Math 7320 @ LSU Spring, 2021 Problem Set 2

1. Prove the following statement on continuation of bounded solutions of an ODE.

Let K and W be subsets of \mathbb{R}^n with K compact and nonempty and W open and $K \subset W$; and let $f: W \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be Lipschitz. Let $x: (a, b) \to K$ be a solution of $\dot{x} = f(x)$, with a < b. Then there are numbers c and d with c < a < b < d such that this solution is extended to a solution $x: (c, d) \to W$.

2. Prove that the definition of global stable manifold $(W^{s}(\bar{x}, \mathcal{U}))$ in the notes) actually does not depend on \mathcal{U} even though \mathcal{U} is used in the definition.

3. Exercise 1.0.3 in Guckenheimer/Holmes. Using the Lyapunov function $V = (x^2 + \sigma y^2 + \sigma z^2)/2$, obtain conditions on $\sigma > 0$, ρ , and $\beta > 0$ sufficient for global asymptotic stability of the origin (x, y, z) = (0, 0, 0) in the Lorenz system

$$\dot{x} = \sigma(y - x), \qquad \dot{y} = \rho x - y - xz, \qquad \dot{z} = -\beta z + xy$$

Are these conditions also necessary?

4. Let g(x, y) and h(x, y) be continuously differentiable real-valued functions of $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$. Prove that the function g is constant on solutions of the following ODE in \mathbb{R}^{2d} :

$$(\dot{x}, \dot{y}) = h(x, y) (D_y g(x, y), -D_x g(x, y)).$$

Prove that, if d = 1 and g has an isolated critical point corresponding to a strict local minimum or maximum, then this system has periodic trajectories.

5. [From exercise 1.3.2 in G/H] For the following ODEs

 $\begin{array}{ll} (\mathrm{i}) & \ddot{x}+\varepsilon\dot{x}^2+\sin x \ = \ 0 \\ (\mathrm{ii}) & \dot{x}=-x+x^2, \ \dot{y}=x+y+\varepsilon y^2 \\ (\mathrm{iii}) & \ddot{x}+\varepsilon(x^2-1)\dot{x}+x=0 \end{array}$

do the following for $\varepsilon = 0$ and for small $\varepsilon < 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$:

(A) Determine all fixed points and make a careful sketch of the flow about each fixed point.

(B) Make the sketches as accurate as possible by finding eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the linearizations. Make sure the sketches depict all essential interesting features. For (i), prove that, for $\varepsilon = 0$, there are periodic orbits about certain fixed points; this is straightforward using the idea of the previous problem. But also for $\varepsilon \neq 0$, there are periodic orbits, and this information will let you know what the stable and unstable manifolds are. See if you can prove this. If you can't, then you may still use the result in doing part (C) for (i).

(C) Determine the local stable and unstable manifolds.

$$(1) \quad \text{Let to be a point in (a,5). Since f is continuents,} \\ \text{the solution } x : (a,b) \rightarrow W \quad \text{of } x = f(x) \quad \text{satisfies} \\ x(t) = x(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t} f(x(s)) \, ds \quad \forall t \in (a,b) \ . \\ t_0 \quad \text{to }$$

Since f is Lipschitz on the bounded set K and $\chi(t) \in K$ \forall te Gabb, the function $s \mapsto f(\chi(s))$ is bounded on (Gob), and therefore we obtain the following limit: $\lim_{t\to\infty} \chi(t) = \chi(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t_0} f(\chi(s)) ds$, t_0

which is in K since K is compact. Let us extend x to x: (a,b) by continuity. We then can deduce

$$h_{t \to b}' \dot{x}(t) = h_{t \to b}' f(x(t)) = f(x(b))$$

Therefore the equation $\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t))$ is valid on (a_3) . The existence theorem provides a solution to $\dot{y} = f(y)$ with y(t) = x(t) on some interval (a',d) with $a \cdot a' \cdot b \cdot d$ Notice that the function

$$z(t) = \begin{cases} \chi(t) & \text{for } a < t \le b \\ \chi(t) & \text{for } b \le t < d \end{cases}$$

also satisfies z = f(z) and z(b) = y(b). The uniqueness theorem implies that z = x, so that y does extend

