

Homework set 1, due Monday, August 27, 2012

From the book: 2.1, 2.4, 2.7 and

4) Show that the following subsets of \mathbb{R} generate the same σ -algebra:

1. $\mathcal{A}_1 = \{(a, b) \mid -\infty < a < b < \infty\}$
2. $\mathcal{A}_2 = \{[a, b) \mid -\infty < a < b < \infty\}$
3. $\mathcal{A}_3 = \{(a, \infty) \mid a \in \mathbb{R}\}$
4. $\mathcal{A}_4 = \{(-\infty, a] \mid a \in \mathbb{R}\}$

Hint: Show that $\mathcal{A}_2 \subset \sigma(\mathcal{A}_1)$ etc. where $\sigma(\mathcal{A}_j)$ denotes the σ -algebra generated by \mathcal{A}_j .

Solutions #1

- 1) (Problem 2.1) We have to show that if we define an algebra to be a non-empty collection of sets, $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ then closed under unions and taking complements implies it is also closed under taking an union, $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, implies $A \cup B \in \mathcal{A}$. But $A \cup B = [A^c \cap B^c]^c \in \mathcal{A}$.
- 2) (Problem 2.4) Let $f: X \Rightarrow Y$. Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(Y)$ be a σ -algebra. Then

$$f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}) = \{f^{-1}(A) \mid A \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

is a σ -algebra. [This was done in class.]

Solution: Let $\{E_j\}$ be a sequence in $f^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$. Let $B_j \in \mathcal{A}$ be such that $E_j = f^{-1}(B_j)$. Then

$$\bigcup_j E_j = \bigcup_j f^{-1}(B_j) = f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_j B_j\right) \in f^{-1}(\mathcal{A}).$$

- Let $A = f^{-1}(B) \in f^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$. Then $A^c = f^{-1}(B^c) \in f^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ given an example of an infinite set X and $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ such that \mathcal{A} is not a σ -algebra.

Solution: Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ and let \mathcal{A} be the collection of all finite or countably infinite subsets of X .

4) We will show that

$$\sigma(\mathcal{A}_1) \supseteq \sigma(\mathcal{A}_2) \supseteq \sigma(\mathcal{A}_3) \supseteq \sigma(\mathcal{A}_4) \supseteq \sigma(\mathcal{A}_5).$$

Then all of them have to be the same.

• We have $[a, b) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (a - \frac{1}{n}, b)$. Hence $[a, b) \in \sigma(\mathcal{U}_1)$. It follows that the σ -algebra $\sigma(\mathcal{U}_2)$ generated by the intervals of the form $[a, b)$ is contained in $\sigma(\mathcal{U}_1)$.

• Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$(a, \infty) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [a + \frac{1}{n}, a + n) \in \sigma(\mathcal{U}_2).$$

• We have

$$(-\infty, a] = \mathbb{R} \setminus (a, \infty)$$

• Let $a < b$. Then $(a, b] = (-\infty, b] \setminus (-\infty, a]$. But then we also have

$$(a, b) = \bigcup_{\substack{h=1 \\ (b-\frac{1}{h} > a)}}^{\infty} (a, b - \frac{1}{h}) \in \sigma(\mathcal{U}_4)$$

and the claim follows.

Math 7311, Analysis 1, Homework due Wednesday, Sept 9, 11:30

If \mathcal{A} is a σ -algebra then by a measure on \mathcal{A} we mean a countable additive measure.

- 1) Let X be a non-empty set and $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ a σ -algebra. Let $\emptyset \neq Y \subset X$. Define

$$\mathcal{A}_Y = \{A \cap Y \mid A \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

Show that $\mathcal{A}|_Y$ is a σ -algebra on Y .

- 2) Let X and Y be as above. Let \mathcal{B} be a σ -algebra on Y . Define

$$\mathcal{B}^X = \{E \subset X \mid E \cap Y \in \mathcal{B}\}.$$

Show that \mathcal{B}^X is a σ -algebra.

- 3) We use the same notation as in exercise 2. Let μ be a measure on \mathcal{B} . Show that μ_X define by $\mu^X(A) = \mu(A \cap Y)$ is measure defined on \mathcal{B}^X .

- 4) Let $X = \mathbb{N}$, the set of natural numbers. Let $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of non-negative real numbers (not all equal to zero). Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{P}(X)$ and define $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ = [0, \infty]$ by

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{n \in A} a_n.$$

Show that μ is a σ -finite measure.

