NONCOMMUTATIVE TENSOR TRIANGULAR GEOMETRY AND THE TENSOR PRODUCT PROPERTY FOR SUPPORT MAPS

DANIEL K. NAKANO, KENT B. VASHAW, AND MILEN T. YAKIMOV

ABSTRACT. The problem of whether the cohomological support map of a finite dimensional Hopf algebra has the tensor product property has attracted a lot of attention following the earlier developments on representations of finite group schemes. Many authors have focussed on concrete situations where positive and negative results have been obtained by direct arguments.

In this paper we demonstrate that it is natural to study questions involving the tensor product property in the broader setting of a monoidal triangulated category. We give an intrinsic characterization by proving that the tensor product property for the universal support datum is equivalent to complete primeness of the categorical spectrum. From these results one obtains information for other support data, including the cohomological one. Two theorems are proved giving compete primeness and non-complete primeness in certain general settings.

As an illustration of the methods, we give a proof of a recent conjecture of Negron and Pevtsova on the tensor product property for the cohomological support maps for the small quantum Borel algebras for all complex simple Lie algebras.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Monoidal Triangular Geometry. Tensor triangular geometry as introduced by Balmer has played a unifying role in understanding the interrelationships between representation theory, homological algebra and commutative ring theory/algebraic geometry. In [19], the authors developed a noncommutative version of Balmer's *tensor triangular geometry* [2]. Our new theory has the advantage that it can be applied to a wider variety of categories such as the stable module category for any finite-dimensional Hopf algebra. Given a monoidal triangulated category **K**, we associated

- a topological space Spc **K** of (thick) prime ideals and
- a support datum map $V : \mathbf{K} \to \mathcal{X}_{sp}(\operatorname{Spc} \mathbf{K}),$

and we proved that this support datum is a universal final object in the category of all support data, see Theorem 2.3.2 below.

As in the case for non-commutative rings, for monoidal tensor categories, we demonstrated that it was important to distinguish various types of prime ideals. The definition of a *prime ideal* in this setting involves considering products of ideals whereas the definition of a *completely prime ideal* entails considering products of

Research of D.K.N. was supported in part by NSF grant DMS-1701768.

Research of K.B.V. was supported by a Board of Regents LSU fellowship and in part by NSF grant DMS-1901830.

Research of M.T.Y. was supported in part by NSF grant DMS-1901830 and a Bulgarian Science Fund grant $\mathrm{DN02}/05.$

objects in the category. The notion of *semiprime ideal* is also a key concept in this new theory.

1.2. **Support Theory.** The precursor to support data, namely *support varieties*, were first developed in the context of modular representations of finite groups by the pioneering work of Alperin and Carlson. Since that time, in representation theory (and in the more general setting of monoidal triangulated categories) there has been a plethora of contexts where support theory has been studied which includes

- (i) the cohomological support via group, Hopf algebra and Hochschild cohomology [9, 23],
- (ii) the rank variety and Π -support via embedded subobjects [9, 13],
- (iii) support via actions of commutative algebras [4, 5],
- (iv) support via actions of the extended endomorphism ring of the identity object [8],
- (v) support via tensor triangular geometry [2],

and other approaches. Many fundamental connections between these support theories have been established.

In the aforementioned cases, a support datum map is a map σ from the objects of a monoidal triangulated category **K** to the set of specialization closed subsets $\mathcal{X}_{sp}(X)$ of a topological space X. The following problem has attracted a lot of attention and has been at the heart of applications of support maps:

Problem. When does a support datum $\sigma : \mathbf{K} \to \mathcal{X}_{sp}(X)$ possesses the *tensor* product property

$$\sigma(A \otimes B) = \sigma(A) \cap \sigma(B), \quad \forall A, B \in \mathbf{K}?$$

For the cohomological support for modular representations of finite groups this was proved in [9] and for finite group schemes in [13]. In the support setting in (iii), a positive answer was obtained in [4, 5] under a stratification assumption. There has been a great deal of research on this problem for the cohomological support for the stable module category $\mathsf{StMod}(H)$ of a finite dimensional Hopf algebra H. In concrete situation positive and negative answers were obtained in [6, 12, 21, 22].

1.3. Main Results. The main goal of this paper is to illustrate how the tensor product property can be characterized in terms of the intrinsic structure of the underlying monoidal triangulated category. More specifically, the main results of this paper are as follows:

- (i) Given a monoidal triangulated category, we prove that the universal support datum $V : \mathbf{K} \to \mathcal{X}_{sp}(\operatorname{Spc} \mathbf{K})$ has the tensor product property if and only if all prime ideals of \mathbf{K} are completely prime (Theorem 3.1.1).
- (ii) We prove that if all thick right ideals of a monoidal triangulated category \mathbf{K} are two-sided, then the property in (i) holds for \mathbf{K} (Theorem 3.2.1).
- (iii) We show that if all objects of a monoidal triangulated category are rigid and the category has a nilpotent object, then the property in (i) does not hold for \mathbf{K} (Theorem 4.2.1).

The power of Theorem 2.3.2 is that the verification of the support property for individual objects of the category \mathbf{K} is shown to be equivalent to an intrinsic global property of the Balmer spectrum Spc \mathbf{K} of the category. In noncommutative ring

 $\mathbf{2}$

theory, the question of whether all prime deals of a noncommutative ring are completely prime is a much studied one. Dixmier proved that the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ of a finite dimensional Lie algebra algebra has this property if and only if the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is solvable [10, Theorem 3.7.2]. For general noncommutative rings there are no if and only if theorems of this sort, but positive results for quantum function algebras and Cauchon–Goodearl–Letzter extensions were obtained in [17, 18, 15]. Theorem 2.3.2 establishes a bridge between the tensor product property for support data and the categorical versions of these questions in ring theory.

Theorems 3.2.1 and 2.3.2 allow for a fast checking of the tensor product property in many interesting situations. This can be combined with Theorems 6.2.1 and 7.3.1 in [19] where we proved that support data satisfying natural assumptions coincide with the universal support map $V : \mathbf{K} \to \mathcal{X}_{sp}(\operatorname{Spc} \mathbf{K})$. One can use this to apply Theorems 3.2.1 and 2.3.2 to verify whether other support maps for a monoidal triangulated category \mathbf{K} , for instance the cohomological support map, posses the tensor product property. Along this path we obtain the last main result in the paper, proving the Negron and Pevtsova conjecture [21] that

(iv) the cohomological support maps for all small quantum Borel algebras associated to arbitrary complex simple Lie algebras and arbitrary choices of group-like elements posses the tensor product property.

1.4. Acknowledgements. We thank Cris Negron for useful discussions along with comments on an earlier version of our manuscript.

2. Preliminaries on noncommutative tensor triangular geometry

2.1. Monoidal Triangulated Categories. We follow the conventions in [19]. A monoidal triangulated category (M Δ C for short) is a monoidal category **K** in the sense of Definition 2.2.1 of [11] which is triangulated and for which the monoidal structure $\otimes : \mathbf{K} \times \mathbf{K} \to \mathbf{K}$ is an exact bifunctor.

Recall that a *thick subcategory* of a triangulated category \mathbf{K} , is a full triangulated subcategory of \mathbf{K} that contains all direct summands of its objects. A *thick right* (resp. *two-sided*) *ideal* of an M Δ C, \mathbf{K} , is a thick subcategory of \mathbf{K} that is closed under right tensoring (resp. right and left tensoring) with arbitrary objects of \mathbf{K} . For each object $M \in \mathbf{K}$ there exist unique minimal right and two-sided ideals containing M, which will be denoted by $\langle M \rangle_{\mathbf{r}}$ and $\langle M \rangle$, respectively.

2.2. Prime Ideals and the Balmer Spectrum. We call a proper two-sided ideal **P** of **K** *prime* if

$$\mathbf{I} \otimes \mathbf{J} \subseteq \mathbf{P} \Rightarrow \mathbf{I} \subseteq \mathbf{P} \text{ or } \mathbf{J} \subseteq \mathbf{P}$$

for all thick two-sided ideals **I** and **J** of **K**. This property is equivalent to saying that (2.2.1) holds for all pairs of thick right ideals **I** and **J** of **K**. It is also equivalent to the condition that for all $A, B \in \mathbf{K}$,

$$A \otimes C \otimes B \in \mathbf{P}, \forall C \in \mathbf{K} \Rightarrow A \in \mathbf{P} \text{ or } B \in \mathbf{P},$$

see [19, Theorem 3.2.2].

One can define a notion of primeness on objects of \mathbf{K} as follows. An ideal \mathbf{P} is *completely prime* if and only if

$$A \otimes B \in \mathbf{P} \Rightarrow A \in \mathbf{P} \text{ or } B \in \mathbf{P}$$

for all objects A and B in \mathbf{K} .

With these definitions of primeness, one can define a topological space that is analogous to the spectrum of a non-commutative ring.

