Bounded Backstepping for Nonlinear Control Systems

> Frederic Mazenc Michael Malisoff Laurent Burlion

We study control systems, i.e., systems of ODEs having a state- and time-dependent parameter called a control.

- We study control systems, i.e., systems of ODEs having a state- and time-dependent parameter called a control.
- We choose the control to ensure global asymptotic stability of the systems and to depend on current and past state values.

- We study control systems, i.e., systems of ODEs having a state- and time-dependent parameter called a control.
- We choose the control to ensure global asymptotic stability of the systems and to depend on current and past state values.
- We require the control to satisfy certain boundedness conditions and certain partially linear cascade structures.

- We study control systems, i.e., systems of ODEs having a state- and time-dependent parameter called a control.
- We choose the control to ensure global asymptotic stability of the systems and to depend on current and past state values.
- We require the control to satisfy certain boundedness conditions and certain partially linear cascade structures.
- Many engineering applications yield the dynamic structures from our theorem, resulting in a large literature.

- We study control systems, i.e., systems of ODEs having a state- and time-dependent parameter called a control.
- We choose the control to ensure global asymptotic stability of the systems and to depend on current and past state values.
- We require the control to satisfy certain boundedness conditions and certain partially linear cascade structures.
- Many engineering applications yield the dynamic structures from our theorem, resulting in a large literature.
- ▷ R. Freeman, H. Khalil, M. Krstic, F. Mazenc, J. Tsinias,

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = \mathcal{F}(t, x(t), z_1(t)) \\ \dot{z}_i(t) = z_{i+1}(t), \quad i \in \{1, \dots, k-1\} \\ \dot{z}_k(t) = u(t) + \sum_{j=1}^k v_j z_j(t) \end{cases}$$
(1)

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = \mathcal{F}(t, x(t), z_1(t)) \\ \dot{z}_i(t) = z_{i+1}(t), \quad i \in \{1, \dots, k-1\} \\ \dot{z}_k(t) = u(t) + \sum_{j=1}^k v_j z_j(t) \end{cases}$$
(1)

Assumption 1: There is a bounded locally Lipschitz ϑ such that

$$\begin{cases} \dot{z}_{i}(t) = z_{i+1}(t), & i \in \{1, \dots, k-1\} \\ \dot{z}_{k}(t) = \vartheta(z(t)) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} v_{i} z_{i}(t) \end{cases}$$
(2)

is globally asymptotically and locally exponentially stable to 0.

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = \mathcal{F}(t, x(t), z_1(t)) \\ \dot{z}_i(t) = z_{i+1}(t), \quad i \in \{1, \dots, k-1\} \\ \dot{z}_k(t) = u(t) + \sum_{j=1}^k v_j z_j(t) \end{cases}$$
(1)

Assumption 1: There is a bounded locally Lipschitz ϑ such that

$$\begin{cases} \dot{z}_{i}(t) = z_{i+1}(t), & i \in \{1, \dots, k-1\} \\ \dot{z}_{k}(t) = \vartheta(z(t)) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} v_{i} z_{i}(t) \end{cases}$$
(2)

is globally asymptotically and locally exponentially stable to 0.

Assumption 2: The function \mathcal{F} in (1) is continuous in t and globally Lipschitz in (x, z_1) and $\mathcal{F}(t, 0, 0) = 0$ for all $t \ge 0$.

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = \mathcal{F}(t, x(t), z_1(t)) \\ \dot{z}_i(t) = z_{i+1}(t), \quad i \in \{1, \dots, k-1\} \\ \dot{z}_k(t) = u(t) + \sum_{j=1}^k v_j z_j(t) \end{cases}$$
(1)

Assumption 3: (CICS) There is a globally Lipschitz bounded ω such that $\omega(0) = 0$, and constants T > 0 and q > 0, such that for each continuous $\delta : [0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ that exponentially converges to 0, the following is true: All solutions $\xi : [0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}^n$ of

$$\dot{\xi}(t) = \mathcal{F}\left(t, \xi(t), \int_{t-T}^{t} \frac{e^{q(\ell-t)}Q(t,\ell+T)\omega(\xi(\ell))}{\int_{-T}^{0} e^{qr}r^{k-1}(r+T)^{k-1}dr} \mathrm{d}\ell + \delta(t)\right)$$
(C)

satisfy $\lim_{t \to +\infty} \xi(t) = 0$, where $Q(t, a, b) = (t - a)^{k-1} (t - b)^{k-1}$.

