

# STAGGERED SHEAVES ON PARTIAL FLAG VARIETIES

PRAMOD N. ACHAR AND DANIEL S. SAGE

ABSTRACT. *Staggered  $t$ -structures* are a class of  $t$ -structures on derived categories of equivariant coherent sheaves. In this note, we show that the derived category of coherent sheaves on a partial flag variety, equivariant for a Borel subgroup, admits an artinian staggered  $t$ -structure. As a consequence, we obtain a basis for its equivariant  $K$ -theory consisting of simple staggered sheaves.

Let  $X$  be a variety over an algebraically closed field, and let  $G$  be an algebraic group acting on  $X$  with finitely many orbits. Let  $\mathfrak{Coh}^G(X)$  be the category of  $G$ -equivariant coherent sheaves on  $X$ , and let  $\mathcal{D}^G(X)$  denote its bounded derived category. *Staggered sheaves*, introduced in [1], are the objects in the heart of a certain  $t$ -structure on  $\mathcal{D}^G(X)$ , generalizing the perverse coherent  $t$ -structure [2]. The definition of this  $t$ -structure depends on the following data: (1) an  $s$ -structure on  $X$  (see below); (2) a choice of a Serre–Grothendieck dualizing complex  $\omega_X \in \mathcal{D}^G(X)$  [4]; and (3) a *perversity*, which is an integer-valued function on the set of  $G$ -orbits, subject to certain constraints. When the perversity is “strictly monotone and comonotone,” the category of staggered sheaves is particularly nice: every object has finite length, and every simple object arises by applying an intermediate-extension (“IC”) functor to an irreducible vector bundle on a  $G$ -orbit.

An  $s$ -structure on  $X$  is a collection of full subcategories  $(\{\mathfrak{Coh}^G(X)_{\leq n}\}, \{\mathfrak{Coh}^G(X)_{\geq n}\})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , satisfying various conditions involving Hom- and Ext-groups, tensor products, and short exact sequences. The *staggered codimension* of the closure of an orbit  $i_C : C \rightarrow X$ , denoted  $\text{scod } \overline{C}$ , is defined to be  $\text{codim } \overline{C} + n$ , where  $n$  is the unique integer such that  $i_C^! \omega_X \in \mathcal{D}^G(C)$  is a shift of an object in  $\mathfrak{Coh}^G(C)_{\leq n} \cap \mathfrak{Coh}^G(C)_{\geq n}$ . By [1, Theorem 9.9], a sufficient condition for the existence of a strictly monotone and comonotone perversity is that staggered codimensions of neighboring orbits differ by at least 2. The goal of this note is to establish the existence of a well-behaved staggered category on partial flag varieties, by constructing an  $s$ -structure and computing staggered codimensions. As a consequence, we obtain a basis for the equivariant  $K$ -theory  $K^B(G/P)$  consisting of simple staggered sheaves.

## 1. A GLUING THEOREM FOR $s$ -STRUCTURES

If  $X$  happens to be a single  $G$ -orbit,  $s$ -structures on  $X$  can be described via the equivalence between  $\mathfrak{Coh}^G(X)$  and the category of finite-dimensional representations of the isotropy group of  $X$ . In the general case, however, specifying an  $s$ -structure on  $X$  directly can be quite arduous. The following “gluing theorem” lets us specify an  $s$ -structure on  $X$  by specifying one on each  $G$ -orbit.

**Theorem 1.1.** *For each orbit  $C \subset X$ , let  $\mathcal{I}_C \subset \mathcal{O}_X$  denote the ideal sheaf corresponding to the closed subscheme  $i_C : \overline{C} \hookrightarrow X$ . Suppose each orbit  $C$  is endowed with an  $s$ -structure, and that  $i_C^* \mathcal{I}_C|_C \in \mathfrak{Coh}^G(C)_{\leq -1}$ . There is a unique  $s$ -structure on  $X$  whose restriction to each orbit is the given  $s$ -structure.*

