

## Boundary conditions and unique solutions

Let us reconsider the weak-form PDE with its strong-form counterpart:

(0) Find  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \sigma \nabla u \cdot \nabla \bar{v} + \int_{\Omega} h u \bar{v} - \lambda \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon u \bar{v} = \int_{\Omega} f \bar{v} \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$$

$$(0') \quad -\nabla \cdot \sigma \nabla u - \lambda \varepsilon u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

Problem (0) does not have a unique solution, but we have identified certain restrictions on  $u$  that do give rise to unique solutions, as long as  $\lambda$  is not contained in a set  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  ( $\lambda_i \rightarrow \infty$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ ) of characteristic values for that restriction.

(1) Vanishing Dirichlet boundary values.

Find  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \sigma \nabla u \cdot \nabla \bar{v} - \lambda \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon u \bar{v} = \int_{\Omega} f \bar{v} \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$$

$$(1') \quad -\nabla \cdot \sigma \nabla u - \lambda \varepsilon u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

$$u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega$$

(2) Vanishing Neumann boundary values

Find  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \sigma \nabla u \cdot \nabla \bar{v} - \lambda \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon u \bar{v} = \int_{\Omega} f \bar{v} \quad \forall v \in H^1(\Omega)$$

$$(2') \quad -\nabla \cdot \sigma \nabla u - \lambda \varepsilon u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

$$\partial_n u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega$$

(3) Homogeneous Robin boundary conditions

Find  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \sigma \nabla u \cdot \nabla \bar{v} + \int_{\Omega} hu\bar{v} - \lambda \int_{\Omega} \epsilon u\bar{v} = \int_{\Omega} f\bar{v} \quad \forall v \in H^1(\Omega)$$

$$(3') \quad -\nabla \cdot \sigma \nabla u - \lambda \epsilon u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega$$
$$\sigma \partial_n u + hu = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega$$

(4) Periodic boundary conditions on  $\Omega = [0, 1]^n$

Find  $u \in H^1_{\text{per}}(\Omega)$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \sigma \nabla u \cdot \nabla \bar{v} - \lambda \int_{\Omega} \epsilon u\bar{v} = \int_{\Omega} f\bar{v} \quad \forall v \in H^1_{\text{per}}(\Omega)$$

$$(4') \quad -\nabla \cdot \sigma \nabla u - \lambda \epsilon u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

$$u(x_1, \dots, 0, \dots, x_n) = u(x_1, \dots, 1, \dots, x_n) \quad \text{for each } i \text{ and } (x_j)_{j \neq i} \in [0, 1]^{n-1}$$
$$\sigma \partial_n u(x_1, \dots, 0, \dots, x_n) = -\sigma \partial_n u(x_1, \dots, 1, \dots, x_n)$$

↑  $i$ th place  $\longleftarrow$   $\longrightarrow$

Some abstract theory of the relation between symmetric forms and operators in Hilbert space will put the strong form of the PDE-BVP into its correct mathematical framework.

First, let us illustrate with the Dirichlet case.

The Dirichlet case

$$\left( \begin{array}{l} 0 < \sigma_- < \sigma \cdot \xi < \sigma_+ \quad \text{for } |\xi|=1 \\ 0 < \varepsilon_- < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_+ \\ f \in L^2 \end{array} \right)$$

Define the operator  $T_0$  by

$$\begin{cases} T_0 : H_0^1(\Omega) \subset L^2(\Omega, \varepsilon) \longrightarrow (L^2(\Omega, \sigma))^n \\ T_0 u = \nabla u \quad \text{for } u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \end{cases}$$

To characterize the adjoint  $T_0^*$ , observe that  $F \in \mathcal{D}(T_0^*)$  if there exists an element of  $L^2(\Omega, \varepsilon)$ , denoted by  $T_0^* F$ , s.t.

$$\int_{\Omega} \sigma \nabla u \cdot F - \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon u T_0^* F = 0 \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}(\nabla_0)$$

$$\text{or } \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot (\sigma F) + \int_{\Omega} u (-\varepsilon T_0^* F) = 0 \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}(\nabla_0)$$

( $\sigma$  &  $\varepsilon$  positive), so we see that  $-\varepsilon T_0^* F = \nabla \cdot \sigma F$ . Thus,  $T_0^*$  is given by

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}(T_0^*) = \{ F \in (L^2(\Omega))^n : \sigma F \in \mathcal{D}(\nabla \cdot) \} \\ T_0^* F = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \nabla \cdot \sigma F, \quad F \in \mathcal{D}(T_0^*) \end{cases}$$

Problem (1) is to find  $u \in \mathcal{D}(T_0)$  such that

$$(T_0 u, T_0 v)_\sigma = \lambda(u, v)_\varepsilon = (f, v)_\varepsilon \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{D}(T_0)$$

Since  $|(T_0 u, T_0 v)| \leq \|f + \lambda u\|_\varepsilon \|v\|$ , we have  $T_0 u \in \mathcal{D}(T_0^*)$ , and thus Problem (1) becomes  $(T_0^* T_0 u, v)_\sigma = \lambda(u, v)_\varepsilon = (f, v)_\varepsilon \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{D}(T_0)$ .

