Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili ideas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

Quantum Integrable Systems via Quantum K-theory

Anton M. Zeitlin

Louisiana State University, Department of Mathematics

University of Pennsylvania

Philadelphia

March, 2019



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Introduction

We will talk about the relationship between two seemingly independent areas of mathematics:

- Quantum Integrable Systems
 - Exactly solvable models of statistical physics: spin chains, vertex models
 - 1930s: Hans Bethe: Bethe ansatz solution of Heisenberg model
 - 1960-70s: R.J. Baxter, C.N. Young: Yang-Baxter equation, Baxter operator
 - 1980s: Development of "QISM" by Leningrad school leading to the discovery of quantum groups by Drinfeld and Jimbo
 - Since 1990s: textbook subject and an established area of mathematics and physics.
- Enumerative geometry: quantum K-theory

Generalization of quantum cohomology in the early 2000s by A. Givental, Y.P. Lee and collaborators. Recently big progress in this direction by A. Okounkov and his school.

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability Nekrasov-Shatashvili deas Quantum K-theory Further Directions

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・

Introduction

We will talk about the relationship between two seemingly independent areas of mathematics:

Quantum Integrable Systems

Exactly solvable models of statistical physics: spin chains, vertex models

1930s: Hans Bethe: Bethe ansatz solution of Heisenberg model

1960-70s: R.J. Baxter, C.N. Young: Yang-Baxter equation, Baxter operator

1980s: Development of "QISM" by Leningrad school leading to the discovery of quantum groups by Drinfeld and Jimbo

Since 1990s: textbook subject and an established area of mathematics and physics.

Enumerative geometry: quantum K-theory

Generalization of quantum cohomology in the early 2000s by A. Givental, Y.P. Lee and collaborators. Recently big progress in this direction by A. Okounkov and his school.

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability Nekrasov-Shatashvili deas Quantum K-theory

urther Directions

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本

Introduction

We will talk about the relationship between two seemingly independent areas of mathematics:

Quantum Integrable Systems

Exactly solvable models of statistical physics: spin chains, vertex models

1930s: Hans Bethe: Bethe ansatz solution of Heisenberg model

1960-70s: R.J. Baxter, C.N. Young: Yang-Baxter equation, Baxter operator

1980s: Development of "QISM" by Leningrad school leading to the discovery of quantum groups by Drinfeld and Jimbo

Since 1990s: textbook subject and an established area of mathematics and physics.

Enumerative geometry: quantum K-theory

Generalization of quantum cohomology in the early 2000s by A. Givental, Y.P. Lee and collaborators. Recently big progress in this direction by A. Okounkov and his school.

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability Nekrasov-Shatashvili deas Quantum K-theory

Path to this relationship:

 First hints: work of Nekrasov and Shatashvili on 3-dimensiona gauge theories, now known as Gauge-Bethe correspondence:

N. Nekrasov, S. Shatashvili, *Supersymmetric vacua and Beth ansatz*, arXiv:0901.4744 N. Nekrasov, S. Shatashvili, *Quantum integrability and supersymmetric vacua*, arXiv:0901.4748

Subsequent work in geometric representation theory:

A. Braverman, D. Maulik, A. Okounkov, Quantum cohomology o the Springer resolution, Adv. Math. 227 (2011) 421-458
D. Maulik, A. Okounkov, Quantum Groups and Quantum Cohomology, arXiv:1211.1287
A. Okounkov, Lectures on K-theoretic computations in enumerative geometry, arXiv: arXiv:1512.07363

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Vekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Path to this relationship:

 First hints: work of Nekrasov and Shatashvili on 3-dimensional gauge theories, now known as Gauge-Bethe correspondence:

N. Nekrasov, S. Shatashvili, *Supersymmetric vacua and Bethe ansatz*, arXiv:0901.4744 N. Nekrasov, S. Shatashvili, *Quantum integrability and supersymmetric vacua*, arXiv:0901.4748

Subsequent work in geometric representation theory:

A. Braverman, D. Maulik, A. Okounkov, Quantum cohomology of the Springer resolution, Adv. Math. 227 (2011) 421-458
D. Maulik, A. Okounkov, Quantum Groups and Quantum Cohomology, arXiv:1211.1287
A. Okounkov, Lectures on K-theoretic computations in enumerative geometry, arXiv: arXiv:1512.07363

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

lekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

Path to this relationship:

 First hints: work of Nekrasov and Shatashvili on 3-dimensional gauge theories, now known as Gauge-Bethe correspondence:

N. Nekrasov, S. Shatashvili, *Supersymmetric vacua and Bethe ansatz*, arXiv:0901.4744 N. Nekrasov, S. Shatashvili, *Quantum integrability and supersymmetric vacua*, arXiv:0901.4748

Subsequent work in geometric representation theory:

A. Braverman, D. Maulik, A. Okounkov, *Quantum cohomology of the Springer resolution*, Adv. Math. 227 (2011) 421-458
D. Maulik, A. Okounkov, *Quantum Groups and Quantum Cohomology*, arXiv:1211.1287
A. Okounkov, *Lectures on K-theoretic computations in enumerative geometry*, arXiv: arXiv:1512.07363

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Vekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Understanding (enumerative) geometry of symplectic resolutions:

"Lie algebras of XXI century" (A. Okounkov' 2012)

Important examples: Springer resolution, Hilbert scheme of points in the plane, Hypertoric varieties,...

A large class of symplectic resolutions is provided by Nakajima quiver varieties (simplest subclass: $T^*Gr(k, n)$)

In this talk our main example will be $T^*Gr(k, n)$ and more generally, cotangent bundles to (partial) flag varieties.

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Vekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Understanding (enumerative) geometry of symplectic resolutions:

"Lie algebras of XXI century" (A. Okounkov' 2012)

Important examples: Springer resolution, Hilbert scheme of points in the plane, Hypertoric varieties,...

A large class of symplectic resolutions is provided by Nakajima quiver varieties (simplest subclass: $T^*Gr(k, n)$)

In this talk our main example will be $T^*Gr(k, n)$ and more generally, cotangent bundles to (partial) flag varieties.

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Vekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶

Understanding (enumerative) geometry of symplectic resolutions:

"Lie algebras of XXI century" (A. Okounkov' 2012)

Important examples: Springer resolution, Hilbert scheme of points in the plane, Hypertoric varieties,...

A large class of symplectic resolutions is provided by Nakajima quiver varieties (simplest subclass: $T^*Gr(k, n)$)

In this talk our main example will be $T^*Gr(k, n)$ and more generally, cotangent bundles to (partial) flag varieties.

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Vekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Understanding (enumerative) geometry of symplectic resolutions:

"Lie algebras of XXI century" (A. Okounkov' 2012)

Important examples: Springer resolution, Hilbert scheme of points in the plane, Hypertoric varieties,...

A large class of symplectic resolutions is provided by Nakajima quiver varieties (simplest subclass: $T^*Gr(k, n)$)

In this talk our main example will be $T^*Gr(k, n)$ and more generally, cotangent bundles to (partial) flag varieties.

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Vekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Understanding (enumerative) geometry of symplectic resolutions:

"Lie algebras of XXI century" (A. Okounkov' 2012)

Important examples: Springer resolution, Hilbert scheme of points in the plane, Hypertoric varieties,...

A large class of symplectic resolutions is provided by Nakajima quiver varieties (simplest subclass: $T^*Gr(k, n)$)

In this talk our main example will be $T^*Gr(k, n)$ and more generally, cotangent bundles to (partial) flag varieties.

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Vekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

Based on:

- Petr P. Pushkar, Andrey Smirnov, A.Z., Baxter Q-operator from quantum K-theory, arXiv:1612.08723
- Peter Koroteev, Petr P. Pushkar, Andrey Smirnov, A.Z., Quantum K-theory of Quiver Varieties and Many-Body Systems, arXiv:1705.10419
- Peter Koroteev, Anton M. Zeitlin, Difference Equations for K-theoretic Vertex Functions of Type-A Nakajima Varieties arXiv:1802.04463

and to some extent on

Peter Koroteev, Daniel S. Sage, Anton M. Zeitlin, (SL(N),q)-opers, the q-Langlands correspondence, and quantum/classical duality arXiv:1811.09937

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

lekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Outline

Quantum groups and quantum integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili ideas

Quantum K-theory and integrability

Back to Givental's ideas+further directions

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Vekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 臣 ▶ ◆ 臣 ● のへぐ

Let us consider Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} .

The associated *loop algebra* is $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}[t, t^{-1}]$ and t is known as *spectral parameter*.

The following representations, known as evaluation modules form a tensor category of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}:$

 $V_1(a_1) \otimes V_2(a_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes V_n(a_n),$

where

- V_i are representations of \mathfrak{g}
- ► *a_i* are values for *t*

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

・ロト ・ 画 ・ ・ 画 ・ ・ 画 ・ うへぐ

Let us consider Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} .

The associated *loop algebra* is $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}[t, t^{-1}]$ and t is known as *spectral parameter*.

The following representations, known as evaluation modules form a tensor category of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}:$

 $V_1(a_1) \otimes V_2(a_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes V_n(a_n),$

where

- *V_i* are representations of g
- ► *a_i* are values for *t*

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili ideas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Let us consider Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} .

The associated *loop algebra* is $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}[t, t^{-1}]$ and t is known as *spectral parameter*.

The following representations, known as evaluation modules form a tensor category of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} \colon$

$$V_1(a_1) \otimes V_2(a_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes V_n(a_n),$$

where

- V_i are representations of \mathfrak{g}
- a_i are values for t

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili ideas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

・ロ> < 回> < 回> < 回> < 回> < 回

Quantum groups

Quantum group

 $U_{\hbar}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$

is a deformation of $U(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$, with a nontrivial intertwiner $R_{V_1,V_2}(a_1/a_2)$:



 $V_2(a_2) \otimes V_1(a_1)$

which is a rational function of a_1, a_2 , satisfying Yang-Baxter equation:



The generators of $U_{\hbar}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ emerge as matrix elements of *R*-matrices (the so-called FRT construction).

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Integrability and Baxter algebra

Source of integrability: commuting *transfer matrices*, generating *Baxter algebra* which are weighted traces of

$$ilde{\mathsf{R}}_{W(u),\mathfrak{H}_{phys}}:W(u)\otimes\mathfrak{H}_{phys}
ightarrow W(u)\otimes\mathfrak{H}_{phys}$$

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ◆ 三 ● のへで

Source of integrability: commuting *transfer matrices*, generating *Baxter algebra* which are weighted traces of

$$ilde{\mathsf{R}}_{W(u),\mathfrak{H}_{phys}}:W(u)\otimes\mathfrak{H}_{phys}
ightarrow W(u)\otimes\mathfrak{H}_{phys}$$

over auxiliary W(u) space:

$$\mathcal{T}_{W}(u) = \operatorname{Tr}_{W(u)}\left((Z \otimes 1) \ \tilde{R}_{W(u),\mathcal{H}_{phys}}\right)$$



Here $Z \in e^{\mathfrak{h}}$, where $\mathfrak{h} \in \mathfrak{g}$ are diagonal matrices.

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

lekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

Integrability:

$[\mathcal{T}_{W'}(u'),\mathcal{T}_{W}(u)]=0$

There are special transfer matrices is called *Baxter Q-operators*. Such operators generate all Baxter algebra.

Primary goal for physicists is to diagonalize $\{T_W(u)\}$ simultaneously.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili ideas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

Integrability:

$$[\mathcal{T}_{W'}(u'),\mathcal{T}_{W}(u)]=0$$

There are special transfer matrices is called *Baxter Q-operators*. Such operators generate all Baxter algebra.

Primary goal for physicists is to diagonalize $\{T_W(u)\}$ simultaneously.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili ideas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

Integrability:

$$[\mathcal{T}_{W'}(u'),\mathcal{T}_{W}(u)]=0$$

There are special transfer matrices is called *Baxter Q-operators*. Such operators generate all Baxter algebra.

Primary goal for physicists is to diagonalize $\{T_W(u)\}$ simultaneously.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

$\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{sl}(2){:}$ XXZ spin chain

Textbook example (and main example in this talk) is XXZ Heisenber, spin chain:

$$\mathfrak{H}_{XXZ}=\mathbb{C}^2(a_1)\otimes\mathbb{C}^2(a_2)\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathbb{C}^2(a_n)$$

States:

Here \mathbb{C}^2 stands for 2-dimensional representation of $U_{\hbar}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$.

Algebraic method to diagonalize transfer matrices:

Algebraic Bethe ansatz

as a part of Quantum Inverse Scattering Method developed in the 1980s.