He couldin
$$\chi$$
 in W to a larger induced (a,d).
An employed injunct allows one to extend the left adjust
of the collisions denote:

$$W_{loc}^{s}(\pi, N) = \{\pi \in \mathcal{N} : b_{i}(\kappa) \in \mathcal{N} \ \forall \ t > 0 \text{ and } b_{i}(\kappa) = \mathfrak{N} \text{ or } t > 0 \sigma \}$$

$$W_{loc}^{s}(\pi, N) = \{\pi \in \mathcal{N} : b_{i}(\kappa) \in \mathcal{N} \ \forall \ t > 0 \text{ and } b_{i}(\kappa) = \mathfrak{N} \text{ or } t > 0 \sigma \}$$

$$W_{loc}^{s}(\pi, N) = \{\pi \in \mathcal{N} : b_{i}(\kappa) \in \mathcal{N} \ \forall \ t > 0 \text{ and } b_{i}(\kappa) = \mathfrak{N} \text{ or } t > 0 \sigma \}$$

$$W_{loc}^{s}(\pi, N) = \{\pi \in \mathcal{N} : b_{i}(\kappa) \in \mathcal{N} \ \forall \ t > 0 \text{ and } b_{i}(\kappa) = \mathfrak{N} \text{ or } t > 0 \sigma \}$$

$$W_{loc}^{s}(\pi, N) = \{\psi_{i}(\kappa) \in \mathcal{N} : \kappa_{i}(\pi, N)\}$$
Let $\mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{N} \ d_{i}(\kappa, N)$

$$Let $\mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{W}^{s}(\pi, N)$ be given. So $\exists \ t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ outh } y \in W_{i}^{s}(\kappa, N)$

$$C.H. \ \pi : \{f_{i}(\kappa) : Since \ \phi_{i}(\kappa) = \mathfrak{I}(\kappa) = \mathfrak{I}(\kappa) \in \mathbb{N} \text{ or } 1 \text{ or } 1$$$$

$$4\alpha - (q + \alpha)^2 > 0$$

or whenever
$$-d-2\sqrt{2} < g < -d+2\sqrt{2}$$
. The value $d = 1$ was
proposed in the problem, and the foundition for ybbol
stability is therefore $-3 . It is not necessarybecause, by allowing d to run over all paritive numbers,
and taking the union over all prinversals $(-d-2\sqrt{2}, -d+2\sqrt{2})$
one details allowing the appletic stability for all $p \in (-\infty, 1)$.$

one support good adprime with get us get (
$$\infty$$
, 1)
 $(\dot{x}, \dot{y}) = h(x, y)(D_yg(x, y), -D_xg(x, y))$
 $\dot{g}(x, y) = \nabla g \cdot \langle \dot{x}, \dot{y} \rangle = \langle D_x g, D_y g \rangle \cdot \langle h D_y g, -h D_y g \rangle = O$.
Thus g is constant along fragectories.
In \mathbb{R}^2 , let \bar{x} be a strict local minimum for g .
Because g is C' , its level cats are closed curves near \bar{x} ,
and there must therefore be the trajectories.
[This can be made vigerand...]

(5) (1)
$$\frac{3}{2} + \frac{4}{2} + \sin x = 0$$

(1) $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{2} - \frac{3}{2} + \frac{3}{2} + \frac{3}{2} + \frac{4}{2} + \frac{3}{2} + \frac$

In this cess, we obtain
$$\frac{1}{dt}g(x(t), y(t)) = -\varepsilon y^3$$
. Using this, are
can show, with some technical effect, that the hajechy shoking
at an ushal undith (17-3,0) with S² mathemaphe will reach
a proof (17+5',0) with 0.5's's of care +>0.
New observe the filowing expression of the superime:
Set $\xi(t) = \chi(-t)$ and $\eta(t) = -\chi(-t)$. Then $\xi(t) = -\chi(-t) = -\chi(-t)$

