

Moduli Interpretations for Noncongruence Modular Curves

William Y. Chen

Pennsylvania State University

April 6, 2015

Introduction

Let \mathcal{H} be the classical upper half plane, and let $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be a subgroup of finite index.

Introduction

Let \mathcal{H} be the classical upper half plane, and let $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be a subgroup of finite index.

To any such Γ we may associate the noncompact modular curve \mathcal{H}/Γ .

Introduction

Let \mathcal{H} be the classical upper half plane, and let $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be a subgroup of finite index.

To any such Γ we may associate the noncompact modular curve \mathcal{H}/Γ .

If $\Gamma \supset \Gamma(N) := \{\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \gamma \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{N}\}$ for some N , then we call Γ a *congruence subgroup*.

Introduction

Let \mathcal{H} be the classical upper half plane, and let $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be a subgroup of finite index.

To any such Γ we may associate the noncompact modular curve \mathcal{H}/Γ .

If $\Gamma \supset \Gamma(N) := \{\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \gamma \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{N}\}$ for some N , then we call Γ a *congruence subgroup*. Otherwise, we call Γ a *noncongruence subgroup*.

Introduction

Let \mathcal{H} be the classical upper half plane, and let $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be a subgroup of finite index.

To any such Γ we may associate the noncompact modular curve \mathcal{H}/Γ .

If $\Gamma \supset \Gamma(N) := \{\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \gamma \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{N}\}$ for some N , then we call Γ a *congruence subgroup*. Otherwise, we call Γ a *noncongruence subgroup*.

Noncongruence subgroups exist!

Introduction

Let \mathcal{H} be the classical upper half plane, and let $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be a subgroup of finite index.

To any such Γ we may associate the noncompact modular curve \mathcal{H}/Γ .

If $\Gamma \supset \Gamma(N) := \{\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \gamma \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{N}\}$ for some N , then we call Γ a *congruence subgroup*. Otherwise, we call Γ a *noncongruence subgroup*.

Noncongruence subgroups exist! In fact,

Introduction

Let \mathcal{H} be the classical upper half plane, and let $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be a subgroup of finite index.

To any such Γ we may associate the noncompact modular curve \mathcal{H}/Γ .

If $\Gamma \supset \Gamma(N) := \{\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \gamma \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{N}\}$ for some N , then we call Γ a *congruence subgroup*. Otherwise, we call Γ a *noncongruence subgroup*.

Noncongruence subgroups exist! In fact,

Theorem (Lubotsky-Segal, 2003)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{\text{noncongruence subgroups of index } n\}}{\#\{\text{congruence subgroups of index } n\}} = \infty$$

Examples

Recall the classical congruence subgroups

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(N) &:= \{\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \gamma \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{N}\} \\ \Gamma_1(N) &:= \{\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \gamma \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{N}\} \\ \Gamma_0(N) &:= \{\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \gamma \equiv \begin{bmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \pmod{N}\}\end{aligned}$$

Examples

Recall the classical congruence subgroups

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(N) &:= \{\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \gamma \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{N}\} \\ \Gamma_1(N) &:= \{\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \gamma \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{N}\} \\ \Gamma_0(N) &:= \{\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \gamma \equiv \begin{bmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \pmod{N}\}\end{aligned}$$

$$(1) \quad Y(N)(\mathbb{C}) \sim \{(E/\mathbb{C}, P, Q) : e_N(P, Q) = e^{2\pi i/N}\} / \cong$$

Examples

Recall the classical congruence subgroups

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(N) &:= \{\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \gamma \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{N}\} \\ \Gamma_1(N) &:= \{\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \gamma \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{N}\} \\ \Gamma_0(N) &:= \{\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \gamma \equiv \begin{bmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \pmod{N}\}\end{aligned}$$

- (1) $Y(N)(\mathbb{C}) \sim \{(E/\mathbb{C}, P, Q) : e_N(P, Q) = e^{2\pi i/N}\} / \cong$
- (2) $Y_1(N)(\mathbb{C}) \sim \{(E/\mathbb{C}, P) : P \in E[N] \text{ has order } N\} / \cong$

Examples

Recall the classical congruence subgroups

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(N) &:= \{\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \gamma \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{N}\} \\ \Gamma_1(N) &:= \{\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \gamma \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{N}\} \\ \Gamma_0(N) &:= \{\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \gamma \equiv \begin{bmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \pmod{N}\}\end{aligned}$$

- (1) $Y(N)(\mathbb{C}) \sim \{(E/\mathbb{C}, P, Q) : e_N(P, Q) = e^{2\pi i/N}\} / \cong$
- (2) $Y_1(N)(\mathbb{C}) \sim \{(E/\mathbb{C}, P) : P \in E[N] \text{ has order } N\} / \cong$
- (3) $Y_0(N)(\mathbb{C}) \sim \{(E/\mathbb{C}, C) : C \subset E[N] \text{ is cyclic of order } N\} / \cong$

Examples

Recall the classical congruence subgroups

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(N) &:= \{\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \gamma \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{N}\} \\ \Gamma_1(N) &:= \{\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \gamma \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{N}\} \\ \Gamma_0(N) &:= \{\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \gamma \equiv \begin{bmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \pmod{N}\}\end{aligned}$$

- (1) $Y(N)(\mathbb{C}) \sim \{(E/\mathbb{C}, P, Q) : e_N(P, Q) = e^{2\pi i/N}\} / \cong$
- (2) $Y_1(N)(\mathbb{C}) \sim \{(E/\mathbb{C}, P) : P \in E[N] \text{ has order } N\} / \cong$
- (3) $Y_0(N)(\mathbb{C}) \sim \{(E/\mathbb{C}, C) : C \subset E[N] \text{ is cyclic of order } N\} / \cong$
- (1') $Y(N)(S) \sim \{(E/S, P, Q) : e_N(P, Q) = e^{2\pi i/N}\} / \cong \quad (\text{if } N \geq 3)$

Examples

Recall the classical congruence subgroups

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(N) &:= \{\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \gamma \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{N}\} \\ \Gamma_1(N) &:= \{\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \gamma \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{N}\} \\ \Gamma_0(N) &:= \{\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \gamma \equiv \begin{bmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \pmod{N}\}\end{aligned}$$

- (1) $Y(N)(\mathbb{C}) \sim \{(E/\mathbb{C}, P, Q) : e_N(P, Q) = e^{2\pi i/N}\} / \cong$
- (2) $Y_1(N)(\mathbb{C}) \sim \{(E/\mathbb{C}, P) : P \in E[N] \text{ has order } N\} / \cong$
- (3) $Y_0(N)(\mathbb{C}) \sim \{(E/\mathbb{C}, C) : C \subset E[N] \text{ is cyclic of order } N\} / \cong$
- (1') $Y(N)(S) \sim \{(E/S, P, Q) : e_N(P, Q) = e^{2\pi i/N}\} / \cong \quad (\text{if } N \geq 3)$
- (2') $Y_1(N)(S) \sim \{(E/S, P) : P \in E[N] \text{ has order } N\} / \cong \quad (\text{if } N \geq 5)$

The Question

Do noncongruence modular curves also have a moduli interpretation?

The Question

Do noncongruence modular curves also have a moduli interpretation?

Bad answer:

The Question

Do noncongruence modular curves also have a moduli interpretation?