Since  $\mathcal{D}(T_0)$  is dense in  $L^2$ , we obtain finally

$$T_0^* T_0 u - \lambda u = \varepsilon^{-1} f$$

where  $\mathcal{D}(T_0^* T_0) = \{ u \in \mathcal{D}(T_0) : T_0 u \in \mathcal{D}(T_0^*) \}$ .

The operator  $T_0^* T_0$  is more concretely characterized by

$$\mathcal{D}(T_0^* T_0) = \{ u \in H_0^1(\Omega) : \sigma \nabla u \in \mathcal{D}(\nabla \cdot) \},$$

$$T_0^* T_0 u = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \nabla \cdot \sigma \nabla u \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{D}(T_0^* T_0).$$

In view of the more general (distributional) definition of the divergence, the condition  $F \in \mathcal{D}(\nabla \cdot)$  is often expressed as  $\nabla \cdot F \in L^2(\Omega)$ .

In summary, problem (1) is equivalent to the problem

(1'') 
$$\begin{cases} \text{Find } u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \text{ such that } \sigma \nabla u \in \mathcal{D}(\nabla \cdot) \text{ and} \\ -\nabla \cdot \sigma \nabla u - \lambda \varepsilon u = f, \end{cases}$$

or, more casually, find  $u \in L^2(\Omega)$  such that  $\nabla u \in L^2$  and

$$\begin{cases} -\nabla \cdot \sigma \nabla u - \lambda \varepsilon u = f \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \end{cases}$$

We now understand thus to mean (1'') in the rigorous sense and see that (1'') is equivalent to (1').

|| Notice that the operator  $T_0^* T_0$  subsumes the <sup>homogeneous</sup> boundary conditions - that is, homogeneous bdy conditions  $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$

Fact :  $T_0^* T_0$  is self-adjoint in  $L^2(\Omega, \varepsilon)$ , that is,  
 $\mathcal{D}((T_0^* T_0)^*) = \mathcal{D}(T_0^* T_0)$  and  $(T_0^* T_0)^* u = T_0^* T_0 u \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}(T_0^* T_0)$ .

The problems (1)-(4) fit into the following abstract framework.

Let  $T$  be a closed unbounded operator  $T: \mathcal{D}(T) \subset \mathcal{H} \rightarrow K$  with dense domain, and consider the problem of finding  $u \in \mathcal{D}(T)$  such that

$$(*) \quad (Tu, Tv)_K - \lambda(u, v)_H = (f, v) \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{D}(T),$$

where  $f$  is an arbitrary element of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Any solution is necessarily in  $\mathcal{D}(T^*T)$  because  $Tu \in \mathcal{D}(T^*)$ , that is,

$$|(Tu, Tv)| \leq \|\lambda u + f\| \|v\|.$$

So (\*) is equivalent to solving

$$T^*Tu - \lambda u = f$$

We will show that  $T^*T$  is self-adjoint, but first, we abstract further and, in place of  $(Tu, Tv)$ , consider unbounded closed symmetric quadratic forms  $a(u, v)$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Such a form is defined on  $\mathcal{Q}(a) \oplus \mathcal{Q}(a)$ , where the form domain  $\mathcal{Q}(a)$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H}$ . It is linear in one argument (say, the first) and conjugate linear in the second, and symmetry means  $a(u, v) = \overline{a(v, u)}$  for all  $u, v \in \mathcal{Q}(a)$ . The quadratic form  $a$  is positive if  $a(u, u) \geq 0$  for all  $u \in \mathcal{Q}(a)$ .

If  $a$  is positive, then there is a natural form norm  $\|\cdot\|_a$  on  $Q(a)$ :

$$\|u\|_a^2 = a(u,u) + (u,u),$$

and one says that  $a$  is closed if  $Q(a)$  is complete with respect to this norm. If  $a$  is symmetric (this is implied by positivity for complex Hilbert spaces), there is an associated inner product

$$(u,v)_a := a(u,v) + (u,v)$$

which makes  $Q(a)$  a Hilbert space.

Let us maintain the assumption that  $a$  is symmetric, closed, and positive with dense domain.

