torque transmission coefficients.
- ▶ The unknown vectors $\beta_1 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\beta_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and unknown scalars γ_1 and γ_2 are the motor electric parameters.

Conclusions

Conclusions

- ▶ Adaptive tracking and estimation is a central problem with applications in many branches of engineering.

Conclusions

- ▶ Adaptive tracking and estimation is a central problem with applications in many branches of engineering.
- ▶ Standard adaptive control treatments based on nonstrict Lyapunov functions only give tracking and are not robust.

Conclusions

- ▶ Adaptive tracking and estimation is a central problem with applications in many branches of engineering.
- ▶ Standard adaptive control treatments based on nonstrict Lyapunov functions only give tracking and are not robust.
- ▶ Our strict Lyapunov functions gave robustness to additive uncertainties on the parameters using the ISS paradigm.

Conclusions

- ▶ Adaptive tracking and estimation is a central problem with applications in many branches of engineering.
- ▶ Standard adaptive control treatments based on nonstrict Lyapunov functions only give tracking and are not robust.
- ▶ Our strict Lyapunov functions gave robustness to additive uncertainties on the parameters using the ISS paradigm.
- ▶ We covered systems with unknown control gains including brushless DC motors turning mechanical loads.

Conclusions

- ▶ Adaptive tracking and estimation is a central problem with applications in many branches of engineering.
- ▶ Standard adaptive control treatments based on nonstrict Lyapunov functions only give tracking and are not robust.
- ▶ Our strict Lyapunov functions gave robustness to additive uncertainties on the parameters using the ISS paradigm.
- ▶ We covered systems with unknown control gains including brushless DC motors turning mechanical loads.
- ▶ It would be useful to extend to cover models that are not affine in θ , feedback delays, and output feedbacks.