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Vekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 田 ・ ・ 田 ・ ・ 日 ・ うへぐ

$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2)$: XXZ spin chain

Textbook example (and main example in this talk) is XXZ Heisenberg spin chain:

$$\mathfrak{H}_{XXZ} = \mathbb{C}^2(a_1) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2(a_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{C}^2(a_n)$$

States:

 $\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow$

Here \mathbb{C}^2 stands for 2-dimensional representation of $U_{\hbar}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$.

Algebraic method to diagonalize transfer matrices:

Algebraic Bethe ansatz

as a part of Quantum Inverse Scattering Method developed in the 1980s.

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ● ●

$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2)$: XXZ spin chain

Textbook example (and main example in this talk) is XXZ Heisenberg spin chain:

$$\mathfrak{H}_{XXZ} = \mathbb{C}^2(a_1) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2(a_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{C}^2(a_n)$$

States:

 $\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow$

Here \mathbb{C}^2 stands for 2-dimensional representation of $U_{\hbar}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$.

Algebraic method to diagonalize transfer matrices:

Algebraic Bethe ansatz

as a part of Quantum Inverse Scattering Method developed in the 1980s.

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

The eigenvalues are generated by symmetric functions of Bethe roots $\{x_i\}$:

$$\prod_{j=1}^n \frac{x_i - a_j}{\hbar a_j - x_i} = z \, \hbar^{-n/2} \prod_{j=1 \atop j \neq i}^k \frac{x_i \hbar - x_j}{x_i - x_j \hbar}, \quad i = 1 \cdots k,$$

so that the eigenvalues $\Lambda(u)$ of the Q-operator are the generating functions for the elementary symmetric functions of Bethe roots:

$$\Lambda(\boldsymbol{u}) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} (1 + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}_i)$$

A real challenge is to describe representation-theoretic meaning of Q-operator for general g (possibly infinite-dimensional).

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Vekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

・ロト ・ 画 ・ ・ 画 ・ ・ 画 ・ うへぐ

The eigenvalues are generated by symmetric functions of Bethe roots $\{x_i\}$:

$$\prod_{j=1}^n \frac{x_i - a_j}{\hbar a_j - x_i} = z \, \hbar^{-n/2} \prod_{j=1 \atop j \neq i}^k \frac{x_i \hbar - x_j}{x_i - x_j \hbar}, \quad i = 1 \cdots k,$$

so that the eigenvalues $\Lambda(u)$ of the *Q*-operator are the generating functions for the elementary symmetric functions of Bethe roots:

$$\Lambda(u) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} (1 + u \cdot x_i)$$

A real challenge is to describe representation-theoretic meaning of Q-operator for general g (possibly infinite-dimensional).

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Vekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 • ���

The eigenvalues are generated by symmetric functions of Bethe roots $\{x_i\}$:

$$\prod_{j=1}^n \frac{x_i - a_j}{\hbar a_j - x_i} = z \, \hbar^{-n/2} \prod_{j=1 \atop j \neq i}^k \frac{x_i \hbar - x_j}{x_i - x_j \hbar}, \quad i = 1 \cdots k,$$

so that the eigenvalues $\Lambda(u)$ of the *Q*-operator are the generating functions for the elementary symmetric functions of Bethe roots:

$$\Lambda(u) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} (1 + u \cdot x_i)$$

A real challenge is to describe representation-theoretic meaning of Q-operator for general g (possibly infinite-dimensional).

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Vekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

Modern way of looking at Bethe ansatz: solving q-difference equations for

$$\Psi(z_1,\ldots,z_k;a_1,\ldots,a_n)\in V_1(a_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes V_n(a_n)[[z_1,\ldots,z_k]]$$

known as

Quantum Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov (aka Frenkel-Reshetikhin) equations:

 $\Psi(qa_1,\ldots,a_n,\{z_i\}) = (Z \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1)R_{V_1,V_n} \ldots R_{V_1,V_2} \vee +$ commuting difference equations in z – variables

Here $\{z_i\}$ are the components of twist variable Z.

The latter series of equations are known as dynamical equations, studied by Etingof, Felder, Tarasov, Varchenko, ...

In $q \to 1$ limit we arrive to an eigenvalue problem. Studying the asymptotics of the corresponding solutions we arrive to Bethe equations and eigenvectors.

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili ideas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶

Modern way of looking at Bethe ansatz: solving q-difference equations for

$$\Psi(z_1,\ldots,z_k;a_1,\ldots,a_n)\in V_1(a_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes V_n(a_n)[[z_1,\ldots,z_k]]$$

known as

Quantum Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov (aka Frenkel-Reshetikhin) equations:

$$\Psi(qa_1,\ldots,a_n,\{z_i\})=(Z\otimes 1\otimes \cdots\otimes 1)R_{V_1,V_n}\ldots R_{V_1,V_2}\Psi$$
+

commuting difference equations in z – variables

Here $\{z_i\}$ are the components of twist variable Z.

The latter series of equations are known as dynamical equations, studied by Etingof, Felder, Tarasov, Varchenko, ...

In $q \to 1$ limit we arrive to an eigenvalue problem. Studying the asymptotics of the corresponding solutions we arrive to Bethe equations and eigenvectors.

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 - のへで

Modern way of looking at Bethe ansatz: solving q-difference equations for

$$\Psi(z_1,\ldots,z_k;a_1,\ldots,a_n)\in V_1(a_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes V_n(a_n)[[z_1,\ldots,z_k]]$$

known as

Quantum Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov (aka Frenkel-Reshetikhin) equations:

$$\Psi(qa_1,\ldots,a_n,\{z_i\})=(Z\otimes 1\otimes \cdots \otimes 1)R_{V_1,V_n}\ldots R_{V_1,V_2}\Psi$$
+

commuting difference equations in z – variables

Here $\{z_i\}$ are the components of twist variable Z.

The latter series of equations are known as dynamical equations, studied by Etingof, Felder, Tarasov, Varchenko, ...

In $q \rightarrow 1$ limit we arrive to an eigenvalue problem. Studying the asymptotics of the corresponding solutions we arrive to Bethe equations and eigenvectors.

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 - のへで

Modern way of looking at Bethe ansatz: solving q-difference equations for

$$\Psi(z_1,\ldots,z_k;a_1,\ldots,a_n)\in V_1(a_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes V_n(a_n)[[z_1,\ldots,z_k]]$$

known as

Quantum Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov (aka Frenkel-Reshetikhin) equations:

$$\Psi(qa_1,\ldots,a_n,\{z_i\})=(Z\otimes 1\otimes \cdots \otimes 1)R_{V_1,V_n}\ldots R_{V_1,V_2}\Psi$$
+

commuting difference equations in z – variables

Here $\{z_i\}$ are the components of twist variable Z.

The latter series of equations are known as dynamical equations, studied by Etingof, Felder, Tarasov, Varchenko, ...

In $q \rightarrow 1$ limit we arrive to an eigenvalue problem. Studying the asymptotics of the corresponding solutions we arrive to Bethe equations and eigenvectors.

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Nekrasov-Shatashvili ideas

In 2009 Nekrasov and Shatashvili looked at 3d SUSY gauge theories on $\mathcal{C}\times S^1{:}$



with gauge group

 $G = U(v_1) \times U(v_2) \times \ldots U(v_{rankg}),$

and some "matter fields" (sections of associated vector G-bundles), to be specified below.

The collection $\{v_i\}$ determines the weights of the corresponding subspace in \mathcal{H} .

In the simplest case of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2)$ we just have one U(v) and

 $\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow, \text{ and } \#\downarrow=v$

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili ideas

Quantum K-theory

Nekrasov-Shatashvili ideas

In 2009 Nekrasov and Shatashvili looked at 3d SUSY gauge theories on $\mathcal{C}\times S^1{:}$



with gauge group

 $G = U(v_1) \times U(v_2) \times \ldots U(v_{rankg}),$

and some "matter fields" (sections of associated vector *G*-bundles), to be specified below.

The collection $\{v_i\}$ determines the weights of the corresponding subspace in \mathcal{H} .

In the simplest case of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2)$ we just have one U(v) and

 $\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow, \text{ and } \#\downarrow=v$

・ロト ・日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへぐ

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili ideas

Quantum K-theory

Nekrasov-Shatashvili ideas

In 2009 Nekrasov and Shatashvili looked at 3d SUSY gauge theories on $\mathcal{C}\times S^1{:}$



Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili ideas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

with gauge group

$$G = U(v_1) \times U(v_2) \times \ldots U(v_{rankg}),$$

and some "matter fields" (sections of associated vector G-bundles), to be specified below.

The collection $\{v_i\}$ determines the weights of the corresponding subspace in \mathcal{H} .

In the simplest case of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2)$ we just have one U(v) and

 $\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow, \text{ and } \#\downarrow=v$

◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 - のへで
In 2009 Nekrasov and Shatashvili looked at 3d SUSY gauge theories on $\mathcal{C}\times S^1{:}$



with gauge group

$$G = U(v_1) \times U(v_2) \times \ldots U(v_{rankg}),$$

and some "matter fields" (sections of associated vector G-bundles), to be specified below.

The collection $\{v_i\}$ determines the weights of the corresponding subspace in \mathcal{H} .

In the simplest case of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2)$ we just have one U(v) and

 $\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow, \text{ and }\#\downarrow=v$

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili ideas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ◆ 三 ● のへで

The set $\{v_i\}$ determines the weight (e.g. number of inverted spins) Maximal torus: $\{x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_{v_i}}\}$ — these are Bethe roots variables.

<u>Matter Fields</u>: affine space \mathcal{M}

▶ Standard matter fields: $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\operatorname{rankg}} V_i^* \otimes W_i$, s.t. $\dim(V_i) = v_i$;

 W_i is a *framing ("flavor")* space, where $\mathbb{C}_{a_1}^{\times} \times \mathbb{C}_{a_2}^{\times} \times \ldots$ act

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili ideas

Quantum K-theory

The set $\{v_i\}$ determines the weight (e.g. number of inverted spins) Maximal torus: $\{x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_{v_i}}\}$ — these are Bethe roots variables.

<u>Matter Fields</u>: affine space \mathcal{M}

▶ Standard matter fields: $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\operatorname{rankg}} V_i^* \otimes W_i$, s.t. $\dim(V_i) = v_i$;

 W_i is a *framing* (*"flavor"*) space, where $\mathbb{C}_{a_1}^{\times} \times \mathbb{C}_{a_2}^{\times} \times \ldots$ act.

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili ideas

Quantum K-theory

The set $\{v_i\}$ determines the weight (e.g. number of inverted spins) Maximal torus: $\{x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_{v_i}}\}$ — these are Bethe roots variables.

<u>Matter Fields</u>: affine space \mathcal{M}

▶ Standard matter fields: $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\operatorname{rankg}} V_i^* \otimes W_i$, s.t. $\dim(V_i) = v_i$;

 W_i is a *framing ("flavor")* space, where $\mathbb{C}_{a_1}^{\times} \times \mathbb{C}_{a_2}^{\times} \times \ldots$ act

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili ideas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 • ���

The set $\{v_i\}$ determines the weight (e.g. number of inverted spins) Maximal torus: $\{x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_{v_i}}\}$ — these are Bethe roots variables.

<u>Matter Fields</u>: affine space \mathcal{M}

▶ Standard matter fields: $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\operatorname{rankg}} V_i^* \otimes W_i$, s.t. $\dim(V_i) = v_i$;

 W_i is a *framing* (*"flavor"*) space, where $\mathbb{C}_{a_1}^{\times} \times \mathbb{C}_{a_2}^{\times} \times \ldots$ act.

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili ideas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

The set $\{v_i\}$ determines the weight (e.g. number of inverted spins) Maximal torus: $\{x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_{v_i}}\}$ — these are Bethe roots variables.

<u>Matter Fields</u>: affine space \mathcal{M}

▶ Standard matter fields: $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\operatorname{rankg}} V_i^* \otimes W_i$, s.t. $\dim(V_i) = v_i$;

 W_i is a *framing* (*"flavor"*) space, where $\mathbb{C}_{a_1}^{\times} \times \mathbb{C}_{a_2}^{\times} \times \ldots$ act.

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili ideas

Quantum K-theory

urther Directions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

Full Gauge/Bethe correspondence dictionary

Gauge group G: $U(v_1) \times U(v_2) \times \ldots U(v_{rankg})$

The set $\{v_i\}$ determines the weight (i.e. number of inverted spins) Maximal torus: $\{x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_{v_i}}\}$ — these are Bethe roots variables.