For  $u \in Q(a)$ , if  $a(u, \cdot)$  is bounded in the norm  $\|\cdot\|_a$ , that is,  $\exists C > 0$  s.th.  $|a(u,v)| < C\|v\| \quad \forall v \in Q(a)$ , then by the density of  $Q(a)$ , there is a unique element  $\hat{u} \in \mathcal{H}$  s.th.  $a(u,v) = (\hat{u}, v) \quad \forall v \in Q(a)$ .

Define the linear operator  $A$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  by

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}(A) = \{u \in Q(a) : \exists C > 0 \text{ s.th. } |a(u,v)| < C\|v\| \quad \forall v \in Q(a)\}, \\ (Au, v) = a(u,v) \quad \forall v \in Q(a). \end{cases}$$

Theorem  $A$  is self-adjoint.

Proof First,  $A$  is symmetric because, if  $u, v \in \mathcal{D}(A) \subset Q(a)$ ,

$$(Au, v) = a(u, v) = \overline{a(v, u)} = \overline{(Av, u)} = (u, Av).$$

Next we prove that  $A + I : \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is surjective, where

$I$  is the identity operator.

Given  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$|(f, v)| \leq \|f\| \|v\| \leq \|f\| \|v\|_a \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{Q}(a),$$

so by the Riesz Lemma, there exists a unique  $u \in \mathcal{Q}(a)$

$$\text{such that } a(u, v) + (u, v) = (u, v)_a = (f, v) \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{Q}(a).$$

Since  $|a(u, v)| \leq \|f-u\| \|v\| \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{Q}(a)$ , we have  $u \in \mathcal{D}(A)$

$$\text{and } (Au, v) + (u, v) = (f, v) \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{Q}(a). \text{ Thus}$$

$$(A+I)u = f.$$

This demonstrates the surjectivity of  $A+I$ .

Finally, we show that  $\mathcal{D}(A^*) = \mathcal{D}(A)$ , which, together with symmetry of  $A$  will prove its self-adjointness.

Let  $u \in \mathcal{D}(A^*) \supset \mathcal{D}(A)$ . By the surjectivity of  $A+I$ , there exists

$$v \in \mathcal{D}(A) \text{ such that } (A+I)v = (A^*+I)u. \text{ Since } Av = A^*v$$

we have  $(A^*+I)(v-u) = 0$ . Let  $w \in \mathcal{H}$  be given. There exists

$$z \in \mathcal{D}(A) \text{ s.t. } (A+I)z = w, \text{ so}$$

$$(w, v-u) = ((A+I)z, v-u) = (z, (A^*+I)(v-u)) = 0.$$

Thus  $u = v \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ , and we have demonstrated that

$$\mathcal{D}(A^*) = \mathcal{D}(A).$$

Recall that all self-adjoint operators are densely defined and closed: An operator that is not densely defined does not have an adjoint operator, and all adjoint operators are closed.

Theorem The form  $a$  is determined by its associated self-adjoint operator  $A$ . That is, if  $A$  is the self-adjoint operator associated to the forms  $a$  and  $b$ , then  $a = b$ .

Proof The key is to show that  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  is dense in  $Q(a)$  in the norm  $\|\cdot\|_a$ . Let  $u \in Q(a)$  be such that  $(u, w)_a = 0$  for all  $w \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ . This means that

$$(u, Aw) + (u, w) = a(u, w) + (u, w) = 0 \quad \forall w \in \mathcal{D}(A).$$

As we have seen,  $A+I$  is surjective, so given  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $\exists w \in \mathcal{D}(A)$  such that  $Aw + w = f$ , and thus

$$(u, f) = (u, Aw) + (u, w) = 0.$$

Since this holds for all  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ , we obtain  $u = 0$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  is in fact dense in  $Q(a)$  in the norm  $\|\cdot\|_a$ . The Hilbert space  $Q(a), (\cdot, \cdot)_a$  is therefore fully determined by  $A$  and thus so is the form  $a$ .

---

The operator  $A$  is positive because  $a$  is. This means that

$$(Au, u) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathcal{D}(A).$$



Theorem If  $A$  is a positive self-adjoint operator in  $\mathcal{H}$ , then there exists a unique closed positive symmetric quadratic form in  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $A$  is its associated self-adjoint operator.

The proof (see Reed/Simon Vol. I, § VIII.6, Example 2) makes use of the spectral theorem for self-adjoint operators.

There is therefore a one-to-one correspondence between positive symmetric closed quadratic forms in  $\mathcal{H}$  and positive self-adjoint operators in  $\mathcal{H}$ . This correspondence can be extended to semibounded forms, for which

$$a(u,u) \geq -M(u,u) \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{Q}(a),$$

where  $M$  is some real number.