*Proof.* This statement is nearly identical to [1, Theorem 10.2]. In that result, the requirement that  $i_C^* \mathcal{I}_C|_C \in \mathfrak{Coh}^G(C)_{\leq -1}$  is replaced by the following two assumptions:

- (F1) For each orbit  $C$ ,  $i_C^* \mathcal{I}_C|_C \in \mathfrak{Coh}^G(C)_{\leq 0}$ .
- (F2) Each  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathfrak{Coh}^G(C)_{\leq w}$  admits an extension  $\mathcal{F}_1 \in \mathfrak{Coh}^G(\overline{C})$  whose restriction to any smaller orbit  $C' \subset \overline{C}$  is in  $\mathfrak{Coh}^G(C')_{\leq w}$ .

Condition (F1) is trivially implied by the stronger assumption that  $i_C^* \mathcal{I}_C|_C \in \mathfrak{Coh}^G(C)_{\leq -1}$ . It suffices, then, to show that (F2) is implied by it as well. Given  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathfrak{Coh}^G(C)_{\leq w}$ , let  $\mathcal{G} \in \mathfrak{Coh}^G(\overline{C})$  be some sheaf such that  $\mathcal{G}|_C \simeq \mathcal{F}$ . Let  $C' \subset \overline{C} \setminus C$  be a maximal orbit (with respect to the closure partial order) such that  $i_{C'}^* \mathcal{G}|_{C'} \notin \mathfrak{Coh}^G(C')_{\leq w}$ . (If there is no such  $C'$ , then  $\mathcal{G}$  is the desired extension of  $\mathcal{F}$ , and there is nothing to prove.) Let  $v \in \mathbb{Z}$  be such that  $i_{C'}^* \mathcal{G}|_{C'} \in \mathfrak{Coh}^G(C')_{\leq v}$ . By assumption, we have  $v > w$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}' = \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{C'}^{\otimes v-w}$ . Since  $\mathcal{I}_{C'}|_{X \setminus \overline{C}}$  is isomorphic to the structure sheaf of  $X \setminus \overline{C}'$ ,

The research of the first author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0500873.

The research of the second author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0606300.

we see that  $\mathcal{G}'|_{\overline{C} \setminus \overline{C}'} \simeq \mathcal{G}|_{\overline{C} \setminus \overline{C}'}$ . On the other hand, according to [1, Axiom (S6)] (which describes how tensor products behave with respect to  $s$ -structures), the fact that  $i_{C'}^* \mathcal{I}_{C'}|_{C'} \in \mathfrak{Coh}^G(C')_{\leq -1}$  implies that  $i_{C'}^* \mathcal{G}'|_{C'} \simeq i_{C'}^* \mathcal{G}|_{C'} \otimes (i_{C'}^* \mathcal{I}_{C'}|_{C'})^{\otimes v-w} \in \mathfrak{Coh}^G(C')_{\leq w}$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{G}'$  is a new extension of  $\mathcal{F}$  such that the number of orbits in  $\overline{C} \setminus C$  where (F2) fails is fewer than for  $\mathcal{G}$ . Since the total number of orbits is finite, this construction can be repeated until an extension  $\mathcal{F}_1$  satisfying (F2) is obtained.  $\square$

## 2. TORUS ACTIONS ON AFFINE SPACES

In this section, we consider coherent sheaves on an affine space. Let  $T$  be an algebraic torus over an algebraically closed field  $k$ , and let  $\Lambda$  be its weight lattice. Choose a set of weights  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \Lambda$ . Let  $T$  act linearly on  $\mathbb{A}^n = \text{Spec } k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  by having it act with weight  $\lambda_i$  on the line defined by the ideal  $(x_j : j \neq i)$ . Given  $\mu \in \Lambda$ , let  $V(\mu)$  denote the one-dimensional  $T$ -representation of weight  $\mu$ . If  $X$  is an affine space with a  $T$ -action, we denote by  $\mathcal{O}_X(\mu)$  the twist of the structure sheaf of  $X$  by  $\mu$ .

Suppose  $m \leq n$ , and identify  $\mathbb{A}^m$  with the closed subspace of  $\mathbb{A}^n$  defined by the ideal  $(x_j : j > m)$ . Let  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^n}$  denote the corresponding ideal sheaf, and let  $i : \mathbb{A}^m \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$  be the inclusion map.

