

# Homework

-1-

9.24] If  $f$  is continuous,  $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and if  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is open, then  $f^{-1}(U) \subseteq D$  is open in  $D$  but does not have to be open in  $\mathbb{E}^n$  (if  $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ ). So, let  $f \in C([0,1], \mathbb{R})$ ,  $f(x) = x$ . Then  $f^{-1}(\mathbb{R}) = [0,1]$  which is not open in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

9.33 Let  $D = \{\frac{1}{n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{0\}$ .

a)  $D$  is compact:  $D$  is bounded and also closed. The only cluster point is  $0$  and  $0 \in D$ .

b) Let  $f \in C(D, \mathbb{E}^m)$ . Then  $x \mapsto \|f(x)\|$  is continuous. As  $D$  is compact it follows from Theorem 9.3.2 that there exists  $a, b \in D$  s.t.  $\|f(a)\| = \min_{x \in D} \|f(x)\| \leq \|f(b)\| = \max_{x \in D} \|f(x)\|$ .

9.35]  $\varphi(t) = (\cos(2\pi t), \sin(2\pi t))$ ,  $\varphi|_{[0,1]} = f$ .

(Note that the image of  $\varphi$  is the circle  $\{(x,y) \mid x^2 + y^2 = 1\}$  which is compact, but  $[0,1]$  is not compact.)

a) If  $(x,y) \in S^1 = \{(x,y) \mid x^2 + y^2 = 1\}$ . Then there exists a unique  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$  such that  $x = \cos \theta$ ,  $y = \sin \theta$ . Let  $t = \frac{\theta}{2\pi}$ , then  $f(t) = (x,y)$ .

b)  $f$  is continuous except at  $(1,0) = f(0)$ . Let  $0 < t_n \nearrow 1$  be an increasing sequence converging to 1.

~~Then~~ Then  $(\cos(2\pi t_n), \sin(2\pi t_n)) \rightarrow (1,0)$ . But

$f^{-1}(1,0) = 0 \neq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^{-1}(\cos(2\pi t_n), \sin(2\pi t_n)) = 1$ .

On the other hand, if  $1 > s_n \searrow 0$ , then  $(\cos(2\pi s_n), \sin(2\pi s_n)) \rightarrow (1,0)$  and  $f^{-1}(\cos(2\pi s_n), \sin(2\pi s_n)) \rightarrow 0$  so

$\lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (1,0)} f^{-1}(x,y)$  does not exist. As  $[0,1]$  is not compact, this does not contradict Thm. 9.3.3.

c) No, how to define  $\varphi^{-1}(1,0)$ ? \*

9.37) a)  $S \subseteq \mathbb{F}^m$ ,  $K \subseteq \mathbb{F}^m$ ,  $K$  compact.  $f: S \rightarrow K$  1-1 and onto. Assume that  $f^{-1}$  is continuous. Then  $S$  is compact because

$$S = f^{-1}(K), K \text{ compact, } f^{-1} \text{ continuous and Thm. 9.3.1.}$$

b)  $S = (0, 1)$ ,  $K = [0, 1]$ ,  $f(x) = x$ . A "better" example is  $S = [0, \infty)$ ,  $K = [0, 1]$ ,  $f(x) = \frac{1}{1+x^2}$ . Then  $f(S) = [0, 1]$  and  $f(y) = \sqrt{(1-y)/y}$  which is continuous on  $(0, 1]$ .

9.41) Suppose that  $D \subseteq \mathbb{F}^m$  is compact and  $f \in C(D, \mathbb{F}^m)$ . Then  $f$  is uniformly continuous.

Proof: Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . For  $x \in D$  there exist  $\delta(x) > 0$  s.t. if  $y \in B_{\delta(x)}(x) \cap D$  then

$$\|f(y) - f(x)\| < \epsilon/2.$$

We have

$$D \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in D} B_{\delta(x)/2}(x).$$

As  $D$  is compact, there exist a finite subcover. Thus, there are  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in D$  such that

$$D \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^k B_{\delta(x_j)/2}(x_j).$$

Let  $\delta = \min_{j=1, \dots, k} \delta(x_j)/2$ . Let  $x, y \in D$  be such that  $\|x - y\| < \delta$ .

These exist  $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  such that  $x \in B_{\delta(x_j)/2}(x_j)$ .

In particular

$$\|f(x) - f(x_j)\| < \varepsilon/2.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|y - x_j\| &= \|y - x + x - x_j\| \\ &\leq \|y - x\| + \|x - x_j\| \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $< \delta + \delta(x_j)/2 \leq \delta$

$$\|f(y) - f(x_j)\| < \varepsilon/2.$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(y) - f(x)\| &\leq \|f(y) - f(x_j)\| + \|f(x_j) - f(x)\| \\ &< \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 \\ &= \varepsilon. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

9.49)  $D \subseteq \mathbb{E}^n$  compact,  $f \in C(D, \mathbb{E}^m)$  1-1. Then, if  $f(D)$  is connected so is  $D$ .

