

**Math 7311, Analysis 1, Homework #12.**

**Due Monday, Nov 19 at 11:30 in Class**

As usually  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  denotes a measurspace.  $\lambda_k$  stands for the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^k$ .

1) Let  $X = Y = [0, 1]$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra and  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$ . Let  $\lambda_1$  be the Lebesgue measure on  $[0, 1]$  and  $\mu$  the counting measure. Finally let  $D = \{(x, x) \mid x \in [0, 1]\}$ . Show the following:

a)  $D$  is measurable.

b) Let  $f(x, y) = \mathbf{1}_D(x, y)$ . Then

$$\int_X \left( \int_Y f(x, y) d\mu(y) \right) d\lambda_1(x) \neq \int_Y \left( \int_X f(x, y) d\lambda_1(x) \right) d\mu(y).$$

2) (August 2011) Assume that  $\mu(X) = 1$ . If  $g, f$  are positive measurable functions on  $X$  such that  $fg \geq 1$  then

$$\int_X f d\mu \int_X g d\mu \geq 1.$$

(Hint: Use Hölder's inequality and # 3 from the last homework.)

3) (From the book, p. 113.) Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \lambda_2)$ .

a) For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  show that

$$F_n(x) = \int_0^1 f(x, y + n) d\lambda_1(y).$$

exists for almost all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

b) Prove that  $F_n \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \lambda_1)$ . Determine whether or not the sequence  $F_n$  has a limit in  $L^1(\mathbb{R}, \lambda_1)$ .

4) (From the book, p. 113.) Let  $p : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by a polynomial in  $n$  real variables. Assume that  $p$  is **not** the zero polynomial. Prove that the set  $p^{-1}(0)$  is a  $\lambda_n$ -null set. (Hint: Do first  $n = 1$  and then use induction using Fubini.)

# Homework # 12 - Solutions

1) a) Define  $f: [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f(x,y) = x-y$ . Then  $f$  is continuous and hence measurable w.r.t.  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$  C.L.P.  $[0,1]$ . Here  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$  is the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra. It follows that  $f$  is measurable. Thus  $D = f^{-1}(\{0\})$  is measurable.

b)  $\int f(x,y) d\mu(y) = 1$  for all  $x \in [0,1]$ . Hence

$$\left( \int \int f(x,y) d\mu(y) d\lambda_1(x) \right) = 1.$$

On the other hand, for fixed  $y$  we have  $f(x,y) = 0$  for almost all  $x$ . Thus

$$\int f(x,y) d\lambda_1(x) = 0$$

and  $\left( \int \left( \int f(x,y) d\lambda_1(x) \right) d\mu \right) = \int 0 d\mu = 0$ .

2) We have  $1 \leq (fg)^{1/2} = f^{1/2}g^{1/2} \leq fg$ . Hence

$$1 \leq \int f^{1/2}g^{1/2} \leq \left( \int f \right)^{1/2} \left( \int g \right)^{1/2}. \text{ It follows that}$$

$$1 \leq \left[ \left( \int f \right)^{1/2} \left( \int g \right)^{1/2} \right]^2 = \int f d\mu \int g d\mu.$$

3) a) Note first that

$$F_n(x) = \int_n f(x,y) d\lambda_1(y) = \int f(x,y) \mathbf{1}_{[n,n+1]}(y) d\lambda_1(y)$$

As  $|f(x,y)| \mathbf{1}_{[n,n+1]}(y) \leq |f(x,y)|$  and  $f$  is integrable. It follows that

$$(x,y) \mapsto f(x,y) \mathbf{1}_{[n,n+1]}(y)$$

is integrable. Hence Fubini's theorem implies that  $F_n(x)$  exists for almost all  $x$ .

b) Fubini's theorem implies that  $F_n \in L^1$ . We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \int |F_n(x)| d\lambda &\leq \iint |f(x,y)| \chi_{[n,n+1]}(y) d\lambda(y) d\lambda(x) \\ &= \iint |f(x,y)| \chi_{[n,n+1]}(y) d\lambda(x) d\lambda(y) \\ &= \int G(y) \chi_{[n,n+1]}(y) d\lambda(y) \end{aligned}$$

where  $G(y) = \int |f(x,y)| d\lambda(x)$ . As  $G$  is integrable it follows that for  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist  $R > 0$  s.t.

$$\int_{|y| \geq R} G(y) d\lambda(y) < \epsilon \quad (*)$$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and let  $R$  be so that  $(*)$  holds.

Let  $M \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $M \geq R$ . Then for  $n \geq M$  we have

$$\int |F_n(x)| d\lambda(x) \leq \int_{|y| \geq n} G(y) d\lambda < \epsilon.$$

Hence  $F_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^1$ .

4) If  $n=1$  then  $p^{-1}(o) = \bigcup_{j=1}^k \{x_j\}$  is a finite union of points. Hence  $\lambda_1(p^{-1}(o)) = 0$ . In general we have

$$1_{p^{-1}(o)} = 1_{\{o\}} \circ p$$

Let  $m > 1$ . For fixed  $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$  we know that  $x_k \mapsto p(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_k)$  is a polynomial. Hence

$$\int p(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) d\lambda(x_n) = 0.$$

The claim follows by Fubini because

$$\lambda(p^{-1}(o)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} 1_{\{o\}} \circ p(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$