**Proposition 2.1.** *With the above notation, we have*

$$i^* \mathcal{I} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^m}(-\lambda_{m+1}) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^m}(-\lambda_n) \quad \text{and} \quad i^! \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^n}(\mu) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^m}(\mu + \lambda_{m+1} + \dots + \lambda_n)[m-n].$$

*Proof.* Throughout, we will pass freely between coherent sheaves and modules, and between ideal sheaves and ideals. In the  $T$ -action on the ring  $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ ,  $T$  acts on the one-dimensional space  $kx_i$  with weight  $-\lambda_i$ . We have  $i^* \mathcal{I} \simeq \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2 \simeq (x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n)/(x_i x_j : m+1 \leq i < j \leq n)$ , so if we let  $S = k[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ , we obtain  $i^* \mathcal{I} \simeq x_{m+1} S \oplus \dots \oplus x_n S \simeq V(-\lambda_{m+1}) \otimes S \oplus \dots \oplus V(-\lambda_n) \otimes S$ .

To calculate  $i^! \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^n}(\mu)$ , we may assume that  $m = n-1$ , as the general case then follows by induction. Recall that  $i_* i^!(\cdot) \simeq R\mathcal{H}om(i_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^{n-1}}, \cdot)$ . To compute the latter functor, we employ the projective resolution  $x_n R \hookrightarrow R$  for  $i_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^{n-1}}$ . Now,  $x_n R \simeq V(-\lambda_n) \otimes R$ , so when we apply  $\text{Hom}(\cdot, V(\mu) \otimes R)$  to this sequence, we obtain an injective map  $V(\mu) \otimes R \rightarrow V(\mu + \lambda_n) \otimes R$  whose image is  $V(\mu + \lambda_n) \otimes x_n R$ . The cohomology of this complex vanishes except in degree 1, where we find  $V(\mu + \lambda_n) \otimes R/x_n R$ . Thus,  $i_* i^! \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^n}(\mu) \simeq R\mathcal{H}om(i_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^{n-1}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^n}(\mu)) \simeq i_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^{n-1}}(\mu + \lambda_n)[-1]$ , as desired.  $\square$

## 3. $s$ -STRUCTURES ON BRUHAT CELLS

Let  $G$  be a reductive algebraic group over an algebraically closed field, and let  $T \subset B \subset P$  be a maximal torus, a Borel subgroup, and a parabolic subgroup, respectively, and let  $L$  be the Levi subgroup of  $P$ .

Let  $W$  be the Weyl group of  $G$  (with respect to  $T$ ), and let  $\Phi$  be its root system. Let  $\Phi^+$  be the set of positive roots corresponding to  $B$ . Let  $W_L \subset W$  and  $\Phi_L \subset \Phi$  be the Weyl group and root system of  $L$ , and let  $\Phi_P = \Phi_L \cup \Phi^+$ . For each  $w \in W$ , we fix once and for all a representative in  $G$ , also denoted  $w$ . Let  $X_w^\circ$  denote the Bruhat cell  $BwP/P$ , let  $X_w$  denote its closure (a Schubert variety), and let  $i_w : X_w \rightarrow G/P$  be the inclusion. Note that  $X_w^\circ = X_v^\circ$  if and only if  $wW_L = vW_L$ .

Let  $\Lambda$  denote the weight lattice of  $T$ , and let  $\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum \Phi^+$ . (For a set  $\Psi \subset \Phi$ , we write “ $\sum \Psi$ ” for  $\sum_{\alpha \in \Psi} \alpha$ .) For any  $w \in W$ , we define various subsets of  $\Phi^+$  and elements of  $\Lambda$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(w) &= \Phi^+ \cap w(\Phi^+) & \pi(w) &= \sum \Pi(w) & \Pi_L(w) &= \Phi^+ \cap w(\Phi^+ \setminus \Phi_L) & \pi_L(w) &= \sum \Pi_L(w) \\ \Theta(w) &= \Phi^+ \cap w(\Phi^-) & \theta(w) &= \sum \Theta(w) & \Theta_L(w) &= \Phi^+ \cap w(\Phi^- \setminus \Phi_L) & \theta_L(w) &= \sum \Theta_L(w) \end{aligned}$$