$$\begin{split} & (i) \quad \dot{x} = -x + x^{2} & \text{fised pints} : (0,0) \\ & \dot{y} = x + y + sy^{2} & (0, -c^{-1}) \quad \text{a.b.s} \quad \text{fis } s \neq 0 \quad (\text{fised bund}) \\ & J = \begin{bmatrix} \delta x - 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2sy + 1 \end{bmatrix} & (1, -2(S = z + 1)) = (1, -1 - c + 10(c^{2})) \\ & (1, -2(S = z + 1)) = (1, -c^{-1} + 10(c^{2})) \\ & n \ln_{y} \quad \text{fis } z \neq 0 \quad (\text{fised bund}) \\ & J = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad evals \quad \pm 1 \quad \forall z \\ & evals \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{fis } z \neq 0 \quad (\text{fised bund}) \\ & fised \quad \text{fised pints} \quad \pm 1 \quad \forall z \\ & evals \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{fised pints} \quad \pm 1 \quad \forall z = 1 \\ & evals \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{fised pints} \quad \pm 1 \quad \forall z \\ & evals \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{fised pints} \quad \pm 1 \quad \forall z \\ & evals \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{fised pints} \quad \pm 1 \quad \forall z \\ & evals \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{fised pints} \quad \pm 1 \quad \forall z \\ & evals \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{fised pints} \quad \pm 1 \quad \forall z \\ & evals \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{fised pints} \quad \pm 1 \quad \forall z \\ & evals \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{fised pints} \quad \pm 1 \quad \forall z \\ & evals \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{fised pints} \quad \pm 1 \quad \forall z \\ & evals \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{fised pints} \quad \pm 1 \quad \forall z \\ & evals \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{fised pints} \quad \pm 1 \quad \forall z \\ & evals \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{fised pints} \quad \pm 1 \quad \forall z \\ & evals \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{fised pints} \quad \pm 1 \quad \forall z \\ & evals \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{fised pints} \quad \pm 1 \quad \forall z \\ & evals \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{fised pints} \quad \pm 1 \quad \forall z \\ & evals \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{fised pints} \quad \pm 1 \quad \forall z \\ & evals \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{fised pints} \quad \pm 1 \quad \forall z \\ & evals \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{fised pints} \quad \pm 1 \quad \forall z \\ & evals \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{fised pints} \quad \pm 1 \quad \forall z \\ & evals \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{fised pints} \quad \pm 1 \quad \forall z \\ & evals \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{fised pints} \quad \pm 1 \quad \forall z \\ & evals \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{fised pints} \quad \pm 1 \quad \forall z \\ & evals \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{fised pints} \quad \pm 1 \quad \forall z \\ & evals \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{fised pints} \quad \pm 1 \quad \forall z \\ & evals \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{fised pints} \quad \pm 1 \quad \forall z \\ & evals \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{fised pints} \quad \pm 1 \quad \forall z \\ & evals \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{fised pints} \quad \pm 1 \quad \forall z \\ & evals \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{fised pints} \quad \pm 1 \quad \forall z \\ & evals \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{fised pints} \quad \pm 1 \quad \forall z \\ & evals \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{fised pints} \quad \pm 1 \quad \forall z \\ & evals \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{fised pints} \quad \pm 1 \quad \forall z \\ & evals \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{fised pints} \quad \pm 1 \quad \forall z \\ & evals \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{fis$$

$$T(1, -2(1+J+4e)^{1}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & J(-4e) \end{bmatrix} \text{ evals } 1 \text{ and } J(-4e)$$

$$\varepsilon = 0 : J = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} ; eep(tJ) = e^{t} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\chi_{1} = e^{t}(at + b)$$

$$Z \Rightarrow \chi_{n} = \chi_{n} \log_{d} x_{1} + px_{n}$$

$$W^{n} = \mathbb{R}^{2}$$

$$W^{n} = 2 \text{ for } 1 \text{ is } \begin{bmatrix} 1-J(-4e) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2e + 0k^{2} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$e_{M}ee \text{ for } J(-4e = 1-2e + 0k^{2}) \text{ is } \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\chi_{1} = a (2e + 0k^{2})e^{t}$$

$$\chi_{2} = ae^{t} + be^{t}(1-2e + 0k^{2}) = e^{t}(a + be^{t}(-2e + 0k^{2}))$$
The eigeneders and eigeneders are $2e \text{ close } b \text{ roch of hav}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$