<u>Matter Fields</u>: affine space \mathcal{M}

▶ Standard matter fields: $\oplus_{i=1}^{\operatorname{rank}\mathfrak{g}} V_i^* \otimes W_i$, s.t. $\operatorname{dim}(V_i) = v_i$;

 W_i is a *framing ("flavor")* space, where $\mathbb{C}_{a_1}^{\times} \times \mathbb{C}_{a_2}^{\times} \times \ldots$ act.

"Bifundamental" quiver data:



The quiver serves as a "kind of" Dynkin diagram for \mathfrak{g} .

To have enough supersymmetries \oplus duals : $T^*\mathcal{M}$.

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili ideas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 • ���

Full Gauge/Bethe correspondence dictionary

Gauge group G: $U(v_1) \times U(v_2) \times \ldots U(v_{rankg})$

The set $\{v_i\}$ determines the weight (i.e. number of inverted spins) Maximal torus: $\{x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_{v_i}}\}$ — these are Bethe roots variables.

<u>Matter Fields</u>: affine space \mathcal{M}

▶ Standard matter fields: $\oplus_{i=1}^{rankg} V_i^* \otimes W_i$, s.t. $dim(V_i) = v_i$;

 W_i is a *framing ("flavor")* space, where $\mathbb{C}_{a_1}^{\times} \times \mathbb{C}_{a_2}^{\times} \times \ldots$ act.

"Bifundamental" quiver data:



The quiver serves as a "kind of" Dynkin diagram for \mathfrak{g} .

To have enough supersymmetries \oplus duals : $T^*\mathcal{M}$.

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili ideas

Quantum K-theory

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili ideas

Quantum K-theory

urther Directions

Moduli of Higgs vacua \leftrightarrow Nakajima quiver variety:

$$T^* \mathcal{M} /\!\!/\!/ G = \mu^{-1}(0) /\!\!/ G = N$$

where $\mu = 0$ is a momentum map (low energy configuration) condition.

In the case of quiver with one vertex and one framing:

$$N = T^* Gr(v, w)$$

<□> <0>

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili ideas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

Moduli of Higgs vacua \leftrightarrow Nakajima quiver variety:

$$T^* \mathfrak{M} /\!\!/\!/ G = \mu^{-1}(0) /\!\!/ G = N$$

where $\mu = 0$ is a momentum map (low energy configuration) condition.

In the case of quiver with one vertex and one framing:

$$N = T^* Gr(v, w)$$

<□> <0>

Moduli of Higgs vacua \leftrightarrow Nakajima quiver variety:

$$T^*\mathcal{M}/\!\!/\!/ G = \mu^{-1}(0)/\!/ G = N$$

where $\mu=0$ is low energy configuration condition.

In the case of quiver with one vertex and one framing:

$$N = T^* Gr(v, w).$$

Hilbert space of vacua $\mathcal{H}\longleftrightarrow$ Wilson line operators \longleftrightarrow



Known to be a module for the action of a quantum group $U_{\hbar}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ due to Nakajima.

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili ideas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions



× St

Moduli of Higgs vacua \leftrightarrow Nakajima quiver variety:

$$T^*\mathcal{M}/\!\!/\!/ G = \mu^{-1}(0)/\!/ G = N$$

where $\mu=0$ is low energy configuration condition.

In the case of quiver with one vertex and one framing:

$$N = T^* Gr(v, w).$$

Hilbert space of vacua $\mathcal{H}\longleftrightarrow$ Wilson line operators \longleftrightarrow



equivariant K-theory of Nakajima variety.

Known to be a module for the action of a quantum group $U_{\hbar}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ due to Nakajima.

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili ideas

Quantum K-theory

$$\operatorname{str}(e^{-eta \, {\mathbb D}^2} A) = \operatorname{tr}_{\operatorname{Ker} {\mathbb D}_{even}}(A) - \operatorname{tr}_{\operatorname{Ker} {\mathbb D}_{odd}}(A) = \operatorname{str}_{\operatorname{index} {\mathbb D}}(A)$$

Mathematically those correspond to (very similar to GW curve counting!) weighted K-theoretic counts of quasimaps:

 $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\text{quasimap } f} \text{Nakajima variety } N$

The weight (Kähler) parameter is $Z^{\text{deg(f)}}$, which is exactly twist parameter Z we encountered before.

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili ideas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

$$\operatorname{str}(e^{-\beta \tilde{\mathcal{V}}^2} A) = \operatorname{tr}_{\operatorname{Ker} \check{\mathcal{P}}_{even}}(A) - \operatorname{tr}_{\operatorname{Ker} \check{\mathcal{P}}_{odd}}(A) = \operatorname{str}_{\operatorname{index} \check{\mathcal{P}}}(A)$$

Mathematically those correspond to (very similar to GW curve counting!) weighted K-theoretic counts of quasimaps:

 $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\text{quasimap } f} \text{Nakajima variety } N$

The weight (Kähler) parameter is $Z^{\text{deg(f)}}$, which is exactly twist parameter Z we encountered before.

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili ideas

Quantum K-theory

urther Directions

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

$$\operatorname{str}(e^{-eta \, {D}^2} A) = \operatorname{tr}_{\operatorname{Ker} {ar P}_{even}}(A) - \operatorname{tr}_{\operatorname{Ker} {ar P}_{odd}}(A) = \operatorname{str}_{\operatorname{index} {ar P}}(A)$$

Mathematically those correspond to (very similar to GW curve counting!) weighted K-theoretic counts of quasimaps:

 $\mathfrak{C} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{quasimap} \ \mathsf{f}} \mathsf{Nakajima \ variety} \ \mathsf{N}$

The weight (Kähler) parameter is $Z^{\text{deg(f)}}$, which is exactly twist parameter Z we encountered before.

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili ideas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

$$\operatorname{str}(e^{-eta \, {D}^2} A) = \operatorname{tr}_{\operatorname{Ker} {ar P}_{even}}(A) - \operatorname{tr}_{\operatorname{Ker} {ar P}_{odd}}(A) = \operatorname{str}_{\operatorname{index} {ar P}}(A)$$

Mathematically those correspond to (very similar to GW curve counting!) weighted K-theoretic counts of quasimaps:

 $\mathfrak{C} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{quasimap} \ \mathsf{f}} \mathsf{Nakajima variety} \ \mathsf{N}$

The weight (Kähler) parameter is $Z^{\text{deg}(f)}$, which is exactly twist parameter Z we encountered before.

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili ideas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

$$\operatorname{str}(e^{-eta
otin ^2} A) = \operatorname{tr}_{\operatorname{Ker}
otinger _{oven}}(A) - \operatorname{tr}_{\operatorname{Ker}
otinger _{odd}}(A) = \operatorname{str}_{\operatorname{index}
otin ^2}(A)$$

Mathematically those correspond to (very similar to GW curve counting!) weighted K-theoretic counts of quasimaps:

 $\mathfrak{C} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{quasimap} \mathsf{f}} \mathsf{Nakajima variety} \mathsf{N}$

The weight (Kähler) parameter is $Z^{\text{deg}(f)}$, which is exactly twist parameter Z we encountered before.

One can think of quantum K-theory ring:



Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili ideas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ◆ 三 ● ● ● ●

Nekrasov and Shatashvili:

Quantum K – theory ring of Nakajima variety =

symmetric polynomials in x_{i_1} / Bethe equations

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili ideas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

Key Ideas

Nekrasov and Shatashvili:

Quantum K – theory ring of Nakajima variety =

symmetric polynomials in x_{i_1} / Bethe equations

Input by Okounkov:

q - difference equations = qKZ equations + dynamical equations

$$\underbrace{}_{\mathcal{V}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}$$

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili ideas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 - のへで

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili ideas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

In the following we will talk about this in the simplest case:

- Nakajima variety: $N = T^* Gr(k, n)$
- Quantum Integrable System: sl(2) XXZ spin chain.

<□> <0><</p>

$$T^*Gr(k, n) = N_{k,n}, \quad \sqcup_k N_{k,n} = N(n).$$

As a Nakajima variety:

 $N_{k,n} = T^* \mathcal{M}/\!\!/\!/ GL(V) = \mu^{-1}(0)_s/GL(V).$

where

 $T^*\mathfrak{M} = Hom(V, W) \oplus Hom(W, V)$

Tautological bundles:

 $\mathcal{V} = T^* \mathcal{M} \times V / \hspace{-0.1cm} / \hspace{-0.1cm} / \hspace{-0.1cm} GL(V), \quad \mathcal{W} = T^* \mathcal{M} \times W / \hspace{-0.1cm} / \hspace{-0.1cm} / \hspace{-0.1cm} GL(V)$

For any $au \in K_{GL(V)}(\cdot) = \Lambda(x_1^{\pm 1}, x_2^{\pm 1}, \dots x_k^{\pm 1})$ we introduce a tautological bundle:

$$\tau = T^* \mathcal{M} \times \tau(V) / \hspace{-1.5mm} / \hspace{-1.5mm} / \hspace{-1.5mm} \mathsf{GL}(V)$$

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Vekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

$$T^*Gr(k, n) = N_{k,n}, \quad \sqcup_k N_{k,n} = N(n).$$

As a Nakajima variety:

 $N_{k,n} = T^* \mathcal{M}/\!\!/\!/ GL(V) = \mu^{-1}(0)_s/GL(V),$

where

 $T^*\mathfrak{M} = Hom(V, W) \oplus Hom(W, V)$

Tautological bundles:

 $\mathcal{V} = T^* \mathcal{M} \times V / \hspace{-0.1cm} / \hspace{-0.1cm} / \hspace{-0.1cm} GL(V), \quad \mathcal{W} = T^* \mathcal{M} \times W / \hspace{-0.1cm} / \hspace{-0.1cm} / \hspace{-0.1cm} GL(V)$

For any $au \in K_{GL(V)}(\cdot) = \Lambda(x_1^{\pm 1}, x_2^{\pm 1}, \dots x_k^{\pm 1})$ we introduce a tautological bundle:

$$\tau = T^* \mathcal{M} \times \tau(V) / \hspace{-1.5mm} / \hspace{-1.5mm} / \hspace{-1.5mm} \mathsf{GL}(V)$$

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Vekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

$$T^*Gr(k, n) = N_{k,n}, \quad \sqcup_k N_{k,n} = N(n).$$

As a Nakajima variety:

 $N_{k,n} = T^* \mathcal{M}/\!\!/\!/ GL(V) = \mu^{-1}(0)_s/GL(V),$

where

 $T^*\mathfrak{M} = Hom(V, W) \oplus Hom(W, V)$

Tautological bundles:

 $\mathcal{V} = T^* \mathcal{M} \times V / \hspace{-0.1cm} / \hspace{-0.1cm} / \hspace{-0.1cm} GL(V), \quad \mathcal{W} = T^* \mathcal{M} \times W / \hspace{-0.1cm} / \hspace{-0.1cm} / \hspace{-0.1cm} GL(V)$

For any $au \in K_{GL(V)}(\cdot) = \Lambda(x_1^{\pm 1}, x_2^{\pm 1}, \dots x_k^{\pm 1})$ we introduce a tautological bundle:

$$\tau = T^* \mathcal{M} \times \tau(V) / \mathbb{J} GL(V)$$

◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 - のへで

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

$$T^*Gr(k, n) = N_{k,n}, \quad \sqcup_k N_{k,n} = N(n).$$

As a Nakajima variety:

 $N_{k,n} = T^* \mathcal{M}/\!\!/\!/ GL(V) = \mu^{-1}(0)_s/GL(V),$

where

 $T^*\mathfrak{M} = Hom(V, W) \oplus Hom(W, V)$

Tautological bundles:

 $\mathcal{V} = T^* \mathcal{M} \times V / \mathcal{U} GL(V), \quad \mathcal{W} = T^* \mathcal{M} \times W / \mathcal{U} GL(V)$

For any $\tau \in \mathcal{K}_{GL(V)}(\cdot) = \Lambda(x_1^{\pm 1}, x_2^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_k^{\pm 1})$ we introduce a tautological bundle:

$$\tau = T^* \mathcal{M} \times \tau(V) / \hspace{-1.5mm} / \hspace{-1.5mm} / \hspace{-1.5mm} \mathsf{GL}(V)$$

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Vekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○

Torus action:

 $A = \mathbb{C}_{a_1}^{\times} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}_{a_n}^{\times} \circlearrowright W,$

Full torus : $T = A \times \mathbb{C}_{\hbar}^{\times}$, where $\mathbb{C}_{\hbar}^{\times}$ scales cotangent direction.

Fixed points: $\mathbf{p} = \{s_1, \ldots, s_k\} \in \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$

Denote $\mathcal{A} := \mathbb{Q}(a_1, \dots, a_n, \hbar)$, $R := \mathbb{Z}(a_1, \dots, a_n, \hbar)$, then localized K-theory is:

$$K_T(N(n))_{loc} = K_T(N(n)) \otimes_R \mathcal{A} = \sum_{k=0}^n K_T(N_{k,n}) \otimes_R \mathcal{A}$$

is a 2^n -dimensional A-vector space (Hilbert space for spin chain), spanned by \mathcal{O}_p .