Definition 2.2.1. (a) The noncommutative Balmer spectrum Spc K of an $M\Delta C$, K, is the set of its prime ideals with the topology generated by the closed sets

$$V(M) = \{ \mathbf{P} \in \operatorname{Spc} \mathbf{K} \mid M \notin \mathbf{P} \}$$

for $M \in \mathbf{K}$.

(b) Let CP-Spc K be the topological subspace consisting of all completely prime ideals of K. Its topology is generated by the sets

$$V_{CP}(M) = \{ \mathbf{P} \in \operatorname{CP-Spc} \mathbf{K} \mid M \notin \mathbf{P} \}$$

for $M \in \mathbf{K}$.

From the definitions, one can easily verify that every completely prime ideal in an $M\Delta C$ is prime. Therefore, one has

$$V_{CP}(M) = V(M) \cap \operatorname{CP-Spc} \mathbf{K}.$$

It is clear that an intersection of prime ideals need not be a prime ideal.

Definition 2.2.2. A semiprime ideal of an $M\Delta C$, **K**, is an intersection of prime ideals of **K**.

The following characterization of semiprime ideals was proved in [19, Theorem 3.4.2]:

Theorem 2.2.3. The following are equivalent for a proper thick ideal \mathbf{Q} of and $M\Delta C$, \mathbf{K} :

- (a) **Q** is a semiprime ideal;
- (b) For all $A \in \mathbf{K}$, if $A \otimes C \otimes A \in \mathbf{Q}$, $\forall C \in \mathbf{K}$, then $A \in \mathbf{Q}$;
- (c) If **I** is any thick two-sided ideal of **K** such that $\mathbf{I} \otimes \mathbf{I} \subseteq \mathbf{Q}$, then $\mathbf{I} \subseteq \mathbf{Q}$;
- (d) If **I** is any thick right ideal of **K** such that $\mathbf{I} \otimes \mathbf{I} \subseteq \mathbf{Q}$, then $\mathbf{I} \subseteq \mathbf{Q}$.

2.3. Support data maps, universality of Spc K. One of the important features about monoidal triangulated categories is the use of maps that take objects of K to subsets of a topological space. For a given topological space Y, we will denote by $\mathcal{X}(Y), \mathcal{X}_{cl}(Y)$ and $\mathcal{X}_{sp}(Y)$ the collections of its subsets, closed subsets and specialization closed subsets, respectively. Given a map $\sigma : \mathbf{K} \to \mathcal{X}(Y)$, denote its extension to the set of thick subcategories of K given by

(2.3.1)
$$\Phi_{\sigma}(\mathbf{I}) = \bigcup_{A \in \mathbf{I}} \sigma(A).$$

Definition 2.3.1. A support datum for an $M\Delta C$, **K**, is a map

$$\sigma: \mathbf{K} \to \mathcal{X}(Y)$$

for a topological space Y such that

- (i) $\sigma(0) = \emptyset$ and $\sigma(1) = Y$;
- (ii) $\sigma(\bigoplus_{i \in I} A_i) = \bigcup_{i \in I} \sigma(A_i), \forall A_i \in \mathbf{K};$
- (iii) $\sigma(\Sigma A) = \sigma(A), \forall A \in \mathbf{K};$
- (iv) If $A \to B \to C \to \Sigma A$ is a distinguished triangle, then $\sigma(A) \subseteq \sigma(B) \cup \sigma(C)$:

(v)
$$\bigcup_{C \in \mathbf{K}} \sigma(A \otimes C \otimes B) = \sigma(A) \cap \sigma(B), \, \forall A, B \in \mathbf{K}.$$

A weak support datum is a map

$$\sigma: \mathbf{K} \to \mathcal{X}(Y)$$

which satisfies conditions (i-iv) and the condition

(v') $\Phi_{\sigma}(\mathbf{I} \otimes \mathbf{J}) = \Phi_{\sigma}(\mathbf{I}) \cap \Phi_{\sigma}(\mathbf{J})$ for all thick two-sided ideals \mathbf{I} and \mathbf{J} of \mathbf{K} .

Each support datum is a weak support datum [19, Lemma 4.3.1 and 4.5.1]. For every M Δ C K, the map

$$V : \mathbf{K} \to \mathcal{X}_{cl}(\operatorname{Spc} \mathbf{K})$$
 given by $V(A) = \{ \mathbf{P} \in \operatorname{Spc} \mathbf{K} : M \notin \mathbf{P} \}$

is a support datum. It is universal as proved in [19, Theorems 4.2.2 and 4.5.1]:

Theorem 2.3.2. Let **K** be an $M\Delta C$.

(a) The support V is the final object in the collection of support data σ for **K** such that $\sigma(A)$ is closed for each $A \in \mathbf{K}$: for any such $\sigma : \mathbf{K} \to \mathcal{X}(Y)$, there is a unique continuous map $f_{\sigma} : Y \to \operatorname{Spc} \mathbf{K}$ satisfying

$$\sigma(A) = f_{\sigma}^{-1}(V(A)) \text{ for } A \in \mathbf{K}.$$

(b) The support V is the final object in the collection of weak support data σ for **K** such that $\Phi_{\sigma}(\langle A \rangle)$ is closed for each $A \in \mathbf{K}$: for any such $\sigma : \mathbf{K} \to \mathcal{X}(Y)$, there is a unique continuous map $f_{\sigma} : Y \to \operatorname{Spc} \mathbf{K}$ satisfying

$$\Phi_{\sigma}(\langle A \rangle) = f_{\sigma}^{-1}(V(A)) \quad \text{for} \quad A \in \mathbf{K}.$$

3. The tensor product property for the universal support datum of a monoidal triangulated category

3.1. Complete Primeness of Spc and the Tensor Product Property. We begin by proving a theorem that indicates how the structural properties of a monoidal triangulated category are captured by characterizations involving the universal support datum.

Theorem 3.1.1. For every monoidal triangulated category \mathbf{K} , the following are equivalent:

(a) The universal support datum $V : \mathbf{K} \to \mathcal{X}(\operatorname{Spc} \mathbf{K})$ has the tensor product property

 $V(A \otimes B) = V(A) \cap V(B), \quad \forall A, B \in \mathbf{K}.$

(b) Every prime ideal of **K** is completely prime.

Proof. (a \Rightarrow b) Let $\mathbf{P} \in \operatorname{Spc} \mathbf{K}$ and $A, B \in \mathbf{K}$ be such that $A \otimes B \in \mathbf{P}$. Then

$$\mathbf{P} \notin V(A \otimes B) = V(A) \cap V(B).$$

Hence, either $\mathbf{P} \notin V(A)$ or $\mathbf{P} \notin V(B)$, and thus, either $A \in \mathbf{P}$ or $B \in \mathbf{P}$. (b \Rightarrow a) For $A, B \in \mathbf{K}$, we have

Spc
$$\mathbf{K} \setminus V(A \otimes B) = \{ \mathbf{P} \in \text{Spc } \mathbf{K} \mid A \otimes B \in \mathbf{P} \}$$

= $\{ \mathbf{P} \in \text{Spc } \mathbf{K} \mid A \in \mathbf{P} \} \cup \{ \mathbf{P} \in \text{Spc } \mathbf{K} \mid B \in \mathbf{P} \}$
= $(\text{Spc } \mathbf{K} \setminus V(A)) \cup (\text{Spc } \mathbf{K} \setminus V(B)).$

Thus $V(A \otimes B) = V(A) \cap V(B)$.

The proof of Theorem 3.1.1 immediately gives the following fact.

Corollary 3.1.2. For every monoidal triangulated category, K, the map

 $V_{\rm CP}: \mathbf{K} \to \operatorname{CP-Spc} \mathbf{K}$ given by $V_{\rm CP}(A) = V(A) \cap \operatorname{CP-Spc} \mathbf{K}$

has the tensor product property.

In many cases for monoidal triangulated categories, \mathbf{K} , the space CP-Spc \mathbf{K} can be much smaller than Spc \mathbf{K} . So in general, the support datum V_{CP} captures much less information than the universal support datum V.

3.2. A Criterion for Complete Primeness of Spc K. In this section we investigate monoidal tensor categories where the right ideals coincide with the two-sided ideals. In this situation, every prime ideal is completely prime and the tensor product property holds. This key observation will be applied in Section 5.7.