Proof. ( $f(D) \subseteq \mathbb{E}^m$  is compact). By Thm. 9.3.3 we know that  $f^{-1}: f(D) \rightarrow D \subseteq \mathbb{E}^n$  is continuous.

As  $D = f^{-1}(f(D))$  it follows by Thm. 9.4.1 that  $D$  is connected.

10.5)  $[A] = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $[B] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

•  $\|A\| = 1 = \|B\| = \|A+B\|$

We first note that

$$A \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

hence

$$\|A \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}\| = |x| \leq \left\| \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \right\| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}.$$

This implies that  $\|A\| \leq 1$ . On the other hand  $\|A(\delta)\| = \|(\delta)\| = 1$ . Hence  $\|A\| \geq 1$ . It follows that  $\|A\| = 1$ . The proof for B is the same in interchanging the role of x and y.

For  $A+B$  we note that

$$[A+B] = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

hence  $(A+B)\vec{v} = \vec{v}$  for all  $v \in \mathbb{F}^2$ . Hence

$$\|A+B\| = \sup_{\|\vec{v}\|=1} \|(A+B)v\| = \sup_{\|\vec{v}\|=1} \|v\| = 1.$$

10.13 Define  $T_{ij} e_i = f_j, T_{ij} e_r = 0$  if  $r \neq i$  and then by linear extension

$$T_{ij} (\sum x_r e_r) = \sum x_r T_{ij}(e_r) = x_i f_j.$$

Now, let  $T \in L(\mathbb{F}_n, \mathbb{F}_m)$ . Define for  $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m$

$$t_{ij} = (T e_i, f_j)$$

Then in particular

$$T e_i = \sum_{j=1}^m t_{ij} f_j.$$

we claim that

$$T = \sum_{i,j} t_{ij} T_{ij}$$

proof: let  $v = b_1 \dots, b_n$ . Then

$$T e_r = \sum t_{rj} f_j$$

and

$$(\sum_{i,j} t_{ij} T_{ij})(e_r) = \sum_{i,j} t_{ij} T_{ij}(e_r)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^m t_{rj} f_j$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^m t_{rj} f_j$$

hence  $T(e_\nu) = (\sum t_{ij} T_{ij})(e_\nu)$  for all of the basis vectors. As both maps are linear it follows that they agree everywhere, hence  $\{T_{ij}\}$  is a generating set.

- Linear independent. Let  $c_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$  be so that

$$\sum c_{ij} T_{ij} = 0$$

Let  $\nu \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Then

$$0 = (\sum c_{ij} T_{ij})(e_\nu) = \sum_{j=1}^m c_{\nu j} f_j$$

As the vectors  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  are linearly independent it follows that  $c_{\nu 1} = \dots = c_{\nu m} = 0$ . As  $\nu$  was taking arbitrary in  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  it follows that this holds for all  $\nu = 1, \dots, n$ . Hence  $c_{ij} = 0$ . It follows that

$$\dim L(\mathbb{F}^n, \mathbb{F}^m) = nm.$$

10.11. (a)  $X \in L(\mathbb{F}^n)$ ,  $\|X\| < 1 \Rightarrow T_k = \sum_{r=0}^k X^r$  is Cauchy.

proof

$$\|X^0 + X\| = \|I + X\| \leq \|I\| + \|X\|$$

$$= 1 + \|X\|$$

Induction then shows that

$$\|T_k\| \leq \sum_{r=0}^k \|X\|^r.$$

Similarly for  $k < N$ :

$$\|T_k - T_N\| = \left\| \sum_{r=k+1}^N X^r \right\| \leq \sum_{r=k+1}^N \|X\|^r.$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ :

As the geometric series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|X\|^k$  converges, there

Exist  $N > 0$  so that for all  $N \leq k < L$  we have

$$\sum_{k=N+1}^L \|X\|^k < \varepsilon$$

But then  $\|T_k - T_L\| < \varepsilon$  for  $k, L \geq N$ .

b) Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (I - X)T_k &= \sum_{r=0}^k (X^r - X^{r+1}) \\ &= I - X^{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|(I - X)T_k - I\| = \|X^{k+1}\| \leq \|X\|^{k+1} \rightarrow 0.$$

c) Let  $T = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} T_k$ . Then (b) shows that

$$(I - X)T = I$$

or  $(I - X)(T(v)) = v$  for all  $v \in \mathbb{E}^n$ .

Thus  $(I - X)$  is surjective and hence also injective, hence invertible with

$$(I - X)^{-1} = T.$$