The following simple example illustrates the way in which a positive self-adjoint operator is associated to a quadratic form.

Example Define  $A: \mathcal{D}(A) \subset L^2(0,1) \rightarrow L^2(0,1)$  by

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}(A) = \{ f \in L^2(0,1) : xf(x) \in L^2(0,1) \}, \\ (Af)(x) = xf(x) \quad \text{for } f \in \mathcal{D}(A). \end{cases}$$

$A$  is self-adjoint, and its associated form  $a$  is given by

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{Q}(a) = \{ f \in L^2(0,1) : \sqrt{x}f(x) \in L^2(0,1) \} \\ a(f,g) = \int_0^\infty x f(x) \overline{g(x)} dx \quad \text{for } f,g \in \mathcal{Q}(a) \end{cases}$$

One can verify that  $a$  and  $A$  are indeed associated by the one-to-one correspondence discussed above.

Let  $T: \mathcal{D}(T) \subset \mathcal{H} \rightarrow K$  be a closed operator, and define a quadratic form  $a$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  by

$$\begin{cases} Q(a) = \mathcal{D}(T) , \\ a(u, u) = (Tu, Tu) . \end{cases}$$

This form is closed because  $T$  is and it is evidently symmetric and positive. The self-adjoint operator  $A$  associated with  $a$  is given by

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}(A) = \{ u \in Q(a) : (Tu, Tu) < C\|u\|^2 \ \forall v \in Q(a) \text{ and some } C > 0 \} , \\ (Au, v) = (Tu, Tv) \quad \forall v \in Q(a) , \text{ for } u \in \mathcal{D}(A) . \end{cases}$$

The operator  $T^*T$  is naturally defined by

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}(T^*T) = \{ u \in \mathcal{D}(T) : Tu \in \mathcal{D}(T^*) \} , \\ (T^*Tu, v) = (Tu, Tv) \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{D}(T) , \text{ for } u \in \mathcal{D}(T^*T) . \end{cases}$$

It is evident that  $\mathcal{D}(A) = \mathcal{D}(T^*T)$  and that the actions of the two operators coincide on this common domain.

If  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ , then the following problems are equivalent:

(P) Find  $u \in \mathcal{D}(T)$  such that

$$(Tu, Tv) - \lambda(u, v) = (f, v)$$

for all  $v \in \mathcal{D}(T)$

(P') Find  $u \in \mathcal{D}(T^*T)$  such that

$$(T^*T - \lambda)u = f$$

OR, more generally,

(P) Find  $u \in Q(a)$  s.th.

$$a(u, v) - \lambda(u, v) = (f, v) \quad \forall v \in Q(a)$$

(P') Find  $u \in \mathcal{D}(A)$  s.th.

$$(A - \lambda)u = f$$

Let us put problems (1,1')-(4,4') into the framework of (P,P').

In each of them, we take  $H = L^2(\Omega, \epsilon)$  and  $K = (L^2(\Omega, \sigma))^n$

and replace  $f$  in (1)-(4) with  $\epsilon^{-1}f$  in (P).

Problem 1  $\mathcal{D}(T_0) = H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,  $T_0 u = \nabla u$  for  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$

Weak form: Find  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$(\nabla u, \nabla v)_K - \lambda(u, v)_H = (\epsilon^{-1}f, v)_H \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$$

Operator form:  $\mathcal{D}(T_0^* T_0) = \{ u \in H_0^1(\Omega) : \nabla u \in \mathcal{D}(T_0^*) \}$

$$= \left\{ u : u \in L^2, \nabla u \in L^2, \boxed{u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0} \text{ (Dirichlet)}, -\epsilon^{-1} \nabla \cdot \sigma \nabla u \in L^2 \right\},$$

$$T_0^* T_0 u = -\epsilon^{-1} \nabla \cdot \sigma \nabla u \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{D}(T_0^* T_0)$$

$$\text{Find } u \in \mathcal{D}(T_0^* T_0) \text{ s.t. } -\epsilon^{-1} \nabla \cdot \sigma \nabla u - \lambda u = \epsilon^{-1} f$$

Problem 2  $\mathcal{D}(T) = H^1(\Omega)$ ,  $Tu = \nabla u$  for  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$

Weak form: Find  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$(\nabla u, \nabla v)_K - \lambda(u, v)_H = (\epsilon^{-1}f, v)_H \quad \forall v \in H^1(\Omega)$$

Operator form:  $\mathcal{D}(T^* T) = \{ u \in H^1(\Omega) : \nabla u \in \mathcal{D}(T^*) \}$