Theorem 3.2.1. Let \mathbf{K} be a monoidal triangulated category in which every thick right ideal is two-sided. Then every prime ideal of \mathbf{K} is completely prime, and as a consequence, the universal support datum $V : \mathbf{K} \to \mathcal{X}(\operatorname{Spc} \mathbf{K})$ has the tensor product property

$$V(A \otimes B) = V(A) \cap V(B), \quad \forall A, B \in \mathbf{K}.$$

Proof. First we claim that

(3.2.1) $\langle M \rangle_{\mathbf{r}} = \langle M \rangle, \quad \forall M \in \mathbf{K}.$

The inclusion $\langle M \rangle_{\mathbf{r}} \subseteq \langle M \rangle$ is obvious. The reverse inclusion is proved as follows. The hypothesis states that $\langle M \rangle_{\mathbf{r}}$ is a a two-sided thick ideal and, in particular, it contains $\langle N \rangle$ for all $N \in \langle M \rangle_{\mathbf{r}}$. Applying this for N = M yields $\langle M \rangle_{\mathbf{r}} \supseteq \langle M \rangle$.

Let $\mathbf{P} \in \operatorname{Spc} \mathbf{K}$ and $A, B \in \mathbf{K}$ be such that $A \otimes B \in \mathbf{P}$. Therefore $A \otimes \langle B \rangle_r \subseteq \mathbf{P}$ and, by (3.2.1), $A \otimes \langle B \rangle \subseteq \mathbf{P}$. This implies that $A \otimes C \otimes B \in \mathbf{P}$ for all $C \in \mathbf{K}$ and, by the primeness of $\mathbf{P}, A \in \mathbf{P}$ or $B \in \mathbf{P}$. Therefore, the thick ideal \mathbf{P} is completely prime. The second statement follows from the first and Theorem 3.1.1.

If a monoidal triangulated category **K** has the property that $A \otimes B \cong B \otimes A$ for all $A, B \in \mathbf{K}$, then **K** satisfies the assumption of Theorem 3.2.1. This in particular holds for all braided monoidal triangulated categories. The next section contains much more nontrivial applications of this theorem.

4. A Criterion for non-complete primeness of $\operatorname{Spc} K$

4.1. Rigidity and Semi-Primeness. Recall that an object A of a monoidal category **K** is rigid if it has a dual object A^* : by definition, this means there are evaluation and coevaluation maps

$$ev: A^* \otimes A \to 1$$
 and $coev: 1 \to A \otimes A^*$,

such that the compositions

 $(4.1.1) A \xrightarrow{\operatorname{coev} \otimes \operatorname{id}} A \otimes A^* \otimes A \xrightarrow{\operatorname{id} \otimes \operatorname{ev}} A \quad \text{and} \quad A^* \xrightarrow{\operatorname{id} \otimes \operatorname{coev}} A^* \otimes A \otimes A^* \xrightarrow{\operatorname{ev} \otimes \operatorname{id}} A^*$

are the identity maps on A and $A^{\ast},$ respectively.

Proposition 4.1.1. If \mathbf{K} is a monoidal triangulated category in which every object is rigid, then every thick ideal of \mathbf{K} is semiprime.

Proof. Fix a thick two-sided ideal \mathbf{I} of \mathbf{K} . Let $A \in \mathbf{K}$ be such that $A \otimes B \otimes A \in \mathbf{I}$ for all $B \in \mathbf{K}$. In particular, $A \otimes A^* \otimes A \in \mathbf{I}$. It follows from (4.1.1) that A is a direct summand of $A \otimes A^* \otimes A$. Since \mathbf{I} is a thick subcategory of \mathbf{K} , $A \in \mathbf{I}$. Theorem 2.2.3 now implies that \mathbf{I} is a semiprime ideal of \mathbf{K} .

4.2. Existence of Nilpotent Elements. Given a monoidal tensor category where all objects are rigid, one can now show that the existence of a nilpotent element insures that the universal support datum does not satisfy the tensor product property.

Theorem 4.2.1. Let \mathbf{K} be a monoidal triangulated category in which every object is rigid. If \mathbf{K} has a non-zero nilpotent object M (i.e., $M \not\cong 0$ but $M^{\otimes n} := M \otimes \cdots \otimes M \cong$ 0, for some n > 0) then not all prime ideals of \mathbf{K} are completely prime. As a consequence, the universal support datum $V : \mathbf{K} \to \mathcal{X}(\operatorname{Spc} \mathbf{K})$ does not have the tensor product property.

Proof. By Proposition 4.1.1, $\langle 0 \rangle$ is a semiprime ideal of **K**. Hence, the prime radical of **K** equals $\langle 0 \rangle$.

On the other hand M lies in all completely prime ideals \mathbf{P} of \mathbf{K} because $M^{\otimes n} \cong 0 \in \mathbf{P}$. If all prime ideals of \mathbf{K} are completely prime, this would imply that M belongs to the prime radical of \mathbf{K} (i.e. $M \in \langle 0 \rangle$), which is a contradiction. \Box

The following corollary follows from Theorem 4.2.1, because all objects of $\mathsf{stmod}(H)$ are rigid for finite dimensional Hopf algebras H.

Corollary 4.2.2. Assume that H is a finite dimensional Hopf algebra which admits a non-projective finite dimensional module M such that $M^{\otimes n}$ is projective. Then not all prime ideals of the stable module category $\mathsf{stmod}(H)$ are completely prime, i.e., the universal support datum $V : \mathbf{K} \to \mathcal{X}(\mathsf{Spc}(\mathsf{stmod}(H)))$ does not have the tensor product property.

4.3. Remarks on the Work of Benson-Witherspoon. In [6] Benson and Witherspoon considered the stable module categories of Hopf algebras of the form

$$H_{G,L} := (\Bbbk[G] \# \Bbbk L)^*,$$

where G and L are finite groups with L acting on G by group automorphisms, \Bbbk is a field of positive characteristic dividing the order of G, &L is the group algebra of L, &[G] is the dual of the group algebra of G, and # denotes the corresponding smash product.

Let p be a prime number and n be a positive integer. In [6, Example 3.3] Benson and Witherspoon proved that for $G := (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n$, $L := \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ (with L cyclically permuting the factors of G) and k a field of characteristic p, $H_{G,L}$ admits a nonprojective finite dimensional module M such that $M \otimes M$ is projective. By Corollary 4.2.2, the universal support data does not satisfy the tensor product property.

If **K** is a monoidal triangulated category in which every object is rigid and **K** has objects A and B, such that $A \otimes B \cong 0$ but $B \otimes A \not\cong 0$, then not all prime ideals of **K** are completely prime, i.e., the universal support datum $V : \mathbf{K} \to \mathcal{X}(\operatorname{Spc} \mathbf{K})$ does not have the tensor product property. This follows from Theorem 4.2.1, because for $M := B \otimes A$ is not the zero object in **K**, but $M \otimes M \cong B \otimes (A \otimes B) \otimes A \cong 0$.

Benson and Witherspoon constructed [6, Example 3.2] a Hopf algebra of the form $H_{G,L}$ such that $stmod(H_{G,L})$ has a pair of objects A, B with this property. The

group G is chosen to be the Klein 4-group, L is the cyclic group of order 3 whose generator cyclically permutes the non-identity elements of G, and the field \Bbbk has characteristic 2.

5. The tensor product property for the cohomological support for small quantum Borels

5.1. **Preliminaries.** Let Δ be an irreducible root system of rank n. Let ℓ be a positive integer and ζ be a primitive ℓ th root of unity.

We begin by introducing a general construction of the small quantum group for a Borel algebra that generalizes the well-known construction using group like elements arising from the root lattice. For a given Δ , let X be the corresponding weight lattice and Δ^+ be a set of positive roots. Denote by $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\}$ the base of simple roots for Δ corresponding to Δ^+ and by $\{d_1, \ldots, d_n\}$ the collection of relatively prime positive integers that symmetrizes the corresponding Cartan matrix. Denote by $\langle -, - \rangle$ the Weyl group invariant nondegenerate symmetric inner product on the Euclidean space $\mathfrak{t}^*_{\mathbb{R}}$ spanned by Δ , normalized by $\langle \beta, \beta \rangle = 2$ for short roots β . In terms of this form, the integers d_i are given by $d_i = \langle \alpha_i, \alpha_i \rangle/2$. Let $\{\alpha_1^{\vee}, \ldots, \alpha_n^{\vee}\}$ be the corresponding coroots thought of as elements of $\mathfrak{t}^*_{\mathbb{R}}$ by setting

$$\alpha_i^{\vee} = \frac{2\alpha_i}{\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_i \rangle} = \frac{\alpha_i}{d_i} \cdot$$

Choose a \mathbb{Z} -lattice, Γ , with $\mathbb{Z}\Delta \subseteq \Gamma \subseteq X$. Such a lattice Γ has rank n. Let $\{\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n\}$ be a \mathbb{Z} -basis for Γ .