- 5) Exercise 2.14

1) Let $\{A_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in \mathcal{A}_Y . Take $B_j \in \mathcal{A}$ s.t.

$$A_j = Y \cap B_j. \text{ Then } Y \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} Y \cap B_j = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j.$$

As $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j \in \mathcal{A}$ it follows that $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j \in \mathcal{A}_Y$.

Let $A = Y \cap B \in \mathcal{A}_Y$. Then $Y \setminus A = Y \cap (X \setminus B) \in \mathcal{A}_Y$.

2) Let $\{E_j\}$ be a sequence in \mathcal{B}^X . Then

$$Y \cap \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j \right) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} Y \cap E_j \in \mathcal{B}$$

Hence $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j \in \mathcal{B}^X$. Let $E \in \mathcal{B}^X$. Then

$$Y \cap (X \setminus E) = Y \setminus E \cap Y \in \mathcal{B}$$

Thus $X \setminus E \in \mathcal{B}^X$.

3) It is clear that $\mu^X(A) \geq 0$. Let $\{E_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be a disjoint sequence in \mathcal{B}^X . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^X \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j \right) &= \mu \left(\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j \right) \cap Y \right) \quad (\text{definition}) \\ &= \mu \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} (E_j \cap Y) \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_j \cap Y) \quad (\mu \text{ a measure}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu^X(E_j). \end{aligned}$$

4) It is clear that $\mu(A) \geq 0$ because $a_j \geq 0$.

Let $\{E_j\}$ be a disjoint sequence in X . Then, because each $a_j \geq 0$, the order of summation does not matter:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j \right) &= \sum_{h \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j} a_h = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{h \in E_j} a_h \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_j) \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

The measure is σ -finite because

$$\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$$

and $\mu(S_n) = a_n < \infty$. \square

5) Prove that every infinite σ -finite countably additive measure μ is approximately finite. Thus we have to

show: If $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $\mu(A) = \infty$ and $M > 0$, then there exists BCA such that $M\mu(B) < \infty$.
Solution: Let $\{X_j\}$ be a sequence in \mathcal{A} such that $X = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} X_j$ and $\mu(X_j)$. We can (as pointed out in class) use that the sets X_j are disjoint. Let $Y_N = \bigcup_{j=1}^N X_j \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $\{Y_N\}$ is an increasing sequence and hence $\mu(Y_N)$ is an increasing sequence of positive numbers with $\mu(Y_N) \rightarrow \infty$. Now let A and M be as above. Then

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(A \cap X_j) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A \cap Y_N).$$

It follows that $\{\mu(A \cap Y_N)\}$ is an increasing sequence with $\mu(A \cap Y_N) \rightarrow \infty$. In particular there exists N_0 s.t. for all $N \geq N_0$ we have

$$\mu(A \cap Y_N) > M$$

Let $B = A \cap Y_{N_0}$. Then $B \subseteq A$ and

$$M < \mu(B) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{N_0} \mu(X_j) < \infty$$

Homework set 3, due Monday, Sept 10, 2012, at 11:30

- 1) Number 2.19 from the book.
- 2) Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra on a set X and let μ be a finitely additive measure on \mathcal{A} . Assume that $\mu(X) < \infty$. Then the following are equivalent:

1. μ is countably additive.
2. For all decreasing sequences $\{A_j\}$ such that $\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j \in \mathcal{A}$ we have

$$\mu\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j\right) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_j).$$

3. For all increasing sequences $\{B_j\}$ in \mathcal{A} such that $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j \in \mathcal{A}$ we have

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j\right) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \mu(B_j).$$

(Explain why those limits exists.)

- 3) Suppose $\{A_n\}$ is a sequence of sets. Define

$$\limsup(A_n) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty} A_m$$

and

$$\liminf(A_n) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{m=n}^{\infty} A_m.$$

If $A = \limsup(A_n) = \liminf(A_n)$ we say the sequence $\{A_n\}$ converges to A and write $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = A$. In the following (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) will stand for a measure space and $\{A_n\}$ will always stand for a sequence of sets in \mathcal{A} .