Bad answer: By Belyi's theorem, every smooth projective irreducible curve defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a quotient \mathcal{H}/Γ , often noncongruence

The Question

Do noncongruence modular curves also have a moduli interpretation?

Bad answer: By Belyi's theorem, every smooth projective irreducible curve defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a quotient \mathcal{H}/Γ , often noncongruence, so every 1-dimensional moduli space is a modular curve, often noncongruence.

The Question

Do noncongruence modular curves also have a moduli interpretation?

Bad answer: By Belyi's theorem, every smooth projective irreducible curve defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a quotient \mathcal{H}/Γ , often noncongruence, so every 1-dimensional moduli space is a modular curve, often noncongruence.

To get a much nicer answer, we proceed as follows:

The Question

Do noncongruence modular curves also have a moduli interpretation?

Bad answer: By Belyi's theorem, every smooth projective irreducible curve defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a quotient \mathcal{H}/Γ , often noncongruence, so every 1-dimensional moduli space is a modular curve, often noncongruence.

To get a much nicer answer, we proceed as follows:

1. To every finite group G and elliptic curve E/S , we define the set

$$\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{sur-ext}}(\pi_1(E^\circ/S), G)$$

of *Teichmüller structures of level G* on E/S .

The Question

Do noncongruence modular curves also have a moduli interpretation?

Bad answer: By Belyi's theorem, every smooth projective irreducible curve defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a quotient \mathcal{H}/Γ , often noncongruence, so every 1-dimensional moduli space is a modular curve, often noncongruence.

To get a much nicer answer, we proceed as follows:

1. To every finite group G and elliptic curve E/S , we define the set

$$\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{sur-ext}}(\pi_1(E^\circ/S), G)$$

of *Teichmüller structures of level G* on E/S .

2. We show that $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ acts on $\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{sur-ext}}(\pi_1(E^\circ/S), G)$, and the associated moduli spaces are \mathcal{H}/Γ , where Γ is the stabilizer of some level structure via the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -action.

The Question

Do noncongruence modular curves also have a moduli interpretation?

Bad answer: By Belyi's theorem, every smooth projective irreducible curve defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a quotient \mathcal{H}/Γ , often noncongruence, so every 1-dimensional moduli space is a modular curve, often noncongruence.

To get a much nicer answer, we proceed as follows:

1. To every finite group G and elliptic curve E/S , we define the set

$$\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{sur-ext}}(\pi_1(E^\circ/S), G)$$

of *Teichmüller structures of level G* on E/S .

2. We show that $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ acts on $\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{sur-ext}}(\pi_1(E^\circ/S), G)$, and the associated moduli spaces are \mathcal{H}/Γ , where Γ is the stabilizer of some level structure via the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -action.
3. Γ is congruence if G is abelian.

Reinterpreting the Classical Congruence Level Structures

Reinterpreting the Classical Congruence Level Structures

Congruence level structures from another point of view:

$$\{\Gamma_0(N)\text{-structures on } E\} \sim \{\text{cyclic } N\text{-isogenies } E' \rightarrow E\}$$

Reinterpreting the Classical Congruence Level Structures

Congruence level structures from another point of view:

$$\{\Gamma_0(N)\text{-structures on } E\} \sim \{\text{cyclic } N\text{-isogenies } E' \rightarrow E\}$$

$$\dots \sim \{\text{galois covers of } E \text{ with galois group isomorphic to } \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}\} / \cong$$

Reinterpreting the Classical Congruence Level Structures

Congruence level structures from another point of view:

$$\{\Gamma_0(N)\text{-structures on } E\} \sim \{\text{cyclic } N\text{-isogenies } E' \rightarrow E\}$$

$$\dots \sim \{\text{galois covers of } E \text{ with galois group isomorphic to } \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}\} / \cong$$

Similarly, we have

$$\{\Gamma_1(N)\text{-structures on } E\} \sim \{\text{Connected principal } \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}\text{-bundles on } E\} / \cong$$

Reinterpreting the Classical Congruence Level Structures

Congruence level structures from another point of view:

$$\{\Gamma_0(N)\text{-structures on } E\} \sim \{\text{cyclic } N\text{-isogenies } E' \rightarrow E\}$$

$$\dots \sim \{\text{galois covers of } E \text{ with galois group isomorphic to } \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}\} / \cong$$

Similarly, we have

$$\{\Gamma_1(N)\text{-structures on } E\} \sim \{\text{Connected principal } \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}\text{-bundles on } E\} / \cong$$

and

$$\{\Gamma(N)\text{-structures on } E\} \sim \{\text{Connected principal } (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2\text{-bundles on } E\} / \cong$$

Idea: Considering level structures given by nonabelian covers should give rise to noncongruence modular curves.

Idea: Considering level structures given by nonabelian covers should give rise to noncongruence modular curves.

Problem: $\pi_1(E) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ is abelian, so there are no nonabelian covers of elliptic curves.

Idea: Considering level structures given by nonabelian covers should give rise to noncongruence modular curves.

Problem: $\pi_1(E) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ is abelian, so there are no nonabelian covers of elliptic curves.

Solution: Allow for ramification at ∞ . I.e., consider covers of punctured elliptic curves $E - \infty$.

Idea: Considering level structures given by nonabelian covers should give rise to noncongruence modular curves.

Problem: $\pi_1(E) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ is abelian, so there are no nonabelian covers of elliptic curves.

Solution: Allow for ramification at ∞ . I.e., consider covers of punctured elliptic curves $E - \infty$.

Why? Because $\pi_1(E - \infty) \cong F_2$ (free group of rank 2) which has plenty of nonabelian quotients!

The Relative Fundamental Group (SGA 1)

Let $f : E \rightarrow S$ be an elliptic curve and $E^\circ := E - \infty$.

The Relative Fundamental Group (SGA 1)

Let $f : E \rightarrow S$ be an elliptic curve and $E^\circ := E - \infty$. Let $g : S \rightarrow E^\circ$ be a section.

The Relative Fundamental Group (SGA 1)

Let $f : E \rightarrow S$ be an elliptic curve and $E^\circ := E - \infty$. Let $g : S \rightarrow E^\circ$ be a section. Let $s \in S$ be a geometric point, and \mathbb{L} the set of primes invertible on S .

The Relative Fundamental Group (SGA 1)

Let $f : E \rightarrow S$ be an elliptic curve and $E^\circ := E - \infty$. Let $g : S \rightarrow E^\circ$ be a section. Let $s \in S$ be a geometric point, and \mathbb{L} the set of primes invertible on S . Then we have a split exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(E_s^\circ, g(s)) \longrightarrow \pi_1'(E^\circ, g(s)) \xrightarrow{f_*} \pi_1(S, s) \longrightarrow 1$$

$\longleftarrow g_*$

The Relative Fundamental Group (SGA 1)

Let $f : E \rightarrow S$ be an elliptic curve and $E^\circ := E - \infty$. Let $g : S \rightarrow E^\circ$ be a section. Let $s \in S$ be a geometric point, and \mathbb{L} the set of primes invertible on S . Then we have a split exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(E_s^\circ, g(s)) \longrightarrow \pi_1'(E^\circ, g(s)) \xrightarrow{f_*} \pi_1(S, s) \longrightarrow 1$$

$\longleftarrow g_*$

$\pi_1(S, s)$ acting on $\pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(E_s^\circ, g(s))$