Let $u_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{b})$ be the small quantum group as described in [3, Section 2.2]. Then $u_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{b}) = u_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{u}) \# u_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{t})$ where $u_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{u})$ is generated by the root vectors $\{E_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Delta^+\}$ satisfying $E_{\beta}^{\ell} = 0$ and $u_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{t})$ is a Hopf algebra isomorphic to the group algebra of $\mathbb{Z}\Delta/(\ell\mathbb{Z}\Delta)$ over \mathbb{C} , realized as

$$u_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{t}) = \mathbb{C}[K_{\alpha_1}^{\pm 1}, \dots, K_{\alpha_n}^{\pm 1}]/(K_{\alpha_i}^{\ell} - 1, 1 \le i \le n)$$

where K_{α_i} are group like elements. The relations in $u_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{b})$ defining the smash product are

(5.1.1)
$$K_{\alpha_i} E_\beta K_{\alpha_i}^{-1} = \zeta^{\langle \beta, \alpha_i \rangle} E_\beta$$

for $\beta \in \Delta^+$.

We can consider the following generalization of the small quantum group for the Borel subalgebra. Given a lattice Γ with $\mathbb{Z}\Delta \subseteq \Gamma \subseteq X$ as above, define its sublattice

$$\Gamma' := \{ \nu \in \Gamma \mid \langle \nu, \Delta \rangle \subseteq \ell \mathbb{Z} \}.$$

Obviously, $\Gamma' \supseteq \ell\Gamma$, so Γ/Γ' is a factor group of $\Gamma/\ell\Gamma \cong (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^n$. Denote the canonical projection

(5.1.2)
$$\Gamma \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma/\Gamma' \quad \text{by} \quad \mu \mapsto \overline{\mu}.$$

Let

(5.1.3) $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{t})$ denote the group algebra of Γ/Γ' over \mathbb{C} .

For $\mu \in \Gamma/\Gamma'$ denote by K_{μ} the element of $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{t})$ corresponding to μ . Consider the Hopf algebra

$$u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b}) = u_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{u}) \# u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{t})$$

with relations

(5.1.4)
$$K_{\mu}E_{\alpha}K_{\mu}^{-1} = \zeta^{\langle \alpha,\mu_0 \rangle}E_{\alpha} \quad \text{for} \quad \mu \in \Gamma/\Gamma', \alpha \in \Delta^+,$$

where $\mu_0 \in \Gamma$ is a preimage of μ . By the definition of the lattice Γ' , the right hand side does not depend on the choice of preimage. The coproduct of the generators E_{α_i} is given by

(5.1.5)
$$\Delta(E_{\alpha_i}) = E_{\alpha_i} \otimes 1 + K_{\overline{\alpha_i}} \otimes E_{\alpha_i}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$. The antipode is given by $S(E_{\alpha_i}) = -K_{\overline{\alpha_i}}^{-1}E_{\alpha_i}$.

In all of the above definitions, the lattice Γ' can be replaced with any sublattice of Γ' . The motivation for the use of the full lattice Γ' is that this makes $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$ small in the sense that the only group-like central elements of $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$ are the scalars.

Remark 5.1.1. Consider two lattices Γ_1 and Γ_2 such that $\mathbb{Z}\Delta \subseteq \Gamma_1 \subseteq \Gamma_2 \subseteq X$. Then $\Gamma'_1 = \Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma'_2$. Hence, we have a Hopf algebra embedding

$$u_{\zeta,\Gamma_1}(\mathfrak{b}) \hookrightarrow u_{\zeta,\Gamma_2}(\mathfrak{b})$$
 given by $K_{\mu+\Gamma'_1} \mapsto K_{\mu+\Gamma'_2}, E_{\alpha} \mapsto E_{\alpha}$

for $\mu \in \Gamma_1$, $\alpha \in \Delta^+$.

5.2. Assumptions on ℓ . For the remainder of this section we will employ one of the following assumptions in the statements of our results where ζ is an ℓ th root of unity.

Assumption 5.2.1. Let ℓ be a positive integer such that

- (a) ℓ is odd;
- (b) If Δ is of type G_2 then $3 \nmid \ell$;
- (c) If Δ is of type A_1 then $\ell \geq 3$, otherwise $\ell > 3$.

Conditions (a)-(b) in Assumption 5.2.1 are equivalent to saying that ℓ is an odd positive integer which is coprime to $\{d_1, \ldots, d_n\}$.

Assumption 5.2.2. Let ℓ be a positive integer such that

- (a) ℓ is odd;
- (b) If Δ is of type G_2 then $3 \nmid \ell$;
- (c) $\ell > h$ where h is the Coxeter number for Δ .

Note that if ℓ satisfies Assumption 5.2.2 then ℓ satisfies Assumption 5.2.1.

The group of group-like elements of $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{t})$ is isomorphic to Γ/Γ' . Next we explicitly describe this finite abelian group.

Proposition 5.2.3. (a) If ℓ is coprime to $\{d_1, \ldots, d_n\}$, then

$$\Gamma' = \Gamma \cap \ell X.$$

That is, $\Gamma/\Gamma' \cong \Gamma/(\Gamma \cap \ell X)$.

(b) If ℓ is coprime to $\{d_1, \ldots, d_n\}$ and $|X/\Gamma|$, then

 $\Gamma' = \ell \Gamma.$

That is, $\Gamma/\Gamma' \cong \Gamma/(\ell\Gamma) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^n$.

Proof. (a) Let $\nu = \sum m_i \omega_i \in \Gamma \subseteq X$ for some $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\nu \in \Gamma' \Leftrightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \nu, \alpha_i \rangle \in \ell \mathbb{Z}, \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n \Leftrightarrow \\ &m_i d_i \in \ell \mathbb{Z}, \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n \Leftrightarrow \\ &m_i \in \ell \mathbb{Z}, \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n \Leftrightarrow \\ &\nu \in \Gamma \cap \ell X. \end{aligned}$$

(b) In view of part (a), we have to prove that under the assumptions in part (b), $\Gamma \cap \ell X = \ell \Gamma$. Clearly,

 $\Gamma \cap \ell X \supseteq \ell \Gamma.$

For the opposite inclusion, take $\nu \in \Gamma \cap \ell X$. Then the order of $\nu/\ell + \Gamma$ in X/Γ divides ℓ . Since ℓ is coprime to the order of the group X/Γ , the order of $\nu/\ell + \Gamma$ equals 1. Therefore $\nu/\ell \in \Gamma$, and thus, $\nu \in \ell\Gamma$. Hence, $\Gamma \cap \ell X = \ell\Gamma$.

Example 5.2.4. The standard notion of a small quantum Borel subalgebra $u_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{b})$ is recovered from the above one as follows. Proposition 5.2.3(b), applied for the root lattice $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}\Delta$, implies that, if ℓ is coprime to $\{d_1, \ldots, d_n\}$ and $|X/\mathbb{Z}\Delta|$, then

$$u_{\zeta,\mathbb{Z}\Delta}(\mathfrak{b})\cong u_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{b}).$$

Note that both aforementioned algebras are defined for general values of ℓ , but become isomorphic under the coprimeness conditions.

5.3. Automorphisms, Representations and Cohomology. In this section we will generalize many of the properties presented in [19, Section 8.3] for $u_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{b})$ to $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$. For the readers convenience, we will use the same notational conventions.

Denote the character group of Γ/Γ' by

 $\widehat{\Gamma/\Gamma'}$.

By abuse of notation, for $\lambda \in \widehat{\Gamma}/\widehat{\Gamma'}$ we denote by the same symbol the one dimensional representation of $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$ given by

$$K_{\mu} \mapsto \lambda(\mu), \quad E_{\alpha} \mapsto 0, \quad \forall \mu \in \Gamma/\Gamma', \alpha \in \Delta^+.$$

For each $\lambda \in \widehat{\Gamma/\Gamma'}$, one can define an automorphism, γ_{λ} of $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$ as follows:

$$\gamma_{\lambda}(E_{\alpha}) = \lambda(\overline{\alpha})E_{\alpha}, \quad \gamma_{\lambda}(K_{\mu}) = K_{\mu}, \quad \forall \mu \in \Gamma/\Gamma', \alpha \in \Delta^+.$$

Denote the subgroup $\Pi = \{\gamma_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Gamma/\Gamma'\} \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b}))$. For any $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$ -module, Q, the automorphism γ_{λ} can be used to define a new module structure on it called the twist: $Q^{\gamma_{\lambda}}$. The underlying vector space of $Q^{\gamma_{\lambda}}$ is still Q with the action given by $x.m = \gamma_{\lambda}(x)m$ for all $x \in u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$ and $m \in Q^{\gamma_{\lambda}}$.

Let $R = \mathrm{H}^{\bullet}(u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b}),\mathbb{C})$ be the cohomology ring of $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$. An automorphism in Π acts on the cohomology ring by taking an *n*-fold extension of \mathbb{C} with \mathbb{C} and twisting each module in the *n*-fold extension to produce a new *n*-fold extension. This provides an action of the group Π on the ring R. The following proposition summarizes properties of the automorphisms in Π and how they interact with representations and the cohomology.

Proposition 5.3.1. Let $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$ be the small quantum group for the Borel subalgebra and $R = \mathrm{H}^{\bullet}(u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b}),\mathbb{C})$ be the cohomology ring.