<u>Classical Bethe equations</u>: The eigenvalues of the operators of multiplication by τ are $\tau(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ evaluated at the solutions of the following equations:

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n} (x_{i} - a_{j}) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, k, \text{ with } x_{i} \neq x_{j}$$

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

lekrasov-Shatashvili leas

Quantum K-theory

Torus action:

 $A = \mathbb{C}_{a_1}^{\times} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}_{a_n}^{\times} \circlearrowright W,$

Full torus : $T = A \times \mathbb{C}^{\times}_{\hbar}$, where $\mathbb{C}^{\times}_{\hbar}$ scales cotangent directions

Fixed points: $\mathbf{p} = \{s_1, \ldots, s_k\} \in \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$

Denote $\mathcal{A} := \mathbb{Q}(a_1, \dots, a_n, \hbar)$, $R := \mathbb{Z}(a_1, \dots, a_n, \hbar)$, then localized K-theory is:

$$K_T(N(n))_{loc} = K_T(N(n)) \otimes_R \mathcal{A} = \sum_{k=0}^n K_T(N_{k,n}) \otimes_R \mathcal{A}$$

is a 2^n -dimensional A-vector space (Hilbert space for spin chain), spanned by \mathcal{O}_p .

Classical Bethe equations: The eigenvalues of the operators of multiplication by τ are $\tau(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ evaluated at the solutions of the following equations:

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n} (x_{i} - a_{j}) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, k, \text{ with } x_{i} \neq x_{j}$$

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

lekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Torus action:

 $A=\mathbb{C}_{a_1}^{\times}\times\cdots\times\mathbb{C}_{a_n}^{\times}\circlearrowright W,$

Full torus : $T = A \times \mathbb{C}^{\times}_{\hbar}$, where $\mathbb{C}^{\times}_{\hbar}$ scales cotangent directions

Fixed points:
$$\mathbf{p} = \{s_1, \ldots, s_k\} \in \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$$

Denote $\mathcal{A} := \mathbb{Q}(a_1, \dots, a_n, \hbar)$, $R := \mathbb{Z}(a_1, \dots, a_n, \hbar)$, then localized K-theory is:

$$K_T(N(n))_{loc} = K_T(N(n)) \otimes_R \mathcal{A} = \sum_{k=0}^n K_T(N_{k,n}) \otimes_R \mathcal{A}$$

is a 2^n -dimensional A-vector space (Hilbert space for spin chain), spanned by \mathcal{O}_p .

Classical Bethe equations: The eigenvalues of the operators of multiplication by τ are $\tau(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ evaluated at the solutions of the following equations:

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n} (x_i - a_j) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, k, \text{ with } x_i \neq x_j$$

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

lekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Torus action:

 $A=\mathbb{C}_{a_1}^{\times}\times\cdots\times\mathbb{C}_{a_n}^{\times}\circlearrowright W,$

Full torus : $T = A \times \mathbb{C}_{\hbar}^{\times}$, where $\mathbb{C}_{\hbar}^{\times}$ scales cotangent directions

Fixed points: $\mathbf{p} = \{s_1, \ldots, s_k\} \in \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$

Denote $\mathcal{A} := \mathbb{Q}(a_1, \ldots, a_n, \hbar)$, $R := \mathbb{Z}(a_1, \ldots, a_n, \hbar)$, then localized K-theory is:

$$K_T(N(n))_{loc} = K_T(N(n)) \otimes_R \mathcal{A} = \sum_{k=0}^n K_T(N_{k,n}) \otimes_R \mathcal{A}$$

is a 2^n -dimensional A-vector space (Hilbert space for spin chain), spanned by \mathcal{O}_p .

Classical Bethe equations: The eigenvalues of the operators of multiplication by τ are $\tau(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ evaluated at the solutions of the following equations:

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n} (x_i - a_j) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, k, \text{ with } x_i \neq x_j$$

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

lekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Torus action:

 $A=\mathbb{C}_{a_1}^{\times}\times\cdots\times\mathbb{C}_{a_n}^{\times}\circlearrowright W,$

Full torus : $T = A \times \mathbb{C}^{\times}_{\hbar}$, where $\mathbb{C}^{\times}_{\hbar}$ scales cotangent directions

Fixed points: $\mathbf{p} = \{s_1, \ldots, s_k\} \in \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$

Denote $\mathcal{A} := \mathbb{Q}(a_1, \ldots, a_n, \hbar)$, $R := \mathbb{Z}(a_1, \ldots, a_n, \hbar)$, then localized K-theory is:

$$K_T(N(n))_{loc} = K_T(N(n)) \otimes_R \mathcal{A} = \sum_{k=0}^n K_T(N_{k,n}) \otimes_R \mathcal{A}$$

is a 2^n -dimensional A-vector space (Hilbert space for spin chain), spanned by \mathcal{O}_p .

<u>Classical Bethe equations</u>: The eigenvalues of the operators of multiplication by τ are $\tau(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ evaluated at the solutions of the following equations:

$$\prod_{j=1}^{''}(x_i-a_j)=0, \quad i=1,\ldots,k, \text{ with } x_i\neq x_j$$

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

lekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

We will use theory of quasimaps:

 $\mathbb{C} - - - \rightarrow N_{k,k}$

in order to deform tensor product: $A \circledast B = A \otimes B + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} A \otimes_d B z^d$

We will also define quantum tautological classes:

$$\hat{\tau}(z) = \tau + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \tau_d z^d \in K_T(N(n))[[z]]$$

Theorem. [P. Pushkar, A. Smirnov, A.Z] The eigenvalues of operators of quantum multiplication by $\hat{\tau}(z)$ are given by the values of the corresponding Laurent polynomials $\tau(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$ evaluated at the solutions of the following equations:

$$\prod_{j=1}^n \frac{x_i - a_j}{\hbar a_j - x_i} = z \, \hbar^{-n/2} \prod_{\substack{j=1\\j \neq i}}^k \frac{x_i \hbar - x_j}{x_i - x_j \hbar} \,, \quad i = 1 \cdots k,$$

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Vekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○ ○

We will use theory of quasimaps:

$$2 - - - \rightarrow N_{k,n}$$

in order to deform tensor product: $A \otimes B = A \otimes B + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} A \otimes_d B z^d$.

We will also define quantum tautological classes:

$$\hat{\tau}(z) = \tau + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \tau_d z^d \in K_T(N(n))[[z]]$$

Theorem. [P. Pushkar, A. Smirnov, A.Z] The eigenvalues of operators of quantum multiplication by $\hat{\tau}(z)$ are given by the values of the corresponding Laurent polynomials $\tau(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$ evaluated at the solutions of the following equations:

$$\prod_{j=1}^n \frac{x_i - a_j}{\hbar a_j - x_i} = z \, \hbar^{-n/2} \prod_{\substack{j=1\\j \neq i}}^k \frac{x_i \hbar - x_j}{x_i - x_j \hbar} \,, \quad i = 1 \cdots k,$$

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○ ○

We will use theory of quasimaps:

$$2 \longrightarrow N_{k,n}$$

in order to deform tensor product: $A \circledast B = A \otimes B + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} A \otimes_d B z^d$.

We will also define quantum tautological classes:

$$\hat{\tau}(z) = \tau + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \tau_d z^d \in K_T(N(n))[[z]]$$

Theorem. [P. Pushkar, A. Smirnov, A.Z] The eigenvalues of operators of quantum multiplication by $\hat{\tau}(z)$ are given by the values of the corresponding Laurent polynomials $\tau(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$ evaluated at the solutions of the following equations:

$$\prod_{j=1}^n \frac{x_i - a_j}{\hbar a_j - x_i} = z \, \hbar^{-n/2} \prod_{\substack{j=1\\j \neq i}}^k \frac{x_i \hbar - x_j}{x_i - x_j \hbar} \,, \quad i = 1 \cdots k,$$

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ● ○ ○ ○ ○

We will use theory of quasimaps:

$$2 - - - \rightarrow N_{k,n}$$

in order to deform tensor product: $A \otimes B = A \otimes B + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} A \otimes_d B z^d$.

We will also define quantum tautological classes:

$$\hat{\tau}(z) = \tau + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \tau_d z^d \in K_T(N(n))[[z]]$$

Theorem. [P. Pushkar, A. Smirnov, A.Z] The eigenvalues of operators of quantum multiplication by $\hat{\tau}(z)$ are given by the values of the corresponding Laurent polynomials $\tau(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$ evaluated at the solutions of the following equations:

$$\prod_{j=1}^n \frac{x_i - a_j}{\hbar a_j - x_i} = z \,\hbar^{-n/2} \prod_{j=1\atop j \neq i}^k \frac{x_i \hbar - x_j}{x_i - x_j \hbar}, \quad i = 1 \cdots k,$$

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○ ○

The quantum K-theoretic meaning of the Q-operator

Theorem. [P. Pushkar, A. Smirnov, A.Z.]

▶ The quantum multiplication on quantum tautological class corresponding to $\tau_u := \bigoplus_{m \ge 0} u^m \Lambda^m \mathcal{V}$ coincides with *Q*-operator, i..e

 $\hat{\tau}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{z}) = Q(\boldsymbol{u})$

Explicit universal formulas for quantum products::

$$\widehat{\Lambda^{\ell}\mathcal{V}}(z) = \Lambda^{\ell}\mathcal{V} + a_1(z) \ F_0\Lambda^{\ell-1}\mathcal{V}E_{-1} + \dots + a_{\ell}(z) \ F_0^{\ell}E_{-1}^{\ell}$$

where $a_m(z) = \frac{(\hbar-1)^m \hbar^{\frac{m(m+1)}{2}} \kappa^m}{(m)\hbar! \prod_{i=1}^m (1-(-1)^n z^{-1} \hbar^i \kappa)},$ where K E_2 E_3 are the generators of $U_k(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_k)$

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Vekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

The quantum K-theoretic meaning of the Q-operator

Theorem. [P. Pushkar, A. Smirnov, A.Z.]

▶ The quantum multiplication on quantum tautological class corresponding to $\tau_u := \bigoplus_{m \ge 0} u^m \Lambda^m \mathcal{V}$ coincides with *Q*-operator, i.e

 $\hat{\tau}_u(\mathbf{z}) = Q(u)$

Explicit universal formulas for quantum products::

$$\widehat{\Lambda^{\ell}\mathcal{V}}(z) = \Lambda^{\ell}\mathcal{V} + a_1(z) \ F_0\Lambda^{\ell-1}\mathcal{V}E_{-1} + \dots + a_{\ell}(z) \ F_0^{\ell}E_{-1}^{\ell}.$$

where $a_m(z) = \frac{(\hbar-1)^m \hbar^{\frac{m(m+1)}{2}K^m}}{(m)\hbar!\prod_{i=1}^m (1-(-1)^n z^{-1}\hbar^i K)}$, where K E_0 E_{-1} are the generators of $U_k(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_0)$

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Vekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

The quantum K-theoretic meaning of the Q-operator

Theorem. [P. Pushkar, A. Smirnov, A.Z.]

▶ The quantum multiplication on quantum tautological class corresponding to $\tau_u := \bigoplus_{m \ge 0} u^m \wedge^m \mathcal{V}$ coincides with *Q*-operator, i..e

 $\hat{\tau}_u(\mathbf{z}) = Q(u)$

Explicit universal formulas for quantum products::

$$\widehat{\Lambda^{\ell}\mathcal{V}}(z) = \Lambda^{\ell}\mathcal{V} + a_1(z) \ F_0\Lambda^{\ell-1}\mathcal{V}E_{-1} + \cdots + a_{\ell}(z) \ F_0^{\ell}E_{-1}^{\ell},$$

where $a_m(z) = \frac{(\hbar - 1)^m \hbar^{\frac{m(m+1)}{2}K^m}}{(m)_{\hbar}! \prod_{i=1}^m (1 - (-1)^n z^{-1} \hbar^i K)}$,

where K, F_0, E_{-1} are the generators of $U_{\hbar}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$.

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Vekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory
Quasimap $f: \mathcal{C} \dashrightarrow N_{k,n}$ is the following collection of data:

- vector bundle \mathscr{V} on \mathscr{C} of rank k.
- section f ∈ H⁰(C, M ⊕ M* ⊗ ħ), satisfying the condition µ = 0, where M = Hom(𝒴, 𝖤), so that 𝖤 is a trivial bundle of rank n.