For any subset  $\Psi \subset \Phi$ , we define  $\mathfrak{g}(\Psi) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Psi} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ . Next, let  $B_w = wBw^{-1}$ , and let  $U_w$  denote the unipotent radical of  $B_w$ . Its Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{u}_w$  is described by  $\mathfrak{u}_w = \mathfrak{g}(w(\Phi^+))$ . Let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denote the Killing form. By rescaling if necessary, assume that  $\langle 2\rho, \lambda \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .

Now, the category  $\mathfrak{Coh}^B(X_w^\circ)$  is equivalent to the category  $\mathfrak{Rep}(B_w \cap B)$  of representations of the isotropy group  $B_w \cap B$ . We define an  $s$ -structure on  $X_w^\circ$  via this equivalence as follows:

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{Coh}^B(X_w^\circ)_{\leq n} &\simeq \{V \in \mathfrak{Rep}(B_w \cap B) \mid \langle \lambda, -2\rho \rangle \leq n \text{ for all weights } \lambda \text{ occurring in } V\} \\ \mathfrak{Coh}^B(X_w^\circ)_{\geq n} &\simeq \{V \in \mathfrak{Rep}(B_w \cap B) \mid \langle \lambda, -2\rho \rangle \geq n \text{ for all weights } \lambda \text{ occurring in } V\} \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.1.** *For any  $v, w \in W$ , there is a  $B_v$ -equivariant isomorphism  $B_v wP/P \simeq \mathfrak{g}(v(\Theta_L(v^{-1}w)))$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $B_v wP/P = w \cdot B_{w^{-1}v} P/P \simeq w \cdot B_{w^{-1}v} / (B_{w^{-1}v} \cap P)$ . Since  $B_{w^{-1}v} \cap P$  contains the maximal torus  $T$ , the quotient  $B_{w^{-1}v} / (B_{w^{-1}v} \cap P)$  can be identified with a quotient of  $U_{w^{-1}v}$ , and hence of  $\mathfrak{u}_{w^{-1}v}$ . Specifically, it is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{g}(w^{-1}v(\Phi^+) \setminus \Phi_P) \simeq \mathfrak{g}(w^{-1}v(\Phi^+) \cap (\Phi^- \setminus \Phi_L))$ , so

$$B_v wP/P \simeq w \cdot \mathfrak{g}(w^{-1}v(\Phi^+) \cap (\Phi^- \setminus \Phi_L)) \simeq \mathfrak{g}(v(\Theta_L(v^{-1}w))). \quad \square$$

In the special case  $v = ww_0$ , where  $w_0$  is the longest element of  $W$ , the set  $v(\Theta_L(v^{-1}w))$  is given by

$$ww_0(\Theta_L(w_0)) = w(\Phi^-) \cap w(\Phi^- \setminus \Phi_L) = w(\Phi^- \setminus \Phi_L) = -\Pi_L(w) \sqcup \Theta_L(w).$$

Let  $Y_w = B_{ww_0}wP/P$ . Applying Lemma 3.1 with  $v = 1$  and with  $v = ww_0$ , we obtain

$$(2) \quad X_w^\circ \simeq \mathfrak{g}(\Theta_L(w)) \quad \text{and} \quad Y_w \simeq X_w^\circ \oplus \mathfrak{g}(-\Pi_L(w)).$$