- (a) The irreducible representations for $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$ are one-dimensional and are precisely the representations λ for $\lambda \in \widehat{\Gamma/\Gamma'}$.
- (b) For any $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$ -module, Q, and $\lambda \in \widehat{\Gamma/\Gamma'}$ one has

$$\lambda \otimes Q \otimes \lambda^{-1} \cong Q^{\gamma_{\lambda}}.$$

- (c) The action of Π on R is trivial.
- (d) The action of Π on $\operatorname{Proj}(R)$ is trivial.

Proof. (a) The relations $E_{\alpha}^{\ell} = 0$ for $\alpha \in \Delta^+$ imply that all root vectors E_{β} are in the radical of the finite dimensional algebra $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$ and so they act by 0 on every irreducible representation of $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$. Hence, every irreducible representations of $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$ is an irreducible representation of $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{t})$, which is the group algebra of Γ/Γ' , so the irreducible representation of $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{t})$ are precisely the representations λ for $\lambda \in \widehat{\Gamma/\Gamma'}$.

(b) The isomorphism follows from coproduct formula (5.1.5) and the fact that the set $\{K_{\mu}, E_{\alpha_i} \mid \mu \in \Gamma, i = 1, ..., n\}$ generates the algebra $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$.

(c and d) Note that (d) follows immediately from (c). So to finish the proof we show that the action of Π on the cohomology ring R is trivial.

By using the Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre (LHS) spectral sequence and the fact that the representations for $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{t})$ are completely reducible (because $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{t})$ is isomorphic to the group algebra over \mathbb{C} of a finite group), it follows that $R = \mathrm{H}^{\bullet}(u_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{u}), \mathbb{C})^{u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{t})}$ with respect to the action (5.1.4) (cf. [14, Theorem 2.5]). Consequently, for every weight $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}\Delta$ of R

$$\langle \nu, \Gamma \rangle \subseteq \ell \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow \langle \nu, \Delta \rangle \subseteq \ell \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow \nu \in \mathbb{Z} \Delta \cap \Gamma' \Rightarrow \overline{\nu} = 0.$$

Let $f \in R$ be of weight ν . The automorphism $\gamma_{\lambda} \in \Pi$ acts on f by

$$\gamma_{\lambda}(f) = \lambda(\overline{\nu})f = f,$$

which proves the triviality of the Π -action on R.

5.4. Finite Generation. In order to verify the finite generation conditions on the cohomology, we state the following result from [3, Proposition 5.6.3] on the cohomology for $u_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{u})$.

Theorem 5.4.1. Let ℓ satisfy Assumption 5.2.1, and ζ be an ℓ th root of unity. There exists a polynomial ring $S^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{u}^*)$ such that the following holds:

- (a) $\mathrm{H}^{\bullet}(u_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{u}), \mathbb{C})$ is finitely generated over $S^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{u}^*)$;
- (b) $\mathrm{H}^{\bullet}(u_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{u}), \mathbb{C})$ is a finitely generated \mathbb{C} -algebra.

Theorem 5.4.1 allows us to consider the issue of finite generation of cohomology for $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$. The filtration in [3, Section 2.9] on $u_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{u})$ that induces the grading as in [3, Lemma 5.6.1] is stable under the action of K_{μ_i} , i = 1, 2, ..., n. Consequently, there exists a spectral sequence

(5.4.1)
$$E_1^{i,j} = \mathrm{H}^{i+j}(\mathrm{gr} \ u_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{u}), \mathbb{C})_{(i)} \Rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{i+j}(u_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{u}), \mathbb{C})$$

such that

$$\mathrm{H}^{n}(\mathrm{gr} \ u_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{u}), \mathbb{C}) \cong \bigoplus_{2a+b=n} S^{a}(\mathfrak{u}^{*})^{[1]} \otimes \Lambda^{b}_{\zeta}.$$

Here $S^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{u}^*)^{[1]}$ is the symmetric algebra on \mathfrak{u}^* (the dual of \mathfrak{u}) and Λ^b_{ζ} is a deformation of the exterior algebra on \mathfrak{u}^* with generators and relations defined in [3, Section 2.9]. In the proof of Theorem 5.4.1 (given in [3, Proposition 5.6.3]), it is shown that under the assumptions on ℓ , $d_r(S^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{u}^*)^{[1]}) = 0$ for $r \geq 1$ where d_r is the differential on the E_r -page of the spectral sequence (5.4.1). One can then conclude part (a) of Theorem 5.4.1.

Since $u_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{u})$ is normal in $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$ (cf. [3, Section 2.8]) with quotient $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{t})$, and the filtration is stable under $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{t})$, it follows that $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{t})$ acts on the spectral sequence (5.4.1). Furthermore, one can verify that $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{t})$ acts trivially on $S^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{u}^*)^{[1]}$.

Since finite-dimensional representations for $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{t})$ are completely reducible, the fixed point functor $(-)^{u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{t})}$ is exact. By using the LHS spectral sequence and the exactness, one shows that

$$\mathrm{H}^{\bullet}(u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b}),\mathbb{C})\cong\mathrm{H}^{\bullet}(u_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{u}),\mathbb{C})^{u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{t})}.$$

Moreover, the fixed point functor can be applied to get a spectral sequence:

(5.4.2)
$$E_1^{i,j} = [\mathrm{H}^{i+j}(\mathrm{gr} \ u_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{u}), \mathbb{C})_{(i)}]^{u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{t})} \Rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{i+j}(u_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{b}), \mathbb{C}).$$

We can now verify the requisite finite generation assumptions on the cohomology for $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$.

Theorem 5.4.2. Let ℓ satisfy Assumption 5.2.1, ζ be an ℓ th root of unity, and $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$ be a small quantum group for a Borel subalgebra. Then

- (a) $\mathrm{H}^{\bullet}(u_{\mathcal{C},\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b}),\mathbb{C})$ is a finitely generated \mathbb{C} -algebra;
- (b) For any finite-dimensional u_{ζ,Γ}(b)-module, M, H[•](u_{ζ,Γ}(b), M) is finitely generated over H[•](u_{ζ,Γ}(b), C).

Proof. (a) Let $R := H^{\bullet}(u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b}),\mathbb{C})$. From Theorem 5.4.1(a), and the spectral sequence (5.4.2), we have polynomial ring $S := S^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{u}^*)^{[1]}$ with $d_r(S) = 0$ for $r \geq 1$. Consequently, R finitely generated over S. This shows (a).

(b) By using induction on the composition length of M and the long exact sequence in cohomology one can reduce the statement to showing that $\mathrm{H}^{\bullet}(u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b}), M)$ is finitely generated over R for M a simple $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$ -module.

The simple $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$ -modules are one-dimensional and indexed by $\lambda \in \widehat{\Gamma/\Gamma'}$. By using the LHS spectral sequence, one has

$$\mathrm{H}^{\bullet}(u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b}),\lambda) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{t})}(-\lambda,\mathrm{H}^{\bullet}(u_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{u}),\mathbb{C})) = A_{\lambda}.$$

Now S acts on $\mathrm{H}^{\bullet}(u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b}),\lambda)$ and thus acts on A_{λ} . This action is compatible with the action on $T = \mathrm{H}^{\bullet}(u_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{u}),\mathbb{C})$. We have $T \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \widehat{\Gamma/\Gamma}} A_{\lambda}$, and by Theorem 5.4.1, T is finitely generated over S. Consequently, A_{λ} is finitely generated over S, thus finitely generated over R. \Box

5.5. Calculation of the Cohomology Ring. In this section we calculate the cohomology ring $R := H^{\bullet}(u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b}), \mathbb{C})$ for $\ell > h$. We will need the following fact proved by Andersen and Jantzen [1, §2.2 statement (2)].

Lemma 5.5.1. [1] Let Δ be an irreducible root system. For every weight λ of $\Lambda^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{u}^*)$ and simple root α_i ,

$$|\langle \lambda, \alpha_i^{\vee} \rangle + 1| \le h - 1,$$

where h is the Coxeter number for Δ .

The following theorem that provides a natural generalization to the fundamental result of Ginzburg and Kumar [14, Theorem 2.5].