Now,  $F \in \mathcal{D}(T^*)$  if  $\sigma F \in \mathcal{D}(\nabla \cdot)$  and

$$0 = \int_{\Omega} \sigma F \cdot \nabla \bar{v} + \int_{\Omega} \epsilon (\epsilon^{-1} \nabla \cdot \sigma F) \bar{v} = \int_{\partial\Omega} (\sigma F \cdot n) \bar{v} \quad \forall v \in H^1(\Omega),$$

$\leftarrow$  that is,  $\sigma F \cdot n = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ .

$$\text{so } \mathcal{D}(T^* T) = \left\{ u : u \in L^2, \nabla u \in L^2, -\epsilon^{-1} \nabla \cdot \sigma \nabla u \in L^2, \boxed{\sigma \nabla u \cdot n = 0} \text{ (Neumann)} \right\}$$

$$\text{Find } u \in \mathcal{D}(T^* T) \text{ s.t. } \underbrace{-\epsilon^{-1} \nabla \cdot \sigma \nabla u}_{T^* T u} - \lambda u = \epsilon^{-1} f$$

Problem 3  $Q(\alpha) = H^1(\Omega)$ ,  $a(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} \sigma \nabla u \cdot \nabla \bar{v} + \int_{\partial\Omega} hu \bar{v}$ , in  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Suppose  $u \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ . Then  $Au$  is the unique  $L^2$  function such that

$$(*) \quad \int_{\Omega} \sigma \nabla u \cdot \nabla \bar{v} + \int_{\partial\Omega} hu \bar{v} - \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon (Au) \bar{v} = 0 \quad \forall v \in H^1(\Omega).$$

In particular, this holds for all  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ , that is,

$$\int_{\Omega} \sigma \nabla u \cdot \nabla \bar{v} - \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon (Au) \bar{v} = 0 \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

This means that  $Au = T_0^* u = -\varepsilon^{-1} \nabla \cdot \sigma \nabla u$ . Now, for each  $v \in H^1(\Omega)$ , we must have

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} (\sigma \nabla u \cdot n) \bar{v} + \int_{\partial\Omega} hu \bar{v} = \int_{\Omega} \sigma \nabla u \cdot \nabla \bar{v} + \int_{\partial\Omega} hu \bar{v} + \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \sigma \nabla u) \bar{v} = 0.$$

Since the trace map from  $H^1(\Omega)$  to  $H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$  is surjective, we have

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} (\sigma \nabla u \cdot n - hu) \phi = 0 \quad \forall \phi \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega),$$

and thus  $\sigma \nabla u \cdot n - hu = 0$  in  $H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(A) &= \left\{ u \in Q(\alpha) : Q(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : v \mapsto a(u, v) \text{ is bdd in } L^2 \right\} \\ &= \left\{ u : u \in L^2, \nabla u \in L^2, -\varepsilon^{-1} \nabla \cdot \sigma \nabla u \in L^2, \sigma \nabla u \cdot n = hu \right\} \\ &\quad \text{(Robin)} \end{aligned}$$

So the operator form of the weak-form PDE (P) is (P'):

$$\begin{cases} \text{Find } u \in \mathcal{D}(A) \text{ s.t.} \\ -\varepsilon^{-1} \nabla \cdot \sigma \nabla u - \lambda u = \varepsilon^{-1} f \end{cases}$$

Weak form: Find  $u \in Q(\alpha)$   
s.t.h.  $a(u, v) - \lambda(u, v) = (f, v)$   
 $\forall v \in Q(\alpha)$

in terms of  $a$   
p. 54

Problem 4

$$\mathcal{D}(T_{\text{per}}) = H^1_{\text{per}}(\Omega), \quad T_{\text{per}} u = \nabla u \quad \text{for } u \in H^1_{\text{per}}(\Omega)$$

Weak form: Find  $u \in H^1_{\text{per}}(\Omega)$  such that

$$(\nabla u, \nabla v)_{\mathcal{H}} - \lambda(u, v)_{\mathcal{H}} = (\varepsilon^{-1} f, v)_{\mathcal{H}} \quad \forall v \in H^1_{\text{per}}(\Omega).$$

Operator form:  $\mathcal{D}(T^*_{\text{per}} T_{\text{per}}) = \{ u \in H^1_{\text{per}}(\Omega) : \nabla u \in \mathcal{D}(T^*_{\text{per}}) \}$

