

# Chapter 1

## Sigma-Algebras

### 1.1 Definition

Consider a set  $X$ .

A  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$  of subsets of  $X$  is a collection  $\mathcal{F}$  of subsets of  $X$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (a)  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}$
- (b) if  $B \in \mathcal{F}$  then its complement  $B^c$  is also in  $\mathcal{F}$
- (c) if  $B_1, B_2, \dots$  is a *countable* collection of sets in  $\mathcal{F}$  then their union  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$

Sometimes we will just write “sigma-algebra” instead of “sigma-algebra of subsets of  $X$ .”

There are two extreme examples of sigma-algebras:

- the collection  $\{\emptyset, X\}$  is a sigma-algebra of subsets of  $X$
- the set  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  of all subsets of  $X$  is a sigma-algebra

Any sigma-algebra  $\mathcal{F}$  of subsets of  $X$  lies between these two extremes:

$$\{\emptyset, X\} \subset \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$$

An atom of  $\mathcal{F}$  is a set  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  such that the only subsets of  $A$  which are also in  $\mathcal{F}$  are the empty set  $\emptyset$  and  $A$  itself.

A partition of  $X$  is a collection of *disjoint* subsets of  $X$  whose union is all of  $X$ . For simplicity, consider a partition consisting of a finite number of sets  $A_1, \dots, A_N$ . Thus

$$A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_N = X$$

Then the collect  $\mathcal{F}$  consisting of all unions of the sets  $A_j$  forms a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

Here are a few simple observations:

**Proposition 1** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a sigma-algebra of subsets of  $X$ .*

- (i)  $X \in \mathcal{F}$
- (ii) *If  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{F}$  then  $A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n \in \mathcal{F}$*
- (iii) *If  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{F}$  then  $A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_n \in \mathcal{F}$*
- (iv) *If  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  is a countable collection of sets in  $\mathcal{F}$  then  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{F}$*
- (v) *If  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$  then  $A - B \in \mathcal{F}$ .*

Proof Since  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}$  and

$$X = \emptyset^c$$

it follows that  $X \in \mathcal{F}$ .

For (ii) we have

$$A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n \cup \emptyset \cup \emptyset \cup \dots \in \mathcal{F}$$

Then (iii) follows by complementation:

$$A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_n = (A_1^c \cup \dots \cup A_n^c)^c$$

which is in  $\mathcal{F}$  because each  $A_i^c \in \mathcal{F}$  and, by (i),  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under finite unions. Similarly, (iv) follows by taking complements :

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = [\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n^c]^c$$

which belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$  because  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under complements and countable unions.

Finally,

$$A - B = A \cap B^c$$

is in  $\mathcal{F}$ , because  $A, B^c \in \mathcal{F}$ . QED

## 1.2 Generated Sigma-algebra $\sigma(\mathcal{B})$

Let  $X$  be a set and  $\mathcal{B}$  a non-empty collection of subsets of  $X$ . The *smallest*  $\sigma$ -algebra containing all the sets of  $\mathcal{B}$  is denoted

$$\sigma(\mathcal{B})$$

and is called the sigma-algebra generated by the collection  $\mathcal{B}$ .

The term “smallest” here means that any sigma-algebra containing the sets of  $\mathcal{B}$  would have to contain all the sets of  $\sigma(\mathcal{B})$  as well.

We need to check that such a smallest sigma-algebra exists. To this end observe first the following fact:

- *If  $G$  is any non-empty collection of sigma-algebras of subsets of  $X$  then the intersection  $\cap G$  is also a sigma-algebra of subsets of  $X$ . Here*

$$\cap G = \{A \subset X \mid A \in \mathcal{F} \text{ for every } \mathcal{F} \in G\}$$

consists of all sets  $A$  which belong to each sigma-algebra  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $G$ .

The verification of this statement is left as an (easy) exercise.