Theorem 5.5.2. Let ℓ satisfy Assumption 5.2.2 (in particular, $\ell > h$), ζ be an ℓth root of unity, and $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$ be a small quantum group for a Borel subalgebra. Then

- (a) $\mathrm{H}^{2\bullet}(u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b}),\mathbb{C}) \cong S^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{u}^*)^{[1]};$ (b) $\mathrm{H}^{2\bullet+1}(u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b}),\mathbb{C}) = 0.$

Proof. Consider the spectral sequence (5.4.2) and

$$\mathrm{H}^{n}(\mathrm{gr} \ u_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{u}), \mathbb{C})^{u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{t})} \cong \bigoplus_{2a+b=n} S^{a}(\mathfrak{u}^{*})^{[1]} \otimes [\Lambda^{b}_{\zeta}]^{u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{t})}.$$

The $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{t})$ -weights of Λ^b_{ζ} come from the \mathfrak{t} -weights of $\Lambda^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{u}^*)$. If λ is a weight of $\Lambda^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{u}^*)$ corresponding to an element in $[\Lambda_{\zeta}^b]^{u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{t})}$, then $\langle \lambda, \Gamma \rangle \subseteq \ell \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore $\langle \lambda, \alpha_i \rangle \in \ell \mathbb{Z}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. For each simple root α_i of Δ we have

$$\langle \lambda, \alpha_i^{\vee} \rangle = \frac{1}{d_i} \langle \lambda, \alpha_i \rangle.$$

Since $\langle \lambda, \alpha_i^{\vee} \rangle$ is an integer, $\langle \lambda, \alpha_i \rangle \in \ell \mathbb{Z}$ and $gcd(\ell, d_i) = 1$, we have that $\langle \lambda, \alpha_i^{\vee} \rangle$ is a multiple of ℓ . Lemma 5.5.1 gives that

$$|\langle \lambda, \alpha_i^{\vee} \rangle| \le h < \ell.$$

The combination of the two facts implies that $\langle \lambda, \alpha_i^{\vee} \rangle = 0$ for all simple roots α_i . Thus $\lambda = 0$ and

(5.5.1)
$$[\Lambda^b_{\zeta}]^{u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{t})} \cong \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } b > 0 \\ \mathbb{C} & \text{if } b = 0. \end{cases}$$

Consequently, the $E_1^{i,j}$ -term of the spectral sequence only contains terms of the form $S^{a}(\mathfrak{u}^{*})^{[1]}$ where 2a = i + j. From Theorem 5.4.2, $d_{r}(S^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{u}^{*})^{[1]}) = 0$ for $r \geq 1$. Thus, the spectral sequence (5.4.2) collapses and yields (a) and (b). \square

5.6. Classification of Tensor Ideals. Let stmod($u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$) be the stable module category of finitely generated $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$ -modules. The stable module category for all $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$ -modules will be denoted by $\mathsf{StMod}(u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b}))$. The category $\mathsf{stmod}(u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b}))$ is a monoidal triangulated category. The goal of this section will to describe the thick tensor ideals in stmod($u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$) and its Balmer spectrum.

Let $R := \mathrm{H}^{\bullet}(u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b}),\mathbb{C})$ be cohomology ring for the small quantum group $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$. In Theorem 5.4.2(a), it was shown that R is a finitely generated \mathbb{C} -algebra. Therefore, $Y = \operatorname{Proj}(R)$, the space of (nontrivial) homogeneous prime ideals of R, is a Noetherian topological space. In fact, Y is a Zariski space.

For brevity, the set of subsets, closed subsets, and specialization-closed subsets of Y will be denoted respectively by $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}_{cl}$, and \mathcal{X}_{sp} . The finite generation result in Theorem 5.4.2(b) can be used to define a (cohomological) support variety theory for $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$. Let W(-) be the cohomological support $\mathsf{stmod}(u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})) \to \mathcal{X}_{cl}$, defined by

$$W(M) = \{ \mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Proj} R : \operatorname{Ext}^{\bullet}(M, M)_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0 \}.$$

This extends to a support map $\mathsf{StMod}(u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})) \to \mathcal{X}_{sp}$ by [4, Theorem 5.5], which we will also denote by W(-).

Let

$$\Phi = \Phi_W : \{ \text{thick right ideals of } \mathsf{stmod}(u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})) \} \to \mathcal{X}$$

be the map given by (2.3.1). Note that it takes values in \mathcal{X}_{sp} because $W(M) \in \mathcal{X}_{cl}$ for all $M \in \mathsf{stmod}(u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b}))$. On the other hand, we can define an assignment

$$\Theta: \mathcal{X}_{sp} \to \{ \text{thick right ideals of } \mathsf{stmod}(u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})) \}$$

by

$$\Theta(Z) = \{ M \in \mathsf{stmod}(u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})) \mid W(M) \subseteq Z \} \quad \text{for} \quad Z \in \mathcal{X}_{sp}.$$

We can now state the theorem that classifies thick ideals in $\mathsf{stmod}(u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b}))$. Our results extend the results due to the authors in [19, Theorems 8.2.1, 8.3.1].

Theorem 5.6.1. Let $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$ be the small quantum group for the Borel subalgebra for an arbitrary finite dimensional complex simple Lie algebra. Assume that ℓ satisfies Assumption 5.2.2 (in particular, $\ell > h$), which implies that $R \cong S^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{u}^*)$.

(a) The above Φ and Θ are mutually inverse bijections

 $\{ thick \ right \ ideals \ of \ \mathsf{stmod}(u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})) \} \ \underset{\Theta}{\overset{\Phi}{\longleftrightarrow}} \ \{ specialization \ closed \ sets \ of \ \operatorname{Proj}(R) \}.$

- (b) Every thick right ideal of stmod $(u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b}))$ is two-sided.
- (c) There exists a homeomorphism $f : \operatorname{Proj}(R) \to \operatorname{Spc}(\operatorname{stmod}(u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b}))).$

For the proof of the theorem we will need the following auxiliary lemma

Lemma 5.6.2. In the setting of Theorem 5.6.1, for every finite dimensional $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$ -module Q and its dual Q^* ,

$$W(Q) = W(Q^*).$$

Proof. Every object of $\mathsf{stmod}(u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b}))$ is rigid. The first composition in (4.1.1) gives that if Q is a finite dimensional $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$ -module, then Q is a summand of $Q \otimes Q^* \otimes Q$. So,

$$W(Q) \subseteq W(Q \otimes Q^* \otimes Q).$$

Since Q has a composition series by subquotients isomorphic to the one dimensional modules $\lambda \in \widehat{\Gamma/\Gamma'}$,

$$W(Q \otimes Q^* \otimes Q) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \widehat{\Gamma / \Gamma'}} W(\lambda \otimes Q^* \otimes Q).$$

The cohomological support W is automatically a quasi support datum. Applying this fact and Proposition 5.3.1 (b-c), we obtain that

$$W(\lambda \otimes Q^* \otimes Q) \subseteq W((Q^*)^{\gamma_{\lambda}} \otimes \lambda \otimes Q) \subseteq W((Q^*)^{\gamma_{\lambda}}) = W(Q^*)$$

for all $\lambda \in \widehat{\Gamma/\Gamma'}$. Combining the above inclusions gives $W(Q) \subseteq W(Q^*)$. Since the square of the antipode of $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$ is an inner automorphism, $Q^{**} \cong Q$. Interchanging the roles of Q and Q^* gives $W(Q^*) \subseteq W(Q)$. Hence, $W(Q) = W(Q^*)$. \Box

Proof of Theorem 5.6.1. (a) This statement follows by [19, Theorem 7.4.3]. The (fg) assumption is established in Theorem 5.4.2. The arguments in [7, Section 7.4], together with Lemma 5.6.2, verify [19, Assumption 7.2.1].

To prove (b) and (c), we will employ [19, Theorem 6.2.1]. As noted earlier, the cohomological support W is a quasi support datum and satisfies [19, Assumption

7.2.1]. Hence, to apply [19, Theorem 6.2.1] one needs only to show that W satisfies the following two properties:

(Faithfulness) If $\Phi(\langle M \rangle) = \emptyset$, then $M \cong 0$.

(Realization) If V is a closed set in Y, then there exists a compact object M with $\Phi(\langle M \rangle) = V$.

For any Hopf algebra, the cohomological support satisfies faithfulness automatically. For realization, we compute:

$$\Phi(\langle M \rangle) = \bigcup_{C,D \in \mathbf{K}^c} W(C \otimes M \otimes D)$$
$$= \bigcup_{C \in \mathbf{K}^c} W(C \otimes M)$$
$$= \bigcup_{\lambda \in \widehat{\Gamma/\Gamma'}} W(\lambda \otimes M)$$
$$= \bigcup_{\lambda \in \widehat{\Gamma/\Gamma'}} W(\lambda \otimes M \otimes \lambda^{-1})$$
$$= \bigcup_{\lambda \in \widehat{\Gamma/\Gamma'}} W(M^{\gamma_{\lambda}})$$
$$= \Pi \cdot W(M)$$
$$= W(M).$$

The second and fourth equalities follow from the fact that W is a quasi support datum, the fourth since

$$W(\lambda \otimes M) \subseteq W(\lambda \otimes M \otimes \lambda^{-1}) \subseteq W(\lambda \otimes M \otimes \lambda^{-1} \otimes \lambda) = W(\lambda \otimes M).$$

The third, fifth, and seventh equalities follow from Proposition 5.3.1, parts (a), (b), and (d) respectively. Since $\Phi(\langle M \rangle) = W(M)$ and every closed set of Proj R may be realized as W(M) for some compact M, W satisfies the realization property.