Now,  $F \in \mathcal{D}(T^*_{\text{per}})$  if  $\sigma F \in \mathcal{D}(\nabla)$  and

$$0 = \int_{\Omega} \sigma F \cdot \nabla \bar{v} + \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon (\varepsilon^{-1} \nabla \cdot \sigma F) \bar{v} = \int_{\partial \Omega} (\sigma F \cdot n) \bar{v} \quad \forall v \in H^1_{\text{per}}(\Omega),$$

so  $\mathcal{D}(T^*_{\text{per}} T_{\text{per}}) = \{ u : u \in L^2, \nabla u \in L^2, -\varepsilon^{-1} \nabla \cdot \sigma \nabla u \in L^2, \text{ and } \sigma \nabla u \cdot n \text{ satisfies the periodic condition} \}$

The periodic condition on  $G \cdot n$  is that

$$\int_{\partial \Omega} (G \cdot n) \bar{v} = 0 \quad \forall v \in H^1_{\text{per}}(\Omega)$$

This means that

$$G \cdot n(x_1, \dots, 0, \dots, x_n) = -G \cdot n(x_1, \dots, 1, \dots, x_n)$$

for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $(x_j)_{j \neq i} \in [0, 1]^{n-1}$ .

Thus  $\mathcal{D}(T^*_{\text{per}} T_{\text{per}})$  is the space of functions satisfying the periodic boundary conditions in (4'), but now we understand this rigorously.

Let us investigate the "smallest" and "largest" domains of the operator  $-\varepsilon^{-1} \nabla \cdot \sigma \nabla$ . We retain the spaces

$$\mathcal{H} = L^2(\Omega, \varepsilon) \text{ and } \mathcal{K} = (L^2(\Omega, \sigma))^n.$$

Let  $T_0$  be defined as in Problem 1 and  $T$  as in Problem 2 (p. 55):

$$\mathcal{D}(T_0) = H_0^1(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{H}, \quad T_0 u = \nabla u$$

$$\mathcal{D}(T) = H^1(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{H}, \quad Tu = \nabla u$$

Since  $\mathcal{D}(T_0) \subset \mathcal{D}(T)$ ,  $\mathcal{D}(T_0^*) \supset \mathcal{D}(T^*)$ .

The domains of all of the operators  $T_0^* T_0$ ,  $T^* T$ , and  $T_{per}^* T_{per}$  contain the minimal domain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(T^* T_0) &= \left\{ u \in H_0^1(\Omega) : \sigma \nabla u \in \mathcal{D}(\nabla \cdot) \right\} \\ &= \left\{ u : u \in L^2, \nabla u \in L^2, \nabla \cdot \sigma \nabla u \in L^2, u|_{\partial \Omega} = 0, \partial_n \sigma \nabla u|_{\partial \Omega} = 0 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and are contained in the maximal domain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(T_0^* T) &= \left\{ u \in H^1(\Omega) : \sigma \nabla u \in \mathcal{D}(\nabla \cdot) \right\} \\ &= \left\{ u : u \in L^2, \nabla u \in L^2, \nabla \cdot \sigma \nabla u \in L^2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

In fact,

- $T^* T_0$  and  $T_0^* T$  are adjoints of one another;
- $T^* T_0$  is symmetric;
- $\mathcal{D}(T^* T_0) \subset \mathcal{D}(T_0^* T)$ , and  $T^* T_0 u = T_0^* T u \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}(T^* T_0)$ ;
- $T_0^* T u = -\varepsilon^{-1} \nabla \cdot \sigma \nabla u \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}(T_0^* T)$ .

If  $A$  is equal to  $T_0^* T_0$ ,  $T^* T$ , or  $T_{\text{par}}^* T_{\text{par}}$ ,  
 and if we set  $A_{\text{min}} = T^* T_0$  and  $A_{\text{max}} = T_0^* T$ ,  
 we have

$$\mathcal{D}(A_{\text{min}}) \subsetneq \mathcal{D}(A) \subsetneq \mathcal{D}(A_{\text{max}}).$$

The operator  $A$  is a self-adjoint extension of the symmetric operator  $A_{\text{min}}$ .

Given that  $A$  is positive, we have already seen that  
 $A+I : \mathcal{D}(A) \subset \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is bijective. Let us prove that

$$(A+I)^{-1} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \text{ is compact.}$$

Consider the chain of maps

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} L^2(\Omega, \epsilon) & \longrightarrow & Q(a)^* & \longrightarrow & Q(a) & \hookrightarrow & L^2(\Omega, \epsilon) \\ f & \longmapsto & (f, \cdot) & \longmapsto & u & \longmapsto & u \\ & & \text{bold} & & \text{bold} & & \text{compact} \end{array}$$

where  $u$  is such that  $a(u, v) + (u, v) = (f, v) \forall v \in Q(a)$ ,  
 that is,  $(A+I)u = f$ . The inclusion  $Q(a) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega, \epsilon)$

is compact by the Rellich-Kondrakov Theorem because

$Q(a) \subset H^1(\Omega)$  and  $\|\cdot\|_a$  is equivalent to  $\|\cdot\|_{H^1}$  in  $Q(a)$ .