 $ev_{p}(f)=f(p)\in [\mu^{-1}(0)/\mathit{GL}(V)]\supset N_{k,m}$

Quasimap is *stable* if $f(p) \in N_{k,n}$ for all but finitely many points, known as *singularities* of quasimap.

For the moduli space of quasimaps

 $QM(N_{k,n}) = ext{stable quasimaps to } N_{k,n}/\sim$

only \mathscr{V} and f vary, while \mathscr{C} and \mathscr{W} remain the same.

 $deg(f) := deg(\mathscr{V}), \quad QM(N_{k,n}) = \sqcup_{d \ge 0} QM^d(N_{k,n}).$

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili ideas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶

Quasimap $f: \mathcal{C} \dashrightarrow N_{k,n}$ is the following collection of data:

• vector bundle \mathscr{V} on \mathscr{C} of rank k.

section f ∈ H⁰(C, M ⊕ M* ⊗ ħ), satisfying the condition µ = 0, where M = Hom(V, W), so that W is a trivial bundle of rank n.

 $ev_p(f)=f(p)\in [\mu^{-1}(0)/\mathit{GL}(V)]\supset N_{k,m}$

Quasimap is *stable* if $f(p) \in N_{k,n}$ for all but finitely many points, known as *singularities* of quasimap.

For the moduli space of quasimaps

 $QM(N_{k,n}) = ext{stable quasimaps to } N_{k,n}/\sim$

only \mathscr{V} and f vary, while \mathscr{C} and \mathscr{W} remain the same.

 $deg(f) := deg(\mathscr{V}), \quad QM(N_{k,n}) = \sqcup_{d \ge 0} QM^d(N_{k,n}).$

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili ideas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

Quasimap $f: \mathcal{C} \dashrightarrow N_{k,n}$ is the following collection of data:

• vector bundle \mathscr{V} on \mathscr{C} of rank k.

section f ∈ H⁰(C, M ⊕ M* ⊗ ħ), satisfying the condition µ = 0, where M = Hom(V, W), so that W is a trivial bundle of rank n.

 $ev_p(f)=f(p)\in [\mu^{-1}(0)/\mathit{GL}(V)]\supset \mathsf{N}_{k,n}$

Quasimap is *stable* if $f(p) \in N_{k,n}$ for all but finitely many points, known as *singularities* of quasimap.

For the moduli space of quasimaps

 $QM(N_{k,n}) = ext{stable quasimaps to } N_{k,n}/\sim$

only \mathscr{V} and f vary, while \mathscr{C} and \mathscr{W} remain the same.

 $deg(f) := deg(\mathscr{V}), \quad QM(N_{k,n}) = \sqcup_{d \ge 0} QM^d(N_{k,n}).$

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili ideas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

Quasimap $f: \mathcal{C} \dashrightarrow N_{k,n}$ is the following collection of data:

- vector bundle \mathscr{V} on \mathscr{C} of rank k.
- section f ∈ H⁰(C, M ⊕ M* ⊗ ħ), satisfying the condition µ = 0, where M = Hom(𝒴,𝒴), so that 𝖤 is a trivial bundle of rank n.

 $ev_p(f)=f(p)\in [\mu^{-1}(0)/GL(V)]\supset N_{k,n}$

Quasimap is *stable* if $f(p) \in N_{k,n}$ for all but finitely many points, known as *singularities* of quasimap.

For the moduli space of quasimaps

 $QM(N_{k,n}) = ext{stable quasimaps to } N_{k,n}/\sim$

only \mathscr{V} and f vary, while \mathscr{C} and \mathscr{W} remain the same.

 $deg(f) := deg(\mathscr{V}), \quad QM(N_{k,n}) = \sqcup_{d \ge 0} QM^d(N_{k,n}).$

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili ideas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

Quasimap $f: \mathcal{C} \dashrightarrow N_{k,n}$ is the following collection of data:

- vector bundle \mathscr{V} on \mathscr{C} of rank k.
- section f ∈ H⁰(C, M ⊕ M* ⊗ ħ), satisfying the condition µ = 0, where M = Hom(V, W), so that W is a trivial bundle of rank n.

 $ev_p(f) = f(p) \in [\mu^{-1}(0)/GL(V)] \supset N_{k,n}$

Quasimap is *stable* if $f(p) \in N_{k,n}$ for all but finitely many points, known as *singularities* of quasimap.

For the moduli space of quasimaps

 $QM(N_{k,n}) = ext{stable quasimaps to } N_{k,n}/\sim$

only \mathscr{V} and f vary, while \mathscr{C} and \mathscr{W} remain the same.

 $deg(f) := deg(\mathscr{V}), \quad QM(N_{k,n}) = \sqcup_{d \ge 0} QM^d(N_{k,n}).$

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili ideas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

Quasimap $f: \mathcal{C} \dashrightarrow N_{k,n}$ is the following collection of data:

- vector bundle \mathscr{V} on \mathscr{C} of rank k.
- section f ∈ H⁰(C, M ⊕ M^{*} ⊗ ħ), satisfying the condition µ = 0, where M = Hom(𝒴, 𝒴), so that 𝒴 is a trivial bundle of rank n.

 $ev_p(f) = f(p) \in [\mu^{-1}(0)/GL(V)] \supset N_{k,n}$

Quasimap is *stable* if $f(p) \in N_{k,n}$ for all but finitely many points, known as *singularities* of quasimap.

For the moduli space of quasimaps

 $QM(N_{k,n}) = ext{stable quasimaps to } N_{k,n}/\sim$

only \mathscr{V} and f vary, while \mathscr{C} and \mathscr{W} remain the same.

 $deg(f) := deg(\mathscr{V}), \quad QM(N_{k,n}) = \sqcup_{d \ge 0} QM^d(N_{k,n}).$

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili ideas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

Quasimap $f: \mathcal{C} \dashrightarrow N_{k,n}$ is the following collection of data:

- vector bundle \mathscr{V} on \mathscr{C} of rank k.
- section f ∈ H⁰(C, M ⊕ M^{*} ⊗ ħ), satisfying the condition µ = 0, where M = Hom(𝒴, 𝒴), so that 𝒴 is a trivial bundle of rank n.

 $ev_p(f) = f(p) \in [\mu^{-1}(0)/GL(V)] \supset N_{k,n}$

Quasimap is *stable* if $f(p) \in N_{k,n}$ for all but finitely many points, known as *singularities* of quasimap.

For the moduli space of quasimaps

 $QM(N_{k,n}) =$ stable quasimaps to $N_{k,n}/\sim$

only \mathscr{V} and f vary, while \mathscr{C} and \mathscr{W} remain the same.

 $deg(f) := deg(\mathscr{V}), \quad QM(N_{k,n}) = \sqcup_{d \ge 0} QM^{d}(N_{k,n})$

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili ideas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶

Quasimap $f: \mathcal{C} \dashrightarrow N_{k,n}$ is the following collection of data:

- vector bundle \mathscr{V} on \mathscr{C} of rank k.
- section f ∈ H⁰(C, M ⊕ M* ⊗ ħ), satisfying the condition µ = 0, where M = Hom(𝒴,𝒴), so that 𝖤 is a trivial bundle of rank n.

$$ev_p(f) = f(p) \in [\mu^{-1}(0)/GL(V)] \supset N_{k,m}$$

Quasimap is *stable* if $f(p) \in N_{k,n}$ for all but finitely many points, known as *singularities* of quasimap.

For the moduli space of quasimaps

 $QM(N_{k,n}) =$ stable quasimaps to $N_{k,n}/\sim$

only \mathscr{V} and f vary, while \mathfrak{C} and \mathscr{W} remain the same.

$$deg(f) := deg(\mathscr{V}), \quad QM(N_{k,n}) = \sqcup_{d \ge 0} QM^d(N_{k,n}).$$

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili ideas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○

Relative quasimaps



Resolution, to make evaluation map proper:

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

lekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

Relative quasimaps



Resolution, to make evaluation map proper:

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Vekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

Relative quasimaps

р

Resolution, to make evaluation map proper:



Quantum K-theory

That allows the curve to break: emergence of "accordeons":



ii)f' : nonsing at p' and nodes of C'

iii)Aut(f') is finite

Anton Zeitlin

 $QM^{d}(N_{k,n})$ have perfect deformation-obstruction theory:

▶ If (𝒴,𝒴) defines quasimap nonsingular at p,

$$T^{\mathrm{vir}}_{(\mathscr{V},\mathscr{W})}QM^{d}_{\mathrm{nonsing p}}(N_{k,n}) = \mathrm{Def} - \mathrm{Obs} = H^{\bullet}(\mathscr{P} \oplus \hbar \mathscr{P}^{*})$$

where \mathscr{P} is the polarization bundle on the curve \mathscr{C} :

$$\mathscr{P} = \mathscr{W} \otimes \mathscr{V}^* - \mathscr{V}^* \otimes \mathscr{V}.$$

Virtual structure sheaf:

$$\hat{\mathscr{O}}_{\mathrm{vir}} = \mathscr{O}_{\mathrm{vir}} \otimes \mathscr{K}_{\mathrm{vir}}^{1/2} \dots,$$

where $\mathscr{K}_{\text{vir}} = \det^{-1} \mathcal{T}^{\text{vir}} Q M^d$ is the virtual canonical bundle.

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶

$QM^d(N_{k,n})$ have perfect deformation-obstruction theory:

▶ If $(\mathscr{V}, \mathscr{W})$ defines quasimap nonsingular at p,

$$T^{\mathrm{vir}}_{(\mathscr{V},\mathscr{W})}QM^{d}_{\mathrm{nonsing } p}(N_{k,n}) = \mathrm{Def} - \mathrm{Obs} = H^{\bullet}(\mathscr{P} \oplus \hbar \, \mathscr{P}^{*})$$

where \mathscr{P} is the polarization bundle on the curve \mathscr{C} :

$$\mathscr{P} = \mathscr{W} \otimes \mathscr{V}^* - \mathscr{V}^* \otimes \mathscr{V}.$$

Virtual structure sheaf:

$$\hat{\mathscr{O}}_{\mathrm{vir}} = \mathscr{O}_{\mathrm{vir}} \otimes \mathscr{K}_{\mathrm{vir}}^{1/2} \dots,$$

where $\mathscr{K}_{\text{vir}} = \det^{-1} \mathcal{T}^{\text{vir}} Q M^d$ is the virtual canonical bundle.

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

 $QM^{d}(N_{k,n})$ have perfect deformation-obstruction theory:

• If $(\mathscr{V}, \mathscr{W})$ defines quasimap nonsingular at p,

$$\mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{vir}}_{(\mathscr{V},\mathscr{W})} QM^{d}_{\operatorname{nonsing p}}(N_{k,n}) = \mathrm{Def} - \mathrm{Obs} = H^{\bullet}(\mathscr{P} \oplus \hbar \, \mathscr{P}^{*})$$

where \mathscr{P} is the polarization bundle on the curve \mathcal{C} :

 $\mathscr{P} = \mathscr{W} \otimes \mathscr{V}^* - \mathscr{V}^* \otimes \mathscr{V}.$

Virtual structure sheaf:

$$\hat{\mathscr{O}}_{\mathrm{vir}} = \mathscr{O}_{\mathrm{vir}} \otimes \mathscr{K}_{\mathrm{vir}}^{1/2} \dots$$

where $\mathscr{K}_{\text{vir}} = \det^{-1} \mathcal{T}^{\text{vir}} Q M^d$ is the virtual canonical bundle.

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

 $QM^{d}(N_{k,n})$ have perfect deformation-obstruction theory:

• If $(\mathscr{V}, \mathscr{W})$ defines quasimap nonsingular at p,

$$\mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{vir}}_{(\mathscr{V},\mathscr{W})} QM^{d}_{\operatorname{nonsing p}}(N_{k,n}) = \mathrm{Def} - \mathrm{Obs} = H^{\bullet}(\mathscr{P} \oplus \hbar \, \mathscr{P}^{*})$$

where \mathscr{P} is the polarization bundle on the curve \mathcal{C} :

$$\mathscr{P} = \mathscr{W} \otimes \mathscr{V}^* - \mathscr{V}^* \otimes \mathscr{V}.$$

Virtual structure sheaf:

$$\hat{\mathscr{O}}_{\mathrm{vir}} = \mathscr{O}_{\mathrm{vir}} \otimes \mathscr{K}_{\mathrm{vir}}^{1/2} \dots,$$

where $\mathscr{K}_{\text{vir}} = \det^{-1} \mathcal{T}^{\text{vir}} Q M^d$ is the virtual canonical bundle.