1. Show that

$$\limsup(A_n) = \{x \mid x \in A_n \text{ for infinitely many } n\}$$

and

$$\liminf(A_n) = \{x \in A_n \text{ for all but finitely many } n\}$$

2. Show that $\limsup(A_n), \liminf(A_n) \in \mathcal{A}$.
3. Suppose $A_n \subset A_{n+1}$ for each n . Show that A_n converges and $\lim \mu(A_n) = \mu(\lim A_n)$.
4. If $\mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) < \infty$ then $\mu(\limsup(A_n)) \geq \limsup \mu(A_n)$.
5. If $\limsup \mu(A_n) < \infty$, then $\mu(\lim A_n) = \lim \mu(A_n)$.

(1) Let μ be a finitely additive measure on the algebra \mathcal{A} such that $\mu(X) < \infty$. Show that μ is countably additive if and only if for all decreasing sequences $\{A_j\}$ in \mathcal{A} such that $\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j = \emptyset$ we have $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_j) = 0$.

Solution We first note the following: As $X = A \cup (X \setminus A)$ and $\mu(X) < \infty$ it follows that

$$\mu(X) = \mu(A) + \mu(X \setminus A)$$

or $\mu(X \setminus A) = \mu(X) - \mu(A)$, $A \in \mathcal{B}$, $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$.

Similarly $\mu(B \setminus A) = \mu(B) - \mu(A)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{B}$, $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$. Assume that μ is countably additive. Let

$\{E_j\}$ be a decreasing sequence. Define $A_j = E_j \setminus E_{j+1}$. Then $E_1 = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(E_1) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_j) \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^N \mu(E_j - E_{j+1}) \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} (\mu(E_1) - \mu(E_{N+1})) \\ &= \mu(E_1) - \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mu(E_{N+1}) \end{aligned}$$

Hence (as $\mu(E_1) < \infty$) we must have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mu(E_N) = 0.$$

Now assume that $\lim \mu(E_j) = 0$ for all decreasing sequences with $\bigcap E_j = \emptyset$. Let $\{A_j\}$ be a disjoint sequence of sets in \mathcal{A} such that $\bigcup A_j = E \in \mathcal{A}$. Let

$$E_j = E \setminus \bigcup_{r=1}^j A_r$$

Then $\{E_j\}$ is a decreasing sequence with $\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j = \emptyset$. Furthermore, as μ is finitely additive and $\mu(E) < \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mu(E_N) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} (\mu(E) - \mu(\bigcup_{r=1}^N A_r)) \\ &= \mu(E) - \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{r=1}^N \mu(A_r) \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\mu(E) = \mu(\bigcup_{r=1}^{\infty} A_r) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{r=1}^N \mu(A_r) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_r)$$

So μ is countably additive.

2) We show that "(1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (1)".

"(1) \Rightarrow (2)": Let $\{A_j\}$ be a decreasing sequence in \mathcal{A} such that $A = \bigcap A_r \in \mathcal{A}$. Let

$$E_j = A_j \setminus A_{j+1}$$

Then $\{E_j\}$ is a disjoint sequence with $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j = A \setminus A$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(A) - \mu(A) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_j) - \mu(A_{j+1}) \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} (\mu(A_1) - \mu(A_{N+1})) \\ &= \mu(A_1) - \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_{N+1}) \\ \text{or } \mu(A) &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_N). \end{aligned}$$

[Note that the limit exists because $\mu(A_n B)$ is a decreasing sequence and bounded ≥ 0 .]

"(a) \Rightarrow (b) Let $\{B_j\}$ be an increasing sequence with

$B = \cup B_j \in \mathcal{A}$. Note that $\mu(B_1) \leq \mu(B_2) \leq \dots \leq \mu(X) < \infty$.

Hence $\lim \mu(B_j)$ exists and is $\leq \mu(B)$ because

$B_j \subseteq B$ for all j . Let $A_j = X \setminus B_j$. Then

$\{A_j\}$ is a decreasing sequence with

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j = X \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(X - B) &= \mu(X) - \mu(B) \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_N) \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mu(X - B_N) \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} [\mu(X) - \mu(B_N)] \\ &= \mu(X) - \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mu(B_N). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mu(B) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mu(B_N)$.

"(b) \Rightarrow (a)" Let $\{E_j\}$ be a disjoint sequence in \mathcal{A}

such that $E = \cup E_j \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $B_N = \bigcup_{j=1}^N E_j$.