This composite map is  $A+I$  as an operator from  $L^2$  to  $L^2$ ,  
 and since it is the composition of bounded operators and  
 a compact operator, it is compact.

### Eigenvalues

Since  $(A+I)^{-1}$  is compact, it has a sequence of eigenvalues  $\{\mu_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ , repeated according to multiplicity

$$\mu_j \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\mu_j \neq 0$$

$$\text{mult}(\mu_j) < \infty$$

The corresponding eigenfunctions  $\psi_j \in L^2(\Omega, \epsilon)$  satisfy

$$(A+I)\psi_j = \mu_j \psi_j,$$

or, equivalently,

$$A\psi_j = (\mu_j^{-1} - 1)\psi_j$$

Set  $\lambda_j = \mu_j^{-1} - 1$ . Since  $A$  is positive, we have  $\lambda_j \geq 0$

$$[\lambda_j(\psi_j, \psi_j) = (\lambda_j \psi_j, \psi_j) = (A\psi_j, \psi_j) \geq 0], \text{ so}$$

$$0 < \mu_j \leq 1 \quad \forall j = 1, 2, \dots$$

Theorem If  $\lambda \neq \lambda_j \quad \forall j = 1, 2, \dots$ , then  $A - \lambda I : \mathcal{D}(A) \subset \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is invertible and  $(A - \lambda I)^{-1} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is compact.

Proof The equation  $(A - \lambda)u = f \quad (u \in \mathcal{D}(A), f \in \mathcal{H})$  is equivalent to  $(A+I)u - (1+\lambda)u = f \iff u - (1+\lambda)(A+I)^{-1}u = (A+I)^{-1}f$   
 $\iff ((A+I)^{-1} - (1+\lambda)^{-1})u = -(1+\lambda)^{-1}(A+I)^{-1}f$ . Since  $(A+I)^{-1}$  is compact,  $((A+I)^{-1} - (1+\lambda)^{-1})$  has a bounded inverse whenever  $(1+\lambda)^{-1} \neq \mu_j$ , or whenever  $\lambda \neq \mu_j^{-1} - 1 = \lambda_j$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ .



Thus, for  $\lambda \neq \lambda_j \forall j$ ,  $(A-\lambda)u = f$  is equivalent to  $u = -(1+\lambda)^{-1} [(A+1)^{-1} - (1+\lambda)^{-1}]^{-1} (A+1)^{-1} f$ , so we obtain

$$(A-\lambda)^{-1} = -[(1+\lambda)(A+1)^{-1} - 1]^{-1} (A+1)^{-1},$$

which expresses  $(A-\lambda)^{-1}$  as the product of a bounded operator and a compact operator.

Spectral representation

Let us normalize the eigenfunctions so that  $\|\psi_j\|_\varepsilon = \int_\Omega \varepsilon |\psi_j|^2 = 1$  and  $\int_\Omega \varepsilon \psi_i \bar{\psi}_j = 0$  if  $\mu_i \neq \mu_j$ .

The spectral theorem for self-adjoint operators provides the following:

- $\{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  is an orthonormal Hilbert-space basis for  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\Omega, \varepsilon)$ , that is,  $\int_\Omega \varepsilon \psi_i \bar{\psi}_j = \delta_{ij}$ ,  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , and, given  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $f = \sum_{j=1}^\infty c_j \psi_j$ , where  $c_j = \int_\Omega \varepsilon u \bar{\psi}_j$ , where the series converges in  $L^2(\Omega, \varepsilon)$ .

- If  $u = \sum_{j=1}^\infty b_j \psi_j \in L^2(\Omega, \varepsilon)$ , then  $u \in \mathcal{D}(A)$  if and only if  $\{\lambda_j b_j\} \in \ell^2$ , and, for  $u \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ ,  $Au = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j b_j \psi_j$ .

- For  $f = \sum_{j=1}^\infty c_j \psi_j \in L^2(\Omega, \varepsilon)$  and for  $\lambda \neq \lambda_j, j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $(A-\lambda)^{-1} f = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{c_j}{\lambda_j - \lambda} \psi_j$ .

Problem 1 in spectral representation, with  $\sigma=1$ ,  $\varepsilon=1$ .