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

 $QM^{d}(N_{k,n})$ have perfect deformation-obstruction theory:

• If $(\mathscr{V}, \mathscr{W})$ defines quasimap nonsingular at p,

$$\mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{vir}}_{(\mathscr{V},\mathscr{W})} QM^{d}_{\operatorname{nonsing p}}(N_{k,n}) = \mathrm{Def} - \mathrm{Obs} = H^{\bullet}(\mathscr{P} \oplus \hbar \, \mathscr{P}^{*})$$

where \mathscr{P} is the polarization bundle on the curve \mathcal{C} :

$$\mathscr{P} = \mathscr{W} \otimes \mathscr{V}^* - \mathscr{V}^* \otimes \mathscr{V}.$$

Virtual structure sheaf:

$$\hat{\mathscr{O}}_{\mathrm{vir}} = \mathscr{O}_{\mathrm{vir}} \otimes \mathscr{K}_{\mathrm{vir}}^{1/2} \dots,$$

where $\mathscr{K}_{\text{vir}} = \det^{-1} \mathcal{T}^{\text{vir}} Q M^d$ is the virtual canonical bundle.

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

Pushforwards and degeneration formula

How to degenerate curve in a suitable way?

Avoiding singularities \rightarrow Degeneration formula:

$$\chi(QM(\mathcal{C}_{\epsilon} \to N_{k,n}), \hat{\mathscr{O}}_{\mathrm{vir}} z^d) = (\mathbf{G}^{-1} \mathrm{ev}_{1,*}(\hat{\mathscr{O}}_{\mathrm{vir}} z^d), \mathrm{ev}_{2,*}(\hat{\mathscr{O}}_{\mathrm{vir}} z^d))$$

ere pairing $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) := \chi(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{K}^{-1/2}),$

 $\mathrm{ev}_i: \mathcal{QM}(\mathcal{C}_{0,i} \to N_{k,n})_{\mathrm{relative gluing point}} \to N_{k,n}$

so that **G** is a gluing operator \longleftrightarrow :

$$\mathbf{G} = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} z^d \mathrm{ev}_{p_1, p_2, *} \left(QM_{\mathrm{relative } p_1, p_2}, \hat{\mathscr{O}}_{\mathrm{vir}} \right) \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{T}}(N_{k, n})^{\otimes 2}[[z]]$$

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

lekrasov-Shatashvili leas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 臣 ▶ ◆ 臣 ● の < @

Pushforwards and degeneration formula

How to degenerate curve in a suitable way?

Avoiding singularities \rightarrow Degeneration formula:

$$\chi(QM(\mathcal{C}_{\epsilon} \to N_{k,n}), \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathrm{vir}} z^{d}) = (\mathbf{G}^{-1} \mathrm{ev}_{1,*}(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathrm{vir}} z^{d}), \mathrm{ev}_{2,*}(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathrm{vir}} z^{d}))$$

we pairing $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) := \chi(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G} \otimes K^{-1/2}).$

 $\mathrm{ev}_i: \mathcal{QM}(\mathbb{C}_{0,i} \to N_{k,n})_{\mathrm{relative gluing point}} \to N_{k,n}$

so that **G** is a gluing operator \longleftrightarrow :

$$\mathbf{G} = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} z^{d} \mathrm{ev}_{p_{1},p_{2},*} \left(QM_{\mathrm{relative } p_{1},p_{2}}, \hat{\mathscr{O}}_{\mathrm{vir}} \right) \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{N}_{k,n})^{\otimes 2}[[z]]$$

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

lekrasov-Shatashvili leas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ ミ ▶ ◆ ミ ▶ ● ミ ● のへで

How to degenerate curve in a suitable way?

Avoiding singularities \rightarrow Degeneration formula:

$$\chi(QM(\mathcal{C}_{\epsilon} \to N_{k,n}), \hat{\mathscr{O}}_{\mathrm{vir}} z^{d}) = (\mathbf{G}^{-1} \mathrm{ev}_{1,*}(\hat{\mathscr{O}}_{\mathrm{vir}} z^{d}), \mathrm{ev}_{2,*}(\hat{\mathscr{O}}_{\mathrm{vir}} z^{d}))$$

Here pairing $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) := \chi(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G} \otimes K^{-1/2}),$

 $\mathrm{ev}_i: \, QM(\mathcal{C}_{0,i} \to N_{k,n})_{\mathrm{relative gluing point}} \to N_{k,n}$

so that **G** is a gluing operator \longleftrightarrow :

$$\mathbf{G} = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} z^{d} \mathrm{ev}_{p_{1},p_{2},*} \left(QM_{\mathrm{relative } p_{1},p_{2}}, \hat{\mathscr{O}}_{\mathrm{vir}} \right) \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{N}_{k,n})^{\otimes 2}[[z]]$$

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

lekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ◆ 三 ● のへで

How to degenerate curve in a suitable way?

Avoiding singularities \rightarrow Degeneration formula:

$$\chi(QM(\mathfrak{C}_{\epsilon} \to N_{k,n}), \hat{\mathscr{O}}_{\mathrm{vir}} z^d) = (\mathbf{G}^{-1} \mathrm{ev}_{1,*}(\hat{\mathscr{O}}_{\mathrm{vir}} z^d), \mathrm{ev}_{2,*}(\hat{\mathscr{O}}_{\mathrm{vir}} z^d))$$

Here pairing $(\mathfrak{F},\mathfrak{G}) := \chi(\mathfrak{F} \otimes \mathfrak{G} \otimes \mathcal{K}^{-1/2})$,

$$\operatorname{ev}_i: \mathcal{QM}(\mathcal{C}_{0,i} \to N_{k,n})_{\operatorname{relative gluing point}} \to N_{k,n}$$

so that **G** is a gluing operator \longleftrightarrow

$$\mathbf{G} = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} z^{d} \mathrm{ev}_{p_{1},p_{2},*} \left(\mathcal{QM}_{\mathrm{relative } p_{1},p_{2}}, \hat{\mathscr{O}}_{\mathrm{vir}} \right) \in K_{T}(N_{k,n})^{\otimes 2}[[z]]$$

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

lekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ◆ 三 ● のへで

We define the commutative and associative quantum product by mean of the following element in $K_T(N_{k,n})^{\otimes^2}[[z]]$:

$$\mathfrak{F}_{\circledast} \cdot = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} z^{d} \operatorname{ev}_{p_{1},p_{3},*} \left(QM^{d}_{\operatorname{relative} p_{1},p_{2},p_{3}}, \operatorname{ev}^{*}_{p_{2}}(\mathbf{G}^{-1}\mathfrak{F}) \hat{\mathscr{O}}_{\operatorname{vir}} \right) \mathbf{G}^{-1}$$

represented by

$$(\rightarrow)$$
 $G^{-1}\mathcal{F}$ G^{-1}

 $QK_T(N_{k,n}) = K_T(N_{k,n})[[z]]$ is a unital algebra, so that:

$$\hat{\mathbf{1}}(z) = 1 \longrightarrow = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} z^d \operatorname{ev}_{p_2,*} \left(Q M^d_{\operatorname{relative} p_2}, \hat{\mathscr{O}}_{\operatorname{vir}} \right)$$

Similarly, one defines quantum tautological classes:

$$\hat{\tau}(z) = \tau \longrightarrow \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} z^d \operatorname{ev}_{p_2,*}\left(QM^d_{\operatorname{relative} p_2}, \hat{\mathscr{O}}_{\operatorname{vir}}\tau(\mathscr{V}|_{p_1})\right)$$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへぐ

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili ideas

Quantum K-theory

We define the commutative and associative quantum product by means of the following element in $K_T(N_{k,n})^{\otimes^2}[[z]]$:

$$\mathcal{F}_{\circledast} \cdot = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} z^{d} \operatorname{ev}_{\rho_{1},\rho_{3},*} \left(\mathcal{QM}^{d}_{\operatorname{relative} \ \rho_{1},\rho_{2},\rho_{3}}, \operatorname{ev}^{*}_{\operatorname{p}_{2}}(\mathbf{G}^{-1}\mathcal{F})\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\operatorname{vir}} \right) \mathbf{G}^{-1}$$

represented by

$$(\longrightarrow)$$
 $G^{-1}\mathcal{F}$ G^{-1}

 $QK_T(N_{k,n}) = K_T(N_{k,n})[[z]]$ is a unital algebra, so that:

$$\hat{\mathbf{1}}(z) = 1 \longrightarrow \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} z^d \operatorname{ev}_{p_2,*} \left(Q M^d_{\operatorname{relative} p_2}, \hat{\mathscr{O}}_{\operatorname{vir}} \right)$$

Similarly, one defines quantum tautological classes:

$$\hat{\tau}(z) = \tau \longrightarrow \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} z^d \operatorname{ev}_{p_2,*}\left(QM^d_{\operatorname{relative} p_2}, \hat{\mathscr{O}}_{\operatorname{vir}}\tau(\mathscr{V}|_{p_1})\right)$$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへぐ

Anton Zeitlin

Outlin

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili ideas

Quantum K-theory

We define the commutative and associative quantum product by means of the following element in $K_T(N_{k,n})^{\otimes^2}[[z]]$:

$$\mathfrak{F}_{\circledast} \cdot = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} z^{d} \operatorname{ev}_{p_{1},p_{3},*} \left(\mathcal{QM}_{\operatorname{relative}\ p_{1},p_{2},p_{3}}^{d}, \operatorname{ev}_{p_{2}}^{*}(\mathbf{G}^{-1}\mathfrak{F})\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\operatorname{vir}} \right) \mathbf{G}^{-1}$$

represented by

$$(\rightarrow \ G^{-1} \mathcal{F})$$
 G^{-1}

 $QK_T(N_{k,n}) = K_T(N_{k,n})[[z]]$ is a unital algebra, so that:

$$\hat{1}(z) = \xrightarrow{1}{} \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} z^{d} \operatorname{ev}_{p_{2},*}\left(QM^{d}_{\operatorname{relative}p_{2}}, \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\operatorname{vir}}\right)$$

Similarly, one defines quantum tautological classes:

$$\hat{\tau}(z) = \tau \longrightarrow \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} z^d \operatorname{ev}_{p_2,*}\left(QM^d_{\operatorname{relative} p_2}, \hat{\mathscr{O}}_{\operatorname{vir}}\tau(\mathscr{V}|_{p_1})\right)$$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへぐ

Anton Zeitlin

Outlin

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili ideas

Quantum K-theory

We define the commutative and associative quantum product by means of the following element in $K_T(N_{k,n})^{\otimes^2}[[z]]$:

$$\mathfrak{F}_{\circledast} \cdot = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} z^{d} \operatorname{ev}_{p_{1},p_{3},*} \left(\mathcal{QM}_{\operatorname{relative}\ p_{1},p_{2},p_{3}}^{d}, \operatorname{ev}_{p_{2}}^{*}(\mathbf{G}^{-1}\mathfrak{F})\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\operatorname{vir}} \right) \mathbf{G}^{-1}$$

represented by

$$(\rightarrow \ G^{-1} \mathcal{F})$$
 G^{-1}

 $QK_T(N_{k,n}) = K_T(N_{k,n})[[z]]$ is a unital algebra, so that:

$$\hat{1}(z) = \xrightarrow{1 \longrightarrow} = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} z^d \operatorname{ev}_{p_2,*} \left(QM^d_{\operatorname{relative} p_2}, \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\operatorname{vir}} \right)$$

Similarly, one defines quantum tautological classes:

$$\hat{\tau}(z) = \tau \longrightarrow = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} z^d \operatorname{ev}_{p_2,*}\left(QM^d_{\operatorname{relative} p_2}, \hat{\mathscr{O}}_{\operatorname{vir}}\tau(\mathscr{V}|_{p_1})\right)$$

◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Anton Zeitlin

Outlin

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili ideas

Quantum K-theory

Let us talk about $G = T \times \mathbb{C}_{q}^{\times}$ -equivariant K-theory.

▶ Vertex, a class in $K_G(N_{k,n})_{loc}[[z]]$

$$V^{(\tau)}(z) = \tau = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} z^d \operatorname{ev}_{p_2,*}\left(QM^d_{\operatorname{nonsing} p_2}, \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\operatorname{vir}}\tau(\mathscr{V}|_{p_1})\right)$$

singular in $q \rightarrow 1$ limit.

▶ Capped Vertex, a class in K_G(N_{k,n})[[z]] :

$$\hat{V}^{(\tau)}(z) = \tau \longrightarrow \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} z^d \operatorname{ev}_{p_2,*}\left(QM^d_{\operatorname{relative} p_2}, \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\operatorname{vir}}\tau(\mathcal{V}|_{p_1})\right)$$

Therefore, $\lim_{q
ightarrow 1} \hat{V}^{(au)}(z) = \hat{ au}(z)$

Fusion operator is defined as the following class in $K_G^{\otimes 2}(N_{k,n})_{loc}[[z]]$:

$$\Psi(z) = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} z^d \operatorname{ev}_{p_1, p_2, *} \left(QM^d_{\operatorname{relative} p_1, \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\operatorname{vir}}} \right)$$

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Vekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Let us talk about $G = T \times \mathbb{C}_{q}^{\times}$ -equivariant K-theory.