Then $\{B_N\}$ is an increasing sequence with

$\cup B_N = E$. By (5) and the fact that μ is

finitely additive, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\cup E_j) &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mu(B_N) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^N \mu(E_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_j). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

3) Let $B_n = \bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty} A_m$. Then $\{B_n\}$ is a decreasing sequence and

$$\limsup A_n = \overline{\lim} A_n = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j.$$

Let $C_n = \bigcap_{m=n}^{\infty} A_m$. Then $\{C_n\}$ is increasing and

$$\liminf C_n = \underline{\lim} A_n = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} C_j.$$

Let $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence of real numbers. Construct two new sequences

$$b_n = \sup_{m \geq n} \{a_m\}, c_n = \inf_{m \geq n} \{a_m\}$$

Then $\{b_n\}$ is decreasing and $\{c_n\}$ is increasing. Hence the following two limits exists in $\overline{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$

$$\limsup a_n = \overline{\lim} a_n = \lim b_n$$

$$\liminf a_n = \underline{\lim} a_n = \lim c_n.$$

Now let $\{A_n\}$ be as in Exercise 3.

(i) Let $x \in \overline{\lim} A_n$. Then $x \in B_n$ for all n . Hence, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $m \geq n$ s.t. $x \in A_m$ hence $x \in \{y \mid y \in A_m \text{ for infinitely many } m\}$.

Similarly, let $x \in \{y \mid y \in A_m \text{ for infinitely many } m\}$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exist $m \geq n$ such that $x \in A_m$. Hence $x \in B_n$. Thus $x \in \bigcap B_n = \overline{\lim} A_n$.

Let $B = \{x \mid x \in A_n \text{ for all but finitely many } n\}$.
Take $x \in X$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} x \in \underline{\lim} A_n &\iff \exists m : x \in B_m \\ &\iff \forall n \geq m : x \in A_n \\ &\iff x \in B. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

(2) We have $B_m, C_m \in \mathcal{A}$ for all m , because

\mathcal{A} is closed under countable union and intersection.
The same argument shows that

$$\overline{\lim} A_n = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j, \quad \underline{\lim} A_n = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} C_j \in \mathcal{A}.$$

(3) If $\{A_n\}$ is increasing then

$$\bullet \quad B_n = \bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty} A_m = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m \quad \text{for all } n$$

$$\bullet \quad C_n = \bigcap_{m=n}^{\infty} A_m = A_n. \quad \text{Thus } \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$$

It follows that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$. Or

$$\underline{\lim} A_n = \underline{\lim} A_n$$

As $\{A_n\}$ is increasing it follows that $\mu(A_n \cap B)$ is an increasing sequence and

hence converges in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$. Furthermore, as

$$A_n \subseteq \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m \quad \text{for all } n, \quad \mu(A_n) \leq \mu\left(\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m\right)$$

It follows that

$$\underline{\lim} \mu(A_n) \leq \mu\left(\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m\right).$$

In particular, if $\lim \mu(A_n) = \infty$, then $\mu(\cup A_n) = \infty$.
 Assume now that $\mu(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) < \infty$. Let $\mathcal{Y} = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_n$
 and consider the σ -algebra $(\text{con } \mathcal{Y})$

$$\mathcal{A}_Y = \{B \cap Y \mid B \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

with the measure $\mu_Y(B \cap Y) = \mu(B \cap Y)$. Then

μ_Y is finite and $\mu_Y(A_n) = \mu(A_n)$ for all n .

The claim that $\lim \mu(A_n) = \mu(\cup A_n)$ follows
 now from Exercise 2, part 2.

(4) If $m \geq n$ then $A_m \subseteq B_n$ and hence

$$a_m = \mu(A_m) \leq \mu(B_n)$$

Hence $\sup_{m \geq n} a_m = \sup_{m \geq n} \mu(A_m) \leq \mu(B_n)$.

As in (3) let $Y = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ and consider the
 σ -algebra \mathcal{A}_Y with the finite measure μ_Y .
 Then $\{B_n\}$ is a decreasing sequence in \mathcal{Y} .
 By exercise 2, part 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\overline{\lim} A_n) &= \mu(\cap B_n) = \lim \mu(B_n) \\ &\geq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} a_m \\ &= \overline{\lim} \mu(A_m). \end{aligned}$$

(5) By replacing X by $Y = \cup A_n$ we can use in (3) & (4)
 assume that $\mu(X) < \infty$.