The Relative Fundamental Group (SGA 1)

Let $f : E \rightarrow S$ be an elliptic curve and $E^\circ := E - \infty$. Let $g : S \rightarrow E^\circ$ be a section. Let $s \in S$ be a geometric point, and \mathbb{L} the set of primes invertible on S . Then we have a split exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(E_s^\circ, g(s)) \longrightarrow \pi_1'(E^\circ, g(s)) \xrightarrow{f_*} \pi_1(S, s) \longrightarrow 1$$

$\longleftarrow \text{ } \xrightarrow{g_*}$

$\pi_1(S, s)$ acting on $\pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(E_s^\circ, g(s)) \rightsquigarrow$ a pro-étale group scheme $\pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(E^\circ/S, g, s)$

The Relative Fundamental Group (SGA 1)

Let $f : E \rightarrow S$ be an elliptic curve and $E^\circ := E - \infty$. Let $g : S \rightarrow E^\circ$ be a section. Let $s \in S$ be a geometric point, and \mathbb{L} the set of primes invertible on S . Then we have a split exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(E_s^\circ, g(s)) \longrightarrow \pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(E^\circ, g(s)) \xrightarrow{f_*} \pi_1(S, s) \longrightarrow 1$$

$\longleftarrow g_*$

$\pi_1(S, s)$ acting on $\pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(E_s^\circ, g(s)) \rightsquigarrow$ a pro-étale group scheme $\pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(E^\circ/S, g, s)$

The construction of $\pi_1^{\mathbb{L}}(E^\circ/S, g, s)$ is independent of g, s (up to inner automorphisms), and commutes with arbitrary base change.

Teichmuller Level Structures (Deligne/Mumford)

Let G be a finite constant group scheme over S of order N .

Teichmuller Level Structures (Deligne/Mumford)

Let G be a finite constant group scheme over S of order N . Assume N is invertible on S , and \mathbb{L} the set of primes dividing N .

Teichmuller Level Structures (Deligne/Mumford)

Let G be a finite constant group scheme over S of order N . Assume N is invertible on S , and \mathbb{L} the set of primes dividing N .

For any E/S , there is a scheme

$$\mathcal{H}om_S^{\text{sur-ext}}(\pi_1(E^\circ/S), G) := \mathcal{H}om_S^{\text{sur}}(\pi_1(E^\circ/S), G)/\text{Inn}(G)$$

finite etale over S whose formation commutes with base change.

Teichmuller Level Structures (Deligne/Mumford)

Let G be a finite constant group scheme over S of order N . Assume N is invertible on S , and \mathbb{L} the set of primes dividing N .

For any E/S , there is a scheme

$$\mathcal{H}om_S^{\text{sur-ext}}(\pi_1(E^\circ/S), G) := \mathcal{H}om_S^{\text{sur}}(\pi_1(E^\circ/S), G)/\text{Inn}(G)$$

finite etale over S whose formation commutes with base change.

We will call a global section of $\mathcal{H}om_S^{\text{sur-ext}}(\pi_1(E^\circ/S), G)$ a *Teichmuller structure of level G on E/S* .

Teichmuller Level Structures (Deligne/Mumford)

Let G be a finite constant group scheme over S of order N . Assume N is invertible on S , and \mathbb{L} the set of primes dividing N .

For any E/S , there is a scheme

$$\mathcal{H}om_S^{\text{sur-ext}}(\pi_1(E^\circ/S), G) := \mathcal{H}om_S^{\text{sur}}(\pi_1(E^\circ/S), G)/\text{Inn}(G)$$

finite etale over S whose formation commutes with base change.

We will call a global section of $\mathcal{H}om_S^{\text{sur-ext}}(\pi_1(E^\circ/S), G)$ a *Teichmuller structure of level G* on E/S .

If $S = \text{Spec } k$ for an algebraically closed field k , then

$$\mathcal{H}om_k^{\text{sur-ext}}(\pi_1(E^\circ/k), G)(k) \sim \text{Hom}^{\text{sur}}(F_2, G)/\text{Inn}(G)$$

Teichmuller Level Structures (Deligne/Mumford)

Let G be a finite constant group scheme over S of order N . Assume N is invertible on S , and \mathbb{L} the set of primes dividing N .

For any E/S , there is a scheme

$$\mathcal{H}om_S^{\text{sur-ext}}(\pi_1(E^\circ/S), G) := \mathcal{H}om_S^{\text{sur}}(\pi_1(E^\circ/S), G)/\text{Inn}(G)$$

finite etale over S whose formation commutes with base change.

We will call a global section of $\mathcal{H}om_S^{\text{sur-ext}}(\pi_1(E^\circ/S), G)$ a *Teichmuller structure of level G* on E/S .

If $S = \text{Spec } k$ for an algebraically closed field k , then

$$\mathcal{H}om_k^{\text{sur-ext}}(\pi_1(E^\circ/k), G)(k) \sim \text{Hom}^{\text{sur}}(F_2, G)/\text{Inn}(G)$$

In general

$$\mathcal{H}om_S^{\text{sur-ext}}(\pi_1(E^\circ/S), G)(S) \subset \text{Hom}^{\text{sur}}(F_2, G)/\text{Inn}(G)$$



Suppose E°/S admits a section $g : S \rightarrow E^\circ$,

Suppose E°/S admits a section $g : S \rightarrow E^\circ$, then for any covering space $X^\circ \rightarrow E^\circ$, we may consider g^*X° .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} g^*X^\circ & \longrightarrow & X^\circ \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S & \xrightarrow{g} & E^\circ \end{array}$$

Suppose E°/S admits a section $g : S \rightarrow E^\circ$, then for any covering space $X^\circ \rightarrow E^\circ$, we may consider g^*X° .

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 g^*X^\circ & \longrightarrow & X^\circ \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 S & \xrightarrow{g} & E^\circ
 \end{array}$$

Theorem

There is a canonical bijection

$$\mathcal{H}om_S^{\text{sur-ext}}(\pi_1(E^\circ/S), G)(S) \sim \{ \text{Connected principal } G\text{-bundles } X^\circ/E^\circ \\
 \text{s.t. } g^*X^\circ \text{ is completely decomposed} \} / \cong$$

The Moduli Problem

We define the stack (ie., category) \mathcal{M}_G of elliptic curves equipped with a Teichmuller structure of level G as follows:

The Moduli Problem

We define the stack (ie., category) \mathcal{M}_G of elliptic curves equipped with a Teichmuller structure of level G as follows:

1. Its objects are “enhanced elliptic curves” $(E/S, \alpha)$, and α is a global section of

$$\mathcal{H}om_S^{\text{sur-ext}}(\pi_1(E^\circ/S), G)$$

The Moduli Problem

We define the stack (ie., category) \mathcal{M}_G of elliptic curves equipped with a Teichmuller structure of level G as follows:

1. Its objects are “enhanced elliptic curves” $(E/S, \alpha)$, and α is a global section of

$$\mathcal{H}om_S^{\text{sur-ext}}(\pi_1(E^\circ/S), G)$$

2. A morphism $h : (E'/S', \alpha') \rightarrow (E/S, \alpha)$ is a fiber-product diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E' & \longrightarrow & E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S' & \longrightarrow & S \end{array}$$

such that $h^*(\alpha) = \alpha'$

“Forgetting” the level structure α yields a morphism (ie., functor)

$$p : \mathcal{M}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{1,1}, \quad (E/S, \alpha) \mapsto E/S$$