Dynamic Extension

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = \mathcal{F}(t, x(t), z_{1}(t)) \\ \dot{z}_{i}(t) = z_{i+1}(t), \quad i \in \{1, \dots, k-1\} \\ \dot{z}_{k}(t) = u(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{k} v_{j} z_{j}(t) \\ \dot{Y}(t) = J_{2k-1} Y(t) + \frac{e_{2k-1}}{T} \frac{\omega(x(t))}{b_{T}}, \text{ where} \end{cases}$$

$$J_{2k-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -q & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -q & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & -q & 1 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & -q \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(2k-1) \times (2k-1)}$$

and $b_T = \int_{-T}^0 e^{q\ell} \ell^{k-1} (\ell + T)^{k-1} d\ell$ with q and T as above.

Our Theorem

If Assumptions 1-3 hold, then we can construct positive constants a, b, and c and constant row vectors R_i such that all maximal solutions (x, z, Y) of (AUG) with the choices

$$u(t) = \operatorname{sat}_{a}(R_{0}\Psi(Y_{t})) + b\frac{\omega(x(t))}{b_{T}} + c\frac{\omega(x(t-T))}{b_{T}} + \vartheta(z_{\star}(t)),$$

$$\Psi(Y_{t}) = Y(t) - e^{TJ_{2k-1}}Y(t-T) z_{\star}(t) = (z_{1}(t) + R_{1}\Psi(Y_{t}), \dots, z_{k}(t) + R_{k}\Psi(Y_{t}))$$
(2)

satisfy $\lim_{t\to+\infty} (x, z, Y)(t) = 0$.

Our Theorem

If Assumptions 1-3 hold, then we can construct positive constants a, b, and c and constant row vectors R_i such that all maximal solutions (x, z, Y) of (AUG) with the choices

$$u(t) = \operatorname{sat}_{a}(R_{0}\Psi(Y_{t})) + b\frac{\omega(x(t))}{b_{T}} + c\frac{\omega(x(t-T))}{b_{T}} + \vartheta(z_{\star}(t)),$$

$$\Psi(Y_{t}) = Y(t) - e^{TJ_{2k-1}}Y(t-T)$$

$$z_{\star}(t) = (z_{1}(t) + R_{1}\Psi(Y_{t}), \dots, z_{k}(t) + R_{k}\Psi(Y_{t}))$$
(2)

satisfy $\lim_{t\to+\infty} (x, z, Y)(t) = 0$.

Here sat_{*a*}(*r*) = *r* if $|r| \le a$ and $a \operatorname{sign}(r)$ otherwise.

Our Theorem

If Assumptions 1-3 hold, then we can construct positive constants a, b, and c and constant row vectors R_i such that all maximal solutions (x, z, Y) of (AUG) with the choices

$$u(t) = \operatorname{sat}_{a}(R_{0}\Psi(Y_{t})) + b\frac{\omega(x(t))}{b_{T}} + c\frac{\omega(x(t-T))}{b_{T}} + \vartheta(z_{\star}(t)),$$

$$\Psi(Y_{t}) = Y(t) - e^{TJ_{2k-1}}Y(t-T)$$

$$z_{\star}(t) = (z_{1}(t) + R_{1}\Psi(Y_{t}), \dots, z_{k}(t) + R_{k}\Psi(Y_{t}))$$
(2)

satisfy $\lim_{t\to+\infty} (x, z, Y)(t) = 0$.

Here sat_{*a*}(*r*) = *r* if $|r| \le a$ and $a \operatorname{sign}(r)$ otherwise.

Benefit: Bounded u(t) under mild checkable (CICS) condition.

There exist *f* and *g* that are uniformly globally Lipschitz in *x* and continuous such that $\mathcal{F}(t, x, p) = f(t, x) + g(t, x)p$ holds for all $t \ge 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $p \in \mathbb{R}$.

There exist *f* and *g* that are uniformly globally Lipschitz in *x* and continuous such that $\mathcal{F}(t, x, p) = f(t, x) + g(t, x)p$ holds for all $t \ge 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $p \in \mathbb{R}$. Also, there exist a C^1 uniformly proper and positive definite function *V*; a uniformly continuous positive definite function *W*; positive constants r_0 , r_1 , and r_3 ; and a constant $r_2 \ge 0$ such that for all $(t, x) \in [0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$V_{t}(t,x) + V_{x}(t,x)(f(t,x) + g(t,x)\omega(x)) \leq -W(x), \\ |V_{x}(t,x)g(t,x)| \leq r_{0}\sqrt{W(x)}, \ |\omega(x)| \leq r_{1}\sqrt{W(x)}, \\ |f(t,x)| \leq r_{2}\sqrt{W(x)}, \ \text{and} \ |g(t,x)| \leq r_{3},$$
(DB)

where ω is bounded, globally Lipschitz, and satisfies $\omega(0) = 0$.