We have $C_n \subseteq A_m$ for all $m \geq n$. Thus

$$\mu(C_n) \leq \mu(A_m) \leq \inf_{m \geq n} \mu(A_m)$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\lim A_n) &\leq \liminf \mu(A_n) \\ &\leq \limsup \mu(A_n) \\ &\leq \mu(\lim A_n) \quad (\text{by 4}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mu(\lim A_n) = \lim \mu(A_n) = \overline{\lim} \mu(A_n)$.

In particular $\mu(A_n)$'s is convergent with

$$\lim \mu(A_n) = \mu(\lim A_n). \quad \square$$

Math 7311, Analysis 1, Fourth Home Work Set, Due

Monday, Sept 17, at 11:30 in Class

1) (See the textbook with hint on page 27) Recall: Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra in $\mathcal{P}(X)$. An outer measure μ on $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is *outer regular* with respect to \mathcal{A} if for all $S \subset X$ there exists $B \in \sigma(\mathcal{A})$ such that $\mu(S) = \mu(B)$. Show the following: Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra on a non-empty set X and let μ be a countably additive measure on \mathcal{A} . Show that the outer measure μ^* constructed in class is outer regular.

2) Problem 3.2, p. 35

3) Problem 3.3, p. 35

1) Let $S \in \mathcal{P}(X)$. If $\mu^*(S) = \infty$ then $\mu(X) = \infty$ and we can take $B = X$. Assume therefore that $\mu^*(S) < \infty$. Denote by μ (as usually) the restriction of μ^* to $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{U})$. Let $\{E_j\}$ be a sequence in \mathcal{U} such that $S \subseteq \cup E_j$. Let $F_1 = E_1$ and $F_{j+1} = E_{j+1} \setminus \bigcup_{r=1}^j E_r$. Then $\{F_j\}$ is a disjoint seq. such that

- $S \subseteq \cup F_j = \cup E_j \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{U})$
- $\mu(F_j) \leq \mu(E_j)$
- $\mu(\cup F_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(F_j) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_j)$

It follows that

$\mu^*(S) = \inf \{ \mu(F) \mid F \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{U}), S \subseteq F \}$.
As $\mu^*(S) < \infty$ we can for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ find $B_n \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A})$ such that

- $S \subseteq B_n$
- $\mu(B_n) \leq \mu^*(S) + \frac{1}{n} < \infty$.

By replacing B_n by $C_n = \bigcap_{r=1}^n B_r \supseteq S$ we get a decreasing sequence in $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A})$ a.s.
 $S \subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$. Furthermore

$$\mu^*(S) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(C_n) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mu^*(S) + \frac{1}{n}) = \mu^*(S)$$

Note that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(C_n) = \mu(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n) = \mu^*(S)$.

As $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n = B \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A})$ we can use this B .

2) Show that every open/closed sets in $[-N, N]$ is in the \mathcal{G} -algebra generated by the half open intervals $[a, b) \subseteq [-N, N]$.

Solution As the complement of a closed set is open it is enough to show that this holds for the open sets. So let $G \subseteq [-N, N]$ be open. Then there exists $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ open s.t.

$$G = [-N, N] \cap U.$$

As U is open in \mathbb{R} we can write $U = \bigcup_{j=1}^M (a_j, b_j)$ where M is finite or ∞ . Note, if $-N \notin G$ then $G \subseteq (-N, N)$ so G is open in \mathbb{R} and in that case we can take $U = G$. If $-N \in G$, then $G \cap (-N)$ is open and hence an union of open intervals. Let $a_0 < -N$. Then there exist

$$b_0 > -N \text{ s.t. } (a_0, b_0) \cap [-N, N] = [-N, b)$$

is contained in G (because G is open in $[-N, N]$) so we can take $U = (a_0, b_0) \cup U(a_j, b_j)$ with $-N < a_j < b_j \leq N$.

As $(a_0, b_0) \cap [-N, N] \in \mathcal{A}$ we only have to show that each $(a_j, b) \subset (-N, N)$ is in the

\mathcal{G} -algebra generated by \mathcal{A} . But this follows by homeomorphism (because

$$(a, b) = U[a + \frac{1}{m}, b) \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A}).$$

$m > \frac{1}{b-a}$

3) Show that $S \subseteq \mathbb{I} - N, N$ is measurable if and only if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $F \subseteq S \subseteq G$, F closed, G open, and $\mu(G \setminus F) < \varepsilon$.