Finally, let  $\mathcal{I}_w$  denote the ideal sheaf on  $G/P$  corresponding to  $X_w$ . Since  $Y_w$  is open, Proposition 2.1 tells us that  $i_w^*\mathcal{I}_w|_{X_w^\circ} \simeq \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Pi_L(w)} \mathcal{O}_{X_w^\circ}(\alpha)$ . Since  $\langle \alpha, -2\rho \rangle < 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \Phi^+$ , we see that  $i_w^*\mathcal{I}_w|_{X_w^\circ} \in \mathfrak{Coh}^B(X_w^\circ)_{\leq -1}$ , and then Theorem 1.1 gives us an  $s$ -structure on  $G/P$ . Separately, Proposition 2.1 also tells us that  $i_w^!\mathcal{O}_{G/P}[\text{codim } X_w]$  is in  $\mathfrak{Coh}^B(G/P)_{\leq \langle \pi_L(w), 2\rho \rangle} \cap \mathfrak{Coh}^B(G/P)_{\geq \langle \pi_L(w), 2\rho \rangle}$ . If  $w$  is the unique element of maximal length in its coset  $wW_L$ , then we have  $\text{codim } X_w = |\Phi^+| - \ell(w)$  and  $\pi_L(w) = \pi(w)$ . (See [3, Chap. 2].) Combining these observations gives us the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.2.** *There is a unique  $s$ -structure on  $G/P$  compatible with those on the various  $X_w^\circ$ . If  $w$  is the unique element of maximal length in  $wW_L$ , then the staggered codimension of  $X_w$ , with respect to the dualizing complex  $\mathcal{O}_{G/P}$ , is given by  $\text{sod } X_w = |\Phi^+| - \ell(w) + \langle \pi(w), 2\rho \rangle$ .  $\square$*

#### 4. MAIN RESULT

**Theorem 4.1.** *With respect to the  $s$ -structure and dualizing complex of Theorem 3.2,  $\mathcal{D}^B(G/P)$  admits an artinian staggered  $t$ -structure. In particular, the set of simple staggered sheaves  $\{\mathcal{IC}(X_w, \mathcal{O}_{X_w^\circ}(\lambda))\}$ , where  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , and  $w$  ranges over a set of coset representatives of  $W_L$ , forms a basis for  $K^B(G/P)$ .*

By the remarks in the introduction, this theorem follows from Proposition 4.6 below. Throughout this section, the notation “ $u \cdot v$ ” for the product of  $u, v \in W$  will be used to indicate that  $\ell(uv) = \ell(u) + \ell(v)$ . Note that if  $s$  is a simple reflection corresponding to a simple root  $\alpha$ ,  $\ell(sw) > \ell(w)$  if and only if  $\alpha \in \Pi(w)$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $s$  be a simple reflection, and let  $\alpha$  be the corresponding simple root. If  $\ell(sw) > \ell(w)$ , then  $\pi(sw) = s\pi(w) + \alpha$  and  $\theta(sw) = s\theta(w) + \alpha$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\Pi(s) = \Phi^+ \setminus \{\alpha\}$ , it is easy to see that if  $\alpha \in \Pi(w)$ , then  $\Pi(sw) = s(\Pi(w) \setminus \{\alpha\})$ , and hence that  $\pi(sw) = s(\pi(w) - \alpha) = s\pi(w) + \alpha$ . The proof of the second formula is similar.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.** *For any  $w \in W$ , we have  $\langle \pi(w), \theta(w) \rangle = 0$ .*

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $\ell(w)$ . If  $w = 1$ ,  $\theta(w) = 0$ , and the statement is trivial. If  $\ell(w) \geq 1$ , write  $w = s \cdot v$  with  $s$  a simple reflection. Let  $\alpha$  be the corresponding simple root. We have  $\langle \pi(w), \theta(w) \rangle = \langle \pi(sv), \theta(sv) \rangle = \langle s\pi(v) + \alpha, s\theta(v) + \alpha \rangle$ , and so

$$\langle \pi(w), \theta(w) \rangle = \langle s\pi(v), s\theta(v) \rangle + \langle s\pi(v), \alpha \rangle + \langle s\theta(v), \alpha \rangle + \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = \langle \pi(v), \theta(v) \rangle + \langle s(2\rho) + \alpha, \alpha \rangle.$$