▶ Vertex, a class in $K_G(N_{k,n})_{loc}[[z]]$

$$V^{(\tau)}(z) = \tau = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} z^d \operatorname{ev}_{p_2,*}\left(QM^d_{\operatorname{nonsing} p_2}, \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\operatorname{vir}}\tau(\mathcal{V}|_{p_1})\right)$$

singular in $q \rightarrow 1$ limit.

► Capped Vertex, a class in K_G(N_{k,n})[[z]] :

$$\hat{V}^{(\tau)}(z) = \tau \longrightarrow \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} z^d \operatorname{ev}_{p_2,*}\left(QM^d_{\operatorname{relative} p_2}, \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\operatorname{vir}}\tau(\mathcal{V}|_{p_1})\right)$$

Therefore, $\lim_{q o 1} \hat{V}^{(au)}(z) = \hat{ au}(z)$

Fusion operator is defined as the following class in $K_G^{\otimes 2}(N_{k,n})_{loc}[[z]]$:

$$\Psi(z) = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} z^{d} \operatorname{ev}_{p_{1}, p_{2}, *} \left(QM^{d}_{\operatorname{relative} p_{1}}, \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\operatorname{vir}} \right)$$

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Let us talk about $G = T \times \mathbb{C}_{q}^{\times}$ -equivariant K-theory.

▶ Vertex, a class in K_G(N_{k,n})_{loc}[[z]]:

$$V^{(\tau)}(z) = \tau \bullet = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} z^d \operatorname{ev}_{p_2,*}\left(QM^d_{\operatorname{nonsing} p_2}, \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\operatorname{vir}}\tau(\mathcal{V}|_{p_1})\right)$$

singular in $q \rightarrow 1$ limit.

► Capped Vertex, a class in K_G(N_{k,n})[[z]] :

$$\hat{V}^{(\tau)}(z) = \tau \longrightarrow \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} z^d \operatorname{ev}_{p_2,*}\left(QM^d_{\operatorname{relative} p_2}, \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\operatorname{vir}}\tau(\mathcal{V}|_{p_1})\right)$$

Therefore, $\lim_{q o 1} \hat{V}^{(au)}(z) = \hat{ au}(z)$

Fusion operator is defined as the following class in $K_G^{\otimes 2}(N_{k,n})_{loc}[[z]]$:

$$\Psi(z) = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} z^d \operatorname{ev}_{\rho_1, \rho_2, *} \left(QM^d_{\operatorname{relative} p_1, \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\operatorname{vir}}} \right)$$

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Let us talk about $G = T \times \mathbb{C}_{q}^{\times}$ -equivariant K-theory.

▶ Vertex, a class in K_G(N_{k,n})_{loc}[[z]]:

$$V^{(\tau)}(z) = \tau \bullet = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} z^d \operatorname{ev}_{p_2,*}\left(QM^d_{\operatorname{nonsing} p_2}, \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\operatorname{vir}}\tau(\mathcal{V}|_{p_1})\right)$$

singular in $q \rightarrow 1$ limit.

► Capped Vertex, a class in K_G(N_{k,n})[[z]]:

$$\hat{V}^{(\tau)}(z) = \tau \longrightarrow \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} z^d \operatorname{ev}_{p_2,*}\left(QM^d_{\operatorname{relative} p_2}, \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\operatorname{vir}}\tau(\mathcal{V}|_{p_1})\right)$$

Therefore, $\lim_{q\to 1} \hat{V}^{(\tau)}(z) = \hat{\tau}(z)$

Fusion operator is defined as the following class in $K_G^{\otimes 2}(N_{k,n})_{loc}[[z]]$:

$$\Psi(z) = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} z^d \operatorname{ev}_{p_1, p_2, *} \left(QM^d_{\operatorname{relative} p_1}, \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\operatorname{vir}} \right)$$

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Let us talk about $G = T \times \mathbb{C}_{q}^{\times}$ -equivariant K-theory.

▶ Vertex, a class in K_G(N_{k,n})_{loc}[[z]]:

$$V^{(\tau)}(z) = \tau \bullet = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} z^d \operatorname{ev}_{p_2,*}\left(QM^d_{\operatorname{nonsing} p_2}, \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\operatorname{vir}}\tau(\mathcal{V}|_{p_1})\right)$$

singular in $q \rightarrow 1$ limit.

► Capped Vertex, a class in K_G(N_{k,n})[[z]]:

$$\hat{V}^{(\tau)}(z) = \tau \longrightarrow \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} z^d \operatorname{ev}_{p_2,*}\left(QM^d_{\operatorname{relative} p_2}, \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\operatorname{vir}}\tau(\mathcal{V}|_{p_1})\right)$$

Therefore, $\lim_{q \to 1} \hat{V}^{(au)}(z) = \hat{ au}(z)$

Fusion operator is defined as the following class in $K_G^{\otimes 2}(N_{k,n})_{loc}[[z]]$:

$$\Psi(z) = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} z^{d} \operatorname{ev}_{p_{1},p_{2},*} \left(QM^{d}_{\operatorname{relative} p_{1}}, \hat{O}_{\operatorname{vir}} \right)$$

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Fusion relates two types of vertices

$$\hat{V}^{(\tau)}(z) = \Psi(z) V^{(\tau)}(z)$$

$$\overleftarrow{\tau} = \overleftarrow{\tau} \circ \circ \cdots \circ \tau$$

Theorem. i)[A. Okounkov] Fusion operator satisfies q-difference equation:

 $\Psi(qz) = M(z)\Psi(z)O(1)^{-1},$

where $\mathbb{O}(1)$ is the operator of classical multiplication by the corresponding line bundle and

$$M(z) = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} z^d \operatorname{ev}_* \left(QM^d_{\operatorname{relative} p_1, p_2}, \widehat{\mathscr{O}}_{\operatorname{vir}} \det H^{\bullet} \left(\mathscr{V} \otimes \pi^*(\mathfrak{O}_{p_1}) \right) \right) \mathbf{G}^{-1},$$

where π is a projection from semistable curve $C' \to C$ and \mathcal{O}_{p_1} is a class of point $p_1 \in C$.

ii) [P. Pushkar, A. Smirnov, A.Z] Under the specialization q = 1 the operator M(z) coincides with the operator of quantum multiplication by the quantum line bundle:

$$M(z)|_{q=1} = \widehat{\mathbb{O}(1)}(z) \circledast \cdot$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Vekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Fusion relates two types of vertices:

$$\hat{V}^{(\tau)}(z) = \Psi(z)V^{(\tau)}(z)$$
$$\overleftarrow{\tau} = \overleftarrow{\tau} \circ \circ \cdots \circ \tau$$

Theorem. i)[A. Okounkov] Fusion operator satisfies q-difference equation:

 $\Psi(qz) = M(z)\Psi(z)O(1)^{-1}$

where $\ensuremath{\mathbb{O}}(1)$ is the operator of classical multiplication by the corresponding line bundle and

$$M(z) = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} z^d \operatorname{ev}_* \left(QM^d_{\operatorname{relative} p_1, p_2}, \widehat{\mathscr{O}}_{\operatorname{vir}} \det H^{\bullet} \left(\mathscr{V} \otimes \pi^*(\mathfrak{O}_{p_1}) \right) \right) \mathbf{G}^{-1},$$

where π is a projection from semistable curve $C' \to C$ and \mathcal{O}_{p_1} is a class of point $p_1 \in C$.

ii) [P. Pushkar, A. Smirnov, A.Z] Under the specialization q = 1 the operator M(z) coincides with the operator of quantum multiplication by the quantum line bundle:

$$M(z)|_{q=1} = \widehat{\mathbb{O}(1)}(z) \circledast \cdot$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Vekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Fusion relates two types of vertices:

$$\hat{V}^{(\tau)}(z) = \Psi(z) V^{(\tau)}(z)$$

Theorem. i)[A. Okounkov] Fusion operator satisfies q-difference equation:

 $\Psi(qz) = M(z)\Psi(z)O(1)^{-1},$

where $\ensuremath{\mathbb{O}}(1)$ is the operator of classical multiplication by the corresponding line bundle and

$$M(z) = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} z^d \operatorname{ev}_* \left(QM^d_{\operatorname{relative} p_1, p_2}, \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\operatorname{vir}} \det H^{\bullet} \left(\mathscr{V} \otimes \pi^*(\mathfrak{O}_{p_1}) \right) \right) \mathbf{G}^{-1},$$

where π is a projection from semistable curve $\mathcal{C}' \to \mathcal{C}$ and \mathcal{O}_{p_1} is a class of point $p_1 \in \mathcal{C}$.

ii) [P. Pushkar, A. Smirnov, A.Z] Under the specialization q = 1 the operator M(z) coincides with the operator of quantum multiplication by the quantum line bundle:

$$M(z)|_{q=1} = \widehat{\mathbb{O}(1)}(z) \circledast \cdot$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 • ���

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Vekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Fusion relates two types of vertices:

$$\hat{V}^{(\tau)}(z) = \Psi(z) V^{(\tau)}(z)$$

$$\overleftarrow{\tau} = \overleftarrow{\tau} \circ \circ \overleftarrow{\tau}$$

Theorem. i)[A. Okounkov] Fusion operator satisfies q-difference equation:

 $\Psi(qz) = M(z)\Psi(z)\mathcal{O}(1)^{-1},$

where $\ensuremath{\mathbb{O}}(1)$ is the operator of classical multiplication by the corresponding line bundle and

$$M(z) = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} z^d \operatorname{ev}_* \left(QM^d_{\operatorname{relative} p_1, p_2}, \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\operatorname{vir}} \det H^{\bullet} \left(\mathscr{V} \otimes \pi^*(\mathfrak{O}_{p_1}) \right) \right) \mathbf{G}^{-1},$$

where π is a projection from semistable curve $\mathcal{C}' \to \mathcal{C}$ and \mathcal{O}_{p_1} is a class of point $p_1 \in \mathcal{C}$.

ii) [P. Pushkar, A. Smirnov, A.Z] Under the specialization q = 1 the operator M(z) coincides with the operator of quantum multiplication by the quantum line bundle:

$$M(z)|_{q=1} = \widehat{\mathcal{O}(1)}(z) \circledast \cdot$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Vekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

K-theory ring

Theorem. [P. Pushkar, A. Smirnov, A.Z.] i) Localization formula implies the following integral formula for the vertex:

$$V_{\mathbf{p}}^{(\tau)}(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i \alpha_{p}} \int_{C_{p}} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{ds_{i}}{s_{i}} e^{-\frac{\ln(z_{\beta})\ln(s_{i})}{\ln(q)}} \prod_{i,j=1}^{k} \frac{\varphi\left(\frac{s_{i}}{s_{j}}\right)}{\varphi\left(\frac{q}{h}\frac{s_{j}}{s_{j}}\right)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \frac{\varphi\left(\frac{q}{h}\frac{s_{j}}{s_{i}}\right)}{\varphi\left(\frac{s_{j}}{s_{i}}\right)} \tau(s_{1},\cdots,s_{k})$$

where $\varphi(x) = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^i x)$, $z_{\sharp} = (-1)^n \hbar^{n/2} z$, α_p is a normalization parameter.

ii) The eigenvalues $\tau_{p}(z)$ of $\hat{\tau}(z)$ are labeled by fixed points are given by the following formula:

$$\tau_{\mathbf{p}}(z) = \lim_{q \to 1} \frac{V_{\mathbf{p}}^{(\tau)}(z)}{V_{\mathbf{p}}^{(1)}(z)} = \tau(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_k})$$

where $V_{\mathbf{p}}^{(\tau)}(z)$ are the components of bare vertex in the basis of fixed points and $\{x_{i_r}\}$ are the solutions of Bethe equations.