We may now apply [19, Theorem 6.2.1], which gives us both part (c) and a bijection of the form:

 $\{\text{thick two-sided ideals of } \mathsf{stmod}(u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b}))\} \stackrel{\Phi}{\underset{\Theta}{\longleftrightarrow}} \{\text{specialization closed sets of } \operatorname{Proj}(R)\}.$

Since we already know by (a) that Φ induces a bijection between the thick right ideals of $\mathsf{stmod}(u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b}))$ and specialization closed sets of $\operatorname{Proj}(R)$, it follows immediately that every thick right ideal is two-sided.

5.7. The Tensor Product Property for the Cohomological Support Map. In this section we illustrate Theorem 3.2.1. We prove that the cohomological support maps for all small quantum Borel algebras associated to arbitrary complex simple Lie algebras and arbitrary choices of group-like elements have the tensor product property. This was conjectured by Negron and Pevtsova [21] and proved by them in the type A case.

Theorem 5.7.1. Let $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$ be the small quantum group for the Borel subalgebra of an arbitrary finite dimensional complex simple Lie algebra and a lattice $\mathbb{Z}\Delta \subseteq \Gamma \subseteq X$.

Assume that ℓ satisfies Assumption 5.2.2 (in particular, $\ell > h$). Then the following hold:

- (a) All prime ideals of stmod $(u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b}))$ are completely prime.
- (b) The cohomological support

W(-): stmod $(u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})) \to \mathcal{X}_{cl}(\operatorname{Proj}(\operatorname{H}^{\bullet}(u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b}),\mathbb{C})))$

has the tensor product property $W(A \otimes B) = W(A) \cap W(B)$ for all $A, B \in$ stmod $(u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b}))$.

Proof. Part (a) of the theorem follows by combining Theorems 3.2.1 and 5.7.1(a).

(b) Recall the universal support datum

 $V: \mathsf{stmod}(u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})) \to \mathcal{X}_{cp}(\operatorname{Spc}(\mathsf{stmod}(u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b}))))$

defined in Section 2.3. It follows from Theorem 3.1.1 and part (a) of this theorem that V has the tensor product property.

In the proof of Theorem 5.6.1 it was shown that W is a weak support datum. By Theorem 2.3.2(b), there exists a homeomorphism

$$f: \operatorname{Proj}(\operatorname{H}^{\bullet}(u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b}),\mathbb{C})) \to \operatorname{Spc}(\operatorname{stmod}(u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})))$$

satisfying $\Phi_W(\langle M \rangle) = f^{-1}(V(M))$ for all $M \in \text{stmod}(u_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{b}))$. Applying Theorem 5.6.1(b), (3.2.1) and the fact that W is a quasi support datum, we obtain

 $W(M) \subseteq \Phi(\langle M \rangle) = \Phi(\langle M \rangle_{\mathbf{r}}) \subseteq W(M)$

for all $M \in \mathsf{stmod}(u_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{b}))$. Therefore,

$$W(M) = \Phi(\langle M \rangle) = f^{-1}(V(M)), \quad \forall M \in \mathsf{stmod}(u_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{b})).$$

Now Theorem 3.2.1, the continuity of f and the fact that the universal support datum V has the tensor product property give

$$W(A \otimes B) = f^{-1}(V(A \otimes B)) = f^{-1}(V(A) \cap V(B))$$

= $f^{-1}(V(A)) \cap f^{-1}(V(B)) = W(A) \cap W(B)$

for all $A, B \in \mathsf{stmod}(u_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathfrak{b}))$.

Example 5.2.4 and Theorem 5.7.1 imply the following:

Corollary 5.7.2. Let $u_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{b})$ be the standard small quantum group for the Borel subalgebra of an arbitrary finite dimensional complex simple Lie algebra. Assume that ℓ satisfies Assumption 5.2.2 and that ℓ is coprime to $|X/\mathbb{Z}\Delta|$. Then the following hold:

- (a) All prime ideals of stmod($u_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{b})$) are completely prime.
- (b) The cohomological support

W(-): stmod $(u_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{b})) \to \mathcal{X}_{cl}(\operatorname{Proj}(\operatorname{H}^{\bullet}(u_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{b}), \mathbb{C})))$

has the tensor product property $W(A \otimes B) = W(A) \cap W(B)$ for all $A, B \in$ stmod $(u_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{b}))$.

Remark 5.7.3. Assume that ℓ satisfies Assumption 5.2.2 and that ℓ is coprime to $|X/\mathbb{Z}\Delta|$. Then by Proposition 5.2.3(b), the small quantum Borel subalgebra $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$ is based off the group algebra of the lattice $\Gamma/\ell\Gamma$, cf. (5.1.3). Therefore, the statements in parts (a) and (b) of Theorem 5.7.1 hold for the version of a small quantum Borel subalgebra based off the group algebra of the lattice $\Gamma/\ell\Gamma$.

5.8. The Negron–Pevtsova small quantum Borel algebras. In [20, 21] Negron and Pevtsova considered a different version of small quantum Borel subalgebras. For a lattice, Γ , with $\mathbb{Z}\Delta \subseteq \Gamma \subseteq X$, set

$$\Gamma^{\perp} := \{ \nu \in \Gamma \mid \langle \nu, \Gamma \rangle \subseteq \ell \mathbb{Z} \}.$$

Denote the canonical projection

$$\Gamma \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma / \Gamma^{\perp}$$
 by $\mu \mapsto \overline{\overline{\mu}}$.

Let

 $\widetilde{u}_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{t})$ denote the group algebra of Γ/Γ^{\perp} over \mathbb{C} .

For $\mu \in \Gamma/\Gamma^{\perp}$ denote by K_{μ} the corresponding element of $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{t})$. Following [20, 21], define the Hopf algebra

$$\widetilde{u}_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b}) = u_{\zeta}(\mathfrak{u}) \# \widetilde{u}_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{t})$$

with relations

$$K_{\mu}E_{\alpha}K_{\mu}^{-1} = \zeta^{\langle \alpha,\mu_0 \rangle}E_{\alpha} \quad \text{for} \quad \mu \in \Gamma/\Gamma', \alpha \in \Delta^+$$

where $\mu_0 \in \Gamma$ is a preimage of μ . By the definition of the lattice Γ^{\perp} , the right hand side does not depend on the choice of preimage. The coproduct of the generators E_{α_i} is given by

(5.8.1)
$$\Delta(E_{\alpha_i}) = E_{\alpha_i} \otimes 1 + K_{\overline{\alpha_i}} \otimes E_{\alpha_i}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$. The antipode is given by $S(E_{\alpha_i}) = -K_{\overline{\alpha_i}}^{-1}E_{\alpha_i}$.

Clearly, $\Gamma' \supseteq \Gamma^{\perp}$ and the elements

$$\{K_{\mu} \mid \mu \in \Gamma' / \Gamma^{\perp}\}$$

are in the center of $\widetilde{u}_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$. In other words, $\widetilde{u}_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$ has a larger center than $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$.

By abuse of notation we will denote by $\mu \mapsto \overline{\mu}$ the canonical projection $\Gamma/\Gamma^{\perp} \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma/\Gamma'$, recall (5.1.2). We have the surjective Hopf algebra homomorphism

$$\widetilde{u}_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{t}) \twoheadrightarrow u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{t})$$

given by $K_{\mu} \mapsto K_{\overline{\mu}}$ for $\mu \in \Gamma/\Gamma^{\perp}$ and $E_{\alpha} \mapsto E_{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in \Delta^+$. Its kernel is the ideal generated by the central elements

$$\{K_{\mu} - 1 \mid \mu \in \Gamma' / \Gamma^{\perp}\}.$$

Let d be the minimal positive integer such that the restriction of $\langle -, - \rangle$ to Γ takes values in \mathbb{Z}/d . Choose a primitive $(d\ell)$ th root of unity ξ such that $\zeta = \xi^d$. Consider the symmetric (multiplicative) bicharacter

$$\chi: \Gamma/\Gamma^{\perp} \times \Gamma/\Gamma^{\perp} \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$$
 given by $\chi(\mu, \nu) := \xi^{\langle \mu, \nu \rangle}$ for $\mu, \nu \in \Gamma/\Gamma^{\perp}$,

where μ_0 and ν_0 are preimages of μ and ν in Γ . By the definition of Γ^{\perp} , the bicharacter is well-defined and nondegenerate. It induces the isomorphism

(5.8.2)
$$\varphi: \Gamma/\Gamma^{\perp} \xrightarrow{\cong} \widetilde{\Gamma}/\Gamma^{\perp}$$
 given by $\varphi(\mu) := \chi(\mu, -)$ for $\mu \in \Gamma/\Gamma^{\perp}$.