“Forgetting” the level structure α yields a morphism (ie., functor)

$$p : \mathcal{M}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{1,1}, \quad (E/S, \alpha) \mapsto E/S$$

Theorem

The “forget level structure” morphism $p : \mathcal{M}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{1,1}$ is finite etale, and for any $E_0/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$,

$$p^{-1}(E_0/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) = \mathcal{H}om_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}^{\text{sur-ext}}(\pi_1(E_0^\circ/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}), G)(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \cong \text{Hom}^{\text{sur}}(F_2, G)/\text{Inn}(G)$$

“Forgetting” the level structure α yields a morphism (ie., functor)

$$p : \mathcal{M}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{1,1}, \quad (E/S, \alpha) \mapsto E/S$$

Theorem

The “forget level structure” morphism $p : \mathcal{M}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{1,1}$ is finite etale, and for any $E_0/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$,

$$p^{-1}(E_0/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) = \mathcal{H}om_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}^{\text{sur-ext}}(\pi_1(E_0^\circ/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}), G)(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \cong \text{Hom}^{\text{sur}}(F_2, G)/\text{Inn}(G)$$

There's a classical exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \text{Inn}(F_2) \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(F_2) \longrightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow 1$$

so we may think of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \subset \text{Out}(F_2)$.

“Forgetting” the level structure α yields a morphism (ie., functor)

$$p : \mathcal{M}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{1,1}, \quad (E/S, \alpha) \mapsto E/S$$

Theorem

The “forget level structure” morphism $p : \mathcal{M}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{1,1}$ is finite etale, and for any $E_0/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$,

$$p^{-1}(E_0/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) = \mathcal{H}om_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}^{\text{sur-ext}}(\pi_1(E_0^\circ/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}), G)(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \cong \text{Hom}^{\text{sur}}(F_2, G)/\text{Inn}(G)$$

There’s a classical exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \text{Inn}(F_2) \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(F_2) \longrightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow 1$$

so we may think of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \subset \text{Out}(F_2)$.

Theorem

The monodromy action of $\pi_1((\mathcal{M}_{1,1})_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}) \cong \widehat{\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}$ on $p^{-1}(E_0/\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ is via outer automorphisms of F_2 .



Main Results

From now on, by default, all schemes/stacks will be over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Main Results

From now on, by default, all schemes/stacks will be over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Let $\varphi : F_2 \rightarrow G$ be a surjective homomorphism, then we may think of $[\varphi] \in p^{-1}(E_0/\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, and let $\Gamma_{[\varphi]} := \text{Stab}_{\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}([\varphi])$.

Main Results

From now on, by default, all schemes/stacks will be over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Let $\varphi : F_2 \rightarrow G$ be a surjective homomorphism, then we may think of $[\varphi] \in p^{-1}(E_0/\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, and let $\Gamma_{[\varphi]} := \text{Stab}_{\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}([\varphi])$.

Theorem

1. *The connected components of \mathcal{M}_G are in bijection with the orbits of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \subset \text{Out}(F_2)$ on $p^{-1}(E_0/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \cong \text{Hom}^{\text{sur}}(F_2, G)/\text{Inn}(G)$.*



Main Results

From now on, by default, all schemes/stacks will be over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Let $\varphi : F_2 \rightarrow G$ be a surjective homomorphism, then we may think of $[\varphi] \in p^{-1}(E_0/\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, and let $\Gamma_{[\varphi]} := \text{Stab}_{\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}([\varphi])$.

Theorem

1. *The connected components of \mathcal{M}_G are in bijection with the orbits of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \subset \text{Out}(F_2)$ on $p^{-1}(E_0/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \cong \text{Hom}^{\text{sur}}(F_2, G)/\text{Inn}(G)$.*
2. *The coarse moduli scheme M_G of \mathcal{M}_G is a smooth affine curve defined over \mathbb{Q} (but possibly disconnected).*



Main Results

From now on, by default, all schemes/stacks will be over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Let $\varphi : F_2 \rightarrow G$ be a surjective homomorphism, then we may think of $[\varphi] \in p^{-1}(E_0/\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, and let $\Gamma_{[\varphi]} := \text{Stab}_{\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}([\varphi])$.

Theorem

1. *The connected components of \mathcal{M}_G are in bijection with the orbits of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \subset \text{Out}(F_2)$ on $p^{-1}(E_0/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \cong \text{Hom}^{\text{sur}}(F_2, G)/\text{Inn}(G)$.*
2. *The coarse moduli scheme M_G of \mathcal{M}_G is a smooth affine curve defined over \mathbb{Q} (but possibly disconnected).*
3. *The component of M_G containing $[\varphi]$ is the modular curve $M_{[\varphi]} := \Gamma_{[\varphi]} \backslash \mathcal{H}$.*



Main Results

From now on, by default, all schemes/stacks will be over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Let $\varphi : F_2 \rightarrow G$ be a surjective homomorphism, then we may think of $[\varphi] \in p^{-1}(E_0/\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, and let $\Gamma_{[\varphi]} := \text{Stab}_{\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}([\varphi])$.

Theorem

1. *The connected components of \mathcal{M}_G are in bijection with the orbits of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \subset \text{Out}(F_2)$ on $p^{-1}(E_0/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \cong \text{Hom}^{\text{sur}}(F_2, G)/\text{Inn}(G)$.*
2. *The coarse moduli scheme M_G of \mathcal{M}_G is a smooth affine curve defined over \mathbb{Q} (but possibly disconnected).*
3. *The component of M_G containing $[\varphi]$ is the modular curve $M_{[\varphi]} := \Gamma_{[\varphi]} \backslash \mathcal{H}$.*
4. *$M_{[\varphi]} = \Gamma_{[\varphi]} \backslash \mathcal{H}$ is a fine moduli scheme $\iff \Gamma_{[\varphi]}$ is torsion-free.*



Main Results

From now on, by default, all schemes/stacks will be over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Let $\varphi : F_2 \rightarrow G$ be a surjective homomorphism, then we may think of $[\varphi] \in p^{-1}(E_0/\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, and let $\Gamma_{[\varphi]} := \text{Stab}_{\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}([\varphi])$.

Theorem

1. *The connected components of \mathcal{M}_G are in bijection with the orbits of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \subset \text{Out}(F_2)$ on $p^{-1}(E_0/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \cong \text{Hom}^{\text{sur}}(F_2, G)/\text{Inn}(G)$.*
2. *The coarse moduli scheme M_G of \mathcal{M}_G is a smooth affine curve defined over \mathbb{Q} (but possibly disconnected).*
3. *The component of M_G containing $[\varphi]$ is the modular curve $M_{[\varphi]} := \Gamma_{[\varphi]} \backslash \mathcal{H}$.*
4. *$M_{[\varphi]} = \Gamma_{[\varphi]} \backslash \mathcal{H}$ is a fine moduli scheme $\iff \Gamma_{[\varphi]}$ is torsion-free.*
5. *If G is abelian, then $\Gamma_{[\varphi]}$ is congruence.*



Example: $G = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$

There is one $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbit on

$$\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{sur}}(F_2, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})/\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{sur}}(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$$

with representative

$$\varphi : \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix} \mapsto n \pmod{N}$$

Example: $G = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$

There is one $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbit on

$$\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{sur}}(F_2, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})/\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{sur}}(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$$

with representative

$$\varphi : \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix} \mapsto n \pmod{N}$$

The stabilizer are the matrices $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$\varphi \left(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix} \right) = \varphi \left(\begin{bmatrix} am+bn \\ cm+dn \end{bmatrix} \right) = cm + dn \equiv n \pmod{N}$$

Example: $G = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$

There is one $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbit on

$$\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{sur}}(F_2, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})/\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{sur}}(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$$

with representative

$$\varphi : \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix} \mapsto n \pmod{N}$$

The stabilizer are the matrices $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$\varphi \left(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix} \right) = \varphi \left(\begin{bmatrix} am+bn \\ cm+dn \end{bmatrix} \right) = cm + dn \equiv n \pmod{N}$$

Of course this forces $c \equiv 0, d \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$, so $\Gamma_{[\varphi]} = \Gamma_1(N)$.