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

lekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

(ロ)、

K-theory ring

Theorem. [P. Pushkar, A. Smirnov, A.Z.]

i) Localization formula implies the following integral formula for the vertex:

$$V_{\mathbf{p}}^{(\tau)}(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i \alpha_{p}} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{p}} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{d\mathbf{s}_{i}}{\mathbf{s}_{i}} e^{-\frac{\ln(z_{\sharp})\ln(s_{i})}{\ln(q)}} \prod_{i,j=1}^{k} \frac{\varphi\left(\frac{s_{j}}{s_{j}}\right)}{\varphi\left(\frac{q}{\hbar} \frac{s_{j}}{s_{j}}\right)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \frac{\varphi\left(\frac{q}{\hbar} \frac{s_{j}}{s_{j}}\right)}{\varphi\left(\frac{s_{j}}{a_{i}}\right)} \tau(s_{1}, \cdots, s_{k}),$$

where $\varphi(x) = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^i x)$, $z_{\sharp} = (-1)^n \hbar^{n/2} z$, α_p is a normalization parameter.

ii) The eigenvalues $\tau_{\mathbf{p}}(z)$ of $\hat{\tau}(z)$ are labeled by fixed points are given by the following formula:

$$\tau_{\mathbf{p}}(z) = \lim_{q \to 1} \frac{V_{\mathbf{p}}^{(\tau)}(z)}{V_{\mathbf{p}}^{(1)}(z)} = \tau(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_k})$$

where $V_{\mathbf{p}}^{(\tau)}(z)$ are the components of bare vertex in the basis of fixed points and $\{x_{i_r}\}$ are the solutions of Bethe equations.

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへぐ

K-theory ring

Theorem. [P. Pushkar, A. Smirnov, A.Z.]

i) Localization formula implies the following integral formula for the vertex:

$$V_{\mathbf{p}}^{(\tau)}(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i \alpha_{p}} \int_{C_{p}} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{ds_{i}}{s_{i}} e^{-\frac{\ln(z_{\sharp})\ln(s_{i})}{\ln(q)}} \prod_{i,j=1}^{k} \frac{\varphi\left(\frac{s_{j}}{s_{j}}\right)}{\varphi\left(\frac{q}{\hbar}\frac{s_{j}}{s_{j}}\right)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \frac{\varphi\left(\frac{q}{\hbar}\frac{s_{j}}{s_{j}}\right)}{\varphi\left(\frac{s_{j}}{a_{i}}\right)} \tau(s_{1},\cdots,s_{k}),$$

where $\varphi(x) = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^i x)$, $z_{\sharp} = (-1)^n \hbar^{n/2} z$, α_p is a normalization parameter.

ii) The eigenvalues $\tau_p(z)$ of $\hat{\tau}(z)$ are labeled by fixed points are given by the following formula:

$$\tau_{\mathbf{p}}(z) = \lim_{q \to 1} \frac{V_{\mathbf{p}}^{(\tau)}(z)}{V_{\mathbf{p}}^{(1)}(z)} = \tau(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_k})$$

where $V_{\mathbf{p}}^{(\tau)}(z)$ are the components of bare vertex in the basis of fixed points and $\{x_{i_r}\}$ are the solutions of Bethe equations.

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Vekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions
Relation to Many-Body systems: (partial) flags

Givental and his collaborators (1990s and early 2000s): relation between quantum geometry of flag varieties and many body systems.

Cotangent bundle to partial flag variety is a

Nakajima variety of type A:



Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

lekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ◆ 三 ● ● ● ●

Relation to Many-Body systems: (partial) flags

Givental and his collaborators (1990s and early 2000s): relation between quantum geometry of flag varieties and many body systems.

Cotangent bundle to partial flag variety is a

Nakajima variety of type A:



Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Vekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Relation to Many-Body systems: (partial) flags

Givental and his collaborators (1990s and early 2000s): relation between quantum geometry of flag varieties and many body systems.

Cotangent bundle to partial flag variety is a

Nakajima variety of type A:



Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Vekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ◆ 三 ● ● ● ●

Relations between classical multiparticle systems and quantum integrable models were observed on various levels (Mukhin, Tarasov Varchenko, Zabrodin, Zotov, ...)

Gaiotto and Koroteev indicated that in the context of Gauge/Bethe correspondence of Nekrasov and Shatashvili.

Generalization of Givental and Kim result:

Theorem. [P. Koroteev, P. Pushkar, A. Smirnov, A.Z., 2017] Quantum equivariant K-theory of $\mathcal{T}^* \mathbb{F}\ell$ ($\mathbb{F}\ell$) is an algebra of functions on the Lagrangian subvariety in the phase space of trigonometric Ruijsenaars-Schneider (relativistic Toda) system.

Theorem.i) [P. Koroteev, D. Sage, A.Z., 2018] Quantum equivariant K-theory of cotangent bundles of partial flags coincides with the one introduced by R. Rymanyi, V Tarasov, A. Varchenko in 2014.

eigenfunctions of quantum tRS hamiltonians.

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

lekrasov-Shatashvili leas

Quantum K-theory

Relations between classical multiparticle systems and quantum integrable models were observed on various levels (Mukhin, Tarasov, Varchenko, Zabrodin, Zotov, ...)

Gaiotto and Koroteev indicated that in the context of Gauge/Bethe correspondence of Nekrasov and Shatashvili.

Generalization of Givental and Kim result:

Theorem. [P. Koroteev, P. Pushkar, A. Smirnov, A.Z., 2017] Quantum equivariant K-theory of $\mathcal{T}^*\mathbb{F}\ell$ ($\mathbb{F}\ell$) is an algebra of functions on the Lagrangian subvariety in the phase space of trigonometric Ruijsenaars-Schneider (relativistic Toda) system.

Theorem.i) [P. Koroteev, D. Sage, A.Z., 2018] Quantum equivariant K-theory of cotangent bundles of partial flags coincides with the one introduced by R. Rymanyi, V Tarasov, A. Varchenko in 2014. ii) [P. Koroteev, A.Z., 2018] Vertex functions are the

eigenfunctions of quantum tRS hamiltonians.

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

lekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Relations between classical multiparticle systems and quantum integrable models were observed on various levels (Mukhin, Tarasov, Varchenko, Zabrodin, Zotov, ...)

Gaiotto and Koroteev indicated that in the context of Gauge/Bethe correspondence of Nekrasov and Shatashvili.

Generalization of Givental and Kim result:

Theorem. [P. Koroteev, P. Pushkar, A. Smirnov, A.Z., 2017] Quantum equivariant K-theory of $\mathcal{T}^*\mathbb{F}\ell$ ($\mathbb{F}\ell$) is an algebra of functions on the Lagrangian subvariety in the phase space of trigonometric Ruijsenaars-Schneider (relativistic Toda) system.

Theorem.i) [P. Koroteev, D. Sage, A.Z., 2018] Quantum equivariant K-theory of cotangent bundles of partial flags coincides with the one introduced by R. Rymanyi, V Tarasov, A. Varchenko in 2014. ii) [P. Koroteev, A.Z., 2018] Vertex functions are the

eigenfunctions of quantum tRS hamiltonians.

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

lekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Relations between classical multiparticle systems and quantum integrable models were observed on various levels (Mukhin, Tarasov, Varchenko, Zabrodin, Zotov, ...)

Gaiotto and Koroteev indicated that in the context of Gauge/Bethe correspondence of Nekrasov and Shatashvili.

Generalization of Givental and Kim result:

Theorem. [P. Koroteev, P. Pushkar, A. Smirnov, A.Z., 2017] Quantum equivariant K-theory of $\mathcal{T}^*\mathbb{F}\ell$ ($\mathbb{F}\ell$) is an algebra of functions on the Lagrangian subvariety in the phase space of trigonometric Ruijsenaars-Schneider (relativistic Toda) system.

Theorem.i) [P. Koroteev, D. Sage, A.Z., 2018] Quantum equivariant K-theory of cotangent bundles of partial flags coincides with the one introduced by R. Rymanyi, V Tarasov, A. Varchenko in 2014.

ii)[P. Koroteev, A.Z., 2018] Vertex functions are the eigenfunctions of quantum tRS hamiltonians.

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

lekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

There are quantum Wronskian relations, which Q-operators satisfy (QQ-system). Geometric meaning?

Recent answer is given in terms of q-opers by P. Koroteev, D. Sage, A. Zeitlin. Enumerative meaning?

- ► Enumerative geometry of symplectic resolutions → new kinds of integrable systems. The simplest example: Hilbert scheme of points on a plane.
- Elliptic quantum groups, integrable systems and Elliptic cohomology from 4-dimensional Gauge theories. Some recent progress by M. Aganagic and A. Okounkov.
- Quantum q-Langlands correspondence:

P. Koroteev, D. Sage, A.Z.: quantum-classical duality as various coordinates on the space of (SL(N), q)-opers, general case in progress.

M. Aganagic, E. Frenkel and A. Okounkov: equivalence of conformal blocks in ADE case.

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Vekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

There are quantum Wronskian relations, which Q-operators satisfy (QQ-system). Geometric meaning?

Recent answer is given in terms of q-opers by P. Koroteev, D. Sage, A. Zeitlin. Enumerative meaning?

- ► Enumerative geometry of symplectic resolutions → new kinds of integrable systems. The simplest example: Hilbert scheme of points on a plane.
- Elliptic quantum groups, integrable systems and Elliptic cohomology from 4-dimensional Gauge theories. Some recent progress by M. Aganagic and A. Okounkov.
- Quantum q-Langlands correspondence:

P. Koroteev, D. Sage, A.Z.: quantum-classical duality as various coordinates on the space of (SL(N), q)-opers, general case in progress.

M. Aganagic, E. Frenkel and A. Okounkov: equivalence of conformal blocks in ADE case.

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Vekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

There are quantum Wronskian relations, which Q-operators satisfy (QQ-system). Geometric meaning?

Recent answer is given in terms of q-opers by P. Koroteev, D. Sage, A. Zeitlin. Enumerative meaning?

- ► Enumerative geometry of symplectic resolutions → new kinds of integrable systems. The simplest example: Hilbert scheme of points on a plane.
- Elliptic quantum groups, integrable systems and Elliptic cohomology from 4-dimensional Gauge theories. Some recent progress by M. Aganagic and A. Okounkov.
- Quantum q-Langlands correspondence:

P. Koroteev, D. Sage, A.Z.: quantum-classical duality as various coordinates on the space of (SL(N), q)-opers, general case in progress.

M. Aganagic, E. Frenkel and A. Okounkov: equivalence of conformal blocks in ADE case.

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Vekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆ = → ◆ = → ○ へ ()

There are quantum Wronskian relations, which Q-operators satisfy (QQ-system). Geometric meaning?

Recent answer is given in terms of q-opers by P. Koroteev, D. Sage, A. Zeitlin. Enumerative meaning?

- ► Enumerative geometry of symplectic resolutions → new kinds of integrable systems. The simplest example: Hilbert scheme of points on a plane.
- Elliptic quantum groups, integrable systems and Elliptic cohomology from 4-dimensional Gauge theories. Some recent progress by M. Aganagic and A. Okounkov.
- Quantum q-Langlands correspondence:

P. Koroteev, D. Sage, A.Z.: quantum-classical duality as various coordinates on the space of (SL(N), q)-opers, general case in progress.

M. Aganagic, E. Frenkel and A. Okounkov: equivalence of conformal blocks in ADE case.

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Vekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆ = → ◆ = → ○ へ ()

There are quantum Wronskian relations, which Q-operators satisfy (QQ-system). Geometric meaning?

Recent answer is given in terms of q-opers by P. Koroteev, D. Sage, A. Zeitlin. Enumerative meaning?

- ► Enumerative geometry of symplectic resolutions → new kinds of integrable systems. The simplest example: Hilbert scheme of points on a plane.
- Elliptic quantum groups, integrable systems and Elliptic cohomology from 4-dimensional Gauge theories. Some recent progress by M. Aganagic and A. Okounkov.
- Quantum q-Langlands correspondence:

P. Koroteev, D. Sage, A.Z.: quantum-classical duality as various coordinates on the space of (SL(N), q)-opers, general case in progress.

M. Aganagic, E. Frenkel and A. Okounkov: equivalence of conformal blocks in ADE case.

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Vekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

There are quantum Wronskian relations, which Q-operators satisfy (QQ-system). Geometric meaning?

Recent answer is given in terms of q-opers by P. Koroteev, D. Sage, A. Zeitlin. Enumerative meaning?

- ► Enumerative geometry of symplectic resolutions → new kinds of integrable systems. The simplest example: Hilbert scheme of points on a plane.
- Elliptic quantum groups, integrable systems and Elliptic cohomology from 4-dimensional Gauge theories. Some recent progress by M. Aganagic and A. Okounkov.
- Quantum q-Langlands correspondence:

P. Koroteev, D. Sage, A.Z.: quantum-classical duality as various coordinates on the space of (SL(N), q)-opers, general case in progress.

M. Aganagic, E. Frenkel and A. Okounkov: equivalence of conformal blocks in ADE case.

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Vekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Anton Zeitlin

Outline

Quantum Integrability

Nekrasov-Shatashvili deas

Quantum K-theory

Further Directions

Thank you!

+□> + □> + □> + □> = -のへで