Similarly to the discussion for $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{t})$, for $\lambda \in \Gamma/\Gamma^{\perp}$ define the one dimensional representation of $\widetilde{u}_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{t})$

$$K_{\mu} \mapsto \lambda(\mu), \quad E_{\alpha} \mapsto 0, \quad \forall \mu \in \Gamma/\Gamma^{\perp}, \alpha \in \Delta^{+}.$$

The irreducible representations of $\widetilde{u}_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{t})$ are one-dimensional and are indexed by $\widehat{\Gamma/\Gamma^{\perp}}$. We have a much simplified version of Proposition 5.3.1 for the algebras $\widetilde{u}_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{t})$:

Proposition 5.8.1. [20]

- (a) The irreducible representations for $\widetilde{u}_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$ are one-dimensional and are precisely the representations λ for $\lambda \in \overline{\Gamma/\Gamma^{\perp}}$.
- (b) For any $\widetilde{u}_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$ -module, Q, and $\lambda \in \widehat{\Gamma/\Gamma^{\perp}}$ one has

$$\lambda \otimes Q \otimes \lambda^{-1} \cong Q.$$

Part (a) is proved in the same way as Proposition 5.3.1(a). Part (b) follows at once by combining the following two facts:

(1) For any $\widetilde{u}_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$ -module, Q, and $\lambda \in \widehat{\Gamma/\Gamma^{\perp}}$, $\lambda \otimes Q \otimes \lambda^{-1} \cong Q^{\gamma_{\lambda}''}$ where, γ_{λ}'' is the automorphism of $\widetilde{u}_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$ given by

$$\gamma_{\lambda}^{\prime\prime}(E_{\alpha}) = \lambda(\overline{\overline{\alpha}})E_{\alpha}, \quad \gamma_{\lambda}(K_{\mu}) = K_{\mu}, \quad \forall \mu \in \Gamma/\Gamma^{\perp}, \alpha \in \Delta^{+}$$

(this follows from (5.8.1));

(2) γ_{λ}'' equals the an inner automorphism $x \mapsto K_{\varphi^{-1}(\mu)} x K_{\varphi^{-1}(\mu)}^{-1}$ (this follows from (5.8.2)).

From this point further the proofs of Theorems 5.5.2, 5.7.1, and 5.7.1, extend mutatis mutandis from the family of algebras $u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$ to the family of algebras $\tilde{u}_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$. Furthermore, there is a simplification in the proof of the analog of Theorem 5.7.1: on the third line of the long display $\lambda \otimes M \otimes \lambda^{-1} \cong M$ and the rest of the equalities in the display can be omitted. This proves the following:

Theorem 5.8.2. Let $\tilde{u}_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$ be the version of the small quantum group for the Borel subalgebra of an arbitrary finite dimensional complex simple Lie algebra and a lattice $\mathbb{Z}\Delta \subseteq \Gamma \subseteq X$ defined in [20]. Assume that ℓ satisfies Assumption 5.2.2. Then the following hold:

- (a) $\mathrm{H}^{2\bullet+1}(\widetilde{u}_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b}),\mathbb{C})=0$ and $R:=\mathrm{H}^{2\bullet}(\widetilde{u}_{\mathcal{L}\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b}),\mathbb{C})\cong S^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{u}^{*})^{[1]}.$
- (b) There exist two mutually inverse bijections

 $\{\text{thick right ideals of } \mathsf{stmod}(\widetilde{u}_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b}))\} \stackrel{\Phi}{\underset{\Theta}{\longrightarrow}} \{\text{specialization closed sets of } \operatorname{Proj}(R)\},\$

where Φ and Θ are given by

$$\Phi(\mathbf{I}) := \bigcup_{A \in \mathbf{I}} W(A)$$

for the cohomological support $W : \mathsf{stmod}(\widetilde{u}_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})) \to \mathcal{X}_{cl}(\operatorname{Proj}(R))$ and

 $\Theta(Z):=\{M\in\mathsf{stmod}(u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b}))\mid W(M)\subseteq Z\}\quad for\quad Z\in\mathcal{X}_{sp}(\operatorname{Proj}(R)).$

- (c) Every thick right ideal of stmod($\widetilde{u}_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$) is two-sided.
- (d) There exists a homeomorphism $\operatorname{Proj}(R) \cong \operatorname{Spc}(\operatorname{stmod}(u_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b}))).$
- (e) All prime ideals of stmod($\widetilde{u}_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$) are completely prime.

(f) The cohomological support

W(-): stmod $(\widetilde{u}_{\mathcal{L},\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})) \to \mathcal{X}_{cl}(\operatorname{Proj} R)$

has the tensor product property $W(A \otimes B) = W(A) \cap W(B)$ for all $A, B \in$ stmod $(\tilde{u}_{\mathcal{C},\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b}))$.

There is a further simplification in the proof of part (c) of the theorem compared to that of Theorem 5.6.1(b). Since the algebras $\tilde{u}_{\zeta,\Gamma}(\mathfrak{b})$ satisfy the property in Proposition 5.8.1(b), part (c) of the theorem also follows directly from this property.

References

- H.H. Andersen and J.C. Jantzen, Cohomology of induced representations for algebraic groups, Math. Annalen 269 (1984), 487–525.
- P. Balmer, The spectrum of prime ideals in tensor triangulated categories, J. Reine Angew. Math. 588 (2005), 149–168.
- [3] C. P. Bendel, D. K. Nakano, B. J. Parshall, and C. Pillen, Cohomology for quantum groups via the geometry of the nullcone, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. 229 no. 1077 (2014), x+93 pp.
- [4] D. J. Benson, S. B. Iyengar, and H. Krause, Local cohomology and support for triangulated categories, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) 41 (2008), no. 4, 573–619.
- [5] D. J. Benson, S. B. Iyengar, and H. Krause, *Representations of finite groups: local cohomology and support*, Oberwolfach Seminars vol. 43, Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2012.
- [6] D. J. Benson and S. Witherspoon, Examples of support varieties for Hopf algebras with noncommutative tensor products, Arch. Math. (Basel) 102 (2014), no. 6, 512–520.
- [7] B. D. Boe, J. R. Kujawa, and D. K. Nakano, Tensor triangular geometry for quantum groups, preprint arXiv:1702.01289.
- [8] A.B. Buan, H. Krause, N. Snashall, and O. Solberg, Support varieties-an axiomatic approach, Math. Z. 295 (2020), 395–426.
- [9] J. Carlson, The varieties and the cohomology ring of a module, J. Algebra 85 (1983), 104–143.
- [10] J. Dixmier, *Enveloping algebras*, revised reprint of the 1977 translation, Grad. Stud. in Math. 11, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.
- [11] P. Etingof, S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, and V. Ostrik, *Tensor categories*, Math. Surveys and Monographs vol. 205, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2015.
- [12] J. Feldvoss and S. Witherspoon, Support varieties and representation type of small quantum groups, Int. Math. Res. Notices (2010), no. 7, 1346–1362.
- [13] E. M. Friedlander and J. Pevtsova, Π-supports for modules for finite group schemes, Duke Math. J. 139 (2007), no. 2, 317–368.
- [14] V. Ginzburg and S. Kumar, Cohomology of quantum groups at roots of unity, Duke Math. J. 69 (1993), no. 1, 179–198.
- [15] K. R. Goodearl and E. S. Letzter, Prime factor algebras of the coordinate ring of quantum matrices, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 121 (1994), 1017–1025.
- [16] J.E. Humphreys, Introduction to Lie and Representation Theory, Springer-Verlag, 1972.
- [17] A. Joseph, Idéaux premiers et primitifs de l'algèbre des fonctions sur un groupe quantique, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 316 (1993), no. 11, 1139–1142.
- [18] A. Joseph, Quantum groups and their primitive ideals, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [19] D. K. Nakano, K. B. Vashaw, and M. T. Yakimov, Noncommutative tensor triangular geometry, preprint arXiv:1909.04304.
- [20] C. Negron, Log-modular quantum groups at even roots of unity and the quantum Frobenius I, preprint arXiv:1812.02277.
- [21] C. Negron and J. Pevtsova, Support for integrable Hopf algebras via noncommutative hypersurfaces, preprint arXiv:2005.02965.

- [22] J. Y. Plavnik and S. Witherspoon, Tensor products and support varieties for some noncocommutative Hopf algebras, Algebr. Represent. Theory 21 (2018), no. 2, 259–276.
- [23] N. Snashall and O. Solberg, Support varieties and Hochschild cohomology rings, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 88 (2004), no. 3, 705–732.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA, ATHENS, GA 30602, U.S.A. *E-mail address:* nakano@math.uga.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY, BATON ROUGE, LA 70803, U.S.A.

 $E\text{-}mail\ address:$ kvasha1@lsu.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY, BATON ROUGE, LA 70803, U.S.A.

E-mail address: yakimov@math.lsu.edu