Now,  $\langle \pi(v), \theta(v) \rangle$  vanishes by assumption. Since  $s$  permutes  $\Phi^+ \setminus \{\alpha\}$ , and  $2\rho - \alpha$  is the sum of all roots in  $\Phi^+ \setminus \{\alpha\}$ , we see that  $s(2\rho - \alpha) = 2\rho - \alpha$ . But  $s(2\rho - \alpha) = s(2\rho) + \alpha$  as well, so we find that

$$\langle \pi(w), \theta(w) \rangle = \langle 2\rho - \alpha, \alpha \rangle = \langle s(2\rho - \alpha), \alpha \rangle = \langle 2\rho - \alpha, s\alpha \rangle = -\langle 2\rho - \alpha, \alpha \rangle.$$

Comparing the second and last terms above, we see that all these quantities vanish, as desired.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.4.** *If  $\alpha \in \Pi(w)$  is a simple root, then  $\langle \alpha, \theta(w) \rangle \leq 0$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear that it suffices to consider the case where  $W$  is irreducible. We proceed by induction on  $\ell(w)$ . When  $w = 1$ ,  $\theta(w) = 0$ , so the statement holds trivially. Now, suppose  $\ell(w) > 0$ , and let  $t$  be a simple reflection such that  $\ell(tw) < \ell(w)$ . Let  $\beta$  be the simple root corresponding to  $t$ . We must consider four cases, depending on the form of  $tw$ .

*Case 1.*  $w = t \cdot v$  with  $\alpha \in \Pi(v)$ . Then  $\langle \alpha, \theta(tv) \rangle = \langle \alpha, t\theta(v) + \beta \rangle = \langle t\alpha, \theta(v) \rangle + \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ , so  $\langle \alpha, \theta(tv) \rangle = \langle \alpha - \langle \beta^\vee, \alpha \rangle \beta, \theta(v) \rangle + \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \langle \alpha, \theta(v) \rangle - \langle \beta^\vee, \alpha \rangle \langle \beta, \theta(v) \rangle + \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ . We know that  $\langle \beta^\vee, \alpha \rangle \leq 0$  and  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \leq 0$ . The fact that  $\ell(tv) > \ell(v)$  implies that  $\beta \in \Pi(v)$ , and  $\alpha \in \Pi(v)$  by assumption, so  $\langle \alpha, \theta(v) \rangle \leq 0$  and  $\langle \beta, \theta(v) \rangle \leq 0$  by induction. The result follows.

In the remaining cases, we will have  $\alpha \notin \Pi(tw)$ . This implies that  $s$  and  $t$  do not commute. Let  $N = \langle \alpha^\vee, \beta \rangle \langle \beta^\vee, \alpha \rangle$ . We then have  $N \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , with  $N = 3$  occurring only in type  $G_2$ .

*Case 2.*  $w = ts \cdot v$  with  $\beta \in \Pi(v)$ . We have  $\langle \alpha, \theta(tsv) \rangle = \langle \alpha, t\theta(sv) + \beta \rangle = \langle \alpha, ts\theta(v) + t\alpha + \beta \rangle = \langle st\alpha, \theta(v) \rangle + \langle \alpha, t\alpha + \beta \rangle$ . It is easy to check that  $st\alpha = (N - 1)\alpha - \langle \beta^\vee, \alpha \rangle \beta$ , and hence that  $\langle st\alpha, \theta(v) \rangle = (N - 1)\langle \alpha, \theta(v) \rangle - \langle \beta^\vee, \alpha \rangle \langle \beta, \theta(v) \rangle$ . Now,  $\beta \in \Pi(v)$  by assumption, and  $\alpha \in \Pi(v)$  since  $\ell(sv) > \ell(v)$ , so  $\langle \alpha, \theta(v) \rangle \leq 0$  and  $\langle \beta, \theta(v) \rangle \leq 0$  by induction. Clearly,  $N - 1 \geq 0$  and  $\langle \beta^\vee, \alpha \rangle < 0$ , so  $\langle st\alpha, \theta(v) \rangle \leq 0$ . Next, we have  $t\alpha + \beta = \alpha - \langle \beta^\vee, \alpha \rangle \beta + \beta$ , so  $\langle \alpha, t\alpha + \beta \rangle = \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle - \langle \beta^\vee, \alpha \rangle \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle + \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \frac{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle}{2} (2 - N + \langle \alpha^\vee, \beta \rangle)$ .