Proof: " \Rightarrow " For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let F_n, G_n be such that

$F_n, G_n \subseteq \mathbb{I} - N, N$, F_n closed, G_n open, $F_n \subseteq S \subseteq G_n$, and

$\mu(G_n \setminus F_n) < \frac{1}{n}$. We can assume that $\{G_n\}$ is decreasing

and $\{F_n\}$ is increasing (otherwise replace G_n by

$\bigcap_{j=1}^m G_n$ and F_n by $\bigcup_{j=1}^m F_j$). Let $F = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} F_j$ and

$G = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} G_j$. Then $F, G \in \mathcal{B}$ = the Borel G -algebra,

$F \subseteq S \subseteq G$ and $\mu(G \setminus F) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(G_n \setminus F_n) = 0$,

where we use that the sequence $\{G_n \setminus F_n\}$ is decreasing. Now Theorem 3.2.1 implies that

S is measurable.

" \Leftarrow " Let $S \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then (according to previous homework) there is a finite or

countably infinite index set J ($J \neq \mathbb{N}$ or $J = \mathbb{N}$)

and $-N \leq a_j < b_j \leq N$, increasing sequences, s.t.

$$S \subseteq \bigcup_{j \in J} [a_j, b_j] \text{ and } \mu^*(S) + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} > \mu\left(\bigcup_{j \in J} [a_j, b_j]\right) \\ = \sum_{j \in J} b_j - a_j. \text{ Let}$$

$$G = \bigcup_{j \in J} [a_j - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{j+1}}, a_j] \cap [\mathbb{I} - N, N].$$

$$\mu(G) \leq \sum_{j \in J} (b_j - a_j) + \sum_{j \in J} \frac{\epsilon}{2^{j+2}}$$

$\leq \mu(\cup_{j \in J} (a_j, b_j)) + \frac{\epsilon}{4} < \mu^*(S) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$
 It follows that we can find an open set G_1 , $S \subseteq G_1$, s.t.

$$\mu(G_1) < \mu^*(S) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

In the same way we can find an open set G_2 ,

such that $S^c \subseteq G_2$, and $\mu(G_2) < \mu^*(S^c) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$.

Assume now that S is measurable. Set

$F = G_1^c \subseteq S$. Then F is closed. As S (and S^c) are measurable we get

$$\mu(F) = \mu(X) - \mu(G_1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu(X) - (\mu^*(S^c) + \frac{\epsilon}{2})$$

$$= \mu(X) - (\mu(X) - \mu(S^c) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}) \quad (S \text{ measurable})$$

$$= \mu(S^c) - \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

$$\text{Hence } \mu(G \setminus F) = \mu(G) - \mu(F)$$

$$= (\mu(G) - \mu(S)) - (\mu(F) - \mu(S))$$

$$< \epsilon$$

1) Let $\{E_j\}$ be a countable sequence in \mathcal{B} . Then $f^{-1}(\cup_j E_j)$
 $= \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} f^{-1}(E_j) \in \mathcal{A}$ because each $f^{-1}(E_j)$ is in \mathcal{A} and
 \mathcal{A} is a σ -algebra. If $E \in \mathcal{B}$, then $f^{-1}(E^c) = f^{-1}(E)^c \in \mathcal{A}$.
 Hence $\cup_j E_j \in \mathcal{B}$, and $E^c \in \mathcal{B}$.

2) The set $\mathbb{Q}^m \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is countable, therefore we can
 number it as

$$\mathbb{Q}^m = \{q_j \mid j \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

For $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ let

$$Q_\varepsilon(x) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid x_j - \frac{\varepsilon^{1/m}}{2} < y_j < x_j + \frac{\varepsilon^{1/m}}{2}\}$$

Then $Q_\varepsilon(x)$ is open and

$$\lambda(Q_\varepsilon(x)) = \varepsilon.$$

we see

$$U_\varepsilon = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} Q_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2^j}}(q_j).$$

Then U_ε is open and dense (\mathbb{Q}^m is already dense)
 and

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(U_\varepsilon) &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda(Q_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2^j}}(q_j)) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^j} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$