Example: $G = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$

There is one $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbit on

$$\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{sur}}(F_2, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})/\mathrm{Inn}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{sur}}(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$$

with representative

$$\varphi : \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix} \mapsto n \pmod{N}$$

The stabilizer are the matrices $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$\varphi \left(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix} \right) = \varphi \left(\begin{bmatrix} am+bn \\ cm+dn \end{bmatrix} \right) = cm + dn \equiv n \pmod{N}$$

Of course this forces $c \equiv 0, d \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$, so $\Gamma_{[\varphi]} = \Gamma_1(N)$.

If $G = (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$, then there are $\phi(N)$ $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbits on

$$\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{sur}}(\mathbb{Z}^2, (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2)$$

where each orbit corresponds to a possible determinant, and the stabilizers are all $\Gamma(N)$.

Example: $G = A_5$

There are three $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbits on $\text{Hom}^{\text{sur}}(F_2, A_5)/\text{Inn}(A_5)$, with reps

$$\begin{array}{lll} \varphi_1 : & \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto (23)(45) \\ y \mapsto (152) \end{array} & \varphi_2 : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto (23)(45) \\ y \mapsto (142) \end{array} & \varphi_3 : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto (23)(45) \\ y \mapsto (14352) \end{array} \end{array}$$

Example: $G = A_5$

There are three $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbits on $\text{Hom}^{\text{sur}}(F_2, A_5)/\text{Inn}(A_5)$, with reps

$$\varphi_1 : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto (23)(45) \\ y \mapsto (152) \end{array} \quad \varphi_2 : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto (23)(45) \\ y \mapsto (142) \end{array} \quad \varphi_3 : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto (23)(45) \\ y \mapsto (14352) \end{array}$$

The orbits have sizes $|\varphi_1| = |\varphi_2| = 10$, and $|\varphi_3| = 18$.

Example: $G = A_5$

There are three $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbits on $\text{Hom}^{\text{sur}}(F_2, A_5)/\text{Inn}(A_5)$, with reps

$$\varphi_1 : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto (23)(45) \\ y \mapsto (152) \end{array} \quad \varphi_2 : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto (23)(45) \\ y \mapsto (142) \end{array} \quad \varphi_3 : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto (23)(45) \\ y \mapsto (14352) \end{array}$$

The orbits have sizes $|\Gamma[\varphi_1]| = |\Gamma[\varphi_2]| = 10$, and $|\Gamma[\varphi_3]| = 18$.

The stabilizers are $\Gamma_{[\varphi_1]} = \Gamma_{[\varphi_2]}$, $\Gamma_{[\varphi_3]}$ and have indices 10, 10, 18 in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and are all noncongruence.

Example: $G = A_5$

There are three $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbits on $\text{Hom}^{\text{sur}}(F_2, A_5)/\text{Inn}(A_5)$, with reps

$$\varphi_1 : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto (23)(45) \\ y \mapsto (152) \end{array} \quad \varphi_2 : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto (23)(45) \\ y \mapsto (142) \end{array} \quad \varphi_3 : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto (23)(45) \\ y \mapsto (14352) \end{array}$$

The orbits have sizes $|\varphi_1| = |\varphi_2| = 10$, and $|\varphi_3| = 18$.

The stabilizers are $\Gamma_{\varphi_1} = \Gamma_{\varphi_2}$, Γ_{φ_3} and have indices 10, 10, 18 in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and are all noncongruence.

The coarse moduli scheme of \mathcal{M}_G is $M_G = M_{\varphi_1} \sqcup M_{\varphi_2} \sqcup M_{\varphi_3}$ and is defined over \mathbb{Q} .

Example: $G = A_5$

There are three $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbits on $\text{Hom}^{\text{sur}}(F_2, A_5)/\text{Inn}(A_5)$, with reps

$$\varphi_1 : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto (23)(45) \\ y \mapsto (152) \end{array} \quad \varphi_2 : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto (23)(45) \\ y \mapsto (142) \end{array} \quad \varphi_3 : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto (23)(45) \\ y \mapsto (14352) \end{array}$$

The orbits have sizes $|\varphi_1| = |\varphi_2| = 10$, and $|\varphi_3| = 18$.

The stabilizers are $\Gamma_{[\varphi_1]} = \Gamma_{[\varphi_2]}$, $\Gamma_{[\varphi_3]}$ and have indices 10, 10, 18 in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and are all noncongruence.

The coarse moduli scheme of \mathcal{M}_G is $M_G = M_{[\varphi_1]} \sqcup M_{[\varphi_2]} \sqcup M_{[\varphi_3]}$ and is defined over \mathbb{Q} . Each $M_{[\varphi_i]} = \mathcal{H}/\Gamma_{[\varphi_i]}$.

Example: $G = A_5$

There are three $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbits on $\text{Hom}^{\text{sur}}(F_2, A_5)/\text{Inn}(A_5)$, with reps

$$\varphi_1 : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto (23)(45) \\ y \mapsto (152) \end{array} \quad \varphi_2 : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto (23)(45) \\ y \mapsto (142) \end{array} \quad \varphi_3 : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto (23)(45) \\ y \mapsto (14352) \end{array}$$

The orbits have sizes $|\varphi_1| = |\varphi_2| = 10$, and $|\varphi_3| = 18$.

The stabilizers are $\Gamma_{[\varphi_1]} = \Gamma_{[\varphi_2]}$, $\Gamma_{[\varphi_3]}$ and have indices 10, 10, 18 in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and are all noncongruence.

The coarse moduli scheme of \mathcal{M}_G is $M_G = M_{[\varphi_1]} \sqcup M_{[\varphi_2]} \sqcup M_{[\varphi_3]}$ and is defined over \mathbb{Q} . Each $M_{[\varphi_i]} = \mathcal{H}/\Gamma_{[\varphi_i]}$.

$M_{[\varphi_3]}$ is defined over \mathbb{Q} , but $M_{[\varphi_1]} = M_{[\varphi_2]}$ are defined over a quadratic extension of \mathbb{Q} .

Example: $G = A_5$

There are three $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbits on $\text{Hom}^{\text{sur}}(F_2, A_5)/\text{Inn}(A_5)$, with reps

$$\varphi_1 : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto (23)(45) \\ y \mapsto (152) \end{array} \quad \varphi_2 : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto (23)(45) \\ y \mapsto (142) \end{array} \quad \varphi_3 : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto (23)(45) \\ y \mapsto (14352) \end{array}$$

The orbits have sizes $|\varphi_1| = |\varphi_2| = 10$, and $|\varphi_3| = 18$.