Given a collection  $\mathcal{B}$  of subsets of  $X$ , let  $G_{\mathcal{B}}$  be the collection of all sigma-algebras containing all the sets of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Note that

$$\mathcal{P}(X) \in G_{\mathcal{B}}$$

and so  $G_{\mathcal{B}}$  is not empty. Then

$$\cap G_{\mathcal{B}}$$

is a sigma-algebra, contains all the sets of  $\mathcal{B}$ , and is minimal among such sigma-algebras. Minimality here means that if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a sigma-algebra such that

$$\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{F}$$

then

$$\cap G_{\mathcal{B}} \subset \mathcal{F}$$

Thus  $\cap G_{\mathcal{B}}$  is the sigma-algebra generated by  $\mathcal{B}$ :

$$\sigma(\mathcal{B}) = \cap G_{\mathcal{B}}$$

If  $\mathcal{B}$  is itself a sigma-algebra then of course  $\sigma(\mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{B}$ .

### 1.3 The Dynkin $\pi - \lambda$ Theorem

Let  $X$  be a set.

A collection  $P$  of subsets of  $X$  is a  $\pi$ -system if

( $\pi$ )  $P$  is closed under finite intersections: if  $A, B \in P$  then  $A \cap B \in P$

Note that by the usual induction argument, this condition implies that if  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  are a *finite* number of sets in  $P$  then their intersection  $A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_n$  is also in  $P$ .

A collection  $L$  of subsets of  $X$  is called a  $\lambda$ -system if

( $\lambda 1$ )  $L$  contains the empty set  $\emptyset$

( $\lambda 2$ )  $L$  is closed under complements: if  $A \in L$  then  $A^c \in L$

( $\lambda 3$ )  $L$  is closed under countable *disjoint* union: if  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in L$  and  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$  for every  $i \neq j$ , then  $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in L$

Unlike a  $\sigma$ -algebra, the notions of  $\pi$ -system and  $\lambda$ -system are not in themselves fundamental. Their significance is contained in the following theorem which will be of great use later in proving uniqueness of measures:

**Theorem 1** The Dynkin  $\pi - \lambda$  theorem If  $P$  is a  $\pi$ -system and  $L$  a  $\lambda$ -system of subsets of  $X$  then

$$\sigma(P) \subset L,$$

*i.e.* the sigma-algebra generated by  $P$  is contained in  $L$ .

The proof of this result is long but can be broken up into simple little pieces.

As a first step, we have

**Lemma 1** A  $\lambda$ -system is closed under proper differences, *i.e.* if  $A, B \in L$ , where  $L$  is a  $\lambda$ -system, and  $A \subset B$  then the difference  $B - A$  is also in  $L$ .

Proof. It is best to draw a little diagram illustrating the fact that  $A \subset B$ . From this you can see that  $B - A$  is the complement of the set  $A \cup B^c$ , and the latter, being the disjoint union of  $A \in L$  and  $B^c \in L$ , is in  $L$ ; thus  $B - A \in L$ . More formally,

$$B - A = B \cap A^c = (B^c \cup A)^c$$

is in  $L$  because it is the complement of the set  $B^c \cup A$  which is in  $L$  because it is the union of two disjoint sets  $A$  and  $B^c$  both of which are in  $L$ . QED

The next step is more substantial:

**Lemma 2** *A family which is both a  $\pi$ -system and a  $\lambda$ -system is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.*

Proof. Let  $S$  be a collection of subsets of  $X$  which is both a  $\pi$  system and a  $\lambda$  system. To prove that  $S$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra it will be enough to show that  $S$  is closed under *countable unions* (not just disjoint countable unions).

Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in S$ . We have to show that their union  $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$  is in  $S$ . The trick (and it is a very useful trick) is to rewrite  $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$  as a countable union of *disjoint* sets:

$$\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$$

where  $B_1 = A_1$  and, for  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$B_n = A_n - (A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_{n-1}) = A_n \cap A_1^c \cap A_2^c \cap \dots \cap A_{n-1}^c \quad (1.1)$$

Thus  $B_n$  consists of all elements of  $A_n$  which do not appear in any “previous”  $A_i$ .

It is clear that the sets  $B_1, B_2, \dots$  are mutually disjoint. Since  $S$  is a  $\lambda$ -system, each complement  $A_i^c$  is in  $S$ , and since  $S$  is a  $\pi$ -system it follows then that  $B_n$ , which is a finite intersection of sets in  $S$ , is also in  $S$ . QED

As further preparation for the proof of the main theorem let us make one more observation, though its significance will only become clear later:

**Lemma 3** *Suppose  $L'$  is a  $\lambda$ -system of subsets of  $X$ . For any set  $A \in L'$ , let  $S_A$  be the set of all  $B \subset X$  for which  $A \cap B \in L'$ . Then  $S_A$  is a  $\lambda$ -system.*

Proof. First note that  $\emptyset \in S_A$ , because  $A \cap \emptyset = \emptyset \in L'$ .