There exist *f* and *g* that are uniformly globally Lipschitz in *x* and continuous such that $\mathcal{F}(t, x, p) = f(t, x) + g(t, x)p$ holds for all $t \ge 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $p \in \mathbb{R}$. Also, there exist a C^1 uniformly proper and positive definite function *V*; a uniformly continuous positive definite function *W*; positive constants r_0 , r_1 , and r_3 ; and a constant $r_2 \ge 0$ such that for all $(t, x) \in [0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$V_{t}(t,x) + V_{x}(t,x)(f(t,x) + g(t,x)\omega(x)) \leq -W(x), \\ |V_{x}(t,x)g(t,x)| \leq r_{0}\sqrt{W(x)}, \ |\omega(x)| \leq r_{1}\sqrt{W(x)}, \\ |f(t,x)| \leq r_{2}\sqrt{W(x)}, \ \text{and} \ |g(t,x)| \leq r_{3},$$
(DB)

where ω is bounded, globally Lipschitz, and satisfies $\omega(0) = 0$.

There exist *f* and *g* that are uniformly globally Lipschitz in *x* and continuous such that $\mathcal{F}(t, x, p) = f(t, x) + g(t, x)p$ holds for all $t \ge 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $p \in \mathbb{R}$. Also, there exist a C^1 uniformly proper and positive definite function *V*; a uniformly continuous positive definite function *W*; positive constants r_0 , r_1 , and r_3 ; and a constant $r_2 \ge 0$ such that for all $(t, x) \in [0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$V_{t}(t,x) + V_{x}(t,x)(f(t,x) + g(t,x)\omega(x)) \leq -W(x), \\ |V_{x}(t,x)g(t,x)| \leq r_{0}\sqrt{W(x)}, \ |\omega(x)| \leq r_{1}\sqrt{W(x)}, \\ |f(t,x)| \leq r_{2}\sqrt{W(x)}, \ \text{and} \ |g(t,x)| \leq r_{3},$$
(DB)

where ω is bounded, globally Lipschitz, and satisfies $\omega(0) = 0$.

> Backstepping is an essential technique in control theory.

- > Backstepping is an essential technique in control theory.
- \triangleright It is challenging when there are control bounds.

- > Backstepping is an essential technique in control theory.
- \triangleright It is challenging when there are control bounds.
- ▷ We overcame this under our general (CICS) condition.

- > Backstepping is an essential technique in control theory.
- \triangleright It is challenging when there are control bounds.
- ▷ We overcame this under our general (CICS) condition.
- \triangleright Sufficient conditions tell us how to choose artificial delay *T*.

- > Backstepping is an essential technique in control theory.
- \triangleright It is challenging when there are control bounds.
- ▷ We overcame this under our general (CICS) condition.
- \triangleright Sufficient conditions tell us how to choose artificial delay *T*.
- \triangleright We can cover $\mathcal{F}(t, x, z)$, measurement delay and uncertainty.

- > Backstepping is an essential technique in control theory.
- \triangleright It is challenging when there are control bounds.
- \triangleright We overcame this under our general (CICS) condition.
- \triangleright Sufficient conditions tell us how to choose artificial delay *T*.
- \triangleright We can cover $\mathcal{F}(t, x, z)$, measurement delay and uncertainty.

Mazenc, F., M. Malisoff, and L. Burlion. Bounded backstepping through a dynamic extension with delays. In *Proceedings of the 56th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control (Melbourne, Australia, 12-15 December 2017)*.

- > Backstepping is an essential technique in control theory.
- \triangleright It is challenging when there are control bounds.
- \triangleright We overcame this under our general (CICS) condition.
- \triangleright Sufficient conditions tell us how to choose artificial delay *T*.
- \triangleright We can cover $\mathcal{F}(t, x, z)$, measurement delay and uncertainty.

Mazenc, F., and M. Malisoff. New control design for bounded backstepping under input delays. Automatica, 2016.

- > Backstepping is an essential technique in control theory.
- \triangleright It is challenging when there are control bounds.
- \triangleright We overcame this under our general (CICS) condition.
- \triangleright Sufficient conditions tell us how to choose artificial delay *T*.
- \triangleright We can cover $\mathcal{F}(t, x, z)$, measurement delay and uncertainty.

Mazenc, F., M. Malisoff, L. Burlion, and J. Weston. Bounded backstepping control and robustness analysis for time-varying systems under converging-input-converging-state conditions. *European Journal of Control*, 2017.

- > Backstepping is an essential technique in control theory.
- \triangleright It is challenging when there are control bounds.
- ▷ We overcame this under our general (CICS) condition.
- \triangleright Sufficient conditions tell us how to choose artificial delay *T*.
- \triangleright We can cover $\mathcal{F}(t, x, z)$, measurement delay and uncertainty.

Mazenc, F., M. Malisoff, L. Burlion, and J. Weston. Bounded backstepping control and robustness analysis for time-varying systems under converging-input-converging-state conditions. *European Journal of Control*, 2017.

Thank you for your attention!