The stabilizers are $\Gamma_{[\varphi_1]} = \Gamma_{[\varphi_2]}$, $\Gamma_{[\varphi_3]}$ and have indices 10, 10, 18 in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and are all noncongruence.

The coarse moduli scheme of \mathcal{M}_G is $M_G = M_{[\varphi_1]} \sqcup M_{[\varphi_2]} \sqcup M_{[\varphi_3]}$ and is defined over \mathbb{Q} . Each $M_{[\varphi_i]} = \mathcal{H}/\Gamma_{[\varphi_i]}$.

$M_{[\varphi_3]}$ is defined over \mathbb{Q} , but $M_{[\varphi_1]} = M_{[\varphi_2]}$ are defined over a quadratic extension of \mathbb{Q} . The modular curves $M_{[\varphi_i]}$ all have genus 0.

Example: $G = A_5$

There are three $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbits on $\text{Hom}^{\text{sur}}(F_2, A_5)/\text{Inn}(A_5)$, with reps

$$\varphi_1 : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto (23)(45) \\ y \mapsto (152) \end{array} \quad \varphi_2 : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto (23)(45) \\ y \mapsto (142) \end{array} \quad \varphi_3 : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto (23)(45) \\ y \mapsto (14352) \end{array}$$

The orbits have sizes $|\varphi_1| = |\varphi_2| = 10$, and $|\varphi_3| = 18$.

The stabilizers are $\Gamma_{[\varphi_1]} = \Gamma_{[\varphi_2]}$, $\Gamma_{[\varphi_3]}$ and have indices 10, 10, 18 in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and are all noncongruence.

The coarse moduli scheme of \mathcal{M}_G is $M_G = M_{[\varphi_1]} \sqcup M_{[\varphi_2]} \sqcup M_{[\varphi_3]}$ and is defined over \mathbb{Q} . Each $M_{[\varphi_i]} = \mathcal{H}/\Gamma_{[\varphi_i]}$.

$M_{[\varphi_3]}$ is defined over \mathbb{Q} , but $M_{[\varphi_1]} = M_{[\varphi_2]}$ are defined over a quadratic extension of \mathbb{Q} . The modular curves $M_{[\varphi_i]}$ all have genus 0.

Since each $\Gamma_{[\varphi_i]}$ contains $-I$, none of the $M_{[\varphi_i]}$ are fine moduli spaces.

Example: $G = A_5$

There are three $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbits on $\text{Hom}^{\text{sur}}(F_2, A_5)/\text{Inn}(A_5)$, with reps

$$\varphi_1 : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto (23)(45) \\ y \mapsto (152) \end{array} \quad \varphi_2 : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto (23)(45) \\ y \mapsto (142) \end{array} \quad \varphi_3 : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto (23)(45) \\ y \mapsto (14352) \end{array}$$

The orbits have sizes $||[\varphi_1]|| = ||[\varphi_2]|| = 10$, and $||[\varphi_3]|| = 18$.

The stabilizers are $\Gamma_{[\varphi_1]} = \Gamma_{[\varphi_2]}$, $\Gamma_{[\varphi_3]}$ and have indices 10, 10, 18 in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and are all noncongruence.

The coarse moduli scheme of \mathcal{M}_G is $M_G = M_{[\varphi_1]} \sqcup M_{[\varphi_2]} \sqcup M_{[\varphi_3]}$ and is defined over \mathbb{Q} . Each $M_{[\varphi_i]} = \mathcal{H}/\Gamma_{[\varphi_i]}$.

$M_{[\varphi_3]}$ is defined over \mathbb{Q} , but $M_{[\varphi_1]} = M_{[\varphi_2]}$ are defined over a quadratic extension of \mathbb{Q} . The modular curves $M_{[\varphi_i]}$ all have genus 0.

Since each $\Gamma_{[\varphi_i]}$ contains $-I$, none of the $M_{[\varphi_i]}$ are fine moduli spaces. Nonetheless, there is a bijection

$$M_G(\mathbb{C}) \sim \{(E/\mathbb{C}, X) : X/E^\circ \text{ is a connected principal } G\text{-bundle}\} / \cong$$

When is $\Gamma_{[\varphi]}$ noncongruence?

When is $\Gamma_{[\varphi]}$ noncongruence?

For $\Gamma \leq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ finite index, let $\ell := \ell(\Gamma)$ be the lcm of its cusp widths.

When is $\Gamma_{[\varphi]}$ noncongruence?

For $\Gamma \leq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ finite index, let $\ell := \ell(\Gamma)$ be the lcm of its cusp widths. $\ell(\Gamma)$ is called the *geometric level* of Γ .

When is $\Gamma_{[\varphi]}$ noncongruence?

For $\Gamma \leq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ finite index, let $\ell := \ell(\Gamma)$ be the lcm of its cusp widths.
 $\ell(\Gamma)$ is called the *geometric level* of Γ .

Theorem (Wohlfart)

Γ is congruence if and only if $\Gamma \supseteq \Gamma(\ell)$.

When is $\Gamma_{[\varphi]}$ noncongruence?

For $\Gamma \leq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ finite index, let $\ell := \ell(\Gamma)$ be the lcm of its cusp widths. $\ell(\Gamma)$ is called the *geometric level* of Γ .

Theorem (Wohlfart)

Γ is congruence if and only if $\Gamma \supseteq \Gamma(\ell)$.

We use an idea of Schmithusen - Consider

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(\ell) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{p_\ell} & \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow d & & \downarrow e & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(\ell) \cap \Gamma & \longrightarrow & \Gamma & \xrightarrow{p_\ell} & p_\ell(\Gamma) & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

When is $\Gamma_{[\varphi]}$ noncongruence?

For $\Gamma \leq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ finite index, let $\ell := \ell(\Gamma)$ be the lcm of its cusp widths. $\ell(\Gamma)$ is called the *geometric level* of Γ .

Theorem (Wohlfart)

Γ is congruence if and only if $\Gamma \supseteq \Gamma(\ell)$.

We use an idea of Schmithusen - Consider

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(\ell) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{p_\ell} & \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \Big| f & & \Big| d & & \Big| e & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(\ell) \cap \Gamma & \longrightarrow & \Gamma & \xrightarrow{p_\ell} & p_\ell(\Gamma) & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

Then $d = e \cdot f$, and Γ is congruence iff $f = 1$, or equivalently $e = d$.

When is $\Gamma_{[\varphi]}$ noncongruence?

For $\Gamma \leq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ finite index, let $\ell := \ell(\Gamma)$ be the lcm of its cusp widths. $\ell(\Gamma)$ is called the *geometric level* of Γ .

Theorem (Wohlfart)

Γ is congruence if and only if $\Gamma \supseteq \Gamma(\ell)$.

We use an idea of Schmithusen - Consider

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(\ell) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{p_\ell} & \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \Big| f & & \Big| d & & \Big| e & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(\ell) \cap \Gamma & \longrightarrow & \Gamma & \xrightarrow{p_\ell} & p_\ell(\Gamma) & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

Then $d = e \cdot f$, and Γ is congruence iff $f = 1$, or equivalently $e = d$.

ie, Γ is noncongruence iff $e < d$ ($p_\ell(\Gamma)$ is large in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell)$).