It is also clear that  $S_A$  is closed under countable *disjoint* unions.

The last thing we have to show is that  $S_A$  is closed under complements. To this end, let  $B \in S_A$  and observe that

$$A \cap B^c = A - B = A - (A \cap B)$$

The utility in writing the difference  $A - B$  as the *proper difference*  $A - (A \cap B)$  lies in the fact that  $A \cap B \subset A$  and we can appeal to Lemma 1, along with the facts that  $A$  and  $A \cap B$  are both in  $L'$ , to conclude that  $A - (A \cap B)$  is in  $L'$ . QED

Now we return to the proof of the main theorem. As before,  $P$  is a  $\pi$ -system and  $L$  a  $\lambda$ -system, with  $P \subset L$ . Our objective is to show that the sigma-algebra  $\sigma(P)$  generated by  $P$  is contained in  $L$ . The strategy will be to produce a sigma-algebra which lies between  $P$  and  $L$ , i.e. contains  $P$  and is contained in  $L$ . This will imply that  $\sigma(P)$ , which is the *smallest* sigma-algebra containing  $P$ , is contained in  $L$ .

We look at

$$l(P),$$

the intersection of all  $\lambda$ -systems containing  $P$ . Clearly  $l(P)$  is itself also a  $\lambda$ -system and contains  $P$ , and is thus the minimal  $\lambda$ -system containing  $P$ . This means that any  $\lambda$  system which contains  $P$  must also contain  $l(P)$ .

The objective will be to show that the  $\lambda$ -system  $l(P)$  is also a  $\pi$ -system. This would imply that  $l(P)$  is a *sigma-algebra*. It contains  $P$  and, being the minimal  $\lambda$ -system containing  $P$ , is a subset of  $L$ . This would provide our sigma-algebra lying between  $P$  and  $L$ . So the last piece of the argument is:

**Lemma 4**  $l(P)$  is a  $\pi$ -system.

The proof of this uses a “bootstrap” argument which is often useful in measure theory. We start with a set  $A \in P$  and show that  $A \cap B$  is in  $l(P)$  for every  $B$  in  $l(P)$ ; then we turn around and use this to show that if  $A$  and  $B$  are in  $l(P)$  then so is their intersection.

Proof. Let  $A \in P$ , and let  $S_A$  be the set of all sets  $B \subset X$  for which  $A \cap B$  is in  $l(P)$ . We have already proven that  $S_A$  is a  $\lambda$ -system. Moreover, it is clear that every element of  $P$  is in  $S_A$ . Thus  $S_A$  is a  $\lambda$ -system with  $P \subset S_A$ . Therefore,  $l(P) \subset S_A$ . Which means that we have proven that for any  $A \in P$  and any  $B \in l(P)$  the intersection  $A \cap B$  is in  $l(P)$ .

So now consider a  $B \in l(P)$ , and look at  $S_B$ . The preceding paragraph proves that  $P \subset S_B$ . On the other hand, by Lemma 3,  $S_B$  is a  $\lambda$ -system. Therefore,  $l(P) \subset S_B$ . Which means: for any  $A \in l(P)$ , the intersection  $A \cap B$  is in  $l(P)$ . Thus,  $l(P)$  is a  $\pi$ -system. QED

Putting all of the strands together, we have:

Proof of Dynkin’s theorem. We have proven that the  $\lambda$ -system  $l(P)$  is also a  $\pi$ -system, and is therefore a  $\sigma$ -algebra. On the other hand, we also know that

$$P \subset l(P) \subset L$$

because  $l(P)$  is the intersection of *all*  $\lambda$ -systems containing  $P$ , and  $L$  is just one  $\lambda$ -system containing  $P$ . Thus we have produced a sigma-algebra  $l(P)$  lying between  $P$  and  $L$ . Therefore,

$$P \subset \sigma(P) \subset l(P) \subset L$$

since  $\sigma(P)$  is the intersection of *all* sigma-algebras which contain  $P$ . QED

There are several other similar results which can substitute for the Dynkin  $\pi - \lambda$  theorem. The best known alternative is the *monotone class* lemma, but we shall not go into this.