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + \lambda u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

As we have seen, the rigorous formulation of this problem is

$$\Delta_0 u + \lambda u = f,$$

in which the "Dirichlet Laplacian"  $\Delta_0$  is defined by

$\Delta_0 = \nabla \cdot \nabla_0 = -T_0^* T_0$ , where  $T_0 = \nabla_0$ , the gradient operator in  $L^2(\Omega)$  with domain  $H^1_0(\Omega)$ . The domain of  $\Delta_0$  is

$$\mathcal{D}(\Delta_0) = \left\{ u : u \in L^2, \nabla u \in L^2, \nabla \cdot \nabla u \in L^2, u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \right\}$$

(each of these restrictions has a precise definition, as we have discussed).

Let  $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  and  $\{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  be the eigenvalues and corresponding eigenfunctions of  $-\Delta_0$ ; they are called the Dirichlet eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the Laplacian, and  $\lambda_j > 0$ ,  $\lambda_j \rightarrow \infty$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $f$  be given in its spectral expansion,

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j \psi_j$$

As long as  $\lambda \neq \lambda_j \forall j$ , we obtain

$$u = (\Delta_0 + \lambda)^{-1} f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_j}{\lambda - \lambda_j} \psi_j.$$

This solution is, in fact, valid for  $\lambda = \lambda_j$  as long as  $b_i = 0$  for all  $i$  such that  $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$ , that is, if

$$\int_{\Omega} \varepsilon \bar{\psi} = 0 \quad \text{for all eigenfunctions } \psi \text{ for eval } \lambda_j$$

This is the solvability condition for  $\Delta_D u + \lambda_j u = f$ .

Inhomogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + \lambda u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = g & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

Any solution  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$  can be decomposed according to the Hilbert space decomposition  $H^1(\Omega) = H_0^1(\Omega) \oplus H_0^1(\Omega)^\perp$ :

$$u = u_0 + \tilde{u}$$

where  $u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  and  $\tilde{u} \in H_0^1(\Omega)^\perp$ . As we have seen, this means that

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \tilde{u} - \tilde{u} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ \tilde{u} = g & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}, \quad u_0 = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega$$

The BVP for  $u_0$  becomes

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u_0 + \lambda u_0 = -(1+\lambda)\tilde{u} + f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u_0 = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

Thus  $u_0 = (\Delta_D + \lambda)^{-1} (f - (1+\lambda)\tilde{u})$ .

Variational calculus for the inhomogeneous Dirichlet problem.

The solution of the foregoing problem can be viewed as the minimizer of the "energy"  $\mathcal{E}$  subject to  $u|_{\partial\Omega} = g$ :

$$\mathcal{E}(u) = \int_{\Omega} \sigma |\nabla u|^2 - \lambda \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon |u|^2 - \int_{\Omega} f u,$$

where we set  $\sigma = 1$  and  $\varepsilon = 1$ . In terms of the  $L^2$  inner product, we have

$$\mathcal{E}(u) = (\nabla u, \nabla u) - \lambda (u, u) - (f, u).$$

To find critical functions of  $\mathcal{E}$  subject to  $u|_{\partial\Omega} = g$ , we

take a variation  $h\nu$ , with  $\nu \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,  $h \in \mathbb{R}$ , so that

$(u + h\nu)|_{\partial\Omega} = g$ ; then we take  $h \rightarrow 0$  in a difference quotient of  $\mathcal{E}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h} (\mathcal{E}(u+h\nu) - \mathcal{E}(u)) &= \frac{1}{2} [(\nabla u, \nabla \nu) + (\nabla \nu, \nabla u) - \lambda [(u, \nu) + (\nu, u)]] - (f, \nu) \\ &\quad + h [(\nabla \nu, \nabla \nu) - \lambda (\nu, \nu)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} (\mathcal{E}(u+h\nu) - \mathcal{E}(u)) = \text{Re} [(\nabla u, \nabla \nu) - \lambda (u, \nu)] - (f, \nu) = \frac{\delta \mathcal{E}}{\delta u}(u, \nu).$$

This is the variational, or Fréchet, derivative of  $\mathcal{E}$  with respect to  $u$  at  $u$  in the direction of  $\nu$ . Setting this equal to 0  $\forall \nu \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  gives the Euler-Lagrange equations for  $\mathcal{E}$ :

$$(\nabla u, \nabla \nu) - \lambda (u, \nu) = (f, \nu) \quad \forall \nu \in H_0^1(\Omega), \quad u|_{\partial\Omega} = g$$

which we recognize as the problem on p. 63.

Because of the positivity of  $(\nabla u, \nabla u)$  and the convexity of the space  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  and the quadratic functional  $\mathcal{E}$ , the soln. of this problem is the unique minimizer of  $\mathcal{E}$  in  $H^1(\Omega)$  with  $u|_{\partial\Omega} = g$ .