Recall that  $\langle \alpha^\vee, \beta \rangle \in \{-1, -N\}$ , so  $(2 - N + \langle \alpha^\vee, \beta \rangle)$  is either  $1 - N$  or  $2 - 2N$ . In either case, we see that  $\langle \alpha, t\alpha + \beta \rangle \leq 0$ . It follows that  $\langle \alpha, \theta(w) \rangle \leq 0$ .

In the last two cases, we assume that  $\beta \notin \Pi(stw)$ . This implies that  $w = tst \cdot v$  for some  $v$ . We also have  $sw = stst \cdot v$ , so it must be that  $N \geq 2$ .

*Case 3.*  $w = tst \cdot v$  and  $N = 2$ . In this case,  $sw = stst \cdot v = tsts \cdot v$ , so  $\ell(sv) > \ell(v)$ , and hence  $\alpha \in \Pi(v)$ . Calculations similar to those above yield that  $\theta(tstv) = tst\theta(v) + ts\beta + t\alpha + \beta$ , and that  $\langle \alpha, ts\beta + t\alpha + \beta \rangle = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle - \frac{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle}{2} \langle \alpha^\vee, \beta \rangle = 0$ . Thus,  $\langle \alpha, \theta(tstv) \rangle = \langle \alpha, tst\theta(v) \rangle + \langle \alpha, ts\beta + t\alpha + \beta \rangle = \langle tst\alpha, \theta(v) \rangle$ . Direct calculation shows that  $tst\alpha = \alpha$  (regardless of whether  $\alpha$  is a short root or a long root). Since  $\alpha \in \Pi(v)$ ,  $\langle \alpha, \theta(v) \rangle \leq 0$  by induction, so  $\langle \alpha, \theta(w) \rangle \leq 0$  as well.

*Case 4.*  $w = tst \cdot v$  and  $N = 3$ . Since we have assumed that  $W$  is irreducible,  $W$  must be of type  $G_2$ . Since  $sw = stst \cdot v$ , we must have  $v \in \{1, s, st\}$ , since  $ststst$  is the longest word in  $W$ . First suppose  $v = st$ . Since  $sw$  is the longest word, we have  $\Pi(w) = \{\alpha\}$ , and hence  $\theta(w) = 2\rho - \alpha$ , so Lemma 4.2 implies that  $\langle \alpha, \theta(w) \rangle = 0$ . If  $v = s$ , direct calculation gives  $\theta(w) = 2\rho - \alpha - s\beta$ , and then that  $\langle \alpha, \theta(w) \rangle = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle < 0$ . Finally, if  $v = 1$ , we find that  $\theta(w) = 2\rho - \alpha - s\beta - st\alpha$ , and again  $\langle \alpha, \theta(w) \rangle < 0$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.5.** *Let  $s$  be a simple reflection, corresponding to the simple root  $\alpha$ . Let  $v, w$  be such that  $\ell(vsw) = \ell(v) + 1 + \ell(w)$ . Then  $\langle \pi(vw), 2\rho \rangle - \langle \pi(vsw), 2\rho \rangle = (1 - \langle \alpha^\vee, \theta(v^{-1}) \rangle) \langle w^{-1}\alpha, 2\rho \rangle > 0$ .*