Example: $G = A_5$

Let $A := (23)(45)$, $B := (152)$, then $AB = (15423)$ in A_5 .

Example: $G = A_5$

Let $A := (23)(45)$, $B := (152)$, then $AB = (15423)$ in A_5 .

Theorem

Let $\varphi \in \text{Hom}^{\text{sur-ext}}(F_2, A_5)$ be given by $x \mapsto A$, $y \mapsto B$,

Example: $G = A_5$

Let $A := (23)(45)$, $B := (152)$, then $AB = (15423)$ in A_5 .

Theorem

Let $\varphi \in \text{Hom}^{\text{sur-ext}}(F_2, A_5)$ be given by $x \mapsto A$, $y \mapsto B$, then $\Gamma_{[\varphi]}$ is noncongruence.

Key Fact: $|\varphi(x)| = |A| = 2$,

Example: $G = A_5$

Let $A := (23)(45)$, $B := (152)$, then $AB = (15423)$ in A_5 .

Theorem

Let $\varphi \in \text{Hom}^{\text{sur-ext}}(F_2, A_5)$ be given by $x \mapsto A$, $y \mapsto B$, then $\Gamma_{[\varphi]}$ is noncongruence.

Key Fact: $|\varphi(x)| = |A| = 2$, $|\varphi(y)| = |B| = 3$,

Example: $G = A_5$

Let $A := (23)(45)$, $B := (152)$, then $AB = (15423)$ in A_5 .

Theorem

Let $\varphi \in \text{Hom}^{\text{sur-ext}}(F_2, A_5)$ be given by $x \mapsto A$, $y \mapsto B$, then $\Gamma_{[\varphi]}$ is noncongruence.

Key Fact: $|\varphi(x)| = |A| = 2$, $|\varphi(y)| = |B| = 3$, $|\varphi(xy)| = |AB| = 5$.
(ie, they're pairwise coprime)

Example: $G = A_5$

Let $A := (23)(45)$, $B := (152)$, then $AB = (15423)$ in A_5 .

Theorem

Let $\varphi \in \text{Hom}^{\text{sur-ext}}(F_2, A_5)$ be given by $x \mapsto A$, $y \mapsto B$, then $\Gamma_{[\varphi]}$ is noncongruence.

Key Fact: $|\varphi(x)| = |A| = 2$, $|\varphi(y)| = |B| = 3$, $|\varphi(xy)| = |AB| = 5$.
(ie, they're pairwise coprime) and $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ generate $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

The following are in the same $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbit:

$$\varphi_{23} = \varphi : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto A \\ y \mapsto B \end{array}$$

$$\varphi_{25} : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto A \\ y \mapsto AB \end{array}$$

$$\varphi_{53} : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto AB \\ y \mapsto B \end{array}$$

The following are in the same $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbit:

$$\varphi_{23} = \varphi : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto A \\ y \mapsto B \end{array} \quad \varphi_{25} : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto A \\ y \mapsto AB \end{array} \quad \varphi_{53} : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto AB \\ y \mapsto B \end{array}$$

Then $\Gamma_{[\varphi_{ij}]}$ are all conjugate in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, so let $N := \ell(\Gamma_{[\varphi_{ij}]})$.

The following are in the same $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbit:

$$\varphi_{23} = \varphi : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto A \\ y \mapsto B \end{array} \quad \varphi_{25} : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto A \\ y \mapsto AB \end{array} \quad \varphi_{53} : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto AB \\ y \mapsto B \end{array}$$

Then $\Gamma_{[\varphi_{ij}]}$ are all conjugate in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, so let $N := \ell(\Gamma_{[\varphi_{ij}]})$.

Write $N = 2^{e_2} 3^{e_3} 5^{e_5} M$, where $2, 3, 5 \nmid M$, then we have

The following are in the same $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbit:

$$\varphi_{23} = \varphi : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto A \\ y \mapsto B \end{array} \quad \varphi_{25} : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto A \\ y \mapsto AB \end{array} \quad \varphi_{53} : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto AB \\ y \mapsto B \end{array}$$

Then $\Gamma_{[\varphi_{ij}]}$ are all conjugate in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, so let $N := \ell(\Gamma_{[\varphi_{ij}]})$.

Write $N = 2^{e_2} 3^{e_3} 5^{e_5} M$, where $2, 3, 5 \nmid M$, then we have

$$SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell) \cong SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/2^{e_2}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/3^{e_3}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/5^{e_5}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/M)$$

The following are in the same $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbit:

$$\varphi_{23} = \varphi : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto A \\ y \mapsto B \end{array} \quad \varphi_{25} : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto A \\ y \mapsto AB \end{array} \quad \varphi_{53} : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto AB \\ y \mapsto B \end{array}$$

Then $\Gamma_{[\varphi_{ij}]}$ are all conjugate in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, so let $N := \ell(\Gamma_{[\varphi_{ij}]})$.

Write $N = 2^{e_2} 3^{e_3} 5^{e_5} M$, where $2, 3, 5 \nmid M$, then we have

$$SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell) \cong SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/2^{e_2}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/3^{e_3}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/5^{e_5}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/M)$$

Note $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \Gamma_{[\varphi_{23}]}$, so $p_\ell(\Gamma_{[\varphi_{23}]}) \supset I \times I \times SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/5^{e_5}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/M)$

The following are in the same $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbit:

$$\varphi_{23} = \varphi : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto A \\ y \mapsto B \end{array} \quad \varphi_{25} : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto A \\ y \mapsto AB \end{array} \quad \varphi_{53} : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto AB \\ y \mapsto B \end{array}$$

Then $\Gamma_{[\varphi_{ij}]}$ are all conjugate in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, so let $N := \ell(\Gamma_{[\varphi_{ij}]})$.

Write $N = 2^{e_2} 3^{e_3} 5^{e_5} M$, where $2, 3, 5 \nmid M$, then we have

$$SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell) \cong SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/2^{e_2}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/3^{e_3}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/5^{e_5}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/M)$$

Note $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \Gamma_{[\varphi_{23}]}$, so $p_\ell(\Gamma_{[\varphi_{23}]}) \supset I \times I \times SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/5^{e_5}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/M)$

Also, $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \Gamma_{[\varphi_{25}]}$, so $p_\ell(\Gamma_{[\varphi_{25}]}) \supset I \times SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/3^{e_3}) \times I \times SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/M)$

The following are in the same $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbit:

$$\varphi_{23} = \varphi : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto A \\ y \mapsto B \end{array} \quad \varphi_{25} : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto A \\ y \mapsto AB \end{array} \quad \varphi_{53} : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto AB \\ y \mapsto B \end{array}$$

Then $\Gamma_{[\varphi_{ij}]}$ are all conjugate in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, so let $N := \ell(\Gamma_{[\varphi_{ij}]})$.

