

# 18.024–ESG Notes 3

Pramod N. Achar

Spring 2000

In this set of notes, we will develop the basic theory of tensors and differential forms; we will learn what it means to integrate a differential form; and we will state the generalized Stokes' Theorem in terms of differential forms. *Caveat lector*: there are several closely related meanings of the word *tensor*.

We begin introducing some convenient terminology for which we will not give precise definitions. A *manifold* is a curve, surface, or higher-dimensional generalization thereof. We will often shorten the phrase “manifold of dimension  $k$ ” to “ $k$ -manifold.” When we integrate over a  $k$ -manifold  $M$ , we will need to make use of a *parametrization*  $\mathbf{r} : D \rightarrow M$ , where  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ . If  $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , then we say the *codimension* of  $M$  is  $n - k$ . Thus, curves are 1-manifolds. 2-manifolds sitting in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  have codimension 1, but if they sit in  $\mathbb{R}^4$ , they have codimension 2. Note that it makes sense to speak of “normal vectors” to manifolds of codimension 1.

In this set of notes, higher-dimensional integrals will never be written as multiple integrals.

**Definition 1.** A *tensor* of degree  $k$ , or a  $k$ -tensor, on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a real-valued function of  $k$  variables, where each input variable is a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , such that the function is linear in each variable. That is, a tensor is a function

$$T : \underbrace{\mathbb{R}^n \times \cdots \times \mathbb{R}^n}_k \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

such that

$$T(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, c\mathbf{v}_i + c'\mathbf{v}'_i, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k) = cT(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_i, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k) + c'T(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}'_i, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k)$$

for each  $i$ . By convention, a 0-tensor is a just a real constant.

The first thing to notice about tensors is that because they must be linear in each variable, then if we specify the values of a tensor on the unit coordinate vectors  $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then that determines the tensor uniquely.

What does this mean? Let us consider the simplest nontrivial case, that of a 1-tensor. This is just a linear function  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . If we know, for example, that  $T(\mathbf{e}_1) = a_1, \dots, T(\mathbf{e}_n) = a_n$ , then we can compute  $T(\mathbf{v})$  for any vector  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Suppose that

$$\mathbf{v} = v_1\mathbf{e}_1 + \cdots + v_n\mathbf{e}_n;$$

then, by linearity of  $T$ , we must have

$$T(\mathbf{v}) = v_1a_1 + \cdots + v_na_n.$$

This expression can be written more concisely: if we let  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be the vector  $a_1\mathbf{e}_1 + \cdots + a_n\mathbf{e}_n$ , then

$$T(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{v}.$$

This discussion illustrates the relationship between vectors and tensors of degree 1. Indeed, the ideas in this paragraph could be made into a rigorous proof of the following fact.

**Proposition 2.** *There is a one-to-one correspondence between vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and tensors of degree 1 on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The correspondence is as follows: if  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a tensor, the corresponding vector is*

$$(T(\mathbf{e}_1), \dots, T(\mathbf{e}_n));$$

*conversely, given  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , the corresponding tensor is defined by*

$$T(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{v}.$$

Some people, such as physicists, do not distinguish between a vector and a 1-tensor. We say that a tensor is determined by, or corresponds to, the list of  $n$  scalars  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ , whereas a physicist might just say that the tensor *is* the list of  $n$  scalars.

Let us now consider the next simplest case, that of tensors of degree 2. Again, because it is linear in each variable, the tensor  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is determined by its values on the unit coordinate vectors. But because  $T$  is a function of two vector variables, we need to evaluate it on all pairs of unit coordinate vectors. Let

$$a_{ij} = T(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j);$$

there are  $n^2$  such numbers. As we might expect, 2-tensors are closely related to  $n \times n$  matrices, but the algebra is more complicated than in the degree 1 case. The precise relationship is given in the following proposition, which we state without proof.

**Proposition 3.** *There is a one-to-one correspondence between  $n \times n$  matrices and tensors of degree 2 on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The correspondence is as follows: if  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a tensor, the corresponding matrix is*

$$\begin{pmatrix} T(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1) & T(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2) & \cdots & T(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_n) \\ T(\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1) & T(\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_2) & \cdots & T(\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ T(\mathbf{e}_n, \mathbf{e}_1) & T(\mathbf{e}_n, \mathbf{e}_2) & \cdots & T(\mathbf{e}_n, \mathbf{e}_n) \end{pmatrix};$$

*conversely, given an  $n \times n$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ , the corresponding tensor is defined by*

$$T(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{A} \mathbf{w}.$$

Again, physicists often think of the array of scalars  $a_{ij}$  as *being* the tensor, whereas mathematicians think of it as determining a tensor.

It is difficult to continue this analogy to tensors of degree greater than 2, but we can still make the observation that a tensor is determined by its values on the unit coordinate vectors. If  $T$  is a  $k$ -tensor on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , consider the constants

$$a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k} = T(\mathbf{e}_{i_1}, \mathbf{e}_{i_2}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{i_k}).$$

There are  $n$  choices for which unit coordinate vector to use in the first variable,  $n$  choices for the second, and so on, for a total of  $n^k$  constants  $a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k}$ . These  $n^k$  constants determine the tensor. It is possible to imagine these  $n^k$  constants arranged in a  $k$ -dimensional array with  $n$  rows in each direction, but when  $k > 2$ , this is not necessarily a useful way to think of tensors.

Given any two tensors  $S$  and  $T$ , there is an easy way to form a new tensor of higher degree out of them.

**Definition 4.** Let  $S$  be a  $k$ -tensor on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $T$  an  $l$ -tensor. Their *tensor product*  $S \otimes T$  is a new tensor of degree  $k + l$ , defined by

$$(S \otimes T)(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k+l}) = S(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k) T(\mathbf{v}_{k+1}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k+l}).$$

**Definition 5.** An *alternating tensor* of degree  $k$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a  $k$ -tensor with the property that exchanging any two of its input variables negates its value. That is,  $T$  is alternating if

$$T(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_i, \dots, \mathbf{v}_j, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k) = -T(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_j, \dots, \mathbf{v}_i, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k).$$

This condition is vacuously satisfied by all tensors of degree 0 and 1, so we say that all degree 0 or 1 tensors are alternating. The set of all alternating  $k$ -tensors on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is denoted by  $\bigwedge^k(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ .

It turns out that in the correspondence between 2-tensors and matrices, alternating tensors correspond precisely to skew-symmetric matrices. (This is why, in Problem Set 12, you integrated a skew-symmetric matrix field over a 2-manifold in  $\mathbb{R}^4$ —you were really integrating an alternating 2-tensor.)

We are now almost ready to give a general definition of integration.

**Definition 6.** Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . A *differential form* of degree  $k$  on  $A$ , also called a *k-form* or an *alternating k-tensor field*, is a function  $\mathbf{f} : A \rightarrow \bigwedge^k(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ .

This last definition can be a little hard to get your head around: remember that the elements of  $\bigwedge^k(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$  are tensors, which are themselves functions. So a differential form is a function that assigns to each point of its domain another function! This is a little less mind-boggling if we instead think of a form as assigning an array of  $n^k$  constants to each point, but it is important to remember that if  $\omega$  is a  $k$ -form, and  $\mathbf{x}$  is a point of its domain, then  $\omega(\mathbf{x})$  is itself a function of  $k$  vector variables.

We take as given the definition and properties of  $k$ -dimensional integrals of scalar functions over regions of  $\mathbb{R}^k$ ; *i.e.*, ordinary multiple integrals. We now define integration of differential forms over manifolds in terms of ordinary multiple integrals.

**Definition 7.** Let  $M$  be a  $k$ -manifold in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $\mathbf{r} : D \rightarrow M$  be a parametrization of it, where  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ . Let  $\omega$  be a  $k$ -form defined on some subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  containing  $M$ . Let us write  $t_1, \dots, t_k$  for the variables in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . Then, we define

$$\int_M \omega = \int_D \omega \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial t_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial t_k} \right).$$

As always, there is the question of whether this is well-defined; *i.e.*, whether the value of  $\int_M \omega$  depends on the parametrization of  $M$  that we choose. This is why we need to require that  $\omega$  be an *alternating* tensor field and not an arbitrary one. Here is the desired fact, without proof:

**Theorem 8.** *The integral of a k-form over a k-manifold is well-defined up to sign, independent of the parametrization.*

This fits well with what we have seen before for line integrals and surface integrals: a line integral changes sign if the curve is parametrized in the opposite direction, and a surface integral changes sign if the opposite normal direction is used. But in all these cases, the value of the integral is well-defined up to a sign.

The last major thing we need to do is to produce an analogue of the Second Fundamental Theorem. Suppose that  $M$  is a  $k$ -manifold with boundary  $N$ , a  $(k-1)$ -manifold; and let  $\omega$  be a  $(k-1)$ -form. Following the pattern of the Second Fundamental Theorem, Green's Theorem, Stokes' Theorem, *etc.*, we expect a theorem that tells us that  $\int_N \omega$  is equal to the integral of some related form over  $M$ , where that related form should be obtained by doing some sort of differentiation on  $\omega$ . It should also be a form of degree one greater than that of  $\omega$ , since  $M$  is a manifold of dimension one greater than that of  $N$ .

This is where we introduce the differential operator  $d$ . It has a uniform definition for all forms of all degrees in all dimensions, instead of idiosyncratic definitions like those we have seen for curl, divergence, *etc.* We will define it first for 0-forms, and then say how to compute the differential of a form of higher degree in terms of differentials of lower-degree forms. To achieve this reduction, we need a way to get higher-degree forms from lower-degree ones. The problem is that the tensor product operation  $\otimes$  does not preserve the property of being alternating. That is, even if  $S$  and  $T$  are both alternating tensors,  $S \otimes T$  almost never is.

Before we can fix this problem, we need to investigate in much greater detail what it means for a tensor to be alternating. An arbitrary  $k$ -tensor is determined by  $n^k$  constants, but most tensors are not alternating, so it should take a lot fewer constants to determine an alternating tensor. We have already seen this with  $4 \times 4$  skew-symmetric matrices: it takes 16 numbers to determine a 2-tensor on  $\mathbb{R}^4$ , but only 6 numbers to determine an alternating 2-tensor on  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . (There are 6 numbers to specify in giving a  $4 \times 4$  skew-symmetric matrix.)

How does this come about? To say  $T$  is alternating is to say that exchanging any two input variables changes the sign of the answer. It follows that if any two input variables to  $T$  are equal, the output value must be 0 (since it must equal its own negative). Thus, among the constants

$$a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k} = T(\mathbf{e}_{i_1}, \mathbf{e}_{i_2}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{i_k}),$$

we get 0 whenever two of the indices  $i_1, \dots, i_k$  are equal. (Hence the 0's along the diagonal of a skew-symmetric matrix.) The constants can be nonzero only if all  $k$  indices in the subscript are distinct. Moreover, the alternating property means that

$$a_{i_1 \dots i_p \dots i_q \dots i_k} = -a_{i_1 \dots i_q \dots i_p \dots i_k}.$$

Any rearrangement of the indices can be achieved by a series of exchanges like this, so there is really only parameter to be determined among all the possible rearrangements of  $i_1 \dots i_k$ . (Thus there 12 nonzero entries in a skew-symmetric matrix, but 6 of them are the negatives of the other 6, so there are really only 6 parameters to be determined.) Hence, the number of parameters needed to determine an alternating  $k$ -tensor is equal to the number of ways of choosing  $k$  distinct vectors from among the  $n$  unit coordinate vectors  $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$ . This is given by

$$\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}.$$

And, indeed, it takes  $\binom{4}{2} = 6$  parameters to determine an alternating 2-tensor on  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . One consequence of this is that, on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , there are no alternating tensors of degree greater than  $n$  (except the zero tensor).

In any case, let us return to examining the constants  $a_{i_1 \dots i_k}$ . Recall that a *permutation*  $\sigma$  of a set  $k$  objects is just a rearrangement of them. If  $\sigma$  is any such permutation, we have

$$a_{i_1 \dots i_k} = \pm a_{\sigma(i_1 \dots i_k)}.$$

Any permutation can be performed by doing a sequence of pairwise exchanges; the sign on the left-hand side of the above equation depends on whether the number of such exchanges required is odd or even.

**Definition 9.** The *sign* of a permutation  $\sigma$ , denoted by  $\text{sgn } \sigma$ , is either  $+1$  or  $-1$ , whichever appears as the coefficient on the left-hand side of the above equation. A permutation with sign  $+1$  is called *even*, and one with sign  $-1$  is called *odd*.

An important point to note here is that the sign of a permutation is an intrinsic property of the permutation, having nothing to do with tensors or forms.

**Definition 10.** Let  $S$  be an alternating  $k$ -tensor on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $T$  and alternating  $l$ -tensor. Their *exterior* or *wedge product*  $S \wedge T$  is a new alternating tensor of degree  $k + l$ , defined by

$$(S \wedge T)(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k+l}) = \frac{1}{k!l!} \sum_{\substack{\text{all permutations } \sigma \\ \text{of } k+l \text{ objects}}} (\text{sgn } \sigma)(S \otimes T)(\sigma(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k+l})).$$