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $\ell(v)$ . First, suppose that  $v = 1$ . Note that  $\theta(v^{-1}) = 0$ . Since  $2\rho = \pi(w) + \theta(w)$ , Lemma 4.3 implies that  $\langle \pi(w), 2\rho \rangle = \langle \pi(w), \pi(w) \rangle$ . Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi(sw), 2\rho \rangle &= \langle \pi(sw), \pi(sw) \rangle = \langle s\pi(w) + \alpha, s\pi(w) + \alpha \rangle \\ &= \langle s\pi(w), s\pi(w) \rangle + 2\langle s\pi(w), \alpha \rangle + \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = \langle \pi(w), \pi(w) \rangle + 2\langle \pi(w), s\alpha \rangle + \langle 2\rho, \alpha \rangle \\ &= \langle \pi(w), 2\rho \rangle - 2\langle \pi(w), \alpha \rangle + \langle \pi(w) + \theta(w), \alpha \rangle = \langle \pi(w), 2\rho \rangle - \langle \pi(w) - \theta(w), \alpha \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that  $\pi(w) - \theta(w) = w(2\rho)$ , whence it follows that  $\langle \pi(w), 2\rho \rangle - \langle \pi(sw), 2\rho \rangle = \langle w^{-1}\alpha, 2\rho \rangle$ . Finally, the fact that  $\ell(sw) > \ell(w)$  implies that  $w^{-1}\alpha \in \Phi^+$ , so  $\langle w^{-1}\alpha, 2\rho \rangle > 0$ .

Now, suppose  $\ell(v) \geq 1$ , and write  $v = t \cdot x$ , where  $t$  is a simple reflection with simple root  $\beta$ . Using the special case of the proposition that is already established, we find

$$\langle \pi(xsw), 2\rho \rangle - \langle \pi(txsw), 2\rho \rangle = \langle w^{-1}sx^{-1}\beta, 2\rho \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \pi(xw), 2\rho \rangle - \langle \pi(txw), 2\rho \rangle = \langle w^{-1}x^{-1}\beta, 2\rho \rangle.$$

Combining these with the fact that  $sx^{-1}\beta = x^{-1}\beta - \langle \alpha^\vee, x^{-1}\beta \rangle \alpha$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi(txw), 2\rho \rangle - \langle \pi(txsw), 2\rho \rangle &= (\langle \pi(xw), 2\rho \rangle - \langle \pi(xsw), 2\rho \rangle) + (\langle w^{-1}sx^{-1}\beta, 2\rho \rangle - \langle w^{-1}x^{-1}\beta, 2\rho \rangle) \\ &= (1 - \langle \alpha^\vee, \theta(x^{-1}) \rangle) \langle w^{-1}\alpha, 2\rho \rangle - \langle \alpha^\vee, x^{-1}\beta \rangle \langle w^{-1}\alpha, 2\rho \rangle = (1 - \langle \alpha^\vee, \theta(x^{-1}) + x^{-1}\beta \rangle) \langle w^{-1}\alpha, 2\rho \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

An argument similar to that of Lemma 4.2 shows that  $\theta(x^{-1}) + x^{-1}\beta = \theta(x^{-1}t) = \theta(v^{-1})$ , so the desired formula is established. Since  $\ell(vs) > \ell(v)$ , we also have  $\ell(sv^{-1}) > \ell(v^{-1})$ , and then Proposition 4.4 tells us that  $\langle \alpha^\vee, \theta(v^{-1}) \rangle \leq 0$ . Thus,  $\langle \pi(vw), 2\rho \rangle - \langle \pi(vsw), 2\rho \rangle > 0$ .  $\square$

The preceding proposition is a statement about a pair of adjacent elements with respect to the Bruhat order. It immediately implies that for any  $v, w \in W$  with  $v < w$  in the Bruhat order,  $\langle \theta(v), 2\rho \rangle - \langle \theta(w), 2\rho \rangle > 0$ . By Theorem 3.2, we deduce the following result, and thus establish Theorem 4.1.

**Proposition 4.6.** *If  $X_v \subset \overline{X_w}$ , then  $\text{scod } X_v - \text{scod } X_w \geq 2$ .*  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] P. Achar, *Staggered t-structures on derived categories of equivariant coherent sheaves*, arXiv:0709.1300.
- [2] R. Bezrukavnikov, *Perverse coherent sheaves (after Deligne)*, arXiv:math.AG/0005152.
- [3] R. Carter, *Finite groups of Lie type: Conjugacy classes and complex characters*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1985.
- [4] R. Hartshorne, *Residues and duality*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, no. 20, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1966.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY, BATON ROUGE, LA 70803

E-mail address: pramod@math.lsu.edu

E-mail address: sage@math.lsu.edu