Write $N = 2^{e_2} 3^{e_3} 5^{e_5} M$, where $2, 3, 5 \nmid M$, then we have

$$SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell) \cong SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/2^{e_2}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/3^{e_3}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/5^{e_5}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/M)$$

Note $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \Gamma_{[\varphi_{23}]}$, so $p_\ell(\Gamma_{[\varphi_{23}]}) \supset I \times I \times SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/5^{e_5}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/M)$

Also, $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \Gamma_{[\varphi_{25}]}$, so $p_\ell(\Gamma_{[\varphi_{25}]}) \supset I \times SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/3^{e_3}) \times I \times SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/M)$

Also, $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \Gamma_{[\varphi_{53}]}$, so $p_\ell(\Gamma_{[\varphi_{53}]}) \supset SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/2^{e_2}) \times I \times I \times SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/M)$

The following are in the same $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbit:

$$\varphi_{23} = \varphi : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto A \\ y \mapsto B \end{array} \quad \varphi_{25} : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto A \\ y \mapsto AB \end{array} \quad \varphi_{53} : \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto AB \\ y \mapsto B \end{array}$$

Then $\Gamma_{[\varphi_{ij}]}$ are all conjugate in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, so let $N := \ell(\Gamma_{[\varphi_{ij}]})$.

Write $N = 2^{e_2} 3^{e_3} 5^{e_5} M$, where $2, 3, 5 \nmid M$, then we have

$$SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell) \cong SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/2^{e_2}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/3^{e_3}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/5^{e_5}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/M)$$

Note $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \Gamma_{[\varphi_{23}]}$, so $p_\ell(\Gamma_{[\varphi_{23}]}) \supset I \times I \times SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/5^{e_5}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/M)$

Also, $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \Gamma_{[\varphi_{25}]}$, so $p_\ell(\Gamma_{[\varphi_{25}]}) \supset I \times SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/3^{e_3}) \times I \times SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/M)$

Also, $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \Gamma_{[\varphi_{53}]}$, so $p_\ell(\Gamma_{[\varphi_{53}]}) \supset SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/2^{e_2}) \times I \times I \times SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/M)$

Thus, $p_\ell(\Gamma_{[\varphi]}) = SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell)$, so $e = 1 < d$, hence $\Gamma_{[\varphi]}$ is noncongruence.

Theorem

If $G = S_n$ ($n \geq 4$), A_n ($n \geq 5$), or $PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ ($p \geq 5$), then there exists a surjection $F_2 \rightarrow G$ such that $\Gamma_{[\varphi]}$ is noncongruence.

Theorem

If $G = S_n$ ($n \geq 4$), A_n ($n \geq 5$), or $PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ ($p \geq 5$), then there exists a surjection $F_2 \rightarrow G$ such that $\Gamma_{[\varphi]}$ is noncongruence.

Conjecture

- 1. For every nonabelian finite simple group G , every surjection $\varphi : F_2 \rightarrow G$ has $\Gamma_{[\varphi]}$ noncongruence.*

Theorem

If $G = S_n$ ($n \geq 4$), A_n ($n \geq 5$), or $PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ ($p \geq 5$), then there exists a surjection $F_2 \rightarrow G$ such that $\Gamma_{[\varphi]}$ is noncongruence.

Conjecture

- 1. For every nonabelian finite simple group G , every surjection $\varphi : F_2 \rightarrow G$ has $\Gamma_{[\varphi]}$ noncongruence.*
- 2. For every finite group G , either all surjections $\varphi : F_2 \rightarrow G$ have $\Gamma_{[\varphi]}$ congruence, or all surjections have $\Gamma_{[\varphi]}$ noncongruence.*

Which subgroups of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ appear as $\Gamma_{[\varphi]}$?

Which subgroups of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ appear as $\Gamma_{[\varphi]}$?

Theorem (Asada, 2001)

For a surjective homomorphism $\varphi : F_2 \rightarrow G$ onto a finite group G , let $\Gamma_\varphi := \text{Stab}_{\text{Aut}(F_2)}(\varphi)$. Then every finite index subgroup of $\text{Aut}(F_2)$ contains a group of the form Γ_φ .

Which subgroups of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ appear as $\Gamma_{[\varphi]}$?

Theorem (Asada, 2001)

For a surjective homomorphism $\varphi : F_2 \rightarrow G$ onto a finite group G , let $\Gamma_{\varphi} := \text{Stab}_{\text{Aut}(F_2)}(\varphi)$. Then every finite index subgroup of $\text{Aut}(F_2)$ contains a group of the form Γ_{φ} .

Corollary

Every modular curve is covered by some $M_{[\varphi]} = \mathcal{H}/\Gamma_{[\varphi]}$.

Which subgroups of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ appear as $\Gamma_{[\varphi]}$?

Theorem (Asada, 2001)

For a surjective homomorphism $\varphi : F_2 \rightarrow G$ onto a finite group G , let $\Gamma_{\varphi} := \text{Stab}_{\text{Aut}(F_2)}(\varphi)$. Then every finite index subgroup of $\text{Aut}(F_2)$ contains a group of the form Γ_{φ} .

Corollary

Every modular curve is covered by some $M_{[\varphi]} = \mathcal{H}/\Gamma_{[\varphi]}$.

In fact, the galois closure of any such $M_{[\varphi]}$ is also of the form $M_{[\psi]}$ for some $\psi : F_2 \rightarrow G'$ (possibly non-surjective)

Which subgroups of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ appear as $\Gamma_{[\varphi]}$?

Theorem (Asada, 2001)

For a surjective homomorphism $\varphi : F_2 \rightarrow G$ onto a finite group G , let $\Gamma_{\varphi} := \text{Stab}_{\text{Aut}(F_2)}(\varphi)$. Then every finite index subgroup of $\text{Aut}(F_2)$ contains a group of the form Γ_{φ} .

Corollary

Every modular curve is covered by some $M_{[\varphi]} = \mathcal{H}/\Gamma_{[\varphi]}$.

In fact, the galois closure of any such $M_{[\varphi]}$ is also of the form $M_{[\psi]}$ for some $\psi : F_2 \rightarrow G'$ (possibly non-surjective), so we have

Corollary

Every modular curve is the quotient of some fine moduli scheme $M_{[\varphi]} = \mathcal{H}/\Gamma_{[\varphi]}$ for some homomorphism $\varphi : F_2 \rightarrow G$.

If we replace the sheaf $\mathcal{H}om_S^{\text{sur}}(\pi_1(E^\circ/S), G)$ with its quotient

$$\mathcal{H}om_S^{\text{sur}}(\pi_1(E^\circ/S), G)/\text{Aut}(G)$$

If we replace the sheaf $\mathcal{H}om_S^{\text{sur}}(\pi_1(E^\circ/S), G)$ with its quotient

$$\mathcal{H}om_S^{\text{sur}}(\pi_1(E^\circ/S), G)/\text{Aut}(G)$$

then the corresponding modular curves are “origami curves”, as studied by Schmithusen, Lochak, Herrlich, Moller, Veech et al., and the corresponding subgroups $\Gamma_{[[\varphi]]}$ are called *Veech groups*.

If we replace the sheaf $\mathcal{H}om_S^{\text{sur}}(\pi_1(E^\circ/S), G)$ with its quotient

$$\mathcal{H}om_S^{\text{sur}}(\pi_1(E^\circ/S), G)/\text{Aut}(G)$$

then the corresponding modular curves are “origami curves”, as studied by Schmithusen, Lochak, Herrlich, Moller, Veech et al., and the corresponding subgroups $\Gamma_{[[\varphi]]}$ are called *Veech groups*.

Theorem (Ellenberg-McReynolds, 2011)

Every finite index subgroup of $\Gamma(2)$ containing $\